Human-zebrafish non-coding conserved elements act \textit{in vivo} to regulate transcription
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ABSTRACT

Whole genome comparisons of distantly related species effectively predict biologically important sequences—core genes and \textit{cis}-acting regulatory elements (REs)—but require experimentation to verify biological activity. To examine the efficacy of comparative genomics in identification of active REs from anonymous, non-coding (NC) sequences, we generated a novel alignment of the human and draft zebrafish genomes, and contrasted this set to existing human and fugu datasets. We tested the transcriptional regulatory potential of candidate sequences using two \textit{in vivo} assays. Strict selection of non-genic elements which are deeply conserved in vertebrate evolution identifies 1744 core vertebrate REs in human and two fish genomes. We tested 16 elements \textit{in vivo} for \textit{cis}-acting gene regulatory properties using zebrafish transient transgenesis and found that 10 (63\%) strongly modulate tissue-specific expression of a green fluorescent protein reporter vector. We also report a novel quantitative enhancer assay with potential for increased throughput based on normalized luciferase activity \textit{in vivo}. This complementary system identified 11 (69\%; including 9 of 10 GFP-confirmed elements) with \textit{cis}-acting function. Together, these data support the utility of comparative genomics of distantly related vertebrate species to identify REs and provide a scaleable, \textit{in vivo} quantitative assay to define functional activity of candidate REs.

INTRODUCTION

Most vertebrate genomic sequence is neither transcribed nor encodes protein. However, 2- to 3-fold more sequence is estimated to be under selective pressure that can be accounted for by coding sequence alone (1,2). The ongoing generation and comparison of diverse vertebrate genomes reveals novel functional sequences based solely on their conservation throughout evolution (1,3). Defining important non-coding (NC) regions and predicting the biological function of such sequences will require sophisticated systems for empiric testing. \textit{In vitro} approaches fail to represent the complete repertoire of transcriptional programs available \textit{in vivo}. Ultimately, a systematic strategy combining \textit{in silico} identification with biological validation in intact organisms will be required to annotate functional NC sequences.

Evolutionarily conserved regions (ECRs) are found in both coding and NC regions and have been identified by computational approaches using (length) windows of 70–100 bp and thresholds ranging from ~70\% conservation (4–9) to complete identity (10). While coding ECRs are more easily defined by the presence of open reading frames (ORF), which can be tested for transcription, the function of NC ECRs is less readily determined. NC conserved regions potentially may regulate many aspects of genome biology including RNA genes, enhancers, silencers or boundary elements.

Genome sequence alignments between human and rodent species (which diverged ~70 Mya) indicate extensive regions of similarity. Whole genome comparisons between human and mouse have found that 40\% of these genomes can be aligned with each other, but only ~5\% of these genomes are under
active selection (1). These data suggest that there may be insufficient evolutionary divergence between humans and rodents to facilitate resolution of conserved, meaningful DNA from similar, yet irrelevant sequences. The specificity of regulatory sequence identification based on comparative genomic prediction is significantly increased when comparing the human genome to that of more distant species such as birds, amphibians and fish. To date, the most remote vertebrate genomes that have been compared are those of human and Fugu rubripes (Fugu) (2,3,11–13). However, the highly compact pufferfish genome (365 million bp) lacks a significant quantity of the intergenic NC sequence found in other species.

The zebrafish offers not only a divergent genome for comparative analysis, but also is an extremely tractable experimental system. Multiple strategies have been used to explore the potential of NC sequences to regulate gene expression in-cis. Transgenic mouse embryos expose the target sequence to a broad range of transcriptional programs but are resource intensive. Both stable and transiently transgenic zebrafish embryos have been employed to explore cis-regulation of gene expression on a gene–by–gene basis (14–24). The utility of the zebrafish positions the organism as a robust and simple system amenable to moderate and large-scale transcriptional regulatory analyses in vivo. Expression of a fluorescent reporter gene has been employed in zebrafish embryos to explore the spatio-temporal impact on expression during development of NCECRs from a human-pufferfish genomic comparison (13). We present a novel comparison between human and zebrafish draft genome sequences and extend the analysis of transcriptional regulation in the zebrafish to a quantitative, scaleable system using luciferase transgenesis.

### Table 1. Experimental and positive control locations, DNA of origin, overlap with other dataset locations, right and left flanking primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Overlap to UC</th>
<th>L primer (5′→3′)</th>
<th>R primer (5′→3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H2</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr15: 94,589,011-094,591,049</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TCCAGGCAATAATGAGAAGAG</td>
<td>GAATGCTGGAAGAGAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H3</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr13: 76,963,964-76,965,840</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TGTTGCCCATAGACGGCTTCG</td>
<td>AAATCCAGGAGCTCAGATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H4</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr16: 78,280,409-78,292,298</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TACGGATGAGATGTGCTTG</td>
<td>CAGCTTTAATGAGAGCTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H5</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr4: 80,764,529-80,766,741</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TCCCTCCTTGGTTCATTTCTTG</td>
<td>TTCTCCATTTTCCCTTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H6</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr10: 8,085,826-828,087,770</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TGGTGCTTCTGCGGCGGTAG</td>
<td>GTGTCAGATCTCTGACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H7</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr4: 112,127,925-112,129,834</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GTACCTTTTGGGCTTGGGATTG</td>
<td>CAGCGTCTGTTCCAGAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ.H8</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>chr18: 51,238,041-51,240,204,065</td>
<td>uc.435+, uc.388+</td>
<td>GTTACCAAGCTTGCCATACAG</td>
<td>ACAGCGGGAGATATGAGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two letters to the left of the period in the name denotes that the sequences were selected from the HZ comparative dataset; the first letter to the right of the period denotes the genome of origin (H for human and Z for zebrafish). The absolute position in chromosomes (where that information was available) is listed in the location column. If there is overlap to the UC dataset (10) it is listed in the Overlap to UC column. Finally, specific primers used to amplify genomic DNA are listed in the last two columns. Zebrafish L-primer sequences all contain an initial CACC which was used for cloning.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Human-Zebrafish genome alignments and dataset comparisons

Zebrafish sequence data (WTSI Zv3) were produced by the Zebrafish Sequencing Group at the Sanger Institute (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/D_rerio/). Human (NCBI build 35) and zebrafish genomes were aligned, and the alignments annotated and binned as described previously (25). We defined coding sequences as ECRs overlapping with the known genes, such as, human mRNA and xeno mRNA tracks at the UCSC browser (26). Clusters were defined when a sliding window of 150 kb contained a maximal number of NC conserved elements. Gene Ontology (GO) annotations and subsequent analysis were based on the annotation of the closest gene in the aligned human segment (27). To ensure a true and consistent comparison between organisms, a human-fugu alignment was performed using the same parameters and filtering criteria as the human-zebrafish dataset while the UC dataset was publicly available (http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1098119/DC1). Datasets were compared to each other with regard to the human anchor sequence. Conserved regions from different organisms were considered as overlapping if they are aligned to the same human sequence.

#### Cloning of human and zebrafish test fragments

A set of 16 conserved NC sequences were chosen for enhancer testing based on proximity within 100 kb (or less) of genes with known function(s). Primers were designed (Table 1) from repeat-masked genomic sequence to flank the element by 250–500 bp on either side (28). Negative control elements were chosen from human non-conserved, NC sequence. Sequences were amplified via PCR from commercial...
preparations of zebrafish (Seegene Inc. Rockville, MD) or human placental (SigmaAldrich, St. Louis, MO) genomic DNA with Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen Corp. Carlsbad, CA) and cloned directly into pENTR/D-Topo or Topo XL (Invitrogen Corp. Carlsbad, CA) before subcloning into the appropriate expression vectors.

Expression constructs
To assess expression we employed an 870 bp segment of the zebrafish cardiac myosin light chain 2 (cMLC2) promoter which is cardiomyocyte specific with essentially no extra-cardiac expression in either stable or transient transgenesis (24). Use of this promoter provides (i) an integrated, positive control for transgenesis and (ii) a negative background in non-cardiac tissues on which to visualize extra-cardiac transcription. For fluorescent assessment of cis-acting gene regulation, the Gateway Vector Conversion System (Invitrogen Corp. Carlsbad, CA) was used to introduce attR recombination sites into a GFP-containing reporter construct at a restriction site 5' to cMLC2 promoter (29) to generate the plasmid pGM:GFP (Figure 1). For luciferase assays, the cMLC2 promoter was introduced into the multiple cloning site upstream of the coding sequences for firefly (FL) and renilla luciferase (RL) in pGL2 and pRL plasmids (Promega, Madison, WV). The attR recombination sites were also introduced to the 5' promoter, to generate the plasmids pGM:GL2 and pGM:RL (Figure 1), respectively. Cloned conserved sequences were shuttled into the expression vectors either by recombination reaction (Invitrogen Corp. Carlsbad, CA) or blunt-ended ligation, and screened by PCR. Plasmid DNA was purified with Qiagen columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Zebrafish care, husbandry and transgenesis
All zebrafish experiments were approved by the MGH Subcommittee on Research Animal Care. Zebrafish (AB strain) were raised and maintained using standard protocols. Purified plasmid DNA was suspended at 25 ng/µl in injection buffer [0.1% (w/v) phenol red (Sigma) in 0.3× Danieau buffer (17 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 0.12 mM MgSO4, 1.8 mM Ca(NO3)2 and 1.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6)] for microinjection, immediately after fertilization (1–2 cell stage). Embryos were incubated at 28.5°C, and embryos which developed abnormally were removed after 24 h post fertilization (hpf).

Analysis of spatial expression
To examine spatial patterns of transcription, GFP reporter constructs containing each cloned conserved element were injected as above. A minimum of 30 transgenic embryos (those exhibiting cardiac GFP expression) were analyzed per construct. For monitoring of GFP expression, embryos were maintained with 0.003% phenylthiocarbamide to inhibit pigment formation, arrayed in 48-well plates and analyzed on an inverted microscope (TE2000, Nikon) with fluorescent illumination and digital recording (ORCA-ER, Hamamatsu). Developing embryos were visually inspected for extra-cardiac GFP expression through 5 days of development and cis-regulatory activity was recorded; enumerating both the embryos with ectopic fluorescence and characterization of the tissues and cell type. The fraction of embryos exhibiting non-cardiac GFP expression was assessed for statistical significance using a χ²-metric compared to pooled negative control results, applying the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons where necessary.

Analysis of luciferase expression
Expression constructs containing a cloned conserved sequence with the cMLC2 promoter and FL reporter cassette were resuspended in injection buffer with an equal amount of control vector containing the same promoter and RL to final concentration of 25 ng/µl. Embryos (15 per group) were harvested at 72hpf and mechanically disrupted in Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation and supernatants analyzed serially for FL and RL according to manufacturers’ protocols (Dual Luciferase Assay Kit, Promega) with a Victor 3 luminometer (Perkin-Elmer). Data are expressed as the mean ratio of a minimum of three samples ± SEM. Transcriptional regulatory activity of conserved sequences is compared to the pooled average luciferase ratio from constructs harboring negative control (human non-conserved NC) sequences (n = 8). Experimental expression ratios which exceeded the mean of the negative control ratios by at least 2 standard deviations were considered positive.

Figure 1. Expression vector constructs use for zebrafish transgenesis. The GFP construct is comprised of the cMLC2 promoter (blue) driving expression of green fluorescent protein (green). Cloned sequences were inserted into the gateway recombination sequence (red) 5' to the expression cassette and assessed for their ability to modulate transcriptional activity from this promoter. Similarly, the GL2 firefly luciferase construct consisted of an expression module containing the cMLC2 promoter and the luciferase coding sequence (gray). The control pGM:RL plasmid, which contained the renilla luciferase coding sequence (purple), was used for normalization.
RESULTS
Human and zebrafish sequence comparison

Human:zebrafish (HZ) genome comparison using parameters of >70% identity and >80 bp in length identified a total of $6.5 \times 10^4$ conserved elements. We excluded sequences corresponding to known genes, as well as mRNA sequences from human and other species, in order to identify conserved NC sequences with potential gene regulatory activity. Filtering of the original HZ genomic comparison results in 4799 (7% of the original data set) conserved NC sequences (Figure 2A). A comparison of our dataset to recent reports describing 258 human ultra-conserved (UC) and human:pufferfish (HP) conserved elements (10,25), shows overlap between and among each of the data sets: 81 sequences are shared by all of the comparative data sets (31.6% of UC). Of the UC dataset 103 (40%) of the sequences are unique. Similarly, 40% (1197 of 2968 sequences) are unique in the HP set. The HZ comparison contains 3010 (63%) unique elements (Supplementary Table S1). Furthermore, the UC set retains substantial overlap with the HZ (49.2%) and HP (42.2%) elements (Figure 2B).

While the expected average distance between a pair of HZ NCECRs is 500 kb, we detected 372 discrete clusters of 3 or more NCECRs in intervals smaller than 150 kb, comprising a full 79% of our dataset, consistent with previous observations (10,13,30). Interestingly, 126 clusters of NCECRs overlap with the gene deserts (12) in the human genome. Large clusters overlie the HSA19q gene desert and two others overlap DACH1 gene and its associated gene deserts.

In order to address the possible biological function of the identified NCECR elements, we characterized the 290 genes that populate genomic regions neighboring clusters of NCECRs identified in our filtered set using GO annotation. Particular GO categories were found to be enriched in the list of genes nearest clusters of NCECRs. Specifically, over-represented terms include transcription factor activity (7.1 times in excess of the expected random frequency), regulation of transcription (3.9), development (2.6), morphogenesis (2.0), and organogenesis (1.9). In absolute terms, transcription factors represented the largest fraction (104 out of 290) of identified, neighboring genes.

Conserved NC sequences modulate organ-specific expression transient transgenesis

To test the biological potential of sequences identified by this comparative strategy, we employed a transient expression system in developing zebrafish embryos to detect in-cis modulation of organ-specific transcription by NCECRs. We generated an expression construct containing 870 bp of the zebrafish cardiac cMLC2 promoter, an ORF for GFP with an insertion site for cloned NC sequences 5′ to the promoter (Figure 2). A minimum of 100 embryos were injected with each construct and analyzed for transgene expression. Only transgenic embryos with detectable cardiac GFP expression were analyzed (average number 51; range 30–126).

Control transgenic embryos injected with either the promoter/reporter alone (pMLC:GFP) or with the Gateway sequence only demonstrated no extra-cardiac GFP expression (0%). Ectopic GFP expression from constructs with Gateway insertion sequences does not differ only from MLC:GFP constructs. Eight human non-conserved, NC sequences were cloned to serve as negative controls and inserted via recombination into the insertion site 5′ to the expression cassette. These constructs displayed minimal ectopic fluorescence (mean: 2.44 ± 0.74; range 0.00–0.56%) compared with the MLC:GFP only vector. Positive control sequences which had been shown to function as transcriptional regulators in transgenic mouse development were taken from previous comparative analyses (12). Two of three positive control enhancers functioned to augment transcription in our system, and displayed significant extra-cardiac GFP expression (Figure 3).

We randomly chose 14 NCECRs and in two cases also used both the fish and human paralogs (total 16 NCECRs).
These sequences were amplified, cloned and analyzed using transient transgenesis in zebrafish. Ectopic expression was observed in multiple tissues including neuronal tissue (forebrain, hindbrain and neural tube), retina, skeletal muscle and skin (e.g. shown in Figure 4). For each NCECR, the tissues containing ectopic expression were highly reproducible (see Figure 3). To examine whether expression modulation by these sequences differed significantly from that seen with negative control sequences, we analyzed the \( \chi^2 \) metric comparing ectopic fluorescence in the experimental constructs to the pooled set of negative controls with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Using these criteria, 10 of 16 injected constructs (63%) demonstrated significant extra-cardiac expression of the GFP reporter (Figure 3). These data suggest that the HZ NCECR dataset is enriched for \( cis \)-acting transcriptional regulatory sequences.

Quantitation of transcription using dual luciferase analyses in transgenic zebrafish embryos

Transient transgenesis in zebrafish embryos results in mosaic expression and limits the use of single quantitative reporters such as luciferase in the fish. We employed a dual luciferase (firefly and renilla) system to address the issue of heterogeneous expression. Co-injection of two vectors has been shown previously to result in congruent mosaic expression during zebrafish development with this promoter (29). Therefore we reasoned that a two-reporter strategy might control for the heterogeneity of expression associated with zebrafish transient transgenesis. Pooling transgenic embryos were harvested and renilla and firefly luciferase activities were measured independently in each sample. The quotient of the two activities (counts per second) results in a constant luciferase ratio (LR) across samples (data not shown). Thus, the ratio of the luciferase activities serves as a consistent and comparable metric to quantitate expression in zebrafish transient transgenesis.

At 3 dpf, the baseline firefly to renilla LR was 2.75 ± 0.55 following co-injection of two enhancerless vectors. When negative control sequences were included, the average ratio was 2.64 with a SD of 1.88 for the pooled negative control constructs (range 0.27–5.71). We interpreted values which exceeded the mean for these pooled negative control sequences by 2 SD as exerting a significant effect on transcription (threshold ratio = 6.40). Applying this criterion to the positive control enhancers, two elements exceeded the
Expression constructs were created combining a cloned NCECR, the cMLC2 promoter and firefly luciferase (Figure 1). These were co-injected with an equal amount of the plasmid pGM:RL which contained the Gateway insertion sequence, cMLC2 promoter and RL coding sequence, respectively. Of the 16 anonymous NC sequences tested, 11 (69%) were positive for transcriptional upregulation (LR range: 6.56–37.53). Negative sequences ranged between a minimum value of 3.36 to a maximum of 6.16 (Figure 3).

Spatial regulation and absolute transcription level are concordant but not identical

Comparing the two assays of transcriptional regulation we find that 13 out of 16 NC sequences (81%) have concordant results: 9 demonstrate upregulation by both the spatial and lumino-metric methodologies and 4 demonstrate no augmentation in both systems. Of the remaining 3 that show discordant results, 2 demonstrate upregulation by luciferase but are unchanged by spatial criteria (HZ.H9, HZ.Z15); the last shows significant ectopic GFP expression but does not achieve the threshold LR value (HZ.H4).

DISCUSSION

A major post-genome challenge remains the detailed functional annotation of NC sequences. The ab initio prediction of exons and coding sequences from genomic DNA is aided by the specific selection pressures imposed on such sequences, the presence of ORF and other conserved features which can be used as starting points for the prediction of genes. Identification of regulatory domains in NC sequence has no operational analog to gene prediction algorithms. Predictive algorithms for candidate regulatory NC sequences at present are restricted to direct inter-species comparisons. Ultimately, candidate regions identified through any purely in silico strategy will require empiric biological testing.

Comparisons between human and rodent genomes identify numerous conserved NC regions (1/C24 60 per predicted gene) (1). However, the large number of genes (1/C24 25 000) precludes systematic experimental analysis of potential regulatory elements in a mammalian in vivo system. It is possible to restrict the number of candidate sequences by stipulating greater sequence identity (10), but the biologic specificity of such an approach is unknown. Similarly it is possible to use evolutionary distance between species to rationally refine the candidate element prediction. Using a restricted set of candidate NC regulatory sequences identified through a HP comparison, Woolfe et al. (13) recently were able to demonstrate cis-acting transcriptional regulatory function in transgenic zebrafish. We undertook a comparison between human and draft zebrafish genomic sequences, which elaborates on the role of conserved intergenic sequences.

A comparison of our HZ dataset to the UC and HP conserved elements (10,25), reveals that while there is overlap among the datasets, our comparison also reveals unique elements not found in other comparative datasets. Large clusters of HZ conserved NC sequences overlie the HSA19q and DACH1 gene deserts described previously in human-rodent comparisons. These two genomic regions are known to contain distant gene regulatory elements conserved in the evolution of
vertebrates (12,31). Furthermore, the enrichment of neighboring genes for developmentally conserved functions and clustering of NC ECRs is consistent with analyses of other comparative datasets and supports the concept of a conserved set of NC elements regulating a core vertebrate transcriptional network (10,30,32). The genes which flank these collections of conserved regions are enriched for developmental and transcriptional function by GO analysis. While this may represent the presence of core elements regulating conserved developmental pathways, we cannot exclude that, by using conservation as a filter, we have simply biased our analysis to exclude more recently evolved pathways or genes and thus have detected only those REs associated with more primitive genes and pathways.

A total of 63% \((n = 3010)\) of the HZ comparative set contains unique elements identified neither in the UC nor in the HP comparisons. Thus, despite sharing comparable evolutionary divergence from \(Homo sapiens\) (~450 Mya), significant genomic size differences between \(Danio rerio\) and \(Fugu rubripes\) (3.65 \(\times\) 10\(^{8}\) bp), are also reflected in the amount of conserved NC sequences conserved with respect to human genomic sequence. While previous investigations have assigned transcriptional enhancer function as the evolutionary rationale for conservation of these particular sequences, alternative hypotheses regarding the functional raison d’etre of these elements are also tenable. Conserved elements may be involved in transcriptional silencing, as boundary elements or in epigenetic processes such as maintenance of chromatin structure and packing. While empiric testing of the pilot-sized subsets of conserved elements demonstrates transcriptional regulatory function \(in\-cis\), high throughput methodologies to identify what function, if any, these elements might confer are required.

In order to characterize potential functions of the HZ conserved elements we studied a subset of identified sequences in assays for \(cis\)-regulatory effects on transcription. We employed an 870 bp segment of the zebrafish cardiac myosin light chain 2 promoter, which is highly organ-specific in both transient and stable transgenesis. The use of an organ-specific promoter in the spatial assessment of transcription offers practical benefits in a transient assay of transcriptional regulation. First, the consistent early cardiac expression driven by the cMLC2 promoter provides a positive control for transgenesis, which may be variable between embryos. Second, the cMLC2 870 bp promoter on its own results in tightly restricted expression providing a null background in all non-cardiac tissues over which to screen for enhancer activity. Importantly, fragments of this promoter have been previously demonstrated to be capable of directing expression in several non-cardiac tissues, suggesting that the basal machinery for such activity is present in our construct.

We demonstrate that 10 of 16 tested HZ conserved sequences confer the ability to modulate tissue-specific transcription from the cMLC2 promoter. This parallels the activity of such sequence elements tested in other vertebrate models, and demonstrates that a HZ comparison identifies elements with similar activities to that seen in HP comparisons, despite the large proportion of unique elements. Our approach differs from that employed by Wolfe et al. to investigate the \(cis\)-regulatory potential of sequences identified in a HP comparison (13). These investigators employed a technique where PCR amplicons harboring potential regulatory sequences are co-injected with linear reporter constructs. We inject circular plasmid DNA, with conserved sequences integrated 5’ to the promoter into fertilized eggs, an established technique for the analysis of regulatory sequences in both transient and stable transgenesis in the fish (33–35).

While analysis of fluorescence \(in\ situ\) affords the opportunity to measure reporter activity over time throughout development, the analysis of GFP expression in transgenic zebrafish has limited throughput due to the careful inspection and multiple focal planes necessary to examine all tissues and organs thoroughly. Furthermore, because of the nature of this assay, relative changes in expression restricted to the heart (either augmentation or attenuation) cannot be meaningfully assessed. To address these weaknesses and to provide a scalable and robust \(in\ vivo\) approach for screening candidate regulatory elements, we used an alternative strategy to quantify expression in zebrafish embryos using luciferase-based reporters. This assay is based on the co-injection of (i) a minimal promoter:reporter vector (cMLC2:firefly luciferase) containing a potential enhancer test fragment together with (ii) a second enhancer-less-promoter:reporter vector (cMLC2: renilla luciferase). The co-injection of an experimental construct together with a control construct, whose reporter activity is detected by a distinct chemistry within the same sample, allows for normalization of reporter activity despite the mosaic expression found in zebrafish transient transgenesis. Our findings demonstrate that the luciferase-based assay not only displays consistent background with multiple control elements, but also exhibits considerable dynamic range with the experimental sequences tested. The assay provides complementary data to the spatial information derived from the GFP constructs, in the detection of \(cis\)-regulation of transcription in transgenic zebrafish embryos. Eleven of 16 experimental sequences showed significant modulation of the firefly:renilla luciferase ratio, including 8 of the 10 unique sequences identified in the GFP spatial assay described above. Finally, the luciferase methodology offers the opportunity to assess reporter activity in an unsupervised fashion in a microtitre plate with an integrated assessment of control activity. This affords the ability to examine the regulatory impact of NCECR with accelerated throughput.

The GFP and luciferase assays are concordant, but not identical, reflecting the differences between the systems despite the fact that the two methodologies share the same promoter. All three positive controls and 87% of the experimental sequences are concordant between the two assays. Of the three discordant cases two had significant LRBS but were not significant by the fluorescent, spatial assay (UH9 and UZ15). We interpret this to reflect increased cardiac expression with weak or absent alteration of tissue specificity from the cMCL2 promoter. In the last case where spatial expression was significantly modulated but not significant alteration of the LR was detected, we speculate that the increase in extra-cardiac expression may have been offset by diminished cardiac expression leading to no net increase in overall firefly luciferase activity. While not observed in the set of sequences presented here, measurement of luciferase activity also presents the opportunity to identify negative regulators of transcription (e.g. silencers), which may not be readily evident in any GFP based assay. Thus the quantitation of luciferase
activity provides another ‘axis’ for the measurement of transcription not possible using fluorescent reporters.

In summary, we have demonstrated that a novel HZ comparison identifies NC elements not present in other distant vertebrate comparisons and confirm that these elements exhibit cis-regulatory properties using two different reporter methodologies. These data suggest that annotating functional elements and ultimately mapping gene regulatory networks will require systematic comparisons across multiple species and comprehensive in vivo biological validation. The utility of the zebrafish sequence for such large-scale analyses will only be accentuated by the completion of its genome project. In addition, the ability to undertake quantitative expression analyses in high throughput will further enhance the construction of informative models of regulatory networks.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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