SuhB Associates with Nus Factors To Facilitate 30S Ribosome Biogenesis in Escherichia coli
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ABSTRACT A complex of highly conserved proteins consisting of NusB, NusE, NusA, and NusG is required for robust expression of rRNA in Escherichia coli. This complex is proposed to prevent Rho-dependent transcription termination by a process known as “antitermination.” The mechanism of this antitermination in rRNA is poorly understood but requires association of NusB and NusE with a specific RNA sequence in rRNA known as BoxA. Here, we identify a novel member of the rRNA antitermination machinery: the inositol monophosphatase SuhB. We show that SuhB associates with elongating RNA polymerase (RNAP) at rRNA in a NusB-dependent manner. Although we show that SuhB is required for BoxA-mediated antitermination in a reporter system, our data indicate that the major function of the NusB/E/A/G/SuhB complex is not to prevent Rho-dependent termination of rRNA but rather to promote correct rRNA maturation. This occurs through formation of a SuhB-mediated loop between NusB/E/BoxA and RNAP/NusA/G. Thus, we have reassigned the function of these proteins at rRNA and identified another key player in this complex.

IMPORTANCE As RNA polymerase transcribes the rRNA operons in E. coli, it complexes with a set of proteins called Nus that confer enhanced rates of transcription elongation, correct folding of rRNA, and rRNA assembly with ribosomal proteins to generate a fully functional ribosome. Four Nus proteins were previously known, NusA, NusB, NusE, and NusG; here, we discover and describe a fifth, SuhB, that is an essential component of this complex. We demonstrate that the main function of this SuhB-containing complex is not to prevent premature transcription termination within the rRNA operon, as had been long claimed, but to enable rRNA maturation and a functional ribosome fully competent for translation.

Transcription termination in bacteria occurs by two distinct mechanisms: intrinsic (Rho independent) and Rho dependent. Intrinsic termination occurs without the need for factors other than the RNA polymerase (RNAP) and RNA (1). Rho-dependent termination requires a protein cofactor, Rho. Rho is an ATP-dependent RNA helicase that loads onto nascent RNA and translocates along the RNA in a 5′-to-3′ direction. Once Rho catches the elongating RNAP, it terminates transcription by promoting a rearrangement of the RNAP active site (2). Translation protects RNA from Rho-dependent termination (3), and therefore, noncoding RNAs have been considered likely candidates for Rho-dependent termination (4).

Modulation of transcription termination is a critical part of the life cycle of lambdoid bacteriophage. Early work on a λ phage indicated that phage and host proteins combine to prevent both Rho-dependent and intrinsic termination within the phage genome. In particular, two phage-encoded proteins, N and Q, were identified as key antitermination factors (5). N-mediated antitermination occurs within the p1 and pR transcripts, allowing RNAP to transcribe the early λ genes, including Q, which in turn allows late gene transcription. Specific RNA sequences, NutL and NutR, are required for N function (6, 7), as are host proteins NusB, NusE (ribosomal protein S10), NusA, and NusG, collectively known as Nus factors (8–13). The Nut sequences contain two important regions: BoxA and BoxB. BoxA serves as a binding site for a complex of NusB and NusE (14), and BoxB serves as a binding site for N (15). These proteins, together with the RNAP-associated elongation factors NusA and NusG, modify the RNAP such that it is resistant to both Rho-dependent and intrinsic termination (5, 15). In vitro, high levels of N obviate the requirement for NusB, NusE, and BoxA (16), suggesting that the role of BoxA-NusB/E complex is to stabilize the antitermination complex (17).

In addition to their role in the life cycle of bacteriophage, Nus factors are required in many bacterial species for proper expression of rRNA (18). rRNA loci in Escherichia coli, and many other species, contain two copies of boxA a short distance upstream of the 16S and 23S rRNA genes. Several independent observations have led to the suggestion that Nus factors prevent Rho-dependent termination within rRNA in a BoxA-dependent manner. First, Rho-dependent termination of a reporter construct is inhibited by insertion of sequence from the leader region of rRNA (19). This antitermination activity has been localized to a DNA segment containing a boxA sequence (20) and requires functional NusB and NusG (21). Second, nusB and nusA mutants exhibit
polarity within the rRNA, having a significantly higher 16S:23S rRNA ratio (30S:50S) than wild-type cells (22, 23). Third, high-level transcription of RNA containing α NutL titrates Nus factors, thereby decreasing RNA expression and increasing the 16S:23S rRNA ratio (24). Fourth, mutation of boxA results in a significant reduction in rRNA synthesis from an rRNA operon carried on a plasmid (25, 26). Fifth, Rho-dependent transcription termination in vitro can be inhibited in a BoxA-dependent, NusB-dependent manner (27). BoxA and Nus factors also modulate RNAP such that it elongates at a higher rate and is resistant to the effects of ppGpp (28–30). The mechanism by which Nus factors prevent Rho-dependent termination is unclear, although it has been suggested that a NusE-NusG interaction can prevent association of Rho with RNAP-associated NusG, a critical requirement for Rho-dependent termination (31).

Although many studies have suggested a role for Nus factors in antitermination of rRNA, these proteins have recently been suggested to have an alternative role at rRNA: promoting correct folding and assembly of rRNA (22). The rRNA operon is transcribed as a single RNA that is then processed by several RNases to generate 16S, 23S, and 5S rRNAs, and also tRNAs (the tRNA complement differs for each of the seven copies of the rRNA locus in E. coli) (32). Mutants of nusB and nusA are defective in rRNA maturation, and accumulate 305 ribosome precursors (22). This property and the cold-sensitive growth phenotype of these mutants are suppressed by mutations in rnc, the gene encoding RNase III (22). Given that RNase III is not known to be involved in Rho termination, the genetic connection between rnc and nus genes suggests that the defects of nus mutants in rRNA maturation are unconnected to antitermination. Nus factors have been proposed to act as rRNA chaperones, promoting loop formation between NusB/E bound to BoxA and the elongating RNAP, thereby facilitating rRNA folding, ribosome protein assembly, and ribosome maturation (22). RNase III is responsible for the initial step in 16S and 23S rRNA processing. Mutations in rnc have been proposed to suppress the growth defects of Nus factor mutants by artificially stabilizing the stem-loop at the base of the 16S rRNA that is normally cleaved by RNase III (22).

SuhB is a widely conserved inositol monophosphatase (IM-Pase), and IMPase activity has been demonstrated for the E. coli enzyme in vivo and in vitro (33). However, myo-inositol-containing phospholipids and soluble inositol compounds are not detectable in E. coli, strongly suggesting that IMPase activity is not its primary function (34). Consistent with this, mutants of E. coli suhB have several characteristics that suggest a function for SuhB beyond its enzymatic activity. First, cells lacking suhB (also known as ssaY) are cold sensitive, but the growth defect is not associated with SuhB mutants defective in IMPase activity (35). Second, mutants of suhB suppress the growth defects of a secY mutant (36). secY mutants have a reduced rate of protein translocation across the cytoplasmic membrane, and suppressors have been proposed to have translation defects that disrupt the coordination of translation and secretion (36–39). Third, the cold sensitivity of suhB mutants is suppressed by mutations in rnc (40). These phenotypes suggest a connection to NusB, since nusB mutants are also defective in translation (23), can suppress a secY mutant growth defect (39, 41) and are themselves cold sensitive and are suppressed by mutations in rnc (22). Moreover, SuhB has been shown to interact with RNAP in vitro (35).

Although rRNA antitermination can be reconstituted in vitro with cell extracts, complete rRNA antitermination cannot be achieved using purified Nus factors alone (27). The efficiency of antitermination can be increased by inclusion of ribosomal protein S4, but S4 antagonizes Rho independently of BoxA and is insufficient to provide complete antitermination (42). Hence, it has been suggested that at least one member of the rRNA antitermination machinery is yet to be discovered (27). Here, we show that SuhB is such a protein. SuhB associates with elongating RNAP at rRNA loci in a NusB-dependent manner. Moreover, SuhB, like NusB, was required for antitermination in an in vivo reporter gene assay. Surprisingly, our data indicate that SuhB and Nus factors are largely dispensable for rRNA antitermination in vivo and that rRNA is quite resistant to Rho-dependent termination. Rather, our data support a role for SuhB in rRNA maturation and suggest a model in which SuhB promotes loop formation between elongating RNAP and NusB/E bound to BoxA.

RESULTS

SuhB is functionally connected to Nus factors. To investigate the function of SuhB, we isolated five spontaneous mutants that suppress the cold sensitivity of suhB deletion in E. coli MG1655. Mutation of rnc has previously been reported to suppress the growth defect of a suhB mutant (40). Hence, we first PCR amplified and sequenced rnc from each suppressor mutant and identified four mutations in rnc (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). We then sequenced the genome of a suppressor mutant that had wild-type rnc and thereby identified a mutation in nusE (corresponding amino acid change, L17Q [see Table S3]). No other mutations were identified in this strain. To confirm the importance of the nusE mutation in suppressing the cold sensitivity of suhB deletion, we P1 transduced a nusE-linked tetA gene (conferring tetracycline resistance) from a strain with wild-type nusE into the ΔsuhB strain with the nusE mutation and selected for growth at 42°C on medium containing tetracycline. The tetA gene is predicted to cotransduce with nusE (~44% of the time (43)). A total of 55 of 98 transductants tested were cold sensitive. We PCR amplified and sequenced nusE from 10 colonies that were cold sensitive and 10 that were not. All the cold-sensitive strains had a wild-type copy of nusE, whereas all the cold-resistant strains had retained the mutant copy. We conclude that the nusE mutation is necessary for suppression of the cold sensitivity caused by deletion of suhB.

Identification of a nusE mutant suppressor directly connects SuhB function to that of the Nus factors. To further investigate the connection between SuhB and Nus factors, we constructed derivatives of ΔsuhB W3110 with additional mutations in each of nusA, nusB, rho, and two other genes, rfd and rfaH, that encode RNAP-associated proteins. Only mutation of nusA or deletion of nusB, like mutation of nusE, rescued the slow growth of the ΔsuhB mutant at 37°C (Fig. 1A), indicating that in the absence of SuhB, the “Nus complex” was inhibitory for growth. Since each of the nus suppressor alleles also affects translation (22), we tested whether the suppressive effect is simply due to a defect in translation. We constructed derivatives of ΔsuhB W3110 with additional mutations in each of infB, rpsA, and rpsE. These mutations were originally isolated as suppressors of a growth-defective secY mutant (39), and all cause defects in translation. However, none of these mutations reversed the cold sensitivity of the ΔsuhB mutant (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), indicating that the suppressive effect of nus mutants is not simply due to a defect in translation.
We next used transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) to compare the effect on global RNA levels of deleting nusB or suhB. For both the nusB and suhB deletions, more than 25% of all genes had significantly altered RNA levels compared to wild-type cells (\(2\)-fold difference; false discovery rate [FDR], \(<0.05\)) (Fig. 1B and C). However, only 3% of all genes were significantly different between the two mutants (Fig. 1D). We concluded that the SuhB function is closely related to that of the Nus factors.

**SuhB is required for BoxA-mediated antitermination in a reporter system.** A plasmid-based reporter assay has been previously described for BoxA-mediated antitermination (Fig. 2A) (20, 21). We used this reporter assay to determine whether SuhB is required for antitermination. There are three reporter plasmids, all of which use \(cat\) as the reporter; levels of \(cat\) RNA are measured using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) (with results normalized to levels of \(bla\) RNA, which is expressed constitutively from the same plasmid). In the first of the three plasmids, pSL102, \(cat\) RNA has a short 5’-untranslated region (UTR) and is constitutively expressed at a high level. The second plasmid, pSL103, is a derivative of pSL102 that includes a 567-bp noncoding sequence in the 5’-UTR of \(cat\). pSL115 is a derivative of pSL103 that includes a BoxA-containing sequence from the RNA leader in the 5’-UTR. All plasmids contain \(bla\), which served as a normalization control. (B) qRT-PCR was used to determine the levels of \(cat\) mRNA relative to \(bla\) mRNA for each of the three plasmids in wild-type, \(\Delta nusB\), and \(\Delta suhB\) cells, as indicated. Data represent an average of three independent, biological replicates. Error bars indicate 1 standard deviation above and below the mean. (C) qRT-PCR was used to determine the levels of \(cat\) mRNA relative to \(bla\) mRNA for pSL115 in wild-type, \(\Delta nusB\), and \(\Delta suhB\) cells that contained either empty vector (pBAD18) or expressed SuhB from a plasmid (pBAD18-suhB).

**FIG 1** SuhB is functionally related to Nus factors. (A) Growth phenotypes of single mutants of mfd, nusA, nusB, rho, and rfaH in the W3110 wild-type (wt) background compared to the phenotypes for strains with the same mutations combined with deletion of suhB. Cells were restreaked onto LB medium and grown overnight at 37°C. (B) RNA-seq comparison of wild-type MG1655 and an isogenic \(\Delta suhB\) strain. Each dot represents an annotated gene. Values on each axis indicate the relative number of sequence reads mapping to a given gene (plotted on a log scale), with values for the wild type plotted on the x axis and values for the \(\Delta suhB\) mutant plotted on the y axis. Data points shown in black indicate genes for which we detected a significant difference (FDR, \(<0.05\)) of \(\geq 2\)-fold between the wild-type and \(\Delta suhB\) strain. (C and D) Equivalent comparisons for wild-type and \(\Delta suhB\) strains (C) and \(\Delta nusB\) and \(\Delta suhB\) strains (D).

**FIG 2** SuhB is required for BoxA-mediated antitermination. (A) Plasmids used for the antitermination reporter assay. pSL102 contains \(cat\) under control of a constitutive promoter. pSL103 is a derivative of pSL102 that includes a 567-bp noncoding sequence in the 5’-UTR of \(cat\). pSL115 is a derivative of pSL103 that includes a BoxA-containing sequence from the RNA leader in the 5’-UTR. All plasmids contain \(bla\), which served as a normalization control. (B) qRT-PCR was used to determine the levels of \(cat\) mRNA relative to \(bla\) mRNA for each of the three plasmids in wild-type, \(\Delta nusB\), and \(\Delta suhB\) cells, as indicated. Data represent an average of three independent, biological replicates. Error bars indicate 1 standard deviation above and below the mean. (C) qRT-PCR was used to determine the levels of \(cat\) mRNA relative to \(bla\) mRNA for pSL115 in wild-type, \(\Delta nusB\), and \(\Delta suhB\) cells that contained either empty vector (pBAD18) or expressed SuhB from a plasmid (pBAD18-suhB).
be complemented by overexpression of suhB from a plasmid (Fig. 2C). We concluded that SuhB is required for BoxA-mediated antitermination.

**SuhB is not required for N-mediated antitermination in bacteriophage ΦX174.** A previous study (44) indicated that suhB (referred to as ssyA in that study) is not required for Nus factor function in the λ system. However, this phenotype was not investigated in detail. Hence, we measured plaque formation by a ΔsuhB mutant with wild-type λ and each of the λ r32 and λ r14 mutants that carry insertion elements enhancing termination and sensitizing the system to nus mutations (45). As controls, we measured plaque formation with wild-type *E. coli* cells, and with 2 nus mutant strains, ΔnusB and nusA1. As expected, the nus mutant strains were defective in plaque formation by wild-type λ and were even more defective in plaque formation by λ r32 and λ r14 (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). In contrast, the ΔsuhB mutant was not defective in plaque formation by the wild type, λ r32, or λ r14 (see Fig. S2). As an additional control, we measured plaque formation by a λ nin5 mutant that lacks terminators and is consequently unaffected by nus mutations. As expected, this mutant λ formed plaques efficiently with all strains tested (see Fig. S2). We concluded that SuhB is not required for N-mediated antitermination in λ.

**SuhB associates with elongating RNAP at rRNA loci in a NusB-dependent manner.** We next determined the association of SuhB and RNAP (β) with rRNA loci by using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) coupled with qPCR (ChIP-qPCR). ChIP-qPCR measures association of proteins with DNA. Although NusB, NusE, and any associated proteins are expected to associate with rRNA rather than its DNA, we expected that they would be detectable using ChIP-qPCR due to association with DNA via the elongating RNAP. Indeed, we detected a robust ChIP-qPCR signal for SuhB across the rRNA loci (average of the 7 nearly identical copies, with the exception of the most upstream amplicon, which is specific to rrrB), beginning approximately at the position of the boxA sequence. Data were normalized to the level of RNAP (β subunit) across the rRNA loci in wild-type cells, the ΔnusB mutant, and the ΔsuhB mutant. We detected only a slight reduction (~25%) in the level of RNAP association at any point across the rRNA loci between the wild-type and mutant strains (Fig. 4). Moreover, we detected a similar reduction in the level of RNAP association in the rRNA promoter region, well upstream of the leader boxA. Thus, our data suggest that Nus factors and SuhB are required for maximal transcription initiation and that rRNA is resistant to Rho-dependent termination, even in the absence of Nus factors.

**SuhB is likely required for proper ribosome biogenesis.** A recent study showed that, like the ΔsuhB mutant strain, the cold sensitivity of a ΔnusB strain is rescued by an rnc mutation (22). Moreover, deletion of nusB causes a translation defect that rescues the temperature sensitivity of a secY mutation (39, 41). This rescue is reversed if rnc is deleted (22). To investigate a possible role of SuhB in rRNA maturation, we determined the genetic interactions of suhB with rnc and secY. We first confirmed that deletion of rnc prevents cold sensitivity in W3110 ΔsuhB (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material), consistent with our suppressor screen (see Table S3 in the supplemental material) and a previous study (40). We also confirmed that deletion of suhB rescues the temperature sensitivity of a secYts24 mutant, consistent with a previous study (36), and we observed that rescue of secYts24 temperature sensitivity by the ΔsuhB mutant, as with the ΔnusB mutant, was reversed when rnc was deleted. Thus, the genetic interactions of suhB mirror those of nusB, supporting a role for SuhB in rRNA folding and ribosome biogenesis. In this regard, mutations of nusB and suhB have been shown to affect ribosome function by reducing translation elongation rates (36, 39).

**SuhB is an rRNA chaperone that promotes loop formation between BoxA and RNAP.** Our data are consistent with a role for SuhB in rRNA maturation, as has been previously proposed for other Nus factors (22). We hypothesized that SuhB is required for Nus factor-mediated loop formation in rRNA by interacting simultaneously with elongating RNAP complexes and NusB/E-bound BoxA. Consistent with this model, our data strongly suggest a NusB-dependent association of SuhB with transcription elongation complexes (we detected an association of SuhB across transcription units) (Fig. 3). Moreover, SuhB has been previously reported to bind RNAP in vitro, albeit weakly, and a recent study reported an association of SuhB with RNAP in vivo in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (46). To test the model, we used ChIP-qPCR to measure the association of NusB across rRNA loci in the wild-type and ΔsuhB mutant strains of MG1655. We detected a robust association of NusB across rRNA loci, downstream of the first boxA, in wild-type cells (Fig. 5). Consistent with the model, NusB association with rRNA loci was almost completely dependent upon SuhB (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

**SuhB is a new player in rRNA regulation.** The role of Nus factors in regulation of rRNA has been studied extensively. However, failure to fully reconstitute antitermination in vitro with purified Nus factors suggested that at least one component of the machinery was missing (27, 42). We have identified SuhB as such a factor. SuhB is recruited by BoxA and Nus factors, and remains associated with RNAP throughout transcription of rRNA (Fig. 3A).
Much of our understanding of Nus factor function comes from work on antitermination in $\lambda$ phage, and importantly in this regard, a $\text{suhB}$ mutant does not have a Nus mutant phenotype in $\lambda$ (44) (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), perhaps explaining why the rRNA function of SuhB has remained undiscovered for so long. This work also serves to highlight that the function of Nus proteins in $\lambda$ antitermination is fundamentally different from their main function in regulating rRNA synthesis and folding.

SuhB has a well-characterized enzymatic function as an IMPase (33). Thus, SuhB appears to serve two unrelated functions. It is possible that its function in rRNA regulation is connected to its IMPase activity; however, at least in $E. coli$, there is no obvious metabolic requirement for IMPase activity (34). SuhB is widely conserved, and mutants of $\text{suhB}$ and its homologues have been shown to be inviable or slow-growing in several species (33, 47–50). Moreover, mutation of $\text{suhB}$ in Burkholderia cenocepacia and $P. aeruginosa$ affects expression of large numbers of genes, including genes required for virulence (51, 52); in $P. aeruginosa$, the resulting phenotype has been linked to defective ribosome function (46). Hence, it is likely that the function of SuhB in regulating rRNA is phylogenetically widespread.

The major function of Nus factors and SuhB at rRNA is not antitermination. Almost all studies of Nus factors and their role in rRNA regulation have been predicated on the idea that the
Limited role for NusB and SuhB in rRNA antitermination. ChIP-qPCR was used to measure the association of RNAP (β) at positions across the inserted phage rDNA in wild-type cells, ΔnusB cells, and ΔsuhB cells. Values indicate the background-subtracted fold enrichment above a control region in the transcriptionally silent bgfB gene (see Materials and Methods). A schematic of the rRNA loci is drawn to scale and aligned with the data. Horizontal black lines indicate the position of the amplicons used for PCR quantification of the ChIP signal. boxA sequences are represented by red boxes. Data represent averages of three independent, biological replicates. Error bars indicate 1 standard deviation above and below the mean.

Mechanism of Nus/SuhB-mediated rRNA maturation. Our data are consistent with a role for SuhB in 30S ribosome biogenesis, as has been previously described for Nus factors (22). Given the limited role for Nus factors and SuhB in rRNA antitermination, we propose that control of rRNA maturation is the primary function of these proteins in regulation of ribosome biogenesis. Based on the defects in ribosome biogenesis associated with nus mutants, the suppression of these defects by mutation of rnc (encodes RNase III), and the proximity of the rRNA leader boxA to the upstream arm of the 16S stem-loop, a model has been proposed (22) in which Nus factors are required for tethering of boxA RNA to elongating RNAP. Thus, Nus factors promote proper cotranscriptional folding of rRNA. Our data support and extend this model, implicating SuhB in facilitating loop formation. Deletion of suhB abolishes the NusB ChIP signal across rRNA loci (Fig. 5). The NusB ChIP signal at rRNA loci is presumably due to association of NusB with the elongating RNAP. Consistent with this, the NusB ChIP signal is observed across all regions of rRNA loci downstream of the first boxA site. Therefore, loss of NusB ChIP signal at rRNA loci in ΔsuhB cells indicates that NusB no longer associates with elongating RNAP. This could be due to loss of binding to BoxA RNA. However, NusB and NusE bind with high affinity to the BoxA RNA in the absence of any other proteins in vitro (56), making it highly unlikely that SuhB is required for association of NusB with rRNA in vivo. We conclude that SuhB is required for loop formation between NusB/E-bound BoxA and the elongating RNAP complex. This is consistent with the known in vivo interaction between SuhB and RNAP in P. aeruginosa (46), although the weakness of the interaction between E. coli SuhB and RNAP in vitro suggests that other proteins may contribute to the association of SuhB with elongating RNAP (35).
Conclusions. In summary, we have redefined the role of the Nus “antitermination” proteins at rRNA, and we have identified SuhB as a novel member of this complex. Our data indicate that while these proteins are able to prevent Rho-dependent termination in the plasmid context (Fig. 2), their major function in ribosome biogenesis is to promote correct ribosome assembly, and this occurs due to Nus factor-mediated loop formation in the nascent rRNA. Loop formation is mediated by SuhB, which likely bridges the gap between the elongating RNAP (bound to NusA and NusG), and the NusB/E-bound BoxA. Important questions remain. The architecture of the complex is still unclear, as is the role of NusA and NusG. Moreover, how these proteins prevent Rho-dependent termination (in the appropriate context) and increase the transcription elongation rate are yet to be determined. Lastly, we cannot rule out the possibility that other members of the complex are yet to be identified.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids. All strains and plasmids are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Oligonucleotides used for strain construction, plasmid construction, and PCRs are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Strains MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA (VS070) and MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA (JW022) were generated using FRUIT (57). The thyA-containing PCR products were amplified using oligonucleotides JW4154/JW4155 and JW3611/JW3612 for ΔsuhB::thyA and ΔsuhB::thyA, respectively. SuhB was C-terminally epitope tagged in MG1655 with three FLAG sequence upstream of the complex are yet to be identified. Lastly, we cannot rule out the possibility that other members of the complex are yet to be identified.

SuhB and Nus Factors

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids. All strains and plasmids are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Oligonucleotides used for strain construction, plasmid construction, and PCRs are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Strains MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA (VS070) and MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA (JW022) were generated using FRUIT (57). The thyA-containing PCR products were amplified using oligonucleotides JW4154/JW4155 and JW3611/JW3612 for ΔsuhB::thyA and ΔsuhB::thyA, respectively. SuhB was C-terminally epitope tagged in MG1655 with three FLAG epitopes by using FRUIT, to generate VS066 (57). The thyA-containing PCR product for tagging was amplified using oligonucleotides JW3246/JW3247. The MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::FLAG3, ΔsuhB::thyA strain (JW024) was generated by replacing suhB with thyA rather than replacing thyA at its native locus (57). FRUIT (57) was used to insert a boxA-containing sequence upstream of lacZ in VS066 to generate VS077. The thyA-containing PCR product was amplified using oligonucleotides JW5068/JW5069, thyA was replaced using a PCR product that contained boxA-containing sequence from rRNA, generated using oligonucleotides JW5014, JW5015, JW5017, and JW5180. rfaH was disrupted by precisely replacing the open reading frame (ORF) with a chromomycin resistance cassette in DY330, a recombinogenic derivative of W3110, as described elsewhere (58), to give NB359. The rfaH ORF was similarly replaced with a kanamycin resistance cassette to give NB365. ΔnusB::cat (NB421) (58), ΔnusB::cat (NB97) (59), and ΔsuhB::kan (NB760) (35) derivatives of W3110 have been described previously. The ΔsuhB::bla knockout (NB762) was constructed in the same manner as ΔnusB::kan (35). The ΔsuhB::bla mutation was P1 transduced into wild-type W3110 cells to make NB762. Other mutations were P1 transduced into NB762. secYs2s4 ΔsuhB ΔnusC double and triple mutants were constructed based on a secYs2s4 strain (IQ85) provided by K. To that contained a Tet' determinant. These cells were rendered tetracycline sensitive by using the chlorotetracycline method (60), yielding strain NB50. The ΔsuhB and ΔnusC mutations were then P1 transduced into NB50 to obtain the respective double mutants NB76 and NB53. The ΔnusC::cat secYs2s4 SuhB triple mutant (NB80) was constructed by P1 transducing ΔnusC::cat into the double secYs2s4 ΔsuhB mutant.

The syy mutations described here were isolated in MC4100 (39). The syy mutations double mutants were made by P1 transduction of the syy::bla or syy::kan knockouts into the syy-carrying strains by selection for the appropriate drug marker.

To construct pBAD18-suhB (pDMA027), the suhB ORF and the Shine-Dalgarino sequence from pBAD24 (61) were PCR amplified using oligonucleotides JW4362/JW4363 and cloned into the Nhel restriction site of pBAD18-Kan (61) using an In-Fusion kit (Clontech).

Generation of rho15::bla. The rho15 mutant has been described previously (62), but we removed all but the first 21 bp of the IS1 element and replaced it with bla to give NB966. Unlike the original rho15 mutant that has an intact IS1 (62), the mutant we constructed was not temperature sensitive at 42°C, suggesting that the temperature sensitivity of the original mutant is due to the IS1 element rather than mutation of rho.

SuhB suppressor screen. Five cultures of MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA were grown overnight from single colonies at 37°C in LB. Five microliters of each overnight culture was spread on LB agar and incubated at 30°C, the nonpermissive temperature for ΔsuhB mutants. One suppressor mutant was selected from each plate. rnc was PCR amplified from colonies using oligonucleotides JW836/JW837, and the PCR products were sequenced to identify the presence, if any, of suppressor mutations. Genomic DNA from a strain with wild-type rnc was prepared using a DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen). A DNA library was prepared using a Nextera kit (Illumina). The library was sequenced (paired-end reads) using an Illumina MiSeq instrument. Sequence reads were aligned to the reference E. coli MG1655 genome for single nucleotide polymorphism and structural variant detection using the CLC genomic workbench (with default parameters). Mutations listed as “homozygous” in the output file were presumed to be genuine.

Determining whether a nusE mutation is necessary to suppress the cold sensitivity of a ΔsuhB strain. E. coli strain CAG12071 contains a smg-3082::Tn10 insertion that is predicted to cotransduce with nusE ~44% of the time. We used P1 transduction (63) with tetracycline selection to transfer the tetA gene from Tn10 into the MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA derivative that contains a nusE mutation (VS093) and that is no longer cold sensitive. We initially selected for tetracycline-resistant transductants by plating on tetracycline-containing LB agar at 42°C. We then patched colonies on plates grown at 30°C and counted the number of surviving strains. As a control, we patched colonies on plates grown at 42°C. We then selected 10 strains that were cold sensitive and 10 that were not, PCR-amplified nusE, and sequenced using conventional Sanger sequencing.

Spot titer. Single colonies from LB agar were inoculated into 5 ml of LB broth at 42°C for overnight cultures. Two hundred microliters of each overnight culture was added to 2.5 ml of molten tryptone broth (TB) top agar, which was overlaid on TB agar plates. Twenty microtiter each of serial dilutions (10⁻², 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁶, and 10⁻⁸) of a phage lysate was spotted on the bacterial lawns and incubated at 37°C overnight.

ChiP-qPCR. All cultures for ChiP-qPCR were grown in LB at 37°C to mid-exponential phase. ChiP-qPCR was performed as described previously (64), using anti-FLAG mouse monoclonal (Sigma), anti-RpoB mouse monoclonal (Neoclone), or anti-NusB rabbit polyclonal (gift from Evgeny Nudler) antibody. Occupancy units are a measure of binding relative to the cold region, JW4861/JW4862 (rRNA), JW4863/JW4864 (rRNA), JW4865/JW4866 (rRNA), JW4867/JW4870 (rRNA), JW4871/JW4872 (rRNA), JW4873/JW4874 (rRNA), JW4875/JW4876 (rRNA), JW4877/JW4878 (rRNA), JW166/JW167 (lacZ upstream region), JW186/JW187 (within lacZ), and JW123/JW124 (within lacYlacA).

RNA-seq. Two independent biological replicates of MG1655, MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA and MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA, were each grown in LB to mid-exponential phase. RNA-seq and associated data analysis were performed as described previously (64).

qRT-PCR. Strain MG1655, MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA, or MG1655 ΔthyA ΔsuhB::thyA was transformed with plasmid pSL102, pSL103, or pSL115 (20). Cells were grown in LB supplemented with ampicillin at 37°C to mid-exponential phase. For SuhB complementation, pBAD18 vector and pBAD18-suhB (pDMA027) were also transformed into cells,
and cells were grown in LB supplemented with kanamycin and ampicillin to an optical density of 600 nm of 0.4 before induction with arabinose (0.2%, final concentration) for 30 min. Aliquots of 1.5 ml of cell culture were centrifuged, and pellets were resuspended in 1 ml RNAzol RT (Molecular Research Center Inc.). RNA was prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was DNase treated (TURBO DNase I; Life Technologies) and reverse transcribed using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time PCR on biological triplicates was performed using the ABI 7500 PCR machine. Amplicons were generated using oligonucleotide pairs JW4337/JW4338 (bfa) and JW4333/JW4334 (cat).

Western blotting. Cell extracts were separated on a gradient polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a PVDF-Plus membrane (GE Healthcare) by electrophoresis. The membrane was probed with a 1:4,000 dilution of anti-FLAG mouse monoclonal M2 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) or a 1:4,000 dilution of secondary goat anti-mouse horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated antibody. Blots were developed using the Immun-Star Western kit (BioRad) or the SuperSignal Femto kit (Pierce).

Nucleotide sequence accession number. Raw sequencing data from the suppressor screen and RNA-seq analyses have been deposited with the EBI ArrayExpress database and assigned accession number E-MTAB-4240.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://mbio.asm.org/lookup/suppl doi:10.1128/mBio.00114-16/-DCSupplemental.

Figure S1, PDF file, 1.3 MB.
Figure S2, PDF file, 2.1 MB.
Figure S3, PDF file, 1.3 MB.
Table S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.
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Table S3, PDF file, 0.2 MB.
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