Size-Based Chromatography of Signaling Clusters in a Living Cell Membrane
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ABSTRACT: Here we introduce a form of chromatography that can be imposed on the membrane of a living cell. A cell–cell signaling interaction is reconstituted in a hybrid live cell-supported membrane junction. The chromatographic material consists of a hexagonally ordered array of gold nanoparticles (nanodot array), which is fabricated onto the underlying substrate. While individual membrane components move freely throughout the array, the movement of larger assemblies is impeded if they exceed the physical dimensions of the array. This tactile approach to probing membrane structures in living cells reveals organizational aspects of the membrane environment unobservable by other techniques.
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Cell membranes exist in a highly organized liquid state. The dynamic assembly of proteins and lipids into functional supramolecular structures within the membrane plays a foundational role in many signaling systems. Although these facts are well-accepted at a general level, the physical properties of membrane substructures along with the details of how spatial organization is tied to function remain enigmatic. This is largely the result of substantial limitations to the application of optical microscopy at length scales below the ∼250 nm diffraction limit. Fluorescence-based super-resolution, tracking, and time correlation techniques beginning to probe smaller length scales. Even so, imaging alone may never be sufficient to fully reveal the dynamic physical nature of cell membranes. Supported membranes functionalized with intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and peptide-loaded major histocompatibility complex (pMHC) proteins can effectively replace the antigen-presenting cell (APC) to form a hybrid immunological synapse with a living T cell. As TCR on the T cell engage their antigen pMHC ligands on the supported membrane, they assemble into signaling microclusters, which indirect estimates suggest range in size from 70 to 500 nm (Supplementary Table S1). Within a matter of seconds after formation, the TCR microclusters become coupled to actin retrograde flow and are centripetally transported to the center of the junction to form the classical immunological synapse. Physical structures, such as patterns of metal lines or arrays of gold nanodots (described here), can be fabricated onto the underlying substrate to define geometric restrictions on molecular transport in the supported membrane. These substrate-imposed constraints are selectively transmitted to the living cell through receptor–ligand interactions to induce what we refer to as a spatial mutation. In the case of the nanodot array, TCR cluster transport is impeded if the clusters are too large to percolate between individual nanoparticles in the array (Figure 1).
Most applications of the supported membrane spatial mutation to date have relied on electron-beam lithography to pattern the substrate. 17,20,23−25 While this fabrication method can achieve the necessary spatial resolution (tens of nanometers) for cellular experiments, it is prohibitively slow and expensive for many applications. Here we employ a relatively straightforward method of block copolymer nanolithography to produce ordered arrays of gold nanoparticles (5−10 nm diameter) with highly controlled (relative standard deviation <20%) interparticle spacings ranging from 40 to 180 nm (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). 26−29 Interparticle spacings are controlled by the deposition and the specific polymers used in the gold micelle solution (Supplementary Table S2). Nanodot arrays can be readily fabricated over cm² areas, and supported membranes subsequently assembled on the substrate exhibit free mobility (D ~ 1 μm²/s) throughout the array (Supplementary Figure S3).

Nanodot arrays differentially restrict long-range transport of TCR microclusters as a function of the interparticle spacing within the array. TCR on primary AND T cells were labeled with a fluorescent anti-TCR H57 fab fragment, which does not interfere with pMHC-TCR binding. 7 As TCR engage pMHC loaded with activating agonist peptide moth cytochrome C (MCC; ANERDALIAYLKQATK) in the supported membrane, signaling clusters form, and their movement was tracked on live cells by total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Figure 2a and b). On a 171 nm spaced array with an average agonist pMHC density of 80 molecules/μm² (Supplementary Figure 4), most TCR clusters successfully percolate through the array. The final positions of continuously tracked clusters are circled on both the track plot (top) and final frame (bottom) in Figure 2a. On a 40 nm array with the same agonist pMHC density, clusters exhibit some centripetal transport but most become trapped while still in peripheral positions (Figure 2b). In general, agonist pMHC is observed to colocalize with TCR, irrespective of whether they are freely moving or trapped (Figure 2c, d and Supplementary Figure S5). Leukocyte function associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) bound to ICAM-1 can still form a ring pattern, as is characteristic in a mature immunological synapse (Supplementary Figure S6). This suggests that LFA-1:ICAM-1 complexes are less affected by the physical constraints imposed by the nanodot arrays. In addition to the larger lateral size of TCR microclusters, as compared with LFA-1:ICAM-1 clusters, 31 the closer apposition of the two cell membranes dictated by the short length of the pMHC:TCR complex 32−34 is likely to also contribute (see, for example, Figure 1).

Although the 40 nm spaced nanodot array impedes long-range transport of TCR clusters, no significant interference with signaling function was observed (Supplementary Discussion 1). To quantitatively characterize the antigen specific triggering of T cells, we monitor intracellular Ca²⁺ flux using a Fura-2 dye reporter 24 while titrating the ratio of agonist peptide to a null peptide (T102E) at constant total pMHC density (80 molecules/μm²). Null peptide-pMHC alone does not induce TCR triggering. The Ca²⁺ concentration (colorimetric scale) is plotted for each cell (y-axis) as a function of time (x-axis) (Supplementary Figure S7) for at least 350 cells per condition in Figure 2e. Agonist−null ratios from 1:0 to 1:100 all lead to comparable Ca²⁺ response in T cells on or off the arrays. When no agonist peptide is present, essentially no Ca²⁺ response is observed in either case.

T cells can respond to fewer than 10 individual agonist peptides. 24,35,36 However, morphological characterization of the immunological synapse and TCR signaling cluster phenomena is often performed at agonist pMHC densities in the range of 2000−15000 per cell. 10,18 Little is known about how the physical properties of TCR signaling clusters scale with antigen density or even if stable TCR clusters exist at the lowest level of antigens that can lead to T cell triggering. 11,24 This is especially important given that, under most physiological conditions, antigen is present on cell surfaces at extremely low levels. Here we apply the nanodot array chromatographic strategy to probe the physical properties of TCR signaling clusters as a function of antigen density.

To ensure homogeneous distribution of monomeric pMHC on the supported membrane, proteins are tethered via polyhistidine linkage to nickel-chelating lipids within a background of 1,2-dioleoylphosphatidylcholine (DOPC) lipids. 37 This strategy does not lead to preclustering, as is clearly indicated

Figure 1. Schematic of cell membrane chromatography on nanodot arrays. (Top) Scanning electron micrograph of an array with 40 nm spacing superimposed with a schematic of a T cell outline and TCR signaling microclusters. TCR microclusters smaller than the spacing exhibit no restriction in centripetal transport, while the transport of larger microclusters is impeded. (Bottom) 3D scale schematic of a T cell interaction with a functionalized supported membrane containing an embedded nanodot array. TCR (red) on the live cell interacting with pMHC (green) molecules is illustrated. Intermembrane spacing between the T cell and supported membrane within TCR microclusters is much closer than elsewhere in the cell. Other TCR microcluster proteins, such as CD4 (blue), may also interact with the nanodot array. These specific interactions allow the array to probe physical properties within the living T cell membrane.
by direct single molecule imaging of peptide-labeled pMHC complexes in supported membranes (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S8). We note that GPI-linked pMHC, which was used in a number of earlier studies, has been shown to exhibit self-clustering tendencies in supported membranes (Supplementary Discussion 2).

The relative frustration of TCR cluster transport induced by the nanodot array can be quantified using a radial profile analysis as depicted in Figure 4. The measured TCR density is converted into a normalized radial probability distribution. We compute the variance, \( \sigma^2 \), of the symmetrized probability distribution to provide a scalar measure of the degree of frustration (Methods in the Supporting Information). In an uninhibited immunological synapse, the radial transport of TCR toward the geometric center of the junction leads to low variance while a completely frustrated system exhibits high variance. This analysis allows comparison of cells as well as analysis of cell–cell variation within an ensemble unbiased by labeling efficiency or cell size.

Results from a two-dimensional titration experiment in which antigen density and nanodot array spacing are independently varied are illustrated in Figure 5. As before, the total pMHC density is fixed at \( \sim 80 \) molecules/\( \mu \)m\(^2\), and the ratio of agonist to null peptide is titrated. Five different nanodot array spacings, ranging from 40 to 171 nm, as well as unpatterned membranes were examined, and a minimum of 39 cells was analyzed for
each combination of conditions. Representative images with color-coded borders corresponding to population average data (see inset and Supplementary Figure S9) are depicted in matrix layout in Figure 5. As antigen is titrated to lower densities, the TCR clusters are able to percolate through progressively smaller nanodot arrays. Appreciable TCR transport was observed even on the 40 nm spaced arrays at agonist–null peptide ratios of 1:50 and below. These data reveal that the effective size of TCR signaling clusters varies continuously with antigen density and that functional signaling clusters readily percolate through 40 nm spaced arrays at low antigen density.

Structural studies of TCR and CD4 simultaneously bound to pMHC suggest that the lateral size of this complex in the T cell membrane is ~10 nm in diameter. Additionally, TCR–pMHC complexes require relatively close apposition of the two membranes. Thus, the ~10 nm high nanodots may sterically interact with other proteins associated with the TCR signaling cluster (see the scale schematic in Figure 1). As such, the physical footprint of the TCR cluster in the T cell membrane, more so than just the bound pMHC ligands in the supported membrane, is likely to define the effective cluster size as determined by percolation through the nanodot array. In support of this conclusion, we note observations of distinctly different behavior in a juxtacrine signaling system (ephrinA1–EphA2) that has a larger intercellular spacing (~21 nm, Supplementary Figure S10). In a hybrid live cell supported membrane junction, ephrinA1–EphA2 form signaling clusters that exhibit lateral transport in a manner reminiscent of TCR signaling clusters. However, transport of EphA2 clusters is not impeded by the nanodot array, even when the clusters are visibly larger than the array spacing. The EphA2 clusters appear to be able to pass over the nanodot array. Only when some of the ephrinA1 ligand is directly affixed to the gold nanodots is any impedance imposed on the EphA2 clusters.

If TCR complexes within a cluster are assumed to pack in a rough lattice with a 10 nm unit cell, a cluster with ~10 TCR would have a diameter of ~30 nm and might therefore be expected to percolate through the array. The useable space

Figure 4. Schematic of the quantitative analysis method. Normalized radial intensity profile of the TCR image (radial probability distribution for TCR) for each cell off or on the array in the same sample. Variance of the distribution, which corresponds with the degree to which TCR transport is frustrated, was calculated for each profile generated. Population level analyses are performed thereafter.

Figure 5. TCR microcluster chromatography with the titration of nanodot spacing and ag–null peptide ratios. Cells are fixed 20 min after contact with the SLB according to the indicated conditions, and epifluorescent images of TCR are shown. (Inset) The distribution of variance was plotted in a histogram for the cell population. The degree of TCR cluster centripetal transport is quantified as the difference between the population variance on and off the array, and the calculated value is represented as the colored borders around each TCR image.
between nanoparticles in the array is less than the interparticle spacing (e.g., ~30 nm for the 40 nm array, accounting for the nanoparticle size itself). Nanodot array chromatography does not necessarily provide a direct caliper for the size of the TCR cluster since other properties, such as the dynamics of the TCR cluster, must either be independent of these physical properties or perhaps regulated by them. The supported membrane-embedded nanodot array platform provides a physical means to both probe and manipulate membrane assemblies, such as the TCR signaling cluster, while they are functioning in the membrane of a living cell.
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