Solution structure of the dimerization domain of the eukaryotic stalk P1/P2 complex reveals the structural organization of eukaryotic stalk complex
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ABSTRACT

The lateral ribosomal stalk is responsible for the kingdom-specific binding of translation factors and activation of GTP hydrolysis during protein synthesis. The eukaryotic stalk is composed of three acidic ribosomal proteins P0, P1 and P2. P0 binds two copies of P1/P2 hetero-dimers to form a pentameric P-complex. The structure of the eukaryotic stalk is currently not known. To provide a better understanding on the structural organization of eukaryotic stalk, we have determined the solution structure of the N-terminal dimerization domain (NTD) of P1/P2 hetero-dimer. Helix-1, -2 and -4 from each of the NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 form the dimeric interface that buries 2200 Å\(^2\) of solvent accessible surface area. In contrast to the symmetric P2 homo-dimer, P1/P2 hetero-dimer is asymmetric. Three conserved hydrophobic residues on the surface of NTD-P1 are replaced by charged residues in NTD-P2. Moreover, NTD-P1 has an extra turn in helix-1, which forms extensive intermolecular interactions with helix-1 and -4 of NTD-P2. Truncation of this extra turn of P1 abolished the formation of P1/P2 hetero-dimer. Systematic truncation studies suggest that P0 contains two spine-helices that each binds one copy of P1/P2 hetero-dimer. Modeling studies suggest that a large hydrophobic cavity, which can accommodate the loop between the spine-helices of P0, can be found on NTD-P1 but not on NTD-P2 when the helix-4 adopts an ‘open’ conformation. Based on the asymmetric properties of NTD-P1/NTD-P2, a structural model of the eukaryotic P-complex with P2/P1:P1/P2 topology is proposed.

INTRODUCTION

The ribosomal stalk of the large subunit of ribosome is responsible for kingdom-specific binding of translation factors and activation of GTP hydrolysis required for protein synthesis (1,2). The ribosomal stalks from the three domains of life share similarities and differences. They all have a scaffold protein (L10 in bacteria; P0 in eukaryotes and archaea) that has an N-terminal domain for anchoring to the rRNA, and a spine-helix that binds dimers of small ribosomal stalk proteins (L12 in bacteria; P1 in archaea; P1/P2 in eukaryotes). In these small ribosomal stalk proteins, the N-terminal domain is responsible for interacting with the scaffold proteins (L10 or P0) and is connected via a flexible linker to the C-terminal domain responsible for recruiting translation factors (3–6). In bacteria, the stalk consists of ribosomal protein L10 in complex with two or three homo-dimers of L12 (4,7). In the archaeal stalk, acidic ribosomal protein P0 serves as the scaffold protein that binds three copies of homo-dimers of P1 (3). The composition of the eukaryotic stalk is the most complex, consisting of acidic ribosomal proteins P0, P1 and P2 in 1:2:2 ratio (8). Current evidence supports the conclusion that P0 should bind two copies of P1/P2 hetero-dimers, forming a pentameric P-complex of P0(P1/P2)\(_2\) (9,10).

Both P1 and P2 exist in free form in the cytoplasm, which are in exchange with those on the ribosome (11). P2 forms a homo-dimer in solution (8,12,13) and its N-terminal domain is responsible for dimerization (10,13,14). We have recently solved the structure of the dimerization domain of P2 homo-dimer and shown that human P2 is homologous to archaeal P1 but structurally distinct from bacterial L12 (15). We also showed that the formation of P1/P2 hetero-dimer is a spontaneous process in which the less stable P2 homo-dimer is displaced by P1 to form a more stable P1/P2 hetero-dimer (15). In the absence of P2, P1 is rapidly degraded in yeast (16).
Suppression of P2 expression by RNA interference in human cell lines also leads to the depletion of P1 protein (17). Taken together, it is likely that P1 is protected from degradation by forming a more stable P1/P2 complex.

To provide better understanding on how P1/P2 plays a role in the assembly of eukaryotic stalk, we have determined the structure of the N-terminal dimerization domain of P1/P2 hetero-dimer (NTD-P1/NTD-P2) by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Although P1 and P2 are homologous to each other, we found that NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer is structurally asymmetric. The asymmetric properties allowed us to find out the structural element on P1 important for the spontaneous conversion from P2/P2 homo-dimer to P1/P2 hetero-dimer. Based on the structure of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer, a structural model of human pentameric P-complex was proposed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample preparation

Preparation of asymmetrically labeled P1/P2 dimerization domain for NMR experiments. DNA fragments corresponding to the N-terminal dimerization domain (NTD) of P1 and P2 (residue 1-69) were cloned into a home-made pPRSETA-HisSUMO and pET8c vector, respectively (15). 13C-15N labeled HisSUMO-NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 dimerization domain was expressed in Escherichia coli strain C41(DE3) in M9 medium (6 g/l Na2HPO4, 3 g/l KH2PO4, 0.5 g/l NaCl, 2 mM MgSO4) containing 2 g/l 13C glucose, 1 g/l 15N ammonium chloride and 100 μg/ml ampicillin. Purification of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer was described previously (15). Protein was concentrated to 1 mM for NMR measurement.

Preparation of P1/P2 and variants for urea-induced denaturation experiments. P1, P1L7A and P1ΔN7 were over-expressed in E. coli C41(DE3) strain using LB at 37°C and induced at 0.4 mM IPTG for 4 h before harvesting. Cell lysates of P1, P1L7A and P1ΔN7 were loaded to Q fast flow column pre-equilibrated with 20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.8 (buffer A). Proteins collected in flow-through were precipitated by 40% ammonium sulphate. The precipitate was resuspended in 8 M urea, 20 mM Tris/HCl buffer at pH 7.8 and loaded to HiTrap Q HP column pre-equilibrated with buffer B containing 300 mM imidazole. Eluted proteins were then analyzed by SDS–PAGE.

Optimization of buffer condition for NMR spectroscopy by dynamic light scattering. Previous study showed that P1/P2 has tendency to form high molecular weight oligomer that may hinder NMR analysis (12). To optimize the buffer condition for NMR samples, we have used dynamic light scattering to screen for additives that can avoid aggregation of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 by monitoring the hydrodynamic radius. Different types of additives were tested including salts, chaotropes, amino acids, polysaccharides, protein stabilizer, organic solvents and detergents. Among various additives, CHAPS, which is a non-ionic detergent, is the most effective in avoiding protein aggregation. It was found that the apparent hydrodynamic radius was reduced from 2.5 to 2.1 nm in the presence of 0.3% CHAPS (Supplementary Table S1). As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, addition of 0.3% CHAPS to the sample buffer significantly improved the quality of 1H-15N HSQC spectra. In the subsequent NMR experiments, we dissolved the protein samples in buffer condition containing 0.3% CHAPS, 0.15 M NaCl, thereafter) has similar electrophoretic mobility to P1 in SDS–PAGE, making pull-down assay between P1 and P2-His impossible. To solve this, C-terminal 16x histidine-tagged P2 was cloned by carrying out PCR with reverse primer containing sequence coding for 16 histidines. C-terminal 16x histidine-tagged P2 was over-expressed in E. coli C41(DE3) competent cell using LB at 37°C and induced at 0.4 mM IPTG for 4 h before harvesting. Cell lysate of P2-His was loaded to nickel-chelated sepharose equilibrated with buffer B (20 mM PB, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 40 mM imidazole and eluted using buffer B containing 300 mM imidazole. Imidazole was removed by dialysis before carrying out pull-down assay.

Co-refolding of P0 or its truncation variants with P1/P2. N-terminal His-tagged P0 was expressed as inclusion bodies in E. coli. After expression, the inclusion bodies was washed with 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% NP-40 in buffer C (1 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris/HCl buffer at pH 7.8), dissolved in 4 M guanidine hydrochloride, and then loaded to a nickel-chelating column pre-equilibrated with 8 M urea in buffer C. His-tagged P0 was eluted by a linear gradient of 0–300 mM imidazole in 8 M urea, buffer C; 10 μM of purified His-tagged P0 was mixed with 30 μM of purified complex of P1/P2. The proteins were denatured in 8 M urea and co-refolded by dialyzing against buffer C. Similar procedures were carried out for other P0 truncation variants (P0ΔC49, P0ΔC86 and P0ΔC120).

Pull-down analysis between P2-His and P1 or P1L7A or P1ΔN7. A total of 100 μg P2-His was mixed with 100 μg P1 or P1L7A or P1ΔN7 and proteins were loaded to nickel-chelated His SpinTrap column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with buffer B (20 mM PB, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 40 mM imidazole. After extensive washing, proteins were eluted using buffer B containing 300 mM imidazole. Eluted proteins were then analyzed by SDS–PAGE.
Structure determination of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 by NMR. NMR spectra were collected in Bruker Avance 600 and 700 MHz spectrometers at 298 K. Protein samples of $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-NTD-P1/NTD-P2 and NTD-P1/$^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-NTD-P2 were used to obtain resonance assignment of NTD-P1 and NTD-P2, respectively. Sequential assignment of backbone resonances was obtained by Cβ connectivities generated by HNCA/CB and CBCA(CO)NH experiments. Side-chain resonances were obtained from TOCSY, H(CC)CONH, HCCH-TOCSY and HCCH-COSY experiments. Inter-proton connectivities were generated by HNCACB and HCCH-COCA experiments. Side-chain resonances were obtained from NOESY-type TOCSY type NOESY-HSQC and 1H,13C-HSQC-NOESY-HSQC. Inter-proton connectivities were obtained from the 13C-filtered 1H,13C-edited NOESY experiment (18).

Chemical shifts were referenced with respect to 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonate. All multidimensional NMR data were processed with NMRPipe and analyzed using NMRView (19). Dihedral angle restraints were derived from TALOS program (21). Hydrogen bond restraints were deduced from deuteration exchange experiments and were only included for those protected amide groups in helices. Structural calculations were performed using ARIA 2.2 and CNS 1.2, with an initial set of manually assigned NOEs. The structures converged in the first round of calculation. ARIA-assigned NOEs were checked manually and were included in subsequent rounds of calculation iteratively. Finally, the best 10 structures with the lowest total energy, no NOE and dihedral angle violation were selected. Structural abnormalities in all stages were checked using PROCHECK (25).

Urea-induced denaturation

A total of 0.15 mg/ml protein samples were equilibrated with 0–7.2 M urea in 150 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4 for 30 min before CD measurement. Concentration of urea was determined from refractive index measurements (26) using a Leica AR200 refractometer. The urea-induced denaturation was monitored by molar ellipticity at 222 nm using a JASCO J810 spectropolarimeter equipped with a peltier-type temperature control unit. The urea-induced denaturation was analyzed as described previously (27). The free energy change of unfolding without denaturant, $\Delta G_m$, was obtained by linear extrapolation model (28): $\Delta G_m(D) = \Delta G_m - m[D]$, using the average m-value approach (29). $\Delta G_m$ of the protein samples were measured at 298 K.

Static light scattering

Protein complexes of 100 µl (P0/P1/P2, P0ΔC49/P1/P2, P0ΔC86/P1/P2 and P0ΔC120/P1/P2) (2–4 mg/ml) were loaded to an analytical gel filtration column Superdex 200 connected to a miniDawn light scattering detector and an Optilab DSP refractometer (Wyatt Technologies). The light scattering data were analysed using the ASTRA software provided by the manufacturer to obtain the molecular mass of the protein complex.

Overall structure of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer

It has been shown by us and previous studies that P1 interacts with P2 to form P1/P2 hetero-dimer and their N-terminal domains are responsible for dimerization (10,13–15). To have a better understanding on how they interact with each other, we have determined the solution structure of the N-terminal dimerization domain (NTD) of P1/P2 by NMR and the ensemble of 10 best structures is shown in Figure 1A. Statistics of structural calculation is summarized in Table 1. In NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer, both NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 have four helices in

Figure 1. Solution structure of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer. (A) Stereo-diagram of an ensemble of 10 best structures. (B) Topology of helices in NTD-P1/NTD-P2 dimerization domain. NTD-P1/NTD-P2 consists of four helices from each chain. Noteworthy, helix-3 is located away from the dimeric interface formed by helices 1, 2 and 4.
Table 1. NMR and refinement statistics for the 10 best structures of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NMR distance and dihedral restraints</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance restraints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NOE</td>
<td>4656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total unambiguous NOE</td>
<td>4355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramolecular</td>
<td>4173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-residue</td>
<td>2507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential ([i]-[j] = 1)</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-range ([i]-[j] &lt; 5)</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-range ([i]-[j] &gt; 4)</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermolecular</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ambiguous NOE</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bonds</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dihedral angle restraints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure statistics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance restraints(^a) (Å)</td>
<td>0.0417 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral angle restraint ((^\circ))</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dihedral angle violation &gt; 5(^\circ)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of distance restraint violation &gt; 0.5 Å</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation from idealized geometry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths(^b) (Å)</td>
<td>0.0063 ± 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles(^c) ((^\circ))</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impropers(^d) ((^\circ))</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average pairwise r.m.s. deviation (Å)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy(^b)</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backbone(^b)</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Values of mean and standard deviation were reported.
\(^b\)r.m.s.d of the structural domain of P1 (residues 1-62) and P2 (residues 1-62) were reported.

which their helices 1, 2 and 4 are facing each other at the dimeric interface. Helices 3 of both NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 are packed away from the interface and are not involved in dimerization (Figure 1B). In this way, their helices 1 are buried inside the core and surrounded by helices 2, 3 and 4.

Dimeric interface of NTD-P1/NTD-P2

Helices 1, 2 and 4 from both NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 form the dimeric interface which buries 1800 Å\(^2\) of non-polar and 400 Å\(^2\) of polar solvent accessible surface area. The dimeric interface consists of highly conserved residues, i.e. Tyr-11, Leu-14, Ile-15, Leu-31, Ala-34, Ala-35 and Val-37 from NTD-P1 and Ala-5, Leu-8, Leu-9, Ile-26, Val-30 and Ile-55 from NTD-P2 (Figure 2A and B). Helix-1 of both NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 is highly buried, making a number of intermolecular interactions. For example, Leu-9 of NTD-P2 fits nicely into the hydrophobic pocket formed by Ala-8, Tyr-11, Ile-15, Leu-31, Ile-32, Ala-35 and Val-37, forming extensive intermolecular hydrophobic interactions (Figure 2A). These interactions are supported by intermolecular NOEs observed (Figure 2C).

Structural comparison between NTD-P1/NTD-P2 and NTD-P2/NTD-P2

The topology of human NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer and NTD-P2 homo-dimer are similar (Figure 3A). Each monomer of NTD-P1 or NTD-P2 consists of four helices, with helix-1 buried in a hydrophobic core and helix-3 packed away from the dimeric interface. Unlike the symmetric NTD-P2 homo-dimer, the structure of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer is asymmetric. First, there are three conserved charged residues (Asp-37, Arg-38 and Lys-41) (Figure 2B) that are exposed on the helix-3 of NTD-P2. These charged residues are substituted by conserved hydrophobic residues (Phe-42, Trp-43 and Leu-46) in NTD-P1 (Figure 3A). Second, NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 differ in their orientation of helix-4 (Figure 3A). In NTD-P2 homo-dimer, helix-4 packs on helix-1 of the same chain (Figure 3A). In contrast, in NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer, helix-4 of NTD-P2 packs on helix-1 of the NTD-P1 (Figure 3A). Such packing is facilitated by NTD-P1 having a longer helix-1, in which the extra turn allows Leu-7 of NTD-P1 to form hydrophobic interaction with Glu-52, Ile-55 and Ala-56 of NTD-P2 (Figure 3B). On the other hand, helix-4 of NTD-P1 is packed on a hydrophobic cleft formed by helix-1 and -3 of NTD-P1 (Figure 3C). This cleft is only present in NTD-P1 due to the differences in packing of helix-1 and -3 in NTD-P1 and NTD-P2. Helix-1 packs on helix-3 at an angle of approximately 30\(^\circ\) in NTD-P1, but at an angle of approximately −60\(^\circ\) in NTD-P2 (Figure 3D).

The extra turn of helix-1 of P1 is important for P1/P2 dimerization

P2 forms a homo-dimer in solution (8,12,13). However, addition of P1 to P2/P2 homo-dimer causes spontaneous conversion, forming a more stable P1/P2 hetero-dimer (15). Structural comparison of the dimerization domains of P1/P2 and P2/P2 showed that helix-1 of P1 has a longer helix-1, in which the extra turn forms extensive inter-molecular interactions with helix-1 and -4 of P2 (Figure 3B). Therefore, we hypothesized that the extra turn on helix-1 of P1 is important for P1/P2 dimerization. To test this hypothesis, the extra turn was truncated (P1A\(^N7\)) and the interaction with P2 was investigated by pull-down analysis. Histidine-tagged P2 was mixed with either P1 or P1A\(^N7\) and then loaded to nickel-chelated sepharose (Figure 4A, lanes 1 and 3). After extensive washing, P2 was found to be co-eluted with wild-type P1 but not with P1A\(^N7\) (Figure 4A, lanes 2 and 4). Our observation showed that the extra turn on helix-1 of P1 is a structural element important for P1/P2 hetero-dimer formation.

Next, we investigated why the extra-turn is essential to P1/P2 formation. We noticed that Leu-7 at the extra turn of P1 forms inter-molecular interaction with Leu-12, Glu-52, Ile-55 and Ala-56 of P2 (Figure 3B). We hypothesized that these extra inter-molecular interactions stabilize P1/P2 hetero-dimer. To test this hypothesis, we created an L7A variant of P1 (P1L7A) and performed urea-induced denaturation experiment to measure the conformational stability of P1/P2, P1L7A/P2 and P2/P2. Denaturation of wild-type P1/P2 resembled a two-state transition with a mid-point of transition (\(D_{1/2}\)) of 3.8 ± 0.3 M and free energy of unfolding (\(\AGu\)) of 13.2 ± 2.0 kJ/mol. The stability of P1L7A/P2 was lower.
than that of wild-type P1/P2, with values $[D]_{1,2}$ and $\Delta G_{\text{unfolding}}$ of 2.4 ± 0.4 M and 8.3 ± 1.8 kJ/mol, respectively (Figure 4B). These results suggest that Leu-7 of P1 forms extra inter-molecular interactions to stabilize P1/P2 hetero-dimer. Noteworthy, P2/P2 homo-dimer was less stable than both P1/P2 and P1L7A/P2 hetero-dimer (Figure 4B), which explains why P2 can form hetero-dimer with both P1 and P1L7A (Supplementary Figure S2).

Taken together, spontaneous hetero-dimer formation of P1/P2 is driven by thermodynamics stability, which is provided by the extra interactions from the first-turn of helix-1 of P1.

C-terminal domain of P0 contains two separate binding regions that each binds one copy of P1/P2 hetero-dimer

It was found that the C-terminal domain of archaeal P0 has three spine-helices, and each spine-helix binds one copy of P1/P1 homo-dimer (3). We have previously demonstrated that P0 can bind two P1/P2 hetero-dimers to form an 80 kDa pentameric complex (15). Sequence alignment and secondary structure prediction suggest that the C-terminal domain of human P0 has two spine-helices (Figure 5A). We hypothesized that each of the spine-helices binds one copy of P1/P2 hetero-dimer. To test this hypothesis, we have created three truncation variants of P0, in which the flexible tail (P0/C1 C49), together with one spine-helix (P0/C1 C86) or two spine-helices (P0/C1 C120) were removed. P0 or its truncation variants were co-refolded with P1 and P2, and the molecular mass of the co-refolded complex was analyzed by static light scattering (Figure 5B). For P0 and P0/C1 C49, single peak of 79.8 and 76.0 kDa, respectively, were eluted, showing that both P0 and P0/C1 C49 can interact with two P1/P2 hetero-dimers to form a pentameric complex. For P0/C1 C86, the peak of 47.9 kDa is consistent with the molecular weight for a trimeric complex, in which P0/C1 C86 binds one copy of P1/P2 hetero-dimer, while the peak of 23.3 kDa corresponds to the excess hetero-dimer of P1/P2 (Figure 5B). In contrast, P0/C1 C120 cannot form complex with P1/P2 and was aggregated. Our results showed that the C-terminal tail of human P0 (residue 269–317) is not responsible for the formation of the pentameric P-complex.
and identified two binding sites (197–231 and 232–268) that each binds one copy of P1/P2 hetero-dimer.

DISCUSSION

Eukaryotic, archael and bacterial stalks have different structural composition (5,6). The structures of bacterial and archael stalk complex were determined previously (3,4). However, structural information about eukaryotic ribosomal stalk proteins is scarce, probably due to intrinsic flexibility of the stalk proteins. For example, the structures of P1 and P2 are lacking in the crystal structure of yeast ribosome recently solved (31). To provide a better understanding of the structure-function of eukaryotic ribosomal stalk, we have determined the structure of the dimerization domain of P1/P2 hetero-dimer (NTD-P1/NTD-P2) by NMR spectroscopy.

Structural comparison reveals that the extra-turn of helix-1 of P1 forms many intermolecular interactions with helix-3 of P2. Truncation of this extra turn abolished the formation of P1/P2 hetero-dimer (Figure 4A). This suggests that the extra turn on P1 play a vital role in stabilizing P1/P2 hetero-dimer and explains

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)
the spontaneous conversion from P2/P2 homo-dimer to P1/P2 hetero-dimer. Moreover, P2/P2 homo-dimer cannot interact with P0 (9,10,15,33) and pre-formed P0/P1 complex (9,10,34). Taken together, it is likely that P1 and P2 form a hetero-dimer, which then bind to P0 to assemble the pentameric P-complex.

The structure of human NTD-P1/NTD-P2 is very different from the structure of bacterial L12 homo-dimer (Supplementary Figure S3) (4,35,36). On the other hand, the structures of human NTD-P1/NTD-P2 and archaeal NTD-P1 homo-dimer (3) are homologous to each other. The most notable structural differences are in helix-4. In the crystal structure of archaeal stalk complex P0(P1/P1)3, helix-4 of NTD-P1 adopts an ‘open’ conformation, which exposes the hydrophobic residues on helix-1 and helix-3 and allows the binding of P0 spine-helix (3). In contrast, the helix-4 adopts a ‘closed’ conformation in human NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer. It was found that archaeal stalk complex can render E. coli ribosome accessible to eukaryotic elongation factors at levels comparable to eukaryotic stalk complex (37). This result indicates that the ribosomal stalks are functionally conserved between eukaryotic and archaeal organisms. Moreover, when we compared the structure of human NTD-P1/NTD-P2 hetero-dimer with that of NTD-P2 homo-dimer (15), we noticed differences in the orientation of helix-4 in these structures (Figure 3). This observation suggests that helices 4 in NTD-P1 and NTD-P2 are versatile and able to adopt different conformations. Taken together, it is very likely that in eukaryotic stalk complex P0(P1/P2)2, helix-4 of P1/P2 may adopt an ‘open’ conformation similar to that observed in archaeal stalk complex and facilitate binding of P0 spine-helices.

The structural composition of the eukaryotic stalk is more complex than archaeal stalk. Unlike the archaeal stalk in which P0 binds three homo-dimers of P1 (3), the eukaryotic stalk involves the association of two copies of P1/P2 hetero-dimers to P0. Previous studies on silkworm and yeast P0 suggested that P0 has two binding sites for P1/P2 hetero-dimer (38,39). Sequence analyses predicted that human P0 has two spine-helices next to the RNA-binding domain. In our study, we further showed that each spine-helix bind one copy of P1/P2 hetero-dimers (Figure 5). Unlike archaeal P0 that binds homo-dimers of P1, eukaryotic P0 binds hetero-dimers of P1/P2. Because of the asymmetry of P1/P2 hetero-dimer, there could be two orientations of how P1/P2 binds to a P0 spine-helix. As a result, there will be four possible topological arrangements of P1/P2 in P0(P1/P2)2, namely P1/P2:P1/P2, P1/P2:P2/P1, P2/P1:P2/P1 and P2/P1:P1/P2. For example, in the case of P2/P1:P1/P2, P2 occupies positions 1 and 4, while P1 occupies positions 2 and 3 of the two spine-helices (Figure 6A).

Our structure of NTD-P1/NTD-P2 strongly favors the topology of P2/P1:P1/P2. Because the P-proteins located at position 2 and 3 need to accommodate the P0 loop between the two spine-helices, it should be different from the P-proteins located at positions 1 and 4 (Figure 6A). The P0 loop is bulky because it contains a conserved Tyr-Pro motif, which consists of a large hydrophobic residue (Tyr/Ph/e/le) and a proline residue (Supplementary Figure S4A). In Figure 6B, we modeled the exposed hydrophobic surface on the dimerization domain of P1/P2 after the helix-4 adopts an ‘open’ conformation. We noticed that a hydrophobic cavity can be
found on NTD-P1 side but not on NTD-P2 side (Figure 6B). It is likely that this cavity on P1 is responsible for accommodating the bulky P0 loop between the two spine-helices. As shown in Figure 6C, the P2/P1:P1/P2 topology brings two P1 together and forms a large hydrophobic cavity that can accommodate the P0 loop (Figure 6C). Based on the P2/P1:P1/P2 topology, we constructed a structural model of human P-complex (Supplementary Figure S4B). This model is consistent with a previous fluorescence study on yeast P-complex, which showed that a conserved tryptophan residue (Trp-40 in yeast, Trp-43 in human) on helix-3 of P1 become buried upon formation of the P-complex (40). In our model, the conserved hydrophobic residues (Phe-42, Trp-43 and Leu-46) of helix-3 of P1 make extensive hydrophobic interactions with each other (Supplementary Figure S4B). As pointed out previously by our group (15), these conserved hydrophobic residues of P1 are replaced by charged residues in P2 (Figure 3A). We showed that substitutions of these conserved hydrophobic residues in P1 with charged residues abolish the formation of P-complex (15). Our model can also explain a previous observation that the pentameric complex P0(P1/P2)2 was >2-fold more stable than the trimeric complex P0(P1/P2) (40). Presumably, the hydrophobic interactions between P1 and the P0 loop cooperatively stabilize the pentameric P-complex. Other topological arrangements (P2/P1:P2/P1, P1/P2:P2/P1 and P1/P2:P1/P2) involve unfavorable burial of these charged residues, and thus, are unlikely.

The crystal structure of yeast ribosome was recently solved (31). However, only the N-terminal RNA binding
domain of P0 is visible, and the structure lacks electron density for P1 and P2 (31). Guided by the location of the N-terminal RNA binding domain of P0, we dock our model of human P-complex to the crystal structure of the yeast ribosome (Supplementary Figure S5). Multiple copies of the C-terminal tails of P-proteins are extending from the dimerization domain, and they can provide more binding sites for translation factors, and thus, increase local factor concentration, leading to a more efficient recruitment of translation factors (4,41). In eukaryotic stalk protein, there is a highly conserved SDDMGFGLFD motif at the C-terminus, which is responsible for binding elongation factors (42,43) and ribosome-inactivating proteins (44–46). Since both ribosome-inactivating proteins and elongation factors bind to the sarcin-ricin loop of 28S rRNA, our structural model of human P-complex suggests that the flexible C-terminal tails protruding from the extended stalk region can physically reach the binding site for elongation factors and ribosome-inactivating proteins. It is likely that the conserved C-terminal tails in eukaryotic stalk serve a role in fetching the translation factors and ribosome-inactivating proteins in the cytoplasm, and recruiting them to the ribosome.
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