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Abstract

Epilepsy is a common neurological disorder with many causes. For temporal lobe epilepsy, antecedent insults are typically found. These risk factors include trauma or history of long fever-associated seizures (febrile status epilepticus) in childhood. Whereas the mechanisms by which such insults promote temporal lobe epilepsy are unknown, an extensive body of work has implicated inflammation and inflammatory mediators in both human and animal models of the disorder. However, direct evidence for an epileptogenic role for inflammation is lacking. Here we capitalized on a model where only a subgroup of insult-experiencing rodents develops epilepsy. We reasoned that if inflammation was important for generating epilepsy, then early inflammation should be more prominent in individuals destined to become epileptic compared with those that will not become epileptic. In addition, the molecular and temporal profile of inflammatory mediators would provide insights into which inflammatory pathways might be involved in the disease process. We examined inflammatory profiles in hippocampus and amygdala of individual rats and correlated them with a concurrent noninvasive, amygdalar magnetic resonance imaging epilepsy-predictive marker. We found significant individual variability in the expression of several important inflammatory mediators, but not in others. Of interest, a higher expression of a subset of hippocampal and amygdalar inflammatory markers within the first few hours following an insult correlated with the epilepsy-predictive signal. These findings suggest that some components of the inflammatory gene network might contribute to the process by which insults promote the development of temporal lobe epilepsy.
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Significance Statement

Epilepsy is a devastating brain disorder affecting ~1% of the world population. Epilepsy may arise because of genetic factors or may follow an insult or inciting event. A common antecedent of limbic, temporal-lobe epilepsy is a history of long febrile seizures in childhood. However, for unknown reasons, epilepsy develops only in some individuals who have experienced these early-life seizures. In our immature rat model of long febrile seizures, as in children, only some individuals progress to epilepsy, enabling us to address the mechanisms for these divergent outcomes. Here we find that a robust activation of some inflammatory mediators distinguishes individual rats that develop a predictive marker of epilepsy from those who do not.
Introduction

Epilepsy is a common neurological disorder with many causes. For temporal lobe epilepsy, an adult epilepsy associated with cognitive and emotional deficits, antecedent insults are typically found. These risk factors include trauma or history of long fever-associated seizures in childhood (Cendes et al., 1993; French et al., 1993; Dubé et al., 2007).

Febrile status epilepticus (FSE) denotes long seizures (>30 min) associated with fever in early childhood (Berg and Shinnar, 1996; Berg et al., 1997). Mounting evidence suggests that FSE leads to temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) in a subset of individuals (Annegers et al., 1987; Cendes et al., 1993; Dubé et al., 2007; Lewis et al., 2014; Seinfeld et al., 2014). Discerning the epileptogenic features of FSE has remained elusive, because in both human models (VanLandingham et al., 1998; Scott et al., 2002; Seinfeld et al., 2014) and rodent models (Toth et al., 1998, Dubé et al., 2004; Jansen et al., 2008; Hellier et al, 1998) there is evidence for neuronal stress and injury, but not for cell death, so that the epileptogenesis cannot be attributed simply to brain damage. To uncover the potential mechanisms by which FSE promotes epilepsy, several immature rodent models of FSE have been established and characterized (Baram et al., 1997; Heida et al., 2004; Dubé et al., 2010, 2000). In the model used here, ~40% of rats exposed to early life experimental FSE (eFSE) go on to become epileptic. This fact enables a comparison of rats that become epileptic to those that do not, allowing for the dissection of processes essential for epileptogenesis (which leads to TLE) and processes that simply change during or after insult but do not contribute to the resulting epilepsy (Patterson et al., 2014).

Inflammation has been implicated in many animal models of acquired epilepsy, although its role in epileptogenesis remains unclear (Holtman et al., 2009, 2010; Vezzani et al., 2011, 2008). Fever, the initiator of FSE, intrinsically involves inflammation and the cytokine interleukin (IL)-1β is a crucial component of eFSE generation (Dubé et al., 2005). Further, higher levels of IL-1β are found in the hippocampus of animals that become epileptic (Luheishi et al., 1997; Dubé et al., 2005, 2010; Heida and Pittman, 2005; Heida et al., 2009; Riazi et al., 2010; Eskilsson et al., 2014; Ravizza et al., 2008).

For these reasons, inflammation has become an attractive target for antiepileptogenic therapies (De Simoni et al., 2000; Ollikainen et al., 2004; Dedeurwerde et al., 2012; Pouliot et al., 2013). The goal of such interventions would be to abort the epileptogenic process before spontaneous seizures begin (Vezzani et al., 2011; Patterson et al., 2014). However, several important pieces of information are lacking, impeding our ability to implicate inflammation in the processes that follow both eFSE and human FSE that may promote epilepsy. First, it is not known which of the many potential inflammatory mediators are activated by eFSE, and the time courses of their augmented expression have not been delineated. Second, it is unknown whether variations in the inflammatory response take place in individual animals that might contribute to the eventual development of epilepsy in a subset of animals.

Answering these important questions has recently been facilitated by the discovery of an early, predictive, noninvasive marker of epileptogenesis. On a high-field MRI, reduction of T2 relaxation times throughout the limbic circuit, and especially in the basolateral amygdala, within hours after eFSE predicted epilepsy in later life (Choy et al., 2014). Further, T2 signal changes correlated to an early inflammatory process in the amygdala, the translocation of high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1). HMGB1, classically thought of as a nuclear scaffolding protein, translocates out of the nucleus during cellular stress and is then released from the cell. It acts as a proinflammatory cytokine by binding to toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), among others, to promote an inflammatory response (Bianchi and Manfredi, 2007; Maroso et al., 2010).

Here, we probed important questions about the relationship of inflammatory cascades provoked by eFSE and their implications for epileptogenesis. Specifically, we sought to (1) delineate the temporal course of specific components of the inflammatory response in the hours and days after eFSE; (2) investigate whether and how these inflammatory mediators vary among individual animals after eFSE; and (3) correlate the variation found in inflammatory mediators in hippocampus and amygdala to the variation found in MRI signal changes. Together, these studies further our understanding of post-eFSE inflammation and how this intricate set of molecules and processes may contribute to epileptogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Overview

To characterize the spectrum of inflammatory changes provoked by eFSE, we induced experimental seizures, then conducted quantitative mRNA analyses at several time points, buttressed by Western blot analyses and immunocytochemistry. We focused on the hippocampal formation, which is involved in both eFSE and the subsequent epileptogenesis, as well as on the amygdala, the location of the epilepsy-predicting MRI signal change. mRNA analyses were performed at multiple time points,
All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the regulations of the animal care committee of the University of California, Irvine. Postnatal day 10–11 Sprague-Dawley rat pups of both sexes were used for all studies. eFSE was achieved by inducing hyperthermia in pups. Pups were placed, two at a time, inside a 3L flask, the bottom of which was lined with absorbent paper. Prior to hyperthermia, a glycerin-based hydrating ointment was applied to the paws, ears, and tail of the pups to mitigate potential hyperthermic skin injury. Pups were subjected to a continuous stream of warm air until behaviors indicating seizures began. These behaviors were identified as arrest of hyperthermia-induced hyperkinesis (freezing) followed by chewing automatisms. Core temperatures at the onset of these seizures were then rapidly measured, and seizure onset time was noted. Once seizures commenced, elevated core temperature and seizures were maintained via the warm air stream for 60 min. Seizure behaviors typically progressed over the hyperthermia period, including chewing of an extremity, clonic movements, and eventual tonic extension. The core temperature of the pups was measured every 2 min during the eFSE. If the core temperature of the pups exceeded 41.5°C, they were removed from the chamber and placed on a cool metal surface for the next 2 min. After 60 min of hyperthermia (typically 55-58 min of seizures), eFSE pups were gently and briefly immersed in cool water (−23.0°C) to aid in the return of core temperature to normothermia and to promote seizure cessation. Pups were then placed on a euthermic pad maintained at 37°C for 30 min, and then were returned to their home cage and dam. In this study, all pups subjected to hyperthermia experienced eFSE, and there was no mortality.

### qRT-PCR Reverse transcription- PCR

Whole hippocampi or amygdalae were rapidly dissected on ice at desired time points after the end of eFSE using RNase-free instruments. Tissue was immediately transferred to prechilled centrifuge tubes kept on dry ice. Samples were stored at −80°C until use. Total RNA was extracted from hippocampi using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer instructions (Ambion). Double-stranded cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (catalog #04379012001; Roche) with random hexamer primers. Analysis of the PCR products was executed using cDNA samples in triplicate on a Lightcycler 96 System (Roche). Samples were normalized to β-actin and quantified using the cycle threshold method (2^ΔΔCt). Primers are provided in Table 1.

**Western blot**

Whole hippocampus from each hemisphere was dissected from rat brain 24 h post-FSE, and immediately flash frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C until use. Tissue was homogenized in a lysis buffer containing 0.01 M Tris-HCl and 1 mM EDTA. Proteins (30 μg) were separated on either gradient gels (8-12%) or 15% polyacrylamide SDS gels including 1, 3, 24, and 96 h after the end of the eFSE in hippocampus, and at 24 h in amygdala. Most immunocytochemistry as well as the hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed on tissue collected 24 h after the end of the eFSE (with additional time points for HMGB1).

---

### Table 1: Primer sequences used for RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Data structure</th>
<th>Type of test</th>
<th>Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D; CA1 Total cells</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.4974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D; CA3 Total cells</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.2679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D; hilus Total cells</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.3565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D; CA1 percentage amoeboid</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D; CA3 percentage amoeboid</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D; hilus total cells</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D; CA1</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D; CA3</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D; hilus</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4O</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.6696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4P</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A, B</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5C</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5D</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.9015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5E</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5F</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H; GFAP</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H; COX2</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H; IL-6</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Paired t test</td>
<td>0.4225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7D</td>
<td>Normal distribution</td>
<td>Linear regression</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7E</td>
<td>Non-Gaussian</td>
<td>Spearman r</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7E</td>
<td>Non-Gaussian</td>
<td>Spearman r</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7H</td>
<td>Non-Gaussian</td>
<td>Spearman r</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7I</td>
<td>Non-Gaussian</td>
<td>Spearman r</td>
<td>0.1541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Lonza), transferred to PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare), and blocked with 5% whole milk. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies [GFAP 1:1000, Millipore; cyclooxygenase (Cox)-2 1:1000, Abcam; IL-6 1:2000, Abcam; and /H9252-actin 1:20,000, Abcam] overnight at 4°C. The next day, membranes were washed in PBS-T and then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Excess secondary antibody was removed with repeated washes in PBS-T; then membranes were visualized by repeated ECL (Pierce) exposures of various lengths and nonsaturated blots were selected, and normalized to /H9252-actin. Western blots were analyzed using ImageJ without the knowledge of the treatment group.

Immunocytochemistry

Rats were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at desired time points post-eFSE. Brains were then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, rapidly frozen, and stored at −80°C. Thirty micrometer sections of dorsal hippocampus were obtained on a cryostat and stored in antifreeze at 4°C until use. Serial sections were blocked in 10% normal goat serum and 0.03% Triton X in 1× PBS for 1 h at 4°C. Primary antibodies were incubated in 4% normal goat serum with 0.03% Triton X overnight at 4°C. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-HMGB1 1:1000 (Abcam), mouse anti-GFAP 1:3000 (Millipore), and mouse anti-IBA1 1:4000 (Wako). Sections were washed with 1× PBS, and the reaction product was visualized using 3,3’-diaminobezidine. Colocalization of cell markers with HMGB1 was achieved by coincubating rabbit anti-HMGB1 1:1000 (Abcam) with the following antibodies: mouse anti-NeuN (Chemicon), mouse anti-GFAP 1:3000 (Millipore), and mouse anti-CD11b (ABD Serotec). After 24 h of incubation, sections were washed in 1× PBS and then incubated in the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 568 or Alexa Fluor 488. Colocalization was visualized using confocal microscopy.

Hematoxylin and eosin staining

Thirty micrometer coronal sections containing dorsal hippocampus and amygdala were obtained via cryostat and dried onto glass microscope slides. Sections were rinsed two to three times in distilled H2O and then placed in hematoxylin solution for 5 min (Poly Scientific Research). Slides were placed under running tap water until water was no longer colored with stain and then dunked two to three times in acid alcohol (1% HCl in 70% EtOH) until sections turned pink in color. After rinsing three to five times in tap water, sections were submerged five to six times in ammonia water (1 ml of NH4OH in 1 L of H2O) to darken sections. After rinsing sections for 3-5 min in tap water, slides were submerged in Eosin Y solutions (Poly Sci-
Slides were dehydrated in ethanol and xylenes, coverslipped in paramount, and allowed to dry until analysis.

**Quantification of immunocytochemistry**

Quantification of activated microglia after eFSE in hippocampal CA1, CA3, and hilus was achieved by boxing off 1000/500/9262m areas of each studied region. First, all IBA1/11001 cells in the defined region were counted and compared among groups. Activated microglia were identified as IBA1/11001 cells that had large, dark soma and few short processes that were thicker in appearance than nonactivated microglia (Lenz et al., 2013). Microglia activation is presented as the percentage change in activated microglia over the total number of IBA1/11001 cells. GFAP/11001 cells were counted and compared among groups. Quantification of HMGB1/11001 cells in a 100 × 500 μm region of CA1. Translocation of HMGB1 protein was identified by the presence of immunoreactivity outside the nucleus (i.e., in the somatic cytoplasm and in the processes of cells). Change in HMGB1 translocation is presented as the percentage change in translocated cells over the total number of HMGB1/11001 cells.

**MRI procedure and analysis**

MRIs used a Bruker Avance 11.7 T MR scanner. Rats were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane in 100% O2 and remained anesthetized for the duration of the scan. Their body temperature was maintained at 36.5°C via a warm-water cushion underneath them. A field-of-view of 2.3 cm and a slice thickness of 0.75 mm were used for scans. T2-weighted images were acquired using a 2D multi-echo spin-echo sequence with the following parameters: TR, 4697 ms; TE, 10.21–100.1 ms; inter-TE, 10.21 ms; matrix size, 192 × 192; and number of averages, 2. Animals were imaged 6 h after the end of eFSE. Control and eFSE animals were intermixed to maximize the homogeneity of the imaging parameters. Images were coded and analyzed without knowledge of treatment group. Basal amygdalae were delineated manually and separately in the left and right hemi-
spheres. T2 values were measured using ImageJ software in which the images were defined on grayscale maps and a dynamic range of 1–100 ms was used. MRI signal changes are frequently unilateral both in children with FSE and in rodent models of FSE (Dubé et al., 2009b; Choy et al., 2014). Therefore, each amygdala was analyzed separately, and the side with the lower T2 relaxation time was used. The same approach was taken for the control rats, so that the amygdala with the lower T2 value was compared in all groups.

## Results

### Description and parameters of the inciting eFSE

All animals exposed to hyperthermia developed eFSE. Mean core temperatures did not significantly differ between litters or the experimental groups during 60 min of sustained eFSE (Fig. 1A,B). Further, mean core temperatures did not rise above 41.5°C in any animal, nor did they drop below the mean seizure threshold. In general, once seizures commenced (average, 3.9 min; Dubé et al., 2007), core temperatures did not significantly change during the course of hyperthermia (Fig. 1C). Twenty-four hours after the end of eFSE, hematoxylin and eosin staining did not suggest overt neuronal staining or dropout in dorsal hippocampus or amygdala from rats experiencing eFSE (Fig. 1D–F). These nonquantitative observations are in line with previous exhaustive quantitative analyses in several rat cohorts subjected to the same model and following the same duration of hyperthermia (Toth et al., 1998; Dubé et al., 2010).

### Activation of astrocytes and microglia in hippocampus after FSE

The number of cells expressing the microglia marker IBA1 (Fig. 2A–C) was not different between the control and eFSE groups 24 h after the insult. However, there were changes in the shape of IBA1-immunoreactive (IR) cells between groups (Fig. 2D). In the control group, IBA1-IR cells had long pro-
cesses, while many cells in the eFSE group had no processes or were more globular in shape (Fig. 2 A–C'), indicating translocation of HMGB1 from the nucleus. C. Translocation is still evident 3 h after the end of eFSE (n = 4). D. By 24 h after eFSE, mostly nuclear HMGB1 is observed (n = 4). E. Quantification of HMGB1 immunocytochemistry as the percentage of HMGB1-IR cells with translocation over total HMGB1-IR cells shows a significant increase in the percentage of HMGB1 translocation 1 and 3 h after eFSE with a return to control conditions by 24 h. F–M. Double labeling of HMGB1 and NeuN (both in soma and processes) demonstrates that HMGB1 translocation occurs from neurons (F–H) and not from astrocytes, as shown with GFAP (I–K), or microglia, as shown with CD11b (L, M; CTL n = 3; 1 h, n = 4; 3 h, n = 4). O. There is no increase in the levels of HMGB1 mRNA, indicating that translocation is achieved using already available protein (1 h, n = 5; 3 h, n = 6; 24 h, n = 6; 96 h, n = 4). P. TLR4, one receptor through which proinflammatory HMGB1 acts, mRNA is upregulated 24 h after eFSE with a return to baseline values by 96 h (1 h, n = 5; 3 h, n = 6; 24 h, n = 6; 96 h, n = 4). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Scale bars, 100 μm. *Statistically significant at p < 0.05. CTL, Control. SO, stratum oriens. SP, stratum pyramidale. SR, stratum radiatum.

Figure 4. HMGB1 translocation in hippocampal neurons after eFSE. A. In control animals (n = 3), HMGB1 is confined to the nucleus of cells (open arrows) in area CA1 of dorsal hippocampus. B. One hour after the end of eFSE, HMGB1-IR is seen in the processes of neurons (closed arrows; n = 4), indicating translocation of HMGB1 from the nucleus. C. Translocation is still evident 3 h after the end of eFSE (n = 4). D. By 24 h after eFSE, mostly nuclear HMGB1 is observed (n = 4). E. Quantification of HMGB1 immunocytochemistry as the percentage of HMGB1-IR cells with translocation over total HMGB1-IR cells shows a significant increase in the percentage of HMGB1 translocation 1 and 3 h after eFSE with a return to control conditions by 24 h. F–M. Double labeling of HMGB1 and NeuN (both in soma and processes) demonstrates that HMGB1 translocation occurs from neurons (F–H) and not from astrocytes, as shown with GFAP (I–K), or microglia, as shown with CD11b (L, M; CTL n = 3; 1 h, n = 4; 3 h, n = 4). O. There is no increase in the levels of HMGB1 mRNA, indicating that translocation is achieved using already available protein (1 h, n = 5; 3 h, n = 6; 24 h, n = 6; 96 h, n = 4). P. TLR4, one receptor through which proinflammatory HMGB1 acts, mRNA is upregulated 24 h after eFSE with a return to baseline values by 96 h (1 h, n = 5; 3 h, n = 6; 24 h, n = 6; 96 h, n = 4). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Scale bars, 100 μm. *Statistically significant at p < 0.05. CTL, Control. SO, stratum oriens. SP, stratum pyramidale. SR, stratum radiatum.

HMGBl translocation in hippocampal neurons after eFSE

Our previous studies demonstrated HMGBl translocation from nuclei to cytoplasms of amygdala neurons in parallel with the appearance of T2 signal changes in the amygdala of the same rats. Here we focused on hippocampus, the origin of spontaneous seizures in rats that become epileptic after eFSE. HMGBl was localized to the nuclei of cells in control CA1 neurons (Fig. 4A). At 1 and 3 h after eFSE, HMGBl-IR appeared in the cytoplasm, which is indicative of translocation of this protein (Fig. 4B–C). Cytoplasmic HMGBl was no longer present 24 h after eFSE (Fig. 4D). HMGBl colocalized with NeuN-IR hippocampal cells, but not with GFAP-IR or CD11b-IR cells (Fig. 4F–N). No changes in hippocampal HMGBl mRNA levels were found at any of the examined time points (Fig. 4O). mRNA expression of one of the target molecules of HMGBl, TLR4, significantly increased at 24 h after eFSE (Fig. 4P) and was back at baseline levels 4 d after the eFSE. Together, these data suggest a rapid yet transient translocation of hippocampal HMGBl from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, likely followed by secretion from the cell and activation of target receptors. This process took place in hippocampal neurons, but not in microglia or astrocytes.

Cytokine expression after eFSE

IL-1β mRNA levels increased significantly in hippocampus 1 and 3 h after eFSE. Levels then declined, so that, by
96 h after eFSE, IL-1β mRNA levels were indistinguishable from those of control rats (Fig. 5A). Notably, there was a significant interanimal variability in the levels and temporal kinetics of IL-1β mRNA expression (Fig. 5B).

Interanimal variability was prominent upon examination of the time courses of expression of all other investigated cytokines. Levels of IL-1r1 mRNA (the IL-1β receptor) increased significantly 3 and 24 h after eFSE, and returned to baseline levels by 96 h (Fig. 5C). COX2 mRNA levels were significantly upregulated at every tested time point (Fig. 5D). TNF-α mRNA levels were significantly augmented by 3 h and returned to baseline by 24 h (Fig. 5E). While never statistically significant, IL-6 levels trend upward for some animals at 24 h with a return to baseline values by 96 h (Fig. 5F).

To examine whether augmented mRNA expression of cytokines translated into increased levels of functional inflammatory mediators, protein levels of GFAP, COX2, and IL-6 were measured in hippocampi 24 h after eFSE (Fig. 5G,H). These specific proteins were chosen because of the upregulation of their mRNA 24 h after eFSE in some but not all rats. We found a significant increase in protein levels of COX2 and GFAP in hippocampus, with interanimal variability, which were similar to the findings at the mRNA level. Similar to mRNA levels, protein levels of IL-6, measured in hippocampus 24 h after eFSE, were not consistently or significantly increased.

Distinct inflammatory cytokine profiles in individual rats after eFSE

Following eFSE, ~40% of rats develop epilepsy (Dubé et al., 2010; Choy et al., 2014). If inflammatory molecule activation contributes to epileptogenesis after eFSE, the prediction is that there will be strong individual variation in cytokine expression, with robust changes in ~40% of rodents experiencing eFSE. We tested this possibility by delineating the inflammatory cytokine profile of individual rats.

The expression of different cytokines peaks at different time points; therefore, the time point for the maximal
expression of each cytokine was included in the composite molecular profile of each rat experiencing eFSE (Fig. 6). By 3 h after the end of eFSE, mRNA levels of COX2, GFAP, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-1r1 were significantly augmented (Fig. 6A). In individual animals, the expression levels of these cytokines tended to covary: animals with high expression of one cytokine tended to have higher expression of the others, whereas animals with low expression of one cytokine had depressed levels of the others. This trend was also found at the 24 h time point, when IL-1r1, COX2, and TLR4 were significantly upregulated in a subset of rats (Fig. 6B).

Expression levels in hippocampus and amygdala of a subset of inflammatory mediators correlate with a predictive marker of post-eFSE epilepsy

We previously investigated potential noninvasive predictive markers for the epileptogenesis that, in a subset of rats, follows eFSE. We found that decreased MRI T2 relaxation times in the amygdala 2-4 h after eFSE predicted whether or not an individual animal developed spontaneous seizures that involved, and seem to originate from, the hippocampus (Choy et al., 2014). Here we capitalized on the epilepsy-predicting MRI signal change, and studied whether augmented expression of hippocampal cytokines in individual rats correlated in the same animal with reduced T2 values. In this cohort, MRI T2 relaxation time was reduced in a subset of eFSE rats 6 h after insult compared with controls (Fig. 7A). Three of six eFSE rats in this cohort had T2 relaxation times that were lower than 2 SDs from the control mean, the cutoff for considering the signal abnormal and predictive of epilepsy in later life (Fig. 7B). We assessed hippocampal cytokine expression in the same rats 24 h after eFSE. The expression of a number of inflammatory mediators tended to be higher in the rats in which MRI signal changes were found (Fig. 7C). In addition, the expression of a number of individual inflammatory mediators correlated significantly and inversely with T2 relaxation times. This correlation was largely limited to inflammatory molecules that were up-regulated in hippocampus 24 h after the insult, including IL-1r1 and COX2 (Fig. 7D,E).

Because the epilepsy-predicting change in T2 relaxation time took place in the amygdala complex, we examined in an additional cohort of animals whether cytokine expression in the amygdala correlated with T2 signal changes in the same animal. In this cohort, 7 of 11 eFSE animals had T2 relaxation times lower than 2 SDs of control (“affected”; Fig. 7F). Amygdala cytokine mRNA levels at 24 h after eFSE are shown in Figure 7G, dividing the cohort into affected and nonaffected. As apparent, a subgroup of inflammatory mediators, including IL-1r1, TLR4, and IL-6, seemed to distinguish between the groups. When amygdalar cytokine mRNA levels were plotted against T2 relaxation times, only IL-1r1 was significantly correlated (Fig. 7H). Comparing the correlations of hippocampal and amygdala cytokine levels and the predictive T2 signal change, IL-1r1 was common to both regions. In addition, COX2 correlated with the MRI changes in hippocampus only. A weak correlation between mRNA levels of TLR4, a target of HMGB1 with MRI changes was observed (p = 0.15, Spearman r = −0.33; Fig. 7I). Together, these data suggest that individual differences among rats in the type and degree of inflammatory response to eFSE in at least two limbic regions implicated in epileptogenesis may underlie MRI signal changes that predict the eventual development of epilepsy in the same rats. The data support the notion that specific subsets of inflammatory molecules contribute to epileptogenesis following eFSE in individual animals.

Discussion

The principal findings of this series of experiments are as follows: (1) rapid and coordinate upregulated expression of a subset of inflammatory molecules takes place in hippocampus and amygdala within hours after eFSE; (2) inflammatory mediator expression profiles vary significantly among individual rats experiencing eFSE, and only partial overlap exists between hippocampal and amygdala expression patterns; and (3) inflammatory pro-
files of individual rats correlate with MRI T2 signal changes that predict epileptogenesis.

The earliest change detected in a subgroup of immature rats experiencing eFSE was the translocation of the cellular stress-sensitive protein HMGB1 from nucleus to cytoplasm (Rovere-Querini et al., 2004). This event, taking place within minutes to hours suggests that while eFSE does not seem to kill cells, it does provoke major cellular stress in hippocampal neurons (Toth et al., 1998; Dubé et al., 2010; Choy et al., 2014). HMGB1 translocation to the cytoplasm is typically followed by release from the cell and activation of receptors on microglia and astrocytes (including TLR4) to promote the synthesis of multiple inflammatory cytokines (Rovere-Querini et al., 2004). It should be noted that a number of other activators of TLR4 receptors (e.g., degradation products of the cellular matrix and heat shock proteins) have been reported by several groups. However, cellular debris and heat shock proteins have not been found in the eFSE model (Toth et al., 1998; Bender et al., 2004; Baram et al., 2011). In addition, whereas HMGB1 translocation in adult models of epilepsy has typically been reported in astrocytes and microglia (Maroso et al., 2010), in the eFSE model we find the translocation exclusively in neurons during the first few hours (Choy et al., 2014). This cell specificity might be a result of the seizure-inciting insult, the age of the animals, or both (Baram, 2012).

Here we found that, in hippocampus, the expression of IL-1β, its receptor (IL-1r1), TNF-α, COX2, and GFAP were upregulated during the hours and days following eFSE.

Figure 7. Inflammation profiles of individual animals correlate with predictive measures of post-eFSE epilepsy. A, Representative color-coded quantitative T2 maps 4–6 h after the end of eFSE from a control animal (n = 9), an eFSE animal not expected to become epileptic (eFSE-Not Affected; n = 5), and an eFSE animal expected to become epileptic (eFSE-Affected; n = 7). Basolateral amygdala (whose T2 relaxation time is used to predict epilepsy) is highlighted in enlarged pictures below. Cooler colors (blues) represent faster T2 relaxation times, while warmer colors (greens and oranges) indicate slower T2 relaxation times. B, Prediction of epilepsy after eFSE is determined when T2 relaxation times of eFSE fall 2 SDs below the control mean, represented here by a black line across the y-axis. C, In hippocampus, the expression of inflammatory molecules is higher in animals expected to become epileptic (Affected) compared with those not expected to become epileptic (Not Affected). D, E, Inverse correlations are found between T2 relaxation times and IL-1r1 (D) and COX2 (E; CTL, n = 4, eFSE n = 6). F, For cytokine correlations in amygdala, 7 of 11 eFSE animals had T2 values low enough to consider them affected. G, Cytokine mRNA data from amygdalar punches 24 h after the end of eFSE demonstrate lower discrimination between affected and nonaffected animals. H, I, Correlations between predictive MRI signal changes were present with IL-1r1 mRNA (H), and a weak correlation existed between T2 levels and TLR4 (I; CTL, n = 9; eFSE, n = 12). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. *Statistically significant at p < 0.05. CTL, Control.
Most cytokines were transiently upregulated with levels resembling those in controls by 96 h after eFSE. Only COX2 remained upregulated at this time point. Looking within the amygdala (at the 24 h time point) demonstrated similar findings, with IL-6, COX2, and TNF-α expression augmented. These quantitative analyses of cytokine expression were complemented by immunohistochemical evidence for significant astrocytosis and microglia activation 24 h after eFSE, which is indicative of an active inflammatory response in the hippocampus. Thus, whereas the expression of the cytokines measured here was transient, it might well set in motion additional downstream processes, potentially via activation of NF-κB and other transcriptional regulators (Crespel et al., 2002; Aronica et al., 2010). These downstream consequences of the original wave of select inflammatory processes might contribute to the development of epilepsy.

An important question for both clinical FSE and eFSE is why some individuals go on to have epilepsy while others do not. Whereas it is difficult to test in humans, we have tried to identify factors that might contribute to epilepsy during or after eFSE. There were no discernable differences in the initial eFSE, where the seizure duration, time to onset, and intensity were similar among rats that eventually became epileptic and those that did not (Dubé et al., 2010; Choy et al., 2014). As demonstrated here, it is unlikely that the hyperthermia alone caused toxic physiological consequences because core temperatures never elevated above 41.5°C during eFSE. In addition, whereas there was no interlitter variability in reaction to the inciting event, interanimal variability was found in each litter both in the expression of inflammatory mediators and in potential seizure outcome. The studies described here suggest that an insult across genetically similar animals might result in markedly varied inflammatory profiles. These might derive from prior inflammatory or immune challenge (Riazi et al., 2010; Galic et al., 2012) or other, as yet undiscovered, reasons. The current data tempt us to speculate that the divergent inflammatory responses in rats experiencing eFSE might, in turn, lead to divergent epileptogenic outcomes.

Interestingly, while in hippocampus both COX2 and IL-1r1 levels correlated with the epilepsy-predictive MRI signal change after eFSE, in amygdala the correlation was with IL-1r1 mRNA levels only. The divergence between regions may suggest regional inflammatory differences in the limbic system that are important for epileptogenesis. The involvement of IL-1r1 in both regions is intriguing, suggesting that this specific cytokine may be instrumental in epilepsy generated by eFSE. Previous work (Dubé et al., 2005) has demonstrated that this cytokine pathway was required for the generation of eFSE. Further work (Dubé et al., 2010) suggested that IL-1β levels distinguish adult epileptic rats from those that did not develop epilepsy following eFSE. The current work provides additional support for the notion that augmentation of this cascade in a subset of rats contributes to epileptogenesis in the same rats. Notably, blocking the interleukin-1 cascad in epileptic animals has anticonvulsive effects, but the role of this cascade in epileptogenesis is presently unclear (Maroso et al., 2011; Noe et al., 2013). This role might vary among models because of differences in animal ages and other parameters.

In summary, these correlational studies demonstrate a potential relationship between selective augmentation of subsets of inflammatory mediators after eFSE and epilepsy. Blocking inflammation as a whole (Holtman et al., 2014) has proven unhelpful for aborting epilepsy, as have a number of studies targeting specific pathways in the inflammatory molecular network (Holtman et al., 2009; Vezzani et al., 2011; Noe et al., 2013). Indeed, rather than being pathogenic, it is possible that some observed inflammatory responses may be neuroprotective against the epileptogenic process, as has been described for other disorders (Schwartz and Kipnis, 2005). Future studies will focus on dissecting out which of the inflammatory cytokines and mediators described here are epileptogenic and which might be important for restoring normal neuronal function.
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