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The semi-solid flow battery (SSFB) is a promising storage energy technology featured by employing semi-solid fluid electrodes containing conductive additive and active Li-ion battery materials. The state of art anode material for SSFB is Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ (LTO). This work shows that LTO improves drastically the performance in fluid electrode via hydrogen annealing manifesting the importance of the electrical conductivity of the active material in SSFBs. On the other hand, the properties of fluid electrodes allow the contributions of ionic and electrical resistance to be separated in operando. The asymmetric overpotential observed in Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ and TiO$_2$ is proposed to originate from the so-called electron bottleneck mechanism based on the transformation from electrically insulator to conductor upon (de-)lithiation, or vice versa, which should be considered when modelling, evaluating or designing advanced materials based on Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$, TiO$_2$ or others with insulating-conducting behavior materials.

Introduction

The improvement of the electric grid management as well as the success of renewable energy technologies relies on energy storage. Redox flow batteries (RFBs) are promising energy storage candidates because they offer long life, low cost, high round-trip efficiency, and independent scalability of energy and power capabilities.[1] On the other hand, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are the power source of choice in portable electronics and electric vehicles because of their high energy density.[2] The concept of semi-solid flow batteries (SSFBs) aims at combining the best features of redox flow and Li-ion batteries; namely, the flexibility and scalability of the former and the high energy density of the latter.[3] In SSFB technology, two semi-solid suspensions, acting as positive and negative flowable electrodes, are stored in two reservoirs. The flowable suspensions typically contain active materials used in Li-ion batteries, such as Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$, graphite, LiCoO$_2$, or LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{1.5}$O$_4$. The electric energy is stored as chemical energy in the active material of the suspension. Figure 1 shows a simplified representation of a SSB system. In comparison to all-vanadium flow batteries (the most-studied and -mature among RFBs), SSFBs offer higher energy densities.[3a] Compared to classic LIBs, SSFBs offer independent scalability of energy and power capabilities, simplified manufacturing, and decrease of inactive material compared to classic LIBs.[3a]

The use of semi-solid fluid electrodes for energy storage differentiates SSFBs from other technologies. Nevertheless, obtaining good electrochemical performance from semi-solid fluid electrodes is challenging when compared to the solid electrodes of classic LIBs, because the active particles are electrically connected through the suspension. Consequently, the...
electrical conductivity in the fluid electrode is much poorer than that of a solid electrode. In addition, the formation of an insulating solid electrolyte interphase (SEI), due to electrolyte decomposition, has a much higher impact in fluid electrodes. Common carbonate-based electrolytes used in LIBs decompose below 1 V vs Li/Li⁺ at the negative electrodes. Therefore, the presence of the insulating film hinders the use of active materials operating at potentials below 1 V vs Li/Li⁺ in SSFBs, leading Duduta et al. to employ Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ (LTO; lithium titanate) as negative electrode material in the first SSFB prototype based on carbonate electrolyte. Nevertheless, the electrical conductivity of LTO is poor and it limits the performance of this material in semi-solid flow batteries. In classic LIBs, several strategies have been employed to enhance the electrical conductivity of titania materials, such as carbon coating, doping, or oxygen deficiencies. The latter was demonstrated to be a simple and effective approach, for which the main drawback is spontaneous reoxidation in air requiring the samples to be stored in an argon-filled glove-box.

In addition to the technological advantages of SSFBs for energy storage, semi-solid fluid electrodes possess appealing features for fundamental studies of the active materials used in classic LIBs. One example is the online characterization of the electrode materials during the electrochemical test. Samples with different states of charge or ageing states can be easily collected from the reservoirs for ex situ investigation via X-ray photoemission, X-ray diffraction, or transmission electron microscopy without disassembling the cell, which allows one to continue with the electrochemical characterization. Another interesting feature of fluid electrodes for fundamental studies is the poorer electrical conductivity in the electrode. Whereas the electrical conductivity throughout the electrode is usually high in classic LIBs, this parameter gains importance in SSFBs and becomes a limiting factor. This allows the investigation of the pure effects of electrical properties on the electrochemical performance, which is very difficult to evaluate in solid electrodes.

In this work, we show that the electrochemical performance of a commercial LTO in semi-solid flow batteries can be improved, in terms of lower overpotentials and higher accessibility to the LTO in the fluid electrode, by subjecting the material to hydrogen annealing. In addition, the intrinsic properties of fluid electrodes allowed us to observe asymmetric electric overpotentials in LTO and TiO₂ for the oxidation/reduction processes. This phenomenon is proposed to originate from the so-called electron bottleneck mechanism based on the transformation from electrical insulator to conductor upon (de)-lithiation, or vice versa, which explains its absence in the case of LiCoO₂.

Results and Discussion

Structural characterization

Commercially available LTO was first annealed in oxygen at 650 °C for 120 min to remove possible surface residues, which have been shown to negatively influence the electrochemical performance. After that, the O₂-annealed sample was annealed in a hydrogen atmosphere (5% H₂ in Ar) at 650 °C for 90 min. The three samples are referred to as P_LTO, O_LTO, and H_LTO for pristine, O₂-annealed, and H₂-annealed LTO, respectively. The specific surface area, morphology, and crystallinity of the three samples were evaluated. The specific surface area was determined by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method, obtaining values of 2.1, 2.1, and 2.0 m² g⁻¹ for P_LTO, O_LTO and H_LTO, respectively, ruling out sintering effects during the annealing processes. Figure 2a show scanning electo-
tron microscopy images of the three samples. No significant changes were observed in the morphology of the samples.

Figure 2b displays X-ray diffraction patterns of the three samples. All samples completely matched the standard card of Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ (PDF 49-0207). An additional weak peak was obtained for H$_2$LTO at 50.5°, which will be explained later. The inset in Figure 2b is a magnification of the main peak at 18.3°. The width of the peak for the three samples was very similar indicating similar crystallite size. We estimated the crystalline size of the samples obtaining values of 100 nm, 95 nm, and 100 nm for P$_2$LTO, O$_2$LTO and H$_2$LTO. Assuming an error of 5% in the measurement, the crystallite size of the three samples falls in the range of 100±5 nm. Figure 2c shows UV/Vis spectra of P$_2$LTO, O$_2$LTO, and H$_2$LTO. The oxygen vacancies created in titania via hydrogen treatment are compensated by the partial reduction of Ti$^{4+}$ to Ti$^{3+}$, which introduces changes in the electronic structure. The presence of Ti$^{3+}$ has been reported to increase absorbance above 500 nm, giving the material a blue color. The UV/Vis spectra (Figure 2c) revealed the introduction of oxygen vacancies in H$_2$LTO as the absorbance above 500 nm increased clearly for that sample.

We performed X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) measurements on the three samples. Figure 3a shows XPS spectra of P$_2$LTO, O$_2$LTO, and H$_2$LTO, revealing the presence of the expected elements carbon, titanium, and oxygen, and some additional elements: potassium and zirconium (Figure 3b), and copper (Figure 3c). The presence of these impurities is likely due to the industrial synthesis and processing of the LTO, such as grinding of the powders with zirconia balls, and other treatments. While the presence of zirconium and potassium do not significantly affect the analysis of the results, the contribution of copper must be taken into account. In the XPS spectra of Cu 2p region (Figure 3c), there appears to be a shift in signal of Cu 2p towards lower binding energies for H$_2$LTO, suggesting the reduction of copper. Figure 3d shows the spectra of C 1s region. The intensity of this peak decreased significantly from P$_2$LTO to O$_2$LTO and H$_2$LTO, which confirms the removal of surface organic residues during the annealing in oxygen. In the Ti 2p region (Figure 3f), no significant differences were found between the three samples. Although the successful introduction of oxygen vacancies in LTO via H$_2$ annealing was evident by the blue color of H$_2$LTO (presence of Ti$^{3+}$ [5c, 6a,b,c] we were unable to confirm it by XPS. This could be due to a low amount of Ti$^{3+}$ and/or surface oxidation during the sample preparation. H$_2$LTO samples were stored in the glovebox because the oxidation of Ti$^{3+}$ has been reported to occur spontaneously in air [5c, 7]. Unfortunately, we had to prepare the XPS samples outside the glovebox because of the impossibility of transferring it directly within the XPS system.

Since the XPS signal was noisy in the copper region, we employed electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) chemical com-
position mapping in the scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) to confirm the presence of copper particles. Figure 4 shows the images of O\textsubscript{LTO} suspension after an electrochemical cycle. Figure 4a displays the chemical composition of a LTO particle, for which the mean size was above 350 nm (particles up to 600 nm). Figure 4b shows the chemical composition of a copper-rich particle, in which titanium was not detected. The mean size of the copper nanoparticles was 33 nm ± 7 nm (much smaller than LTO). The copper particles appear to have a core–shell structure. Whereas the core is metallic copper, the shell is oxidized, which may be due to the exposure of the sample to air having been reduced electrochemically. Note that the carbon background is due to the ethylene carbonate as well as carbon additive remaining from the electrolyte suspension.

After confirming the presence of copper, we propose the following explanation for our XRD results. The appearance of the peak at 50.5° in the XRD pattern of H\textsubscript{LTO} (Figure 2b) suggests the presence of metallic copper since this peak can be attributed to the (200) plane of metallic copper whereas the (111) plane overlaps one of the main peaks of LTO at 43.3°. Copper was not revealed in P\textsubscript{LTO} and O\textsubscript{LTO}, although it should be present. It is known that copper oxide (CuO) can grow preferentially in (002) orientation on certain substrates.\textsuperscript{[10]} Assuming that this is the case for LTO, CuO (002) overlaps LTO (311) at 35.6°. Interestingly, this peak at 35.6° is the only one decreasing in intensity for H\textsubscript{LTO} (inset in Figure 2b). Thus, the decrease in the intensity at 35.6° occurring simultaneously with the appearance of the peak at 50.5° suggests that CuO is reduced to metallic copper during hydrogen treatment.

**Electrochemical characterization**

We evaluated the electrochemical properties of the suspensions of three samples, namely P\textsubscript{LTO}, O\textsubscript{LTO} and H\textsubscript{LTO}, containing 25 wt %, and 1 wt % of active material and carbon additive, respectively, in 1 M LiPF\textsubscript{6} in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (EC/DMC 1:1). The suspensions were studied in static (without flow) and in dynamic (with a flow of ca. 3 mL min\textsuperscript{−1}). Figure 5 shows the potential profiles of the three samples for the first cycle in static conditions at ca. 0.1 C (16 mA g\textsuperscript{−1}) and clearly reveals the beneficial effect of H\textsubscript{LTO}. The reversible charge capacities, extracted from the anodic cycle, were 80, 130, and 170 mAh g\textsuperscript{−1} for P\textsubscript{LTO}, O\textsubscript{LTO}, and H\textsubscript{LTO}, respectively. The removal of the surface organic residues, during O\textsubscript{2} annealing, is likely responsible for the improvement observed from P\textsubscript{LTO} to O\textsubscript{LTO}. The organic residues not only can act as barrier for electron transfer but also can lead to undesired side reactions, for example, electrochemical reduction of some elements of carbonaceous residues.\textsuperscript{[8b]} The removal of adsorbed water by air annealing was also reported to improve the performance of titania materials in LIBs.\textsuperscript{[8c]} In addition, the reduction of CuO in both P\textsubscript{LTO} and O\textsubscript{LTO} could contribute to the low Coulombic efficiency of these samples in the first cycle. On the other hand, the improvement obtained via hydrogen annealing appeared to be an increased accessibility to all of the LTO particles in the suspension by the enhancement of the electrical properties of H\textsubscript{LTO}. Interestingly, the overpotentials for H\textsubscript{LTO} during the anodic process were significantly lower than those of P\textsubscript{LTO} and O\textsubscript{LTO} because the potential plateaus of H\textsubscript{LTO} were closer to the standard redox potential of LTO (1.55 V vs Li/Li\textsuperscript{+}). The higher anodic overpotentials of P\textsubscript{LTO} and O\textsubscript{LTO} appeared to prevent the full deintercalation for an upper cut-off potential of 2.9 V vs Li/Li\textsuperscript{+}.

Figure 5 also revealed another interesting feature. The improvement in H\textsubscript{LTO} was higher for the anodic process. And, more importantly, the anodic overpotentials for the three samples were significantly higher than the cathodic ones, which did not occur in solid electrodes at 0.1 C. Note that the potential profile of O\textsubscript{LTO} in solid electrode is included in Figure 4 for comparison. There are three major contributors to any polarization overpotential; the charge transfer resistance, the ionic resistance and the electrical resistance. I) We evaluated the charge transfer resistance by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the samples as solid electrodes (Supporting Information, Figure S1). The solid electrode films contained 90% of LTO and 10% of binder. Without carbon additive, the charge transfer resistances of O\textsubscript{LTO} and H\textsubscript{LTO} were small at any state of charge (Figure S1). The charge transfer resistance can only account for 30–60 mV of overpotential. II) The ionic resistance both in the liquid and in the solid was minimized by applying low current density of 16 mA g\textsuperscript{−1} and long charge/discharge times of 10 h. The ionic resistance of the electrolyte, determined by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, was around 3 Ω accounting for only 6 mV overpotential. III) There are two types of electrical resistance; a) the electrical resistance through the fluid electrode (the suspension), and b) the electrical resistance of the carbon–LTO contact point, which is determined by the electrical conductivity of LTO at the surface. The electrical percolation of the three suspensions was dominated by the conductive additive since similar values of electrical conductivity were obtained for the three samples (17, 20, and 20 μS cm\textsuperscript{−1} for P\textsubscript{LTO}, O\textsubscript{LTO} and H\textsubscript{LTO}, respectively). The Ohmic drop across the fluid electrode is similar for all samples, indicating that the electrical resistance at the carbon–LTO con-

![Figure 5. Potential profiles for the first cycle of P\textsubscript{LTO}, O\textsubscript{LTO}, and H\textsubscript{LTO} as fluid electrode in static and O\textsubscript{LTO} as solid electrode.](image-url)
The electrical conductivity of \( \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \) was measured to be \( 1.5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \). This value is lower than that previously reported for hydrogen-treated \( \text{LTO} (8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \), which is attributed to the fact that our film was porous and contained binder, as well as the resistance being determined by two-probe measurements. The resistance of \( \text{P}_\text{LTO} \) and \( \text{O}_\text{LTO} \) were above the measurable limit of our equipment (\( >2000 \text{ M}\Omega \)). Therefore, their electrical conductivities are below \( 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \), which is consistent with the values reported for \( \text{LTO} (<10^{-13} \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \). The electrical conductivity of \( \text{H}_\text{LTO} \) was, at least, two orders of magnitude higher than those of \( \text{P}_\text{LTO} \) and \( \text{O}_\text{LTO} \).

The evidence of highly asymmetry overpotential provided only in SSFBs is due to the fact that the electrical properties of the carbon–LTO contact points have a strong influence on the Ohmic overpotentials of fluid electrodes. Compared to solid electrodes, the density of active and conductive material in the fluid electrode is much lower and, thus, the electrical percolation and the number of interconnections between particles is much smaller for fluid electrodes. In other words, the number of carbon–LTO contact points is much lower for fluid electrodes, which has a large impact because all electrons pass through these points. Since the area of the contact points is very small, the current density passing through it is very high, making the contact points the limiting factor of the electrical resistance. For a given current intensity, a lower number of electrical contact points leads to lower carbon–LTO interface area and to a higher electrical resistance and, therefore, a higher Ohmic drop. The contact area of LTO, acting as electron bottleneck, comprises reduced LTO (\( \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \)) during the entire reduction and oxidized LTO (\( \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \)) during the entire oxidation because electrons continuously pass through these points. Since the electrical conductivity changes from electrically insulating (\( 10^{-7} - 10^{-12} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \)) to conducting (\( 2 \text{ S cm}^{-1} \)) for oxidized and reduced phases, respectively, the electrical resistances at the contact points are expected to be much higher during the oxidation due to the presence of the insulating phase (\( \text{L}_{(5-x)}\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \)) at the contact points. The hydrogen treatment probably improves the electrical conductivity of the surface and does not reach the core. However, having a layer of conducting material will increase the conducting contact area significantly; reducing the resistance considerably (resistance is inversely proportional to the conducting area).

Figure 6 is a schematic representation of the proposed electron bottleneck during the oxidation of \( \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \). The drastically improved accessibility in the anodic process observed for \( \text{H}_\text{LTO} \) as compared to \( \text{P}_\text{LTO} \) and \( \text{O}_\text{LTO} \) can now be explained by the proposed mechanism. During the oxidation, the electrical conductivity of lithiated LTO should be sufficient. However, the first electrons will be extracted from the contact points with the carbon and those points will remain oxidized during the entire oxidation. In the case of \( \text{H}_2 \)-treated LTO, the oxygen vacancies created at the surface of LTO are permanent and they will remain at the surface during the entire oxidation. Therefore, the electron gateways (LTO–carbon contacts) will have a higher electrical conductivity in the case of \( \text{H}_2 \)-treated samples due to the permanent oxygen vacancies at the surface. In classic Li-ion batteries, the mechanism by which oxygen deficient titania \( (\text{TiO}_{2-x}, \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}) \) delivered better performances than pristine titania \( (\text{TiO}_2 \text{ or } \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}) \) was not fully understood, considering that titania is always partially reduced during operation. The bottleneck mechanism offers an explanation.

The highly asymmetric anodic/cathodic electric overpotentials should not be a unique feature of \( \text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \) but should occur in other materials with large difference in electrical conductivity between the lithiated and delithiated phases, also. The case of titanium dioxide \( (\text{TiO}_2) \) is analogous to that of LTO because the oxidized phase \( (\text{TiO}_2) \) and reduced phase \( (\text{Li}_2\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}) \) present electrically insulating \( (10^{-7} - 10^{-10} \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \) and conducting behavior \( (9 \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \), respectively. Indeed, \( \text{TiO}_2 \) also showed a highly asymmetric overpotential for reduction/oxidation in SSFBs at ca. 0.1 \( \text{C}, \) which did not occur in the solid electrode (Figure 7a). On the other hand, \( \text{LiCoO}_2 \) has a good electrical conductivity \( (0.2 \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \), which actually increases to metallic conductivity \( (500 \text{ S cm}^{-1}) \) upon oxidation \( (\text{Li}_x\text{CoO}_2) \). Since neither the oxidized nor the reduced phase possesses electrically insulating behavior, the asymmetric electric overpotential should not occur for \( \text{LiCoO}_2 \). Figure 7b show the potential profile of a fluid and a solid electrode of \( \text{LiCoO}_2 \) at ca. 0.1 \( \text{C}, \) and the asymmetric overpotentials were not observed in this case.

The analysis of the symmetry of the electric overpotential of materials by fluid electrodes allows one to separate the ionic and electrical contributions during charge/discharge. In addition, the phenomenon is of great importance for modeling, evaluating, or designing advanced materials.

The suspensions containing LTO were evaluated in continuous flow of ca. 3 \( \text{mL min}^{-1} \). Figure 8 shows the anodic potential profiles of \( \text{P}_\text{LTO}, \text{O}_\text{LTO}, \) and \( \text{H}_\text{LTO} \) at 3 \( \text{mA cm}^{-2} \). For fast cathodic process, a combination of galvanostatic and potentiostatic modes was applied. First, a constant current density of 3 \( \text{mA cm}^{-2} \) was applied until the lower cutoff potential of 1.1 \( \text{V} \) vs \( \text{Li}/\text{Li}^+ \) was reached. Then, a constant potential of 1.1 \( \text{V} \) was applied until the current density reached a value below 1.5 \( \text{mA cm}^{-2} \). The anodic process was carried out in galvano-
static mode applying a current density of 3 mA cm$^{-2}$. To clarify the figure, we omit the combined galvanostatic–potentiostatic cathodic step and we represent only the anodic step, which was found to be the relevant one in the previous section. Figure 7 shows the anodic potential profiles of \( P\_\text{LTO} \), \( O\_\text{LTO} \), and \( H\_\text{LTO} \). There was no significant improvement from \( P\_\text{LTO} \) to \( O\_\text{LTO} \). As expected, the performance of \( H\_\text{LTO} \) in continuous flow was improved with respect to \( P\_\text{LTO} \) and \( O\_\text{LTO} \). The oxidation plateau was more than 100 mV lower than that of \( P\_\text{LTO} \) and \( O\_\text{LTO} \). In addition, the amount of charge reversibly stored in the case of \( H\_\text{LTO} \) was significantly larger than that of \( P\_\text{LTO} \) and \( O\_\text{LTO} \) under the same conditions. The comparison of the anodic processes in static and flowing conditions (Figure 5 and Figure 7 respectively), one can see i) a noisy potential signal, and ii) lower overpotentials for all samples in flowing conditions. Our peristaltic pump of three rollers did not provide a highly smooth flow for viscous suspensions. As a consequence, the potentials recorded the little injections into the reactive channel leading to noisy signal. An improved electrical percolation in flow could be the source. However, we cannot unambiguously attribute the noise to only electrical conductivity issues of the suspension because the concentration gradients at the high current density in flowing conditions (0.67 and 3.00 mA cm$^{-2}$ for static and flow, respectively) might not be negligible. Similar reasoning applies to the lower overpotentials in flowing conditions. Further detailed analysis will be required to properly understand this phenomenon.

**Conclusions**

The hydrogen annealing of \( \text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \) (LTO) leads to significant improvement in the electrochemical performance when the material is employed as active material in semi-solid flow batteries (SSFBs). This thermal treatment appears to enhance the accessibility to the active material within the suspension, especially during the anodic process. For all LTO samples tested as fluid electrode, the oxidation process presents higher overpotentials than the cathodic ones, which does not occur in solid electrodes at the same C rate (0.1 C). While ionic and electrical resistances are difficult to separate in solid electrodes in operando conditions, the latter is the main contributor in fluid electrodes. Active materials transforming from electrically insulator to conductor upon (de)lithiation, such as \( \text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} \) and \( \text{TiO}_2 \), show asymmetric overpotentials for charge/discharge as fluid electrodes. On the other hand \( \text{LiCoO}_2 \) without an electrically insulating phase (both \( \text{LiCoO}_2 \) and \( \text{Li}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_2 \) are electrically conducting), does not reveal asymmetric overpotentials. We propose the electron bottleneck mechanism to explain the asymmetric overpotential. The bottleneck mechanism offers an explanation for the improved electrochemical performance of oxygen-deficient titania in classic lithium-ion batteries. As a consequence of the bottleneck effect, the use of electrically insulating materials in SSFB requires the optimization of the electrical conductivity of the suspension by adding an adequate amount of carbon for optimal electrical percolation. Additional coating of the active material with conducting material, for example, carbon, metal, or through doping, is an excellent strategy. We encourage the asymmetric electrical conductivity to be considered when modeling, evaluating, and designing advanced active materials with asymmetric electrical conductivity for the oxidized and reduced phase.
Experimental Section

Materials and thermal treatment

The following active materials were employed in this work: Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ (DSO 1.9 µm) from MTI Corporation, LiCoO$_2$ (7–10 µm) from Sigma–Aldrich, and anatase TiO$_2$ (<10 nm) from Sachtleben Chemie. All components of the electrolyte, namely ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate and LiPF$_6$, and metallic lithium were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (battery grade). Celgard 2500 and Ketjenblack EC-600 JD were courtesy of AkzoNovel Polymer Chemicals. The oxygen-annealed Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ samples (O$_x$LTO) were obtained by treatment commercially available Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ (P_LTO) in flowing O$_2$ (100 vol.%) at 650 °C for 120 min. The O$_2$-annealed sample (O$_x$LTO) was then treated in flowing H$_2$ (5 vol.% in Ar) at 650 °C for 90 min to obtain hydrogen-annealed Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ (H$_x$LTO).

Structural characterization

The BET surface areas were determined by nitrogen physisorption using a TriStar II 3020 from Micromeritics. The samples were outgassed at 250 °C for 5 h before the measurement. The morphology of the samples was examined by using a ZEISS Auriga microscope. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) analyses were carried out with a field emission gun microscope FEI Tecnai F20, working at 200 kV and with a point-to-point resolution of 0.19 nm. Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) spectra were obtained in a GATAN Quantum detector coupled to the F20 microscope. X-ray power diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out on a Bruker AXS D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer with CuK$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda=1.5406$ Å). The UV/Vis spectra were recorded in diffuse reflectance mode in a PerkinElmer Lambda 950 UV/Vis spectrometer equipped with a 150 mm Int. Sphere (PerkinElmer). BaSO$_4$ was used as a reference material. The chemical composition of the surface of the LTO was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a PHI instrument model 5773 Multitechnique with A1K radiation (1486.6 eV).

Suspension preparation

The fluid electrodes were prepared by mixing the active materials and carbon additive in the electrolyte (EC:DMC in 1:1 LiPF$_6$) by magnetic stirring for overnight. The fluid electrode was flowed through the cell for, at least, 1 h before starting the experiments to further homogenize the suspension. The reservoirs were constantly stirred during the electrochemical characterization. For the suspensions, 2.5 g and 0.125 g of active and conductive additive, respectively, were added into 12 mL for TiO$_2$, and LiCoO$_2$ and into 6 mL for LTO. The poor electrical conductivity and low surface area of LTO forced us to increase the concentration of particles in the suspension to obtain decent performances. For solid electrodes, a slurry containing 75:15:10 wt.% of active material, conductive additive and poly(vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF) binder (Sigma–Aldrich) was prepared by dispersing in N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP) (Sigma–Aldrich) and mixing for overnight using magnetic stirring. The slurry was doctor-bladed onto copper foil (Schlenk) and dried at 60 °C for 1 h in air, resulting in an active material loading of about 3 mg cm$^{-2}$. 10 mm disk electrodes were punched out using a commercially available hole punch (Hoffmann) and dried overnight at 80 °C in a vacuum oven.

Electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical cells for the characterization of both solid and fluid electrode were two-electrode configuration and were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box (O$_2$ < 1 ppm and H$_2$O < 1 ppm). Pure lithium metal was used for counter and reference electrodes. Celgard 2500 and EC:DMC in 1:1 LiPF$_6$ served as separators and electrolyte, respectively. The electrochemical cell employed for testing fluid electrodes is shown in Figure S2 (Supporting Information). The dimensions of the channel were 75×4×1 mm and the current collector was metallic copper. Electrochemical measurements were performed with a Bio-Logic VMP-3 (Bio Logic SAS).

Electrical conductivity of active material

Films containing 90% of LTO and 10% of poly(vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF; binder) were prepared on copper foil by the doctor blade technique. The thickness of the resulting films was ca. 45 micrometer, which was measured with a digital external micrometer (HOLEX 421505). Discs of 12 mm diameter were cut and the electrical conductivity was estimated by 2 probe measurement using a multimeter (UNI-T UT70A).

Electrical conductivity test of suspensions

The measurements for electrical conductivity were carried out using the same cell employed for the electrochemical evaluation of fluid electrode, with the exception of the separators and the metallic lithium. Titanium current collectors were used for higher stability. The electrical resistivity of the suspension was then estimated with multimeter (Silver Electronics UT33D) knowing the section and the length of the cell (3 cm$^2$×1 mm). Note that the objective of these measurements was to compare the electrical conductivities of the three suspension (P$_x$LTO, O$_x$LTO and H$_x$LTO), rather than obtaining the exact values. To avoid changing the cell configuration factor for the different samples, the cell was not disassembled between the three experiments but it was vigorously cleaned with pure DMC.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

The solid electrode prepared for the measurement of the electrical conductivity of the active material (90:10 LTO/binder) were also employed for EIS. A typical three-electrode Swagelok cell using metallic lithium as counter and reference electrode was used. Whatman and EC/DMC in 1:1 LiPF$_6$ served as separators and electrolyte, respectively. The cell was assembled in an argon-filled glove-box, then taken outside the glove-box and tested with a BioLogic VMP-3 (Bio Logic SAS). The state of charge was tuned galvanostatically at 0.1 C. The EIS were performed in a frequency range of 100 kHz–0.020 Hz with an amplitude of 20 mV.
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