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Islet amyloid with macrophage migration correlates with augmented β-cell deficits in type 2 diabetic patients
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Abstract

Aims: Islet amyloid is a hallmark in type 2 diabetic subjects, but its implication in clinical features and development of islet pathology is still unclear.

Methods: From 118 autopsy cases with type 2 diabetes, 26 cases with islet amyloid deposition (DA+) were selected. Twenty diabetic subjects without obvious amyloid deposition (DA−) matched for the age and diabetes duration and 20 non-diabetic subjects (ND) served for comparison. We examined the severity of amyloid deposition and its relationships with population of endocrine cells, expression of cell damage markers or macrophage infiltration. Correlation of clinical profile with islet pathology was also sought on the subset of the investigated patients.

Results: β-Cell volume density was nearly 40% less in DA+ and 20% less in DA− when compared to ND. Severity of amyloid deposition correlated with reduced volume densities of β-cell and α-cell, and increased body mass index (BMI), but not with duration of diabetes, age or HbA1c. Amyloid-rich islets contained an increased number of macrophages mixed with β-cells with oxidative stress-related DNA damage, characterized by γH2AX expression, and suppressed (pro)insulin mRNA expression.

Conclusions: In Japanese type 2 diabetic patients, islet amyloid was more common with severe β-cell loss and high BMI, associated with macrophage infiltration.

Keywords: β-cell loss, macrophage, morphometry, oxidative stress, pancreatic islet, type 2 diabetes

Introduction

Over a century ago, Opie first described hyalinization of islets in diabetes [1], which was later identified as amyloid, the most representative feature of the islet pathology in type 2 diabetes [2–4]. Westermark and Cooper found that the islet amyloid was composed of islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP), or ‘amylin’ peptide [5–8]. Experimental evidence has accumulated to support the toxic role of amyloid in β-cell death in diabetes. Substantial findings to underscore such consideration, however, are still lacking in human type 2 diabetes. There are only a few studies that explored correlation of amyloid deposition with β-cell loss in human type 2 diabetic patients, but the results are contradictory [2,9–11]. Westermark and his associates found a significant reduction of islet and β-cell area in diabetic patients with amyloid-rich islets [2,10], although others could not confirm such findings [11,12]. More recently, Jürgens et al. [13] found robust β-cell loss in type 2 diabetic patients with amyloid-rich islets, which were accompanied by an increase in apoptotic β-cells.

There emerges growing evidence that suggests the involvement of proinflammatory process in the development of islet lesions in type 2 diabetes. In fact, macrophage infiltration in the islet with excessive production of cytokines and chemokines are encountered in animal and human type 2 diabetes [14,15]. Preliminary clinical trial with interleukin-1β receptor (IL-1βR) antagonist improved glucose intolerance in type 2 diabetic patients [16]. Information regarding a possible association between amyloid deposition, islet inflammation and β-cell loss in human type 2 diabetes is, however, still limited.

Prevalence of amyloid deposition was 80% or more in European and American diabetic patients [9,10], but modest in Asians, being nearly 40% in Chinese diabetic patients [17]. Among Chinese diabetic patients, islet amyloid deposition correlated with an increased pancreatic fat infiltration and fibrosis with higher levels in body mass index (BMI), blood pressure and HbA1c, compared to those without amyloid [17]. However, no information on the islet structure or the extent of β-cell loss was provided. Since islet amyloid was commonly detected in most diabetic Caucasians, the effects of amyloid...
deposition on the islet cells or clinical measures were not independently studied previously, but analyzed in the same diabetic cohort [2,13,18]. Thus, implication of amyloid deposition in the islet pathology is yet to be clarified.

We reported that amyloid deposition was less common in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients [19]. Our previous study consisted of younger diabetic subjects, 10 years in average when compared to those in Europeans or Americans reported in the literature [2,10,11,13]. We therefore consider that, with increasing subjects matched with age for investigation, it would be possible to find a significance of amyloid deposition in the development of islet pathology by comparison between amyloid-free diabetic patients and amyloid-rich diabetic patients.

Materials and methods

Subjects

From the archival autopsy files of Hirosaki University Hospital and affiliated related hospitals, 118 diabetic cases were procured from the year 2000. Diabetes was identified by their medical records and duration of diabetes was defined as the period after the clinical diagnosis or discovery of diabetes. Pancreatic tissues were obtained during full autopsies within 5 h after death and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. Multiple Pancreatic tissues were obtained during full autopsies within the period after the clinical diagnosis or discovery of diabetes. From the archival autopsy files of Hirosaki University Hospital and affiliated related hospitals, 118 diabetic cases were procured from the year 2000. Diabetes was identified by

For comparison with diabetic groups, we selected age- and sex-matched 20 non-diabetic cases without apparent amyloid deposition (ND). ND did not have an apparent history of diabetes themselves, or their family history, or previous evidence of hyperglycemia. Cases with a history of parenteral alimentation or continuous therapies with potential influences on glucose intolerance, such as steroid or cyclosporine, were not included in ND.

The study was approved by the institutional review board at the Hirosaki University School of Medicine and conformed the Declaration of Helsinki.

Pancreas specimens and detection of amyloid

From the paraffin blocks, several consecutive 4-μm thick sections were obtained. For the identification of amyloid and measurement of amyloid area, we conducted thioflavin-T staining (Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan), which showed positive green fluorescence on fluorescent microscopy (Axio-Imager M1, Carl Zeiss, Tokyo). For the determination of islet area, the sections were incubated with monoclonal antibody to chromogranin A (1:1000) (Dako Cytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) overnight, followed by incubation with alkaline phosphatase-labeled rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (1:1000, Dako). The reaction products were colorized with Vulcan Fast red chromogen (Biocare Medical, LLC, Concord, CA) and examined by fluorescent microscope. Since the pancreatic body area preserved most consistently the structural integrity, less confounded by fat infiltration or fibrosis, the data from the body represented the values in individuals in this study, as conducted by others [13]. Amyloid-positive areas were measured by point-counting method on at least 100 islets in each individual and expressed as percent area of pancreatic parenchyma, yielding the values of amyloid volume density relative to the area of pancreas parenchyma. Severity of amyloid was expressed as average percentage of amyloid area per islet area (sum of chromogranin and amyloid areas). Prevalence of amyloid-laden islets was obtained among 100 islets and expressed as a percentage in each case.

Immunostaining of islet endocrine cells, apoptosis, Ki67 and γH2AX

To characterize the composition of islet endocrine cells in each case, we conducted tetra-immunostaining of four

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male/Female</th>
<th>Non-diabetic</th>
<th>Diabetic without amyloid</th>
<th>Diabetic with amyloid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>65.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>67.8 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.7 (n = 16)</td>
<td>22.4 ± 0.6 (n = 20)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 0.7* (n = 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>11.4 ± 3.1 (n = 12)</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.2 (n = 19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.3 (n = 17)</td>
<td>7.46 ± 0.5 (n = 21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SE, *p < 0.05 versus non-diabetic, diabetic without amyloid.

BMI: Body mass index; OHA: Oral hypoglycemic agents.
and endocrine hormones; insulin, glucagon, somatostatin and pancreatic polypeptide (PP). After deparaffinization and pretreatment with autoclave for antigen retrieval, the sections were first incubated with anti-PP antibody (1:3000) (Immuno-Biological Laboratories, Ltd., Gunma, Japan), followed by streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase (SAB) system (Nichirei Co., Tokyo, Japan). They were colorized with Fermange blue (Biocare Medical). Secondly, the sections were incubated with anti-glucagon antibody (1:3000) (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) overnight, followed by incubation with SAB, and colorized with Vulcan Fast red. Thirdly, the sections were incubated with anti-insulin antibody (1:2000) (Santa Cruz Biotech. Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) followed by SAB and colorized with Vincristatin kit using diaminobenzidine as chromogen (Vector Lab. Inc., Burlingame, CA). Finally, δ-cells were labeled with anti-somatostatin antibody (1:1000) (Dako), reacted with SAB and colorized with Vina green chromogen kit (Biocare Medical). Thereafter, the sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin.

To explore cell death, proliferation rate and oxidative stress-related DNA damage, double immunostainings of anti-insulin with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick endlabeling (TUNEL) of an ApopTag® (Millipore, Bellerica, MA), Ki67 (MIB1) (1:2500) (Dako), reacted with SAB and colorized with Vina green chromogen kit (Biocare Medical). Thereafter, the sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin.

To determine the fractional β-, α-, δ- and PP-cell area, the pancreatic sections were imaged at ×40 magnification. The β-cell area (β-cell volume density) and α-cell area (α-cell volume density), δ-cell area (δ-cell volume density) and PP-cell area (PP-cell volume density) to total parenchymal area were quantified at point count basis using Image J (Version 1.56, NIH, Bethesda, MD) [19–21]. At least, 100 islets were examined in each case. When the value of pancreas weight was available, the mass of islet, β-, α-, δ- and PP-cell was obtained by multiplication of β- and α-, δ- and PP-cell volume density by pancreas weight.

To determine β-cell growth, double-positive cells with insulin and Ki67 (among over 2000 β-cells) were examined. Since apoptotic cells were rarely found, we did not quantify the number because of unfeasibility to statistical analysis. Intensities of immunoreactions of γH2AX were evaluated by comparing with positive control specimens of lymph nodes showing many positive cells in the germinal center [20,22]. The reaction of the cells was defined as positive when the nuclei were clearly stained brown compared to negative background of the exocrine acinar cells. The percentage of positive cells was calculated by evaluating more than 500 β-cells in each case and comparison was made on the average values among ND, DA+ and DA−. The analysis was conducted in a random blinded manner.

**Detection of islet macrophages**

Infiltration of macrophages into the islets was examined by double immunohistochemistry of macrophage markers [23,24] and insulin. The sections were first incubated with monoclonal CD68 antibody (as a likely marker of M1 macrophage) (1:800) (PG-M1, DAKO) or CD163 antibody (as a likely marker of M2 macrophage) (1:100) (Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA), followed by incubation with anti-insulin antibody. The reaction products were colorized as described above. Infiltrated macrophages were quantified by counting the number of nuclei from at least 50 islets and expressed as the number per unit islet area.

To further characterize the marker expression of macrophage, double immunofluorescent staining using antibodies to inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) (1:200) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) [25] with CD68 antibody was conducted on deparaffinized sections from five subjects in each group. In brief, the sections were first incubated with antibody to iNOS followed by incubation with anti-rabbit antibody labeled with Alexa Fluor® 488 (1:250) (InVitrogen, Life Technol Inc., Carlsbad, CA). Then, the sections were reacted with CD68 antibody (1:250) followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor® 594-labeled anti-mouse antibody. The stained sections were observed under fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss). Double immunofluorescent staining using CD204 (macrophage scavenger receptor) (Clone SRA-E5) (TransGenic, Kumamoto, Japan) [26,27] and CD163 antibody was also conducted in a similar manner. First, CD204 antibody (1:200) was applied on the deparaffinized sections followed by incubation with AlexaFluor® 488-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (1:250) (InVitrogen). Then the sections were reacted with CD163 antibody followed by incubation with AlexaFluor® 594-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (1:250) (InVitrogen). The double positive cells for iNOS and CD68 and for CD204 and CD163 were counted on 50 islets in each case and expressed as the number per unit area of islets. The average value was obtained in each group and compared among groups.

**In situ hybridization of (pro)insulin-mRNA**

To detect the transcript expression of (pro)insulin, in situ hybridization (ISH) was performed on pancreatic tissues from ND and DA− and DA+ (n = 6 in each group) using a previously described method [19,28]. (Pro)insulin mRNA expression of β-cells was quantified on over 20 islets by densitometric analysis (Image-J) comparing with the background signals in the acinar area and average value was obtained in each subject.

**Statistical analysis**

Data in each group are presented as mean ± standard error (SE). Relationships between amyloid deposition and clinical profile or morphometric changes of islet endocrine cells were examined by correlation analysis. Since the data of BMI, pancreas weight, and HbA1c were not complete in the investigated subjects, the comparison or correlation was
only sought among groups with available number of subjects. Statistical comparisons among ND, DA+ and DA− were carried out using analysis of variance with post-hoc Bonferroni’s corrections. The comparison of mean values between DA+ and DA− was made by non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test. A simple regression was applied to the correlation analysis. p Values of <0.05 were taken as significant (StatView, Version 5.0.1, MountainView, CA).

Results

Clinical data and amyloid deposition

Clinical profiles of 20 cases of ND and 26 DA+ or 20 DA− are summarized in Table 1. More detailed information on the age, BMI, pancreas weight, diabetes duration, HbA1c values nearest to death and the causes of death are separately described in Supplemental Table 1. DA+ group showed marked deposition of amyloid occupying large area of islets (Figure 1). The extent of amyloid deposition on thioflavin-T staining was paralleled with that observed on the sections stained with HE and Congo-red, but the area of amyloid was possible to be much more critically evaluated on the thioflavin-stained slides. Amyloid volume density in DA+ all exceeded 0.05%, whereas that in DA− was less than 0.01% (0.0009–0.0094%) (Figure 1). Eight cases (40%) in DA− were completely free from amyloid. Among 20 cases of ND, five (20%) showed minimal amyloid deposition less than 0.0034% (0.0022–0.0033%) and other 15 cases were completely free from amyloid. Average prevalence of amyloid deposition was 27.1 ± 2.8% of the islets and area occupancy (severity of amyloid deposition) was 17.5 ± 2.2% of the islet area in DA+. The prevalence correlated well with the severity of amyloid deposition in DA+ (p <0.001) (Figure 1).

There was a significant increase in BMI and male preponderance in DA+ (n = 17) compared to DA− (n = 20), but no difference in duration of diabetes between DA− (n = 19) and DA− (n = 12) or HbA1c between DA+ (n = 21) and DA− (n = 17) (Table 1). Pancreas weight was comparable among three groups (n = 18 in DA+, n = 17 in DA−, n = 19 in ND). There was no specific difference in diabetes treatment in two diabetic groups.

Ilet morphometry

Tetra-immunostaining enabled precise population of four islet endocrine cells on the same sections in each case (Figure 2A). β-Cell volume density in DA− (n = 20) was reduced 20% compared to that in ND (n = 20) (p <0.05), and that in DA+ (n = 26) was further reduced nearly 40% (Figure 2B). The difference between DA+ and DA− was significant (p <0.01).

Similarly, β-cell mass in DA+ (n = 18) was most severely depleted, followed by DA− (n = 17) compared to ND (n = 19) (Table 2). Contrariwise, α-cell volume density in DA− was increased compared to ND (p <0.05), while it was comparable to that of ND. In contrast, there was no difference in α-cell mass or the mass of δ-cells or PP-cells among three groups (Table 2). The decline of β-cell volume density did not correlate with BMI, HbA1c or duration of diabetes in DA+ (Supplemental Figure 1).

Correlation of amyloid deposition with morphometric data and clinical profile

There was an inverse correlation between amyloid volume density and β-cell volume density, indicating that the greater the amyloid area was, the smaller the β-cell volume density was (Figure 2C). In a similar manner, α-cell volume density inversely correlated with amyloid volume density. The amyloid deposition did not influence the data of δ-cells and PP-cells. There was no significant impact of age, HbA1c and duration of diabetes on the extent of amyloid deposition in DA+, while BMI significantly correlated with amyloid volume density although the subjects were limited to be partial (n = 17).

Figure 1. Amyloid deposition and endocrine cells in the islet of non-diabetic subject (ND), diabetic patient without obvious amyloid deposition (DA−) and with robust amyloid deposition (DA+), as depicted by thioflavin-T staining and chromogranin A immunoreactions on fluorescent microscopy (A). Red; chromogranin A reaction, and green for thioflavin-T staining. (B) Distribution of islet amyloid volume density in each group. DA− contained a trivial amount of amyloid (<0.005%), while amyloid volume density in DA+ exceeded 0.05%. Amyloid was negligible in ND. (C) Severity of amyloid deposition (amyloid occupancy in the islet) (%) well correlated with prevalence of amyloid-positive islets. *p <0.001 versus non-diabetic and DA−. Bar stands for SE.
β-Cell proliferation

Double immunostainings of Ki67 and insulin disclosed low positive reactions in β-cells, yielding only 0.02–0.05% in diabetic group and 0.03–0.06% in ND. There was no difference in the average Ki67 positivity of β-cell and α-cell among three groups. Other δ-cells and PP-cells were infrequently positive for Ki67 and there was no difference in the appearance among three groups.

Macrophage infiltration

Within the amyloid-laden islets, there were frequently irregular-shaped cells buried in the amyloid or around the microvessels, positive for CD68 or CD163 (Figure 3A). There were only a few macrophages in amyloid-free islets in ND or DA−. Quantification of macrophages demonstrated a significant increase in the appearance of CD68- but not of CD163-cells in DA+ compared to ND and DA− (both

Figure 2. Islet endocrine cells tetra-immunostained in investigated subjects and correlation of amyloid deposition with β- and α-cell volume density or clinical parameters. (A) Tetra-immunostaining revealed distribution of four types of endocrine cells in the islets of non-diabetic subject (ND), diabetic subject without obvious amyloid deposition (DA−) and with amyloid deposition (DA+) (brown; β-cells, red; α-cells, green; δ-cells, blue; PP-cells). (B) There was a significant reduction of β-cell volume density in amyloid-free diabetic group (DA−) compared to non-diabetic group (ND) (*p < 0.05), and amyloid-rich diabetic group (DA+) showed further reduction (p < 0.01 versus ND and DA−). α-Cell volume density was greater in DA− compared to ND (†p < 0.05) but that of DA+ was comparable to ND. (C) There was an inverse correlation between β-cell and α-cell volume density with amyloid volume density (p < 0.05). Clinicopathological analysis revealed a correlation between amyloid volume density and BMI (n = 17) (p < 0.05), but not HbA1c (n = 21).

Table 2. Morphometric data on the islet and endocrine cells in non-diabetic and diabetic groups with or without amyloid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Islet mass (g)</th>
<th>β-cell mass (g)</th>
<th>α-cell mass (g)</th>
<th>δ-cell mass (g)</th>
<th>PP-cell mass (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-diabetic</td>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic without amyloid</td>
<td>n = 17</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.15*</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic with amyloid</td>
<td>n = 18</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.12†</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SE.

*p < 0.05 versus non-diabetic; †p < 0.01 versus non-diabetic.
Population of CD163 cells was slightly increased in DA+ compared to ND but it was not significant. The increased population of CD68 to CD163 cells was significantly greater in DA+ compared to those in ND and DA− (\(p<0.001\)). Infiltration of CD68 cells paralleled with amyloid volume density (\(p<0.05\)).

\(p<0.001\) versus ND and DA+ (Figure 3B). Population of CD163 cells was slightly increased in DA− compared to ND but it was not significant. The increased population of CD68 cell well correlated with severity of amyloid volume density (\(p<0.05\)) (Figure 3B), but not with \(\beta\)-cell volume density in DA+ (Supplemental Figure 2). CD68 cell infiltration was independent of an increase in BMI because comparison of BMI-matched DA+ (1.83 ± 0.75/mm²; \(n=4\)) and DA− groups (0.46 ± 0.10/mm²; \(n=10\)) in subjects with BMI less than 23 still showed a higher population of CD68 cells in DA+.

iNOS-positive cells were mostly consistent with CD68-positive cells, whereas CD163-positive cells were also positive for CD204. Quantification disclosed a significant increase in iNOS-positive CD68 cells in the islet of DA+ compared to those in ND and DA− (Figure 4A). In contrast to iNOS, there was no significant difference in the population of CD204-positive CD163 cells among groups (Figure 4B).

**Identification of \(\gamma\)H2AX-positive \(\beta\)-cells**

Immunostainings of \(\gamma\)H2AX revealed clearly positive reactions in the nuclei of \(\beta\)-cells in contrast to negative background of acinar cells or other stromal cells (Figure 5A). Population of positive cells for \(\gamma\)H2AX was 0.47 ± 0.3% in average in ND, whereas it was 3.91 ± 1.3% in DA+, significantly greater in the latter (\(p<0.01\)). In contrast, despite a trend toward an increase, there was no significant increase in \(\gamma\)H2AX-positive cells in DA− compared to ND. Other endocrine cells were infrequently positive for \(\gamma\)H2AX. There was an inverse correlation between the population of \(\gamma\)H2AX-positive cells and \(\beta\)-cell volume density (Figure 5B). We could not find a significant
correlation, however, between γH2AX-positivity and amyloid volume density or CD68 cell population (Supplemental Figure 3).

**In situ** hybridization of (pro)insulin-mRNA revealed positive reactions in the cytoplasm of β-cells in all three groups (Figure 6). While expressions in ND were intense, those in DA– and DA+ were suppressed. Semiquantitative estimations confirmed a significant reduction of (pro)insulin mRNA expressions in DA+ compared to those in ND, whereas the difference was not significant between ND and DA–. The population of CD204-positive CD163 cells was comparable among three groups although there was a trend to increase in DA+.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrated the augmented β-cell loss in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients with islet amyloid deposition. These findings are consistent with the results obtained from Caucasian diabetic patients [2,13]. Our findings also confirmed the association of amyloid deposition with increased infiltrates of macrophages [14,29]. We further demonstrated an increased population of γH2AX-positive β-cells and suppressed expression of (pro)insulin mRNA in amyloid-laden islets. Since these findings were less common in amyloid-free diabetic subjects, we suggest that amyloid deposition itself promotes β-cell damage that results in severe β-cell deficit.

Proinflammatory activation in the islet has recently been implicated in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes [30,31]. Consistent with the previous findings in Caucasian diabetic subjects [15], we found increased infiltration of macrophages in the islets, notably in islets with amyloid deposition (Figure 3). Population of macrophage in the islet intimately correlated with amyloid volume density. It is intriguing that the CD68 cells with iNOS expression were predominant over CD163 cells with CD204 expression. Hence, proinflammatory activation within the islet that associates accelerated β-cell loss may be comparable to other proinflammatory conditions in adipose tissues [32,33] or vascular walls with atherosclerotic changes encountered.
in insulin-resistant type 2 diabetes [34,35]. Under these circumstances, polarization of M1 over M2 macrophage population is recently proposed to be crucial for the progression of the lesions [30,31].

There is a possibility that macrophage infiltration may be secondary to the obesity since average BMI was significantly greater in DA+ than DA−. However, comparison of macrophage infiltration between DA+ and DA− matched with BMI (<23) confirmed higher population of CD68 cells in DA+. Thus, it may be speculated that amyloid has a causal role in the induction of proinflammatory activation in the islets in type 2 diabetes. In keeping with this contention, IAPP impairs the survival of β-cells in isolated islets by releasing cytokines such as IL-1β and IL-6 [36,37]. Activation of proinflammatory macrophages elicited by amyloid via inflammasome may release IL-1β, which in turn causes severe β-cell injury [38]. The fact that treatment with IL-1βR blockade ameliorated glucose control in type 2 diabetic patients may be supportive for the involvement of amyloid-related inflammation in the progression of this disease [16]. Nevertheless, other pathogenetic mechanisms of accelerated β-cell loss by amyloid fibrils or IAPP oligomers should also be taken into account. In fact, direct toxicity of amyloid fibrils to β-cells was demonstrated in in vitro studies [39,40]. Alternatively, IAPP suppresses the protective role of ER stress or autophagic processes, leading augmentation of β-cell injury [41,42].

Consistent with the results from Chinese diabetic patients [17], average BMI was greater in amyloid-rich diabetic group than that in amyloid-free diabetic group. We also found a correlation between amyloid volume density and BMI (Figure 2). However, we could not find a correlation between amyloid deposition and HbA1c, or duration of diabetes. The lower prevalence and severity of amyloid deposition in Japanese type 2 diabetic subjects may be attributed to much smaller average BMI (22–24) compared to American subjects (30–32). Despite the failure to find any other clinically relevant factors, we consider that insulin-resistance associated with increased BMI is possibly implicated in the trigger of amyloid deposition. Future investigations with increasing number of subjects may confirm the implication of such variables in the genesis of amyloid.

Compared with Caucasian type 2 diabetic patients, amyloid deposition was less common in Japanese diabetic patients.
The relative infrequency of amyloid in our series may be due to the preparation of the slides from the body of the pancreas. Although the frequencies of amyloid-laden islets are described to be more common in the tail [10,43], the values of the body well reflected the amyloid areas in previous studies [10,13,43]. Consequently, our data are close to those in Chinese type 2 diabetic patients, but the prevalence of amyloid deposition appears to be still lower, perhaps due to the subjects with 10 years age difference. Despite the difference in the frequency and severity of amyloid deposition between Caucasians and Japanese subjects, our study indicated that its impact on $\beta$-cells was extremely similar, possibly promoting $\beta$-cell decline in type 2 diabetic patients. Both ethnic and environmental factors including life style and dietary components may also be involved in the above process.

It is clear that our study suffers from a number of limitations. Our clinical information on the investigated subjects is limited due to the insufficiency of the record at the terminal stage. It may also be suspected that the data may be confounded by the complicated diseases in their subjects such as severe arteriosclerosis or kidney failure [17]. Nevertheless, we believe that the relationships between BMI or HbA1c and islet morphometric data indicate the factors for the development of islet pathology. There might be a criticism on our method to evaluate only on the pancreas body. However, the tail is difficult for morphometry because of the frequent presence of fat infiltration. To increase the reproducibility of the results, we made an endeavor to investigate as many as the islets in a blinded way incorporating at least 15–20 frames including more than 100 islets. From our previous studies, approximately 100 islets are sufficient to obtain standardized data for islet morphometry [19,20]. Obviously, further investigations should be warranted to explore the precise role of amyloid deposition in the development of islet lesions in type 2 diabetes.
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