The tumor suppressor Lgl1 regulates NMII-A cellular distribution and focal adhesion morphology to optimize cell migration
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ABSTRACT The Drosophila tumor suppressor Lethal (2) giant larvae (Lgl) regulates the apico-basal polarity in epithelia and asymmetric cell division. However, little is known about the role of Lgl in cell polarity in migrating cells. In this study we show direct physiological interactions between the mammalian homologue of Lgl (Lgl1) and the nonmuscle myosin II isoform A (NMII-A). We demonstrate that Lgl1 and NMII-A form a complex in vivo and provide data that Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly in vitro. Furthermore, depletion of Lgl1 results in the unexpected presence of NMII-A in the cell leading edge, a region that is not usually occupied by this protein, suggesting that Lgl1 regulates the cellular localization of NMII-A. Finally, we show that depletion of Lgl1 affects the size and number of focal adhesions, as well as cell polarity, membrane dynamics, and the rate of migrating cells. Collectively these findings indicate that Lgl1 regulates the polarity of migrating cells by controlling the assembly state of NMII-A, its cellular localization, and focal adhesion assembly.

INTRODUCTION

The establishment and maintenance of cell polarity are crucial for a diverse range of biological processes, including cell migration, asymmetric cell division, and epithelial apico-basal cell polarity. Cell polarity during cell migration is important to distinguish random cell migration, in which cells migrate in all directions in a noncoordinated manner, from directed cell migration, in which cells respond to polarizing cues to migrate in a given direction. In both cases, cell polarity is required to generate a front-rear axis (for review see Ridley et al., 2003). The gene product of Drosophila Lethal (2) giant larvae (Lgl) is essential for the development of polarized epithelia and for cell polarity associated with asymmetric cell division of neuroblasts during fly development (Bilder et al., 2000; Bilder and Perrimon, 2000; Ohshiro et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2000). Lgl is composed of two domains. The N-terminal region folds into two β-propellers, a structure that provides a docking platform for simultaneous interactions with multiple proteins. The C-terminal domain is an Lgl family-specific domain with unknown function (for review see Vasioukhin, 2006). Mammals have two Lgl homologues, Lgl1 and Lgl2. Lgl1 message is almost ubiquitously expressed, and Lgl2 is expressed in a tissue-specific manner and is necessary for proper placental development (Klezovitch et al., 2004; Sripathy et al., 2011). Loss of Lgl1 in mice results in formation of neuroepithelial rosette-like structures, similar to the neuroblastic rosettes in human primitive neuroectodermal tumors. Newborn Lgl1-knockout mice develop severe hydrocephalus and die neonatally (Klezovitch et al., 2004). In addition, the expression of Lgl1 is strongly reduced in several tumor cell lines (Schimanski et al., 2005; Kuphal et al., 2006). Lgl1 is phosphorylated by atypical protein kinase C isoform ζ (aPKCζ), and this phosphorylation is important for the correct polarization in embryonic fibroblasts in response to wounding (Plant et al., 2003) and for centrosome reorientation in astrocytes (Etienne-Manneville, 2008).

Biochemical and genetic analyses suggest that the Drosophila Lgl is the component of the cytoskeleton that interacts with nonmuscle myosin II (NMII), and this interaction is regulated by the phosphorylation of Lgl (Strand et al., 1994a, 1994b; Kalmes et al.,...
NMII is an actin-based motor protein that is important for cell migration through its effects on adhesion, lamellar protrusion, rear retraction, and polarity (for reviews see Conti and Adelstein, 2008; Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009b). NMII is a hexamer composed of two heavy chains of ~200 kDa and two pairs of essential and regulatory light chains of 17 and 20 kDa (for reviews see Tan et al., 1992; Bresnick, 1999). The heavy chains include the α-helical coiled-coil rod domain responsible for the assembly of NMII monomers into filaments, the functional structures required for NMII activity, and contain the phosphorylation sites for several kinases (Murakami et al., 2000; Dulyaninova et al., 2005; Even-Faitelson and Ravid, 2006; Rosenberg and Ravid, 2006; Ronen and Ravid, 2009). Mammalian cells express three different NMII isoforms—NMII-A, NMII-B, and NMII-C (Shohet et al., 1989; Simons et al., 1991; Golomb et al., 2004). In migrating fibroblasts, the NMII isoforms play different roles in cell polarization. NMII-A is dynamic and assembles actomyosin bundles in protrusions, specifically mediating retraction of the trailing edge during migration. In contrast, NMII-B incorporates into preformed F-actin bundles and remains stationary, defining the center and rear of the migrating cell (for review see Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009b).

The role of Lgl1 in apical–basal cell polarity has been studied extensively; however little is known about its role in polarization of migrating cells. In this study, we show that Lgl1 interacts directly with NMII-A, inhibiting its filament assembly in vitro. We provide data that Lgl1 restricts NMII-A from the cell leading edge and regulates the morphology of focal adhesions. Finally, we show that Lgl1 is required for proper cell polarization of migrating cells.

RESULTS
Lgl1 interacts directly with NMII-A
Studies in Drosophila indicate that Lgl is associated with NMII (Strand et al., 1994a, 1994b). To find out whether a similar interaction also occurs in mammalian cells, we tested whether mammalian Lgl interacts with NMII-A. For this purpose we transfected Cos-7 cells with constructs encoding for Lgl1 tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP; GFP-Lgl1) and NMII-A tagged with mCherry (mCherry-NMII-A). As shown in Figure 1A in the two rightmost columns, Lgl1 coimmunoprecipitated with NMII-A, indicating that the two proteins interact in vivo. In contrast, NMII-A was not detected in GFP immunoprecipitated from Cos-7 cells lysates transfected with GFP only, indicating that the interaction between Lgl1 and NMII-A is specific. To provide additional evidence for this Lgl1–NMII-A association, a reciprocal experiment was performed. For this purpose endogenous NMII-A was immunoprecipitated from HEK293T cells transfected with GFP-Lgl1 and subjected to Western blot analysis using GFP antibodies. As shown in Figure 1A in the two leftmost columns, GFP-Lgl1 was detected in NMII-A immunoprecipitates, further indicating that the two proteins form a complex in vivo. To test whether this interaction is direct, we performed pull-down assays using recombinant Lgl1 and its N- and C-terminal domains fused to maltose-binding protein (MBP; MBP-Lgl1) and C-terminal Rod fragment of NMII-A (Figure 2B, NMII-A Rod). It is apparent that NMII-A Rod interacted directly with MBP-Lgl1-C but not with MBP-Lgl1-N (Figure 1B). These results are in agreement with the previous finding that the Drosophila NMII-binding domain to Lgl resides within the 515 amino acids of the Lgl C-terminal domain (Betschinger et al., 2005). Of interest, NMII-A Rod did not bind to full-length MBP-Lgl1 (Figure 1B). To test whether the absence of this binding was due to the expression of misfolded Lgl1, we examined the ability of MBP-Lgl1 to bind to NMII-A in cell extract obtained from the SW620 cell line that expresses endogenous NMII-A (Figure 1C).

Both MBP-Lgl1 and MBP-Lgl1-C coprecipitate with NMII-A (Figure 1C), indicating that full-length MBP-Lgl1 can bind NMII-A in cell extract. We hypothesize that the bacterially expressed MBP-Lgl1 has a folded conformation, so its binding domain to NMII-A is not accessible. For this reason, in a pull-down assay using purified proteins, MBP-Lgl1 does not bind to NMII-A Rod. However, SW620 cells express a protein (or proteins) that unfolds the MBP-Lgl1. On addition of MBP-Lgl1 to SW620 cell extract, this protein binds to MBP-Lgl1, exposing its binding site to NMII-A and allowing the interaction between these two proteins. This hypothesis is supported by the findings that the Drosophila Lgl is found in an autoinhibited form in which the N-terminus interacts with the C-terminus, preventing it from binding to the cytoskeleton (Betschinger et al., 2005).

Mapping of Lgl1 and NMII-A interacting domains
To define the region of Lgl1 that mediates its interactions with NMII-A, we generated a series of MBP-Lgl1-C terminal deletions...
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Lgl1 interferes with NMII-A filament assembly

Lgl1 binds to NMII-A via a region that is important for NMII filament assembly (Sohn et al., 1997; Dulyaninova et al., 2005; McLachlan and Karn, 1982; Atkinson and Stewart, 1992) was conserved. We found that this deletion abolished the binding of MBP-Lgl1-C to NMII-A(1812–1867) Rod (Figure 3B). Together these results indicate that Lgl1 and NMII-A interact directly, and this interaction is mediated by residues 645–677 of Lgl1 and residues 1817–1842 of NMII-A Rod.
NMII-A–binding domain, had only a minor effect on the assembly of NMII-A, with 67% of NMII-A Rods in filaments (Figure 4). These results indicate that Lgl1-C binding to NMII-A Rod shifts the monomer–polymer equilibrium of NMII-A toward the monomeric, unassembled state.

Lgl1 depletion affects NMII-A distribution in the cell leading edge and focal adhesion morphology

To discover whether Lgl1 regulates NMII-A filament assembly also in vivo, we characterized the cellular localization properties of these proteins in migrating cells. For this purpose we transfected fibroblast cells with construct encoding for GFP-Lgl1 and subjected them to wound scratch assay to achieve polarized migrating cells. In migrating polarized cells, GFP-Lgl1 colocalized with F-actin at the cell leading edge (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S1). NMII-A was present in the lamellum and absent from the lamellipodium (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S1) as previously described (Kolega, 1998; Gupton and Waterman-Storer, 2006; Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2007). These observations were confirmed by quantification of the fluorescence intensity of GFP-Lgl1, F-actin, and NMII-A from the cell leading edge into the cell center (Figure 5B). NMII-A level was very low at the cell edge and rose gradually toward the cell center (Figure 5B). The fluorescence intensity of GFP-Lgl1 and F-actin revealed a similar pattern with maximum fluorescence at the cell edge (Figure 5B). These results confirm the presence of Lgl1 at the cell leading edge and its colocalization with F-actin and the absence of NMII-A from this region.
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FIGURE 4: Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly. NMII-A Rod alone or with Lgl1-C or Lgl1-CΔ(645–677) was subjected to filament assembly assay. Assays were performed using a buffer containing 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM NaCl. Values represent the mean ± SD for three independent experiments.

FIGURE 5: Lgl1 colocalizes with F-actin at the cell leading edge. (A) NIH 3T3 fibroblast cells were transfected with GFP-Lgl1, subjected to wound scratch assay, and stained for F-actin using rhodamine–phalloidin and for NMII-A using C-terminal–specific antibody conjugated to Cy5. The red line in the NMII-A column indicates the leading edge of the cell as determined by the F-actin staining. The white box in the merge column indicates the position of the inset for higher magnification shown in the rightmost column. Green and pink arrows in the rightmost column indicate the localization of NMII-A and the colocalization of Lgl1-1 and F-actin, respectively. Bars in Lgl1 and Zoom, 20 and 10 μm, respectively.

(B) Quantification of fluorescence intensity of Lgl1, F-actin, and NMII-A measured from the cell edge (0 μm) into the cell center (10 μm). The data shown are the mean ± SE of n ≥ 10 cells. The experiment was repeated at least three times with similar results. A.U., arbitrary units.
These observations were confirmed by quantification of the fluorescence intensity of NMII-A and F-actin from the leading edge into the cell center. In control cells the NMII-A level was very low in the first 4 μm adjacent to the cell edge (Figure 6B). In contrast, the fluorescence intensity of NMII-A in Lgl1-depleted cells indicated that it presented at the cell leading edge (Figure 6B). Furthermore, the fluorescence intensity pattern of NMII-A and F-actin in Lgl1-depleted cells was similar and the maximum fluorescence was detected at the cell edge (Figure 6B). These results confirm that in shLgl1 cells NMII-A presents at the cell leading edge and colocalizes with F-actin, indicating that in the absence of Lgl1, NMII-A reaches areas in the cell that normally are not accessible to this protein. Together with the findings that Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly in vitro, these results may indicate that Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly at the lamellipodium.

NMII-A mediates several major component processes that drive migration, among them the initiation and maturation of adhesion

To determine whether Lgl1 affects the spatial segregation of NMII-A during cell migration, we generated two knockdown vectors expressing small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) specific for Lgl1, shLgl1-seq1, and shLgl1-seq2, respectively, that inhibit Lgl1 expression with high specificity. shLgl1-seq1 or shLgl1-seq2 treatment of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts leads to ~95% decrease in Lgl1 expression compared with control shRNA (Supplemental Figure S2). Furthermore, the expression of Lgl2 was not affected, indicating that the knockdown was specific for the Lgl1 isoform (Supplemental Figure S2). In the experiments described later we used shLgl1-seq1 and shLgl1-seq2 cell lines and referred to them as shLgl1 cells. To test whether Lgl1 affects the cellular localization of NMII-A in polarized migrating cells, shLgl1 cells were subjected to the wound scratch assay and stained for NMII-A and F-actin. Immunofluorescence localization of NMII-A indicated that whereas in control cells NMII-A was absent from lamellipodium, in shLgl1 cells NMII-A was localized in the lamellipodium along with F-actin (Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure S3). These observations were confirmed by quantification of the fluorescence intensity of NMII-A and F-actin from the leading edge into the cell center. In control cells the NMII-A level was very low in the first 4 μm adjacent to the cell edge (Figure 6B). In contrast, the fluorescence intensity of NMII-A in Lgl1-depleted cells indicated that it presented at the cell leading edge (Figure 6B). Furthermore, the fluorescence intensity pattern of NMII-A and F-actin in Lgl1-depleted cells was similar and the maximum fluorescence was detected at the cell edge (Figure 6B). These results confirm that in shLgl1 cells NMII-A presents at the cell leading edge and colocalizes with F-actin, indicating that in the absence of Lgl1, NMII-A reaches areas in the cell that normally are not accessible to this protein. Together with the findings that Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly in vitro, these results may indicate that Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly at the lamellipodium.
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FIGURE 7: Lgl1 depletion affects the size and number of focal adhesions. (A) shLgl1 and control cells were subjected to wound scratch assay and immunostained with anti-mouse vinculin antibody. Bar, 20 μm. (B) Average number of focal adhesion sites per 50 μm² of cell protrusion. Values are the mean ± SD from three independent experiments subjected to two-tailed, two-sample, unequal-variance Student's t test, \( t_{\text{control vs. shLgl1}} = 5.66 \times 10^{-5} \). (C) Size distribution of vinculin-containing focal adhesions (FAs; \( n \geq 40 \) cells). Values are the mean ± SD from three independent experiments subjected to two-tailed, two-sample, unequal-variance Student's t test, \( t_{\text{control vs. shLgl1 of FA=0.25 μm²}} = 1.22 \times 10^{-7} \), \( t_{\text{control vs. shLgl1 of FA=0.25-1 μm²}} = 0.32 \), and \( t_{\text{control vs. shLgl1 of FA>1 μm²}} = 1.56 \times 10^{-4} \).

sites (Giannone et al., 2007; Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2007). Because the cellular localization of NMII-A in migrating cells is affected by Lgl1 depletion, we next assessed how the absence of Lgl1 could affect focal adhesion morphology in migrating polarized cells. Control cells showed well-defined adhesions in protrusions, as well as some small adhesions near the leading edge (Figure 7A) as described previously (Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2007). In contrast, shLgl1 cells showed a marked increase in the number of focal adhesions (Figure 7B). To determine the role of Lgl1 in focal adhesion maturation, we analyzed the focal adhesion size in shLgl1 and control cells. Depletion of Lgl1 promoted small, nascent focal adhesions \(<0.25 \mu m²\) and significantly decreased the proportion of large, mature focal adhesions \(>1 \mu m²\) compared with control (Figure 7C). Collectively these results may suggest that Lgl1 is involved in the formation and maturation of focal adhesion via the regulation of NMII-A filament assembly.

Lgl1 depletion affects membrane dynamics and cell migration

NMII-A plays an important role in cell migration, as it is essential for the retraction of the cell edges, as well as for adhesion maturation at the cell front (Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2007). To determine whether the abnormal cellular localization of NMII-A and focal adhesion morphology upon Lgl1 depletion are accompanied by alterations in cell spreading, membrane dynamics during spreading of control and shLgl1 cells was examined by time-lapse video microscopy. On adhesion, control cells generally produced stable lamellipodia that gradually extended and did not collapse or retract back into the cell body. By contrast, shLgl1 cells extended and retracted numerous short-lived protrusions, resulting in a highly irregular peripheral edge (Figure 8 and Supplemental Movies S1 and S2). These data show that Lgl1 depletion leads to high levels of activity at the cell periphery that are characterized by the rapid formation and retraction of small protrusions. Together these results indicate that Lgl1 affects membrane dynamics by the regulation of focal adhesion formation.

To determine whether Lgl1 affects cell migration, we assessed the ability of shLgl1 cells to initiate migration in a wound scratch assay. In contrast to control cells that migrated in one sheet to close the wound, some of the shLgl1 cells detached from the cell sheet and moved in different directions as single cells or as a group of few cells (Figure 9A). Furthermore, Lgl1-depleted cells had elongated morphology with long extensions (Figure 9A, arrows), whereas control cells exhibited broad lamellipodia (Figure 9A, arrowheads). To further examine the behavior of shLgl1 cells, cells that had been subjected to the wound scratch assay were stained for F-actin (Figure 9B). It is apparent that control cells formed one sheet with the same cell polarity as determined by the orientation of F-actin. In contrast, shLgl1 cells exhibited different cell polarities, with some cells detached from the main sheet. Collectively these results indicate that depletion of Lgl1 resulted in a loss of cell–cell contact and in independent migration of detached cells into the wound space. The aberrant migration of Lgl1-depleted cells meant that it was difficult to determine the rate of wound closure by shLgl1 cells. We therefore quantified the wound-induced migration during the first 2 h of wound healing. The migration rate of shLgl1 was more than twofold higher than that of control cells (33.5 ± 4.71 and 15 ± 4.65 μm/h, respectively; Figure 9C). These results are consistent with the findings that reexpression of Lgl1 in melanoma cell lines that have low levels of Lgl1 expression decreases cell migration and increases cell adhesion (Kuphal et al., 2006). Collectively these results indicate that depletion of Lgl1 leads to aberrant cell migration.

DISCUSSION

Lgl1, an evolutionarily conserved and widely expressed cytoskeletal protein, is indispensable for the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity (for review see Assemat et al., 2008). However, the mechanism by which Lgl1 contributes to the establishment of migrating cell polarity is poorly understood. Our data provide a step toward understanding this mechanism. We showed that Lgl1 interacts directly with NMII-A and inhibits NMII-A filament assembly. Furthermore, in the absence of Lgl1, NMII-A localizes to the cell leading edge, a region that is normally uninhabited by NMII-A, and focal adhesion appeared prematurely, leading to abnormal membrane dynamics and cell migration.
interaction with the ACD—a process that is required for filament assembly. The Lgl1 domain that interacts with NMII-A is positively charged and contains the phosphorylation sites for aPKC (Figure 10B). We propose that the interaction between Lgl1 and NMII-A is electrostatic, and phosphorylation of Lgl1 by aPKC decreases the positive charge of the Lgl1-interacting domain, thus preventing the binding of Lgl1 to NMII-A and so regulating the interaction between Lgl1 and NMII-A. Support for this hypothesis comes from the findings that phosphorylation of Drosophila Lgl dissociates it from the cytoskeleton (Betschinger et al., 2005).

Cell migration is a highly regulated and coordinated process. It comprises several different yet integrated steps, which include polarization, protrusion, and adhesion formation and turnover at the cell front, along with adhesion disassembly and tail retraction at the cell rear (for review see Lauffenburger and Horwitz, 1996; Ridley et al., 2003). In migrating fibroblasts, the NMII isoforms play different roles in cell polarization. NMII-A is dynamic and assembles actomyosin bundles in protrusions; by contrast, NMII-B incorporates into preformed F-actin bundles and remains stationary, defining the center and rear of the migrating cell (for review see Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2008). Our observations provide new insights into the mechanism by which NMII-A is excluded from lamellipodial extensions of migrating fibroblasts. We showed that Lgl1 presents in the cell leading edge, facilitating NMII-A exclusion from this region. In the absence of Lgl1, NMII-A is able to reach cellular regions that are not accessible to it when Lgl1 presents. Our results are consistent with the findings that asymmetric segregation in Drosophila neuroblasts is achieved in part by the restriction of NMII to the apical cortex by Lgl (Barros et al., 2003).

An important step in cell migration is the formation and turnover of adhesion at the cell front. Although the formation of nascent focal complexes is NMII-A independent, the formation of fully developed, mature focal adhesion requires NMII-A motor activity (Choi et al., 2008). Mature adhesions are believed to transmit strong forces from the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix (Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009a) to promote adhesion turnover in cell migration (Webb et al., 2004; Zaidel-Bar et al., 2007). We suggest that the decrease in focal adhesion maturation in shLgl1 cells is the result of the unusual cellular localization of NMII-A in the leading edge. Finally, we provided a clue for the role of Lgl1 in establishing cell polarity of migrating cells. We demonstrated that Lgl1-knockdown cells present two unique characterizations during migration—detachment from the cell sheet and migration in different directions, in contrast to control cells, which move as one sheet in one direction. We propose that cell polarity of migrating cells is achieved by excluding NMII-A from the cell leading edge by Lgl1. The failure to exclude NMII-A from the leading edge of cells results in the appearance of nonpolarized cells and their detachment from the cell sheet. We further suggest that the faster migration of Lgl1-depleted cells compared with control cells is caused by movement of these cells in groups or as single cells and not as one sheet. It is therefore

Using the pull-down assay, we identified residues 1816–1842 of the NMII-A heavy chain as the Lgl1-interacting domain. This site maps to a region that is important for NMII filament assembly (Sohn et al., 1997; Dulyaninova et al., 2005; Nakasawa et al., 2005; Rosenberg et al., 2008). Sohn et al. (1997) identified a 29–amino acid region near the C-terminal end that is essential for filament formation and named it the assembly-competent domain (ACD). Further analysis of this region indicated that within the 29 amino acids of the ACD there are four positively charged amino acids (1918, 1920, 1922, and 1923) that are crucial for filament assembly (Figure 10A; Straussman, 2005). Previous work in our laboratory identified four negatively charged amino acids (1820, 1821, 1824, and 1826) starting 98 amino acids N-terminal to the ACD (Figure 10A) that are also important for filament assembly (Straussman, 2005), and this region was termed the complementary ACD (cACD). The 98–amino acid distance between the ACD and the cACD equals the stagger between every two myosin II molecules that build an antiparallel filament (Huxley, 1957). We proposed that in the process of NMII filament assembly, the ACD region of a new NMII Rod that joins a growing filament interacts with the cACD region of another NMII molecule. The distance between the ACD and the cACD must therefore equal the stagger. Attraction between the ACD and cACD regions can thus direct the joining rod and dictate the stagger (Straussman, 2005).

Examination of the Lgl1 and NMII-A interacting domains indicated that the Lgl1 domain, which contains positive amino acids, binds to a region of NMII-A that contains the negatively charged cACD (Figure 10B). It is therefore plausible that Lgl1 inhibits NMII-A filament assembly by binding to the cACD and preventing it from forming.

**FIGURE 8:** The effect of Lgl1 on membrane dynamics. (A) Membrane dynamics of shLgl1 and control cell lines was analyzed using time-lapse confocal microscopy. Representative images at the indicated time points of the movie are shown. (B) Contours of the cell boundaries of the selected shLgl1 and control cells displayed in A.
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**FIGURE 9:** The effect of Lgl1 on directed cell migration. (A) Migration of shLgl1 and control cell lines was stimulated by scratching a cell monolayer with a pipette tip and analyzed by time-lapse confocal microscopy. Shown are images taken 5 h after the scratch. White boxes indicate the position of insets for higher magnification shown in the left corner for each cell line image. Arrowheads point to the broad lamellipodia exhibited by control cells. Arrows point to cell extensions exhibited by Lgl1-depleted cells. (B) shLgl1 and control cells were subjected to wound scratch, fixed, and stained for F-actin with rhodamine-phalloidin. Bar, 20 μm. (C) The average rate of wound closure 2 h after wounding. Values are the mean ± SD from three independent experiments subjected to two-tailed, two-sample, unequal-variance Student’s t test, \( P_{\text{control vs. shLgl1}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \).

**FIGURE 10:** A model depicting the role of Lgl1 binding to NMII-A. (A) Schematic presentation of the role of ACD and cACD in NMII-A filament assembly. The sequences important for the interaction between NMII-A monomers are indicated. (B) Lgl1 and NMII-A interacting domain sequences. Arrows indicate the serines that are phosphorylated by aPKC, positively charged amino acids are indicated in blue, and negatively charged amino acids are indicated in red. ACD, blue frame; cACD, red frame. Numbers represent amino acid positions in the full-length proteins.
To create pMBP-Lgl1-CΔ(645–677), Munl site was added to pMBP-Lgl1-C by site-directed mutagenesis using primer 5′-CCC CAA TGAT TCT TTG GCA ATT GAG GGG CCA CTG TC-3′, followed by digestion with EcoRI and Munl to get three fragments, 96 base pairs, 1.3 kb, and 7 kb, and the last two fragments were ligated. To achieve the correct reading frame, the vector was subjected to site-directed mutagenesis with the primer 5′-CAA TGA TTC TTT GGC AAC TCC TGC TGC CAG TAG C-3′. To create pGFP-Lgl1, pMBP-Lgl1 was digested with BamHI, and the fragment was ligated into pGFP-C2 plasmid digested with BamHI.

Construction of NMII-A Rod mutants
pGFP-NMII-A was a kind gift from R. S Adelstein. To create pET21-NMII-A(1480–1816), a terminator codon was added to pET21-NMII-A-Rod (Ronen and Ravid, 2009) by site-directed mutagenesis using primer 5′-CCT CGA GGC CAT TTG ACA GCT GGA GGA GC-3′. To create pET21-NMII-A(1840–1842), a terminator codon was added in pET21-NMII-A-Rod by site-directed mutagenesis using primer 5′- GTC GGA CCC AGA AGT AGC TGA AGG ATG TGC-3′. To create pET21-NMII-Aa(1812–1867), two AatII sites were added in pET21-NMII-A-Rod by site-directed mutagenesis using the primers 5′-GGC CTC CAT CAC CGA CGT CGA GGC CAA-3′ and 5′-CCA GGC CCA GAA GAC TGC TAC CCG CCT GAA G-3′. The plasmid was digested with AatII and self-ligated. To achieve the correct reading frame, the plasmid was subjected to site-directed mutagenesis with the primer 5′-CCT CCA TCA CCC AGG CAG CTG CTA CCC GCC-3′. To create Cherry-NMII-A, pmCherry-C3 (provided by Michael Brandeis, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel) was digested with Ndel and Scal, and the fragment was cloned into pGFP-NMII-A digested with Ndel and Scal.

Bacterial expression and purification of MBP-Lgl1 and NMII-A protein fragments
pMBP-Lgl1 constructs were transformed to Escherichia coli BL21-CodonPlus(DE3)-RI (obtained from Tsafi Danieli, Hebrew University of Jerusalem), and the bacteria were grown in 100 ml of Luria broth (LB) with 50 μg/ml ampicillin at 37°C to OD600 nm = 0.5, and then 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside was added and the bacteria were grown for an additional 3 h at 25°C. The bacteria were pelleted at 12,000 × g at 4°C for 20 min, and the pellets were frozen at −20°C. The bacterial pellet was dissolved in 4 ml of MBP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl2, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM diithiothreitol [DTT], and 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]) and 1 mg/ml lysozyme and incubated on ice for 15 min. The lysate was sonicated for 5 × 10 s (Misonix Microson Ultrasonic Cell Disruptor, Qsonica, Newtown, CT) and centrifuged at 16,000 × g at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was transferred to fresh tubes containing protein A agarose beads (Aldrich). Cells were then sonicated, incubated for 15 min on ice, and centrifuged at 25,000 × g at 4°C for 15 min. A 50-μl amount of the supernatants was used for input control, and the rest of the supernatants was transferred to fresh tubes containing protein A agarose beads (Aldrich) for a further 3 h at 4°C, and centrifuged at 100,000 × g at 4°C for 1 h. The supernatants was used for input control, and the rest of the supernatants was transferred to fresh tubes containing protein A agarose beads (Aldrich) for a further 3 h at 4°C, and centrifuged at 100,000 × g at 4°C for 1 h. The supernatant was then incubated at 4°C for 2 h using a rotator. Bound proteins were washed three times with CHAPS buffer, resolved by 10% SDS–PAGE, and analyzed by Western blots with anti-Lgl1, anti-NMII-A, or anti–platelet NMII antibodies.

Inhibition of NMII-A filament assembly by Lgl1-C
Maltose 0.1 M was added to 1.2 ml of MBP-Lgl1-C or MBP-Lgl1-CΔ(645–677) immobilized on amylase beads, incubated on rotator for 1 h at 4°C, and centrifuged at 6000 × g at 4°C for 2 min. The supernatants were dialyzed against MBP buffer containing 1 mM DTT and 0.1 mM PMSF. Proteins concentration was determined as described. MBP-Lgl1-C or MBP-Lgl1-CΔ(645–677) at 3–6 μM was added to monomeric NMII-A Rod in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 600 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT and dialyzed against 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl2, and 150 mM NaCl for 4 h at 4°C. The protein mix was centrifuged in a TL-100 ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) at 100,000 × g at 4°C for 1 h, and the supernatant and pellet were separated on 10% SDS–PAGE. The gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue, scanned, and quantified using the densitometry program ImageGauge V (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Creating shRNA-depleted cell lines
Lgl1 shRNA sequence 5′-CGG GTC CCC ACC ACC AAA TCC TCA TTG GCT ATTCAA GAG ATA GCC AAT GAG GAT TTG TTT TGG AAA T-3′ (shLgl1-seq1) or 5′-CGG GTC CCC CCT GGC TAT GTC TGG TCT ACA AAC TAC AAG AGA TTG TGT TGA GCA GAC GTA AGC TTT TTG GAA AT-3′ (ShLgl1-seq2) were inserted into pLVHTM (LentiWeb, http://lentiweb.com), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This plasmid has an independent GFP protein transcripted as an infection marker. Control sequence 5′-CGG GTC CCC GAC CAC TTA AGG AAT GAG TTT TGG AAA AAC AAC TCA TTG CTC TAA AAC GAA GAA TTG TTT TGG AAA T-3′ was created in a similar manner.
shRNA plasmids were transfected into HEK293T cells, and 48 h after transfection, lentivirus-containing medium was collected, filtered through a 0.45-μm filter, and centrifuged for 2 h at 45,000 x g to collect the lentivirus particles. The particles were resuspended in 500 μl of serum-free medium containing polybren (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated on ice for 30 min. NIH 3T3 cells were infected with the lentivirus particles for 4 h with constant rocking. To determine the expression levels of Lgl1 and Lgl2, shLgl1-seq1 and shLgl1-seq2 and control cell lines were subjected to Western blot analysis with antibodies specific for Lgl1 and Lgl2.

Wound scratch assay and confocal microscopy

A total of 2 x 10^5 NIH 3T3 cells was seeded on coverslips coated with 10 μg/ml fibronectin and transfected with pGFP-Lgl1 using polyethyl-

ename transfection reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). At 48 h posttransfection the cells were washed twice with 1 ml of starvation medium (high-
glucose DMEM supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 12 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piper-

azinethanesulfonic acid, pH 7.4, and 0.1% fatty acid-free bovine se-

rum albumin [Sigma-Aldrich]) and serum starved for 3 h. Three parallel 

scatches were performed using a small pipette tip, and the cells were 

washed twice with starvation medium to remove cell debris. Then 

high-glucose DMEM medium was added, and cells were incubated for 7 h.

Cells were washed three times with PBS and fixed for 30 min in 1.5 ml of 3% formaldehyde in PBS. shLgl1 and shRNA control 

cells were treated as described except that they were serum starved 

for 16 h before performing the scratches and incubated for 3 h in high-glucose DMEM medium before fixation. The three cell lines 

were immunostained with anti-mouse NMII-A antibody and second-

ary antibody conjugated to Cy5. F-Actin was stained with rhodamine–

phalloidin as described (Ronen and Ravid, 2009). For focal adhesions 

analysis, shLgl1 and shRNA control cells were immunostained with 

vinculin antibody. Cells were visualized using a 60x magnification, nu-

merical aperture 1.4 objective under a TE2000 inverted confocal laser 

scanning system (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Consecutive Z-stakes were 

taken using a small apertures and converged to create one image us-

ing EZ-C1 software (Nikon). Quantification of the fluorescence of 

GFP-Lgl1, F-actin, and NMII-A as a function of the distance from the 

cell edge was performed using the ImageJ software package (National 

Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Focal adhesion area and number 

measurements were also performed using the ImageJ software package 

and the results subjected to a two-tailed, two-sample, unequal-

variance Student’s t test using at least 60 cells.

To determine the rate of wound closure, shLgl1 and shRNA con-

tral control cells were subjected to wound scratch assay as described. 

Phase-contrast images of the wound area were taken just after the 

wounding, and the wound area was imaged at different times over a 

24-h period. Wound widths were measured at a minimum of four 

different points of each wound, and the average rate of wound clo-

sure during the first 2 h of wound healing was calculated.

Live-cell imaging

shLgl1 and control cell lines were seeded on a Lab-Tek (Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) two-well chamber coated with10 μg/ml fibronectin and were visualized using time-lapse confocal microscopy every 3 min for 1.5 h using a 40x objective. Images were processed and converted to QuickTime (Apple, Cupertino, CA) movies using ZEN2009 and ImageJ software.
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