A novel TP53-KPNA3 translocation defines a de novo treatment-resistant clone in osteosarcoma
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Abstract Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone cancer. It can be cured by aggressive surgery and chemotherapy, but outcomes for metastatic or chemoresistant disease remain dismal. Cancer sequencing studies have shown that the p53 pathway is dysregulated in nearly every case, often by translocation; however, no studies of osteosarcoma evolution or intratumor heterogeneity have been done to date. We studied a patient with chemoresistant, metastatic disease over the course of 3 years. We performed exome sequencing on germline DNA and DNA collected from tumor at three separate time points. We compared variant calls and variant allele frequencies between different samples. We identified subclonal mutations in several different genes in the primary tumor sample and found that one particular subclone dominated subsequent tumor samples at relapse. This clone was marked by a novel TP53-KPNA3 translocation and loss of the opposite-strand wild-type TP53 allele. Future research must focus on the functional significance of such clones and strategies to eliminate them.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

INTRODUCTION

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone cancer and primarily affects adolescents and young adults (Mirabello et al. 2009). Anatomically, osteosarcoma most commonly affects metaphyseal regions of the long bones (distal femur, proximal tibia, and proximal humerus). Peak incidence occurs in adolescence and is associated with the adolescent growth spurt. Aggressive surgery alone cures a fraction of affected patients. With the addition of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with methotrexate, doxorubicin, and cisplatin, patients with nonmetastatic disease at diagnosis currently have >70% long-term relapse-free survival (Mirabello et al. 2009). However, despite many attempts to incorporate other active agents into this
therapeutic backbone, outcomes have not improved in the last three decades. Additionally, patients with metastatic or relapsed disease continue to have dismal outcomes. As such, identifying and targeting the biological drivers of metastatic and relapsed osteosarcoma remains important in developing further improvements in therapy.

Osteosarcoma is linked to germline predisposition syndromes, most strongly hereditary retinoblastoma (Hansen et al. 1985) and Li–Fraumeni syndrome (McIntyre et al. 1994). In addition, osteosarcoma predisposition has also been linked to germline mutations in RECQ helicases, which causes Rothmund–Thomson syndrome (Kitao et al. 1999), and SQSTM1, which predisposes to Paget’s disease of the bone (Laurin et al. 2002). More recently, genome-wide association studies have identified two risk loci near the GRM4 locus (Savage et al. 2013). The only known environmental risk factor for developing osteosarcoma is exposure to ionizing radiation.

A recent next-generation sequencing study of 34 primary pediatric osteosarcoma samples identified recurrent somatic mutations impairing p53 activity in nearly every sample (Chen et al. 2014). The most striking mutations are translocations involving the TP53 gene itself, particularly translocations involving the first intron. Such a rearrangement has even been reported in the germline of one family with clinical Li–Fraumeni syndrome but without mutation in the TP53 coding sequence (Ribi et al. 2015). Other genomic aberrations affecting the p53 pathway include genomic loss of TP53 and modification of the MDM2 locus. Recurrent somatic mutations were also found in RB1, ATRX, and DLG2. Another next-generation sequencing study also identified frequent copy number changes affecting genes in the PI3K–mTOR pathway (Perry et al. 2014). However, none of these studies investigated genomic drivers of chemoresistance.

Genomically, osteosarcoma may be more similar to adult-type cancers than other solid tumors that affect young people. Unlike most pediatric cancers, osteosarcoma displays a high rate of somatic mutation and even displays foci of kataegis, a specific type of localized hypermutation previously identified in breast (Nik-Zainal et al. 2012), pancreatic, lung, and other types of cancer (Alexandrov et al. 2013), but not previously identified in other pediatric cancers. In addition, unlike most other pediatric cancer subtypes that respond well to multiagent chemotherapy, osteosarcoma remains primarily a surgical disease where grossly unresectable disease is incurable with chemotherapy. In many adult cancer types, including lung (de Bruin et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014), kidney (Gerlinger et al. 2012), and prostate cancer (Gundem et al. 2015; Hong et al. 2015), deep sequencing has been used to study dynamics of intratumor heterogeneity and to understand how these cancers change as they metastasize and develop chemoresistance. In some cases, a small fraction of the tumor already harboring a resistance-conferring mutation at diagnosis can become the dominant clone at the time of relapse. In other cases, under the selective pressure of chemotherapy, acquisition of new mutations drives the relapse clone (Ding et al. 2012). Occasionally, polyclonal seeding can lead to metastasis and resistance (Gundem et al. 2015).

Herein, we describe genetic changes detected in longitudinally collected samples from an aggressive, multiply relapsed metastatic osteosarcoma patient. These samples were taken over the course of several years and through multiple courses of therapy. We performed whole-exome sequencing from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples to study the evolution of this cancer during this time. Single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), insertions or deletions (indels), and structural variants (SVs) were called. Strikingly, we identified a novel translocation involving TP53 that accumulated in frequency over time. Unlike previously reported translocations, this one affected the 3’ untranslated region (3’ UTR) of TP53, revealing a novel mechanism for dysregulating p53. Together, these results give fresh insight into the genetic changes that occur as an osteosarcoma evolves, relapses, and metastasizes. To our knowledge, no other study has investigated the subclonal dynamics of osteosarcoma from diagnosis to death from multiple relapses.
RESULTS

Clinical Presentation and Family History

The patient was a 25-yr-old man diagnosed with conventional, osteoblastic-type high-grade osteosarcoma of the right fibula (Fig. 1). He received two rounds of preoperative chemotherapy with cisplatin and doxorubicin before his primary tumor resection and received two more rounds postoperatively. However, 8 months after finishing chemotherapy, he was found to have tumor recurrences in his fibula and lung. After two rounds of salvage chemotherapy with methotrexate, ifosfamide, and etoposide, a secondary resection was performed. He continued to receive chemotherapy, with ifosfamide, etoposide, and cisplatin. Nevertheless, he continued to develop more metastatic disease in his lungs, axilla, scapula, and chest wall, and a tertiary tumor sample was taken at this time that continued to show viable tumor. Despite further attempts at salvage with docetaxel, gemcitabine, and radiation, he ultimately died of his disease.

Genomic Analyses

Germline tissue (blood) and primary, secondary, and tertiary tumor samples were submitted for whole-exome sequencing. Alignment statistics are shown in Supplemental Table S1. More than 45 million reads were obtained from each sample, and 95% of these were mapped. This resulted in mean coverage depth of 40×–57× across all targeted regions.

Detection of Nonsynonymous Variants

In all, there were a total of 118 nonsynonymous variants in the primary tumor sample, 191 variants in the secondary tumor sample, and 290 variants in the tertiary tumor sample (Fig. 2). A large number of the variants overlapped, especially between the secondary and tertiary samples. The number of variants detected in each sample increased over time, which may reflect accumulating mutations in response to chemotherapy. These variants are listed in Supplemental Table S2. Despite the fact that mean coverage depth was only 40×–57× and that the blood sample was processed differently than the tumor samples (which were
FFPE), the vast majority of variants detected in germline tissue were also detected in the tumor samples (Supplemental Fig. S1).

In addition, indels were detected using Pindel (Supplemental Table S3), and copy number variation was computed using ExomeCNV (Supplemental Table S4; Supplemental File S1).

**Tumor Evolution**

Next we used these variant calls to examine the evolutionary relationships among these samples by constructing a maximum parsimony phylogenetic tree and performing a principal coordinate analysis in an unbiased manner. As shown in Figure 3A,B, these calculations concurred with the time relationship between these samples. The primary tumor sample diverged from the germline (blood) sample, and the secondary and tertiary samples represented a further step in tumor evolution away from the primary sample.

In other tumor types, studies of intratumor heterogeneity have shown that chemotherapeutic resistance may arise from the outgrowth of a subclonal population that was present at
diagnosis. However, this has not previously been studied in osteosarcoma. Thus, we next examined how these tumor samples related to one another to identify how subclonal populations shifted over the course of time. We calculated relationships between samples by calculating the total root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of each sample’s variant allele frequencies (Fig. 3C). When compared with the reference genome, this again shows a clear progression from germline to primary, secondary, and tertiary tumor samples corresponding to their relation in time. As these relationships are calculated from variant allele frequencies, this implies the progression of a subclonal population in the primary tumor sample that becomes the dominant clone in the tertiary sample.

**Translocation Involving Chromosomes 13 and 17**

Previous osteosarcoma sequencing studies have shown somatic events affecting the TP53 pathway in nearly every osteosarcoma sample studied; the most common TP53-related events in osteosarcoma are translocations involving the TP53 gene itself (Chen et al. 2014; Perry et al. 2014; Ribi et al. 2015). Thus we next applied a soft-clipping-based detection method called sample heterogeneity estimation and assembly by reference (SHEAR) (Landman et al. 2014) and a custom script we named “soft-clipping and realignment detects structural variant frequency” (SCARF; see Methods for further detail) to identify fusion events in our exome sequencing results (Supplemental Table S5). Strikingly, in all three tumor specimens, we identified a t(13;17) translocation that fuses the 3’ UTR of TP53 to the first intron of KPNA3 (Fig. 4A; Supplemental File S2). Because this translocation involves an intron, our standard exome-sequencing analysis pipeline did not detect it. Most translocations in osteosarcoma involve the first intron of TP53; translocations involving the 3’ UTR of TP53 have not previously been reported.

To validate this finding, we performed Sanger sequencing on the three tumor samples. We sequenced an amplicon produced with one primer aligning to Chromosome 13 and a second to Chromosome 17 (Fig. 4B). We were unable to amplify the translocation from

---
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**Figure 4.** TP53-KPNA3 translocation. (A) A schematic showing translocation between the last exon of TP53 and the first intron of KPNA3 as identified by whole-exome sequencing. The genomic coordinates are based on hg19. (B) Sanger sequencing validation of the translocation breakpoint in secondary and tertiary tumor samples.
the primary tumor sample, but sequencing from the secondary and tertiary tumor samples showed the translocation breakpoint concurring with the exome sequencing.

When we examined the frequency of reads supporting this translocation in our three tumor samples, we noticed a striking accumulation of these reads in later tumor samples. The ratio of reads supporting the translocation to reads supporting the reference TP53 sequence increased from 0:65 in the germline sample to 10:62, 42:2, and 45:4 in the primary, secondary, and tertiary samples, respectively (Fig. 5). The increasing proportion of reads supporting the translocation again supports the hypothesis that this translocation marks a subclonal population that was present in the primary tumor sample but became the dominant clone in subsequent postchemotherapy samples.

The number of reads corresponding to the translocation may be an underestimate of the actual predominance of the translocation, as exome-captured reads would be enriched for sequences containing exonic TP53 sequences. In support of this, we did not detect any reads aligning to the KPNA3 intron alone, unconjugated to the TP53 exon.

In other osteosarcomas, translocations involving TP53 usually co-occur with loss of the wild-type allele (Chen et al. 2014). In our case, because so few of the reads align to the reference sequence, it appears that the predominant clone has also undergone loss of the wild-type TP53 allele. Accordingly, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for TP53 in the
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**Figure 5.** Reads in each sample supporting the reference TP53 exon versus the TP53-KPNA3 translocation breakpoint. Genomic coordinates based on hg19. Duplicates included.
secondary and tertiary tumor samples appeared to show loss of one copy of TP53 in many cells (Supplemental Fig. S2). Finally, to determine the effect of this translocation on TP53 protein levels, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) for TP53 on samples from biopsy, primary, secondary, and tertiary archival tumor samples (Supplemental Fig. S3). There was no detectable TP53 protein in any of the four samples by IHC, implying that the translocation results in functional TP53 loss.

DISCUSSION

Unlike many other malignancies of childhood or young adulthood, osteosarcoma outcomes have not improved for several decades (Mirabello et al. 2009). Although chemotherapy may treat microscopic residual disease, grossly unresected disease rarely responds to chemotherapy. The next breakthroughs in osteosarcoma therapy will need to overcome this intrinsic chemoresistance. In other types of cancer, studies of cancer evolution have unveiled the tumor to be polyclonal at diagnosis, but under the selective pressure of chemotherapy, one or a few therapy-resistant clones emerge to cause relapse (Ding et al. 2012). In retrospect, the mutations present in the relapse clone are often detectable from the initial tumor sample at a subclonal level. For example, one study of prostate cancer found an enrichment of TP53 mutations in metastatic subclones (Hong et al. 2015).

Here, for the first time, we show a similar pattern in osteosarcoma. Next-generation sequencing efforts in osteosarcoma have revealed variations affecting TP53 and related genes in nearly every case. In this study we identify a subclonal TP53 translocation in the initial tumor sample that became the dominant clone in subsequent chemotherapy-resistant samples. These results suggest that although other mutations, such as those affecting the PI3K–mTOR pathway (Perry et al. 2014; Grignani et al. 2015), may contribute to osteosarcoma pathogenesis, overcoming therapy resistance may require therapy directed at p53-deficient osteosarcoma.

Genomic instability and p53 inactivation are hallmarks of many cancer types, but SVs involving the TP53 locus have heretofore been limited to two cancer types: prostate cancer and osteosarcoma. This led Chen et al. to speculate that in osteosarcoma, deletion or translocation of p53 may actually be a result of genomic instability rather than the driver event (Chen et al. 2014). In our case, the TP53-KPNA3 translocation was present at only subclonal frequency in the diagnostic specimen, which suggests that it was actually a late event in osteosarcoma formation.

The TP53-KPNA3 translocation described here was not the only genetic aberration to accumulate in frequency over time. Several other variants of unclear significance also accumulated in the dominant clone (Supplemental Table S2). Unlike TP53 translocations, none of these SNVs is in genes previously reported to be recurrently mutated in osteosarcoma. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that these mutations also contribute to the emergence of this subclone, the recurrence of TP53 translocations in other osteosarcomas suggests that the TP53 translocation is the key genomic feature of the dominant recurrent subclone.

To our knowledge, translocations involving the TP53 3’ UTR, such as the TP53-KPNA3 translocation we identify here, have not previously been characterized, in either osteosarcoma or any other type of cancer. However, this subclonal translocation was not detected by our routine exome-sequencing analysis pipeline (detecting genomic variants present in only coding regions). In fact, it required an algorithm using soft-clipped reads to accurately detect and estimate frequencies of structural variations with precise breakpoints at the nucleotide level across these tumors. Similar translocations, involving noncoding regions such as introns and 5’ or 3’ UTRs, have likely been missed in previous studies. Although FFPE tissues
may produce false positives when calling SNVs because of C>T artifacts, they are not known to produce false translocations. Current sequencing data and analysis pipelines may require custom modification to include introns and intergenic regions and detect such translocations in cancer-related genes from FFPE-based sequencing projects.

In osteosarcoma, translocation involving one allele of TP53 commonly co-occurs with loss of the opposite allele, and this appears to be especially true in samples derived from osteosarcoma metastases (Miller et al. 1990; Chen et al. 2014). Cancer cells deficient for P53 are resistant to DNA damage–triggered apoptosis in response to chemotherapy and radiation (Lowe et al. 1993, 1994). However, this cannot be rescued by exogenous expression of the TP53 open reading frame (ORF) alone (Breen et al. 2007), suggesting that regulatory elements surrounding the endogenous TP53 locus are also required for the p53 response. In fact, several RNA-binding proteins (Rosenstieh et al. 2008), including HuR (Mazan-Mamczarz et al. 2003), RNPC1 (Zhang et al. 2011), and Wig-1 (Vilborg et al. 2009), bind to conserved elements in the TP53 3’ UTR and are responsible for up-regulating expression in the setting of DNA damage. Alternatively, the loss of these regulatory elements may affect transcript stability through cellular processes such as nonsense-mediated decay. In addition a region of the 5’ UTR has been shown to base-pair with the 3’ UTR, and this base-pairing is also required for the induction of p53 following DNA damage (Chen and Kastan 2010). For these reasons, this translocation, which separates the TP53 coding sequence from its 3’ UTR, in combination with loss of the wild-type allele, is likely to result in a loss of a cell’s capacity to induce p53 in response to DNA damage such as by standard chemotherapy. This may explain the emergence of this clone after chemotherapy.

METHODS

Patient Samples and Whole-Exome Sequencing

Blood and tumor samples were taken from a patient treated at Yonsei Cancer Center, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

For the germline sequencing, DNA was extracted from blood using LaboPass Blood Miniprep Kit (Cosmogenetech, Ltd.). For tumor samples, FFPE blocks with a preponderance of tumor cells were decalcified, and DNA was extracted using RecoverAll Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Library preparation and exome capture were done using the SureSelect Library Prep Kit and the SureSelect Automated Hybridization Kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Whole-exome sequencing was performed on one lane of paired-end, 100-bp reads on Illumina HiSeq for each sample.

Quality control was performed using the NGS QC Toolkit 2.3.3 (Patel and Jain 2012). Sequences were aligned to the hg19 reference genome using bwa-0.7.10 (Li and Durbin 2009). Duplicates were marked and discarded using Picard 1.34. Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) 3.4 was used to recalibrate base quality score, perform local realignment around indels, and perform pooled multisample genotyping (McKenna et al. 2010; DePristo et al. 2011). SNVs were identified using MuTect 1.1.4 (Cibulskis et al. 2013); indels were identified using Pindel 0.2.5 (Ye et al. 2009); and copy number aberrations were identified using ExomeCNV 1.4 (Sathirapongsasuti et al. 2011).

Detection of SNVs at Subclonal Frequency

SNVs present at subclonal frequency were detected using SHEAR (Landman et al. 2014). The relationship between samples was calculated by RMSD. The RMSD value between two
samples, $s_1$ and $s_2$, was calculated by employing the equation:

$$\text{RMSD}(s_1, s_2) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{l \in L} (VAF(l, s_1) - VAF(l, s_2))^2}{|L|}},$$

where $L$ is a set of all sequenced loci with read depth $>10$ and $VAF(l, s)$ is the variant (nonreference) allele frequency on the locus $l$ of the sample $s$. The custom Perl script used in the calculation is downloadable at https://github.com/compbioUTSW/RMSD_of_VAF and is also available in the Supplemental Material (Supplemental File S3).

**Detection of Structural Variants at Subclonal Frequency**

Finally, we sought to detect novel SVs, including those present at subclonal frequency. To detect SVs using our whole-exome sequencing, we ran SHEAR as above to detect and estimate the frequency of structural variation within a heterogeneous individual tumor (Landman et al. 2014). We found the subclonal TP53–KPNA3 fusion across primary, secondary, and tertiary tumors with different estimated frequencies. To further investigate the TP53–KPNA3 fusion event with exact breakpoints at nucleotide precision and its frequencies across tumors, we produced a custom script, which we have named “soft-clipping and realignment detects structural variant frequency” (SCARF) (Supplemental File S4).

Our custom script takes advantage of soft-clipped reads—that is, reads in which one end of a paired-end read is perfectly aligned, but the opposite end is partially aligned to a nearby region. The unaligned, soft-clipped subsequences are usually ignored. We collected soft-clipped sequences in which (a) the soft-clipping position was identical in at least two reads; (b) the aligned portion of the subsequence covered at least 10 bases; and (c) the mismatch ratio in the aligned portion was $<0.1$. The soft-clipped subsequences were then merged into one contig and aligned to the reference genome using BLAT (BLAST-like alignment tool; Kent 2002) to discover the translocation partner. The BLAT search required that the previously aligned portion of these soft-clipped reads not align to the translocation partner to ensure uniqueness. Frequency of the translocation was estimated as the number of reads supporting the translocation or mapping to a 200-bp window around the breakpoint from either partner in the translocation.

The script used to detect such SVs, along with a toy example for demonstration purposes, is downloadable at https://github.com/compbioUTSW/SCARF (Supplemental File S4).

**Sanger Sequencing**

Validation of the t(13;17) translocation was performed using one primer mapping to Chromosome 13 (CTGCATGTCAATCTACAATCACC) and one mapping to Chromosome 17 (GGCCCACTTCACCGTACTAA). The resulting 205-bp amplicon was gel-extracted and sequenced from both ends by Sanger sequencing.

**Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization**

Fluorescence in situ hybridization for TP53 was performed using the Vysis LSI TP53/CEP 17 FISH probe kit (Abbott Molecular) following standard protocols.

**p53 Immunohistochemistry**

IHC for p53 (dilution 1:100, clone D07; Novocastra) was performed on FFPE archival blocks following standard protocols using a Ventana automatic immunostainer (Ventana, Benchmark).
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Data Deposition and Access
Raw sequencing data have been uploaded to the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/home) under study accession EGAS00001001805, and the t(13;17) translocation sequence has been submitted to GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under accession KX396266.
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