Neuroprotective astrocyte-derived insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 stimulates endocytic processing and extracellular release of neuron-bound Aβ oligomers
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\textbf{ABSTRACT} Synaptopathy underlying memory deficits in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is increasingly thought to be instigated by toxic oligomers of the amyloid beta peptide (AβOs). Given the long latency and incomplete penetrance of AD dementia with respect to Aβ pathology, we hypothesized that factors present in the CNS may physiologically protect neurons from the deleterious impact of AβOs. Here we employed physically separated neuron–astrocyte cocultures to investigate potential non–cell autonomous neuroprotective factors influencing AβO toxicity. Neurons cultivated in the absence of an astrocyte feeder layer showed abundant AβO binding to dendritic processes and associated synapse deterioration. In contrast, neurons in the presence of astrocytes showed markedly reduced AβO binding and synaptopathy. Results identified the protective factors released by astrocytes as insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF1). The protective mechanism involved release of newly bound AβOs into the extracellular medium dependent upon trafficking that was sensitive to exosome pathway inhibitors. Delaying insulin treatment led to AβO binding that was no longer releasable. The neuroprotective potential of astrocytes was itself sensitive to chronic AβO exposure, which reduced insulin/IGF1 expression. Our findings support the idea that physiological protection against synaptotoxic AβOs can be mediated by astrocyte-derived insulin/IGF1, but that this protection itself is vulnerable to AβO buildup.

\textbf{INTRODUCTION} Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia in the elderly (Alzheimer’s Association, 2013). Dementia correlates with synapse loss (e.g., Terry et al., 1991), and recent efforts to understand the mechanisms of synapse deterioration in AD have focused on the toxic impact of Aβ oligomers (AβOs; Ferreira and Klein, 2011; Mucke and Selkoe, 2012). AβOs are soluble, synaptotoxic Aβ assemblies that begin to accumulate before amyloid plaques (Hsia et al., 1999; Oddo et al., 2006). AβO distribution in AD brain can be distinct from amyloid plaques but still shows a diffuse, plaque-like appearance, attributable to association with dendritic arbors (Kayed et al., 2003; Lacor et al., 2007; Koffie et al., 2009). AβOs attach to synapses, inhibit synaptic plasticity, disrupt synaptic cytoskeletal proteins and receptor trafficking, and ultimately lead to synapse loss (Lambert et al., 1998; Walsh et al., 2002; Lacor et al., 2004, 2007;
Koffie et al., 2009; Tomiyama et al., 2010; Zempel et al., 2010; Figueiredo et al., 2013).

Because AβOs are increasingly thought to instigate dementia, there is interest in identifying factors that protect neurons against their toxicity. One possibility is that protection is provided in healthy brain by endogenous cellular mechanisms. This idea is consistent with the fact that dementia requires decades to develop, despite the rapid formation of AβOs at very low Aβ concentrations (Chang et al., 2003; Velasco et al., 2012), and also with the fact that Aβ buildup in the brain precedes cognitive deficits by many years (Jack et al., 2013). Regulation of Aβ levels by glia (Koenigsknecht and Landreth, 2004; Yin et al., 2006) and its clearance by the glymphatic system (Peng et al., 2016) represent likely protective mechanisms. Another mechanism has been suggested in which neurons are protected against AβO-induced damage through activation of insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) signaling pathways (De Felice et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2009; Bomfim et al., 2012; Pitt et al., 2013). The latter possibility has provided support for ongoing clinical trials of intranasal insulin to treat early-AD patients (Craft et al., 2012). Defective insulin signaling is a risk factor for AD (Ott et al., 1999; Launer, 2005), and evidence from animal models indicates that insulin deficiency in the CNS promotes AβO formation and AD-type tau phosphorylation (Grunblatt et al., 2007; Bitel et al., 2012). Despite the putative relevance of insulin in protecting against AD progression, how insulin/IGF1 signaling prevents AβO-induced neuronal dysfunction and the source of neurotrophic insulin/IGF1 remain unknown.

In the current work, we investigated whether astrocytes are a source of factors that protect neurons against AβO synaptotoxicity, and the mechanisms that underlie their protective action. We focused on astrocytes because of their well-established trophic functions (Chernausek, 1993; Grunblatt et al., 2007; Eroglu and Barres, 2010; Diniz et al., 2014). To determine whether astrocytes impact AβO toxicity, we used physically isolated neuron–astrocyte cocultures, which allow convenient separation of the two cell types while allowing free diffusion of soluble factors between them (Kaech and Banker, 2006). We found that astrocytes greatly reduce AβO toxicity to hippocampal neurons without affecting AβO levels. To accomplish this, astrocytes secrete insulin and IGF1, which act on neurons to prevent synapse deterioration by stimulating release of newly bound AβOs. Results show that neurons are resistant to AβOs under conditions of healthy insulin/IGF1 signaling and suggest that robust chemical cross-talk between astrocytes and neurons may contribute to delaying AD progression.

**RESULTS**

Astrocytes increase neuronal resistance to AβO synaptotoxicity

We first used physically isolated hippocampal neuron–astrocyte cocultures (Figure 1A) to test whether the synaptotoxic impact of AβOs on hippocampal neurons was altered in the presence of astrocytes. Toxicity was assessed by the decrease in immunoreactivity of spinophilin, an actin-binding protein enriched at dendritic spines (Feng et al., 2000) and used as a proxy of spine integrity, following exposure of cultures to AβOs (500 nM, 24 h). In the absence of AβOs, neurons exhibited 0.57 ± 0.04 spinophilin-immunoreactive puncta per micrometer of dendritic segment, and this was unaffected (0.59 ± 0.04) by separation from the astrocyte feeder layer for 24 h (Supplemental Figure 1). In the absence of astrocytes, neurons exposed to AβOs exhibited 0.33 ± 0.03 spinophilin puncta per micrometer, a 44% decrease compared with control neurons.
Astrocytes constitute the main source of growth factors in the CNS and play major roles in brain morphogenesis, including neuronal survival and maturation, precursor proliferation, and neuronal circuitry formation (Araque et al., 1998; Gomes et al., 1999; Mauch et al., 2001; Beattie et al., 2002; Martinez and Gomes, 2002; Zhang et al., 2003; Christopherson et al., 2005; Elmariah et al., 2005; e Spohr et al., 2011; Allen et al., 2012; Diniz et al., 2012). To identify the neurotrophic factors responsible for the protective effects of astrocytes, we initially measured the release of neuron-bound AβOs in fresh MEM supplemented with EGF, NGF, BDNF, insulin, or IGF1 (300 nM of each). The effects of ACM in inducing oligomer release from hippocampal neurons were mimicked by both insulin and IGF1 treatments, while BDNF, EGF, and NGF failed to instigate release of AβOs (Figure 3B). Further, robust AβO release was induced by demethylasterriquinone B1, a small-molecule activator of the insulin and IGF1 receptor tyrosine kinases, indicating the involvement of insulin/IGF1 signaling in AβO release (Figure 3B). The concentration of Aβ released into the medium following insulin stimulation was estimated to be 20.5 ± 2.2 nM, corresponding to ~1.5 fmol Aβ released per neuron.

We next asked whether insulin and IGF1 present in ACM were responsible for inducing AβO release from neurons. First, we treated hippocampal neurons with AG1024, an inhibitor of the tyrosine kinase activity of insulin/IGF1 receptors, and found that this blocked AβO release induced by ACM (Figure 3B). Next we treated ACM with insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), which degrades both insulin and IGF1, before testing its ability to stimulate AβO release. Because Aβ, although not necessarily AβOs (Walsh et al., 2002), is a known target of IDE (Qiu et al., 1998), His-tagged IDE was removed from the ACM before its use in neuronal cultures to prevent potential degradation of AβOs. IDE significantly attenuated the release of AβOs induced by ACM (Figure 3C), further supporting the notion that insulin/IGF1 present in ACM triggered oligomer release from neurons. These findings are consistent with detection of insulin and IGF1 transcripts in cultured astrocytes using conventional, end-point reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR; Figure 3D). Taken together,
these results demonstrate that insulin and IGF1 secreted by astrocytes induce the release of oligomers to the extracellular medium after their initial attachment to dendritic binding sites.

We next used immunofluorescence microscopy to examine AβO accumulation on dendrites after stimulating oligomer release with exogenous insulin or ACM. Following treatments with ACM or insulin, dendritic AβO immunoreactivity was reduced by ~40% (Figure 3, E and F), comparable to previous observations (Pitt et al., 2013). We then characterized the kinetics and insulin-concentration dependence of AβO release from neurons. Oligomer-bound neurons were treated with either ACM or insulin, and dendritic AβO immunoreactivity was reduced by ~40% after addition of either ACM or insulin (Figure 3G) and displayed an EC50 of 290 nM for insulin (Figure 3H). Quantification of AβO release into the medium showed that insulin induced an extracellular release of ~20.5 pmols AβOs into 1 ml culture volume (Supplemental Figure 3). This is equivalent to release of 1.5 fmol AβOs/neuron. These results establish that release of attached oligomers contributes to the mechanism by which exogenous or astrocyte-derived insulin prevents toxic accumulation of AβOs at synapses.

Extracellular release of AβOs involves endocytosis
To determine the mechanism by which insulin caused the release of AβOs previously bound to neurons, we first asked whether insulin-induced oligomer release involved activation of surface proteases. Proteinaceous Aβ binding sites, including APP (Shaked et al., 2006; Fogel et al., 2014) and p75NTR (Knowles et al., 2009), are known to undergo proteolytic cleavage that could lead to the release of surface-bound AβOs (Sothibundhu et al., 2008; Kenchappa et al., 2010). In initial experiments, we found that addition of a protease inhibitor cocktail reduced insulin-induced AβO release by 72%. Using more specific inhibitors, we ruled out the involvement of
the protease inhibitor cocktail (not shown). However, immunocytochemical analysis of neurons treated with AβOs in the presence of SBTI revealed an 87 ± 2% reduction of neuritic AβO binding (Supplemental Figure 4A). Therefore, while SBTI does reduce the number of AβOs in the media of insulin-treated neurons, it primarily acts by reducing the initial binding of oligomers to the neuronal surface rather than altering any subsequent step in their processing or release back into the media. These results are consistent with recent findings that SBTI binds to the surface of neurons and blocks AβO/receptor binding in both cellular and cell-free binding assays (Wilcox et al., 2015). In summary, results with SBTI and other protease inhibitors suggest that insulin-induced AβO release from neurons does not require activation of cell surface proteases.

Given the evidence that PrP represents a potential binding partner for AβOs (Lauren et al., 2009), we next asked whether cleavage of PrP and/or other glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins might constitute a potential mechanism of AβO release. To examine this possibility, we treated oligomer-bound neurons with phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase (PI-PLC) and measured AβO release compared with the release induced by insulin treatment. PI-PLC treatment released a small but measurable amount of AβOs (threefold greater than control), equivalent to 18% of the total amount of AβOs released following insulin treatment. Moreover, treatment with an array of phospholipase inhibitors (100 μM FIPI, OBAA, U 73122, or D609, 45 min) failed to attenuate insulin-induced AβO release (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 4B), suggesting that release of GPI-anchored proteins does not play a major role in insulin-induced AβO release from neurons.

It has been suggested that exosomes may be involved in the molecular mechanisms of AD (Rajendran et al., 2006; Yuyama et al., 2012; Dinkins et al., 2014). This prompted us to test whether release of surface-bound AβOs induced by insulin might involve uptake into endomembrane compartments, a feature of exosome trafficking. To this end, we performed experiments using chlorpromazine (75 μM, 45 min) and the dynamin-specific inhibitor dynasore (100 μM, 45 min), both of which block endocytosis (Wang et al., 1993; Kirchhausen et al., 2008). Interestingly, chlorpromazine reduced insulin-induced AβO release by 68 ± 6%, while dynasore completely blocked release (Figure 4B). Results therefore suggest that AβO release from neurons involves initial trafficking from the plasma membrane to intracellular compartments.

To better visualize the effect of insulin on AβO distribution, we imaged AβOs using structured illumination microscopy (SIM). Figure 5 shows that AβOs bound to dendritic spines appear more punctate when imaged by SIM than when imaged by confocal immunofluorescence (compare with Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure 1). SIM imaging also suggests an elongated nature of spines in AβO-exposed neurons. We next double-labeled neurons exposed to AβOs in the absence or presence of insulin to determine whether insulin might promote colocalization of AβOs with the endosome markers Rab11 and Rab4 (Sheff et al., 1999). Although there was no indication that AβOs colocalized with either marker at 15 min or at 4 h following exposure to AβOs, results showed that insulin stimulated the internalization of AβOs to compartments within dendrites (Figures 6 and 7). In addition, consistent with AβO release to the medium detected by immunoblot assays (Figures 2–4; also see Figure 9 later in this article), insulin increased AβO levels in the culture substrate, as revealed by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 8, A and B). Taken together, biochemical, pharmacological, and cellular data show that insulin stimulated the release of membrane-bound AβOs to the extracellular milieu in a manner that required endocytosis of oligomers.
brane proteins in a pH-dependent manner in endomembrane compartments, becoming unbound at lower pH. To test this hypothesis, we asked whether AβOs release was affected by inhibiting V-type ATPase, which is responsible for endosomal acidification. Interestingly, treatment with concanamycin A (2 μM, 4 h) or ammonium chloride (2 mM, 45 min) to elevate the pHe of endomembrane compartments reduced insulin-dependent AβO release (Figure 4C). The effects of concanamycin and ammonium chloride could be mediated by elevation of the pHe of endomembrane compartments or by inhibition of endosomal vesicle formation (Aniento et al., 1996; Malikova et al., 2004). In the absence of added insulin, lowering the pHe of the medium to 5.5 caused release of a small but measurable amount of AβOs from neurons (Figure 4C). However, this required nonphysiological manipulation of the extracellular pH, suggesting that, while highly acidic pH can indeed induce dissociation of a small fraction of AβOs from their receptors at the neuronal surface, proton gradients are more likely necessary for vesicle formation and AβO release within endomembrane compartments.

Inhibition of insulin signaling leads to irreversible AβO binding
Because AβOs progressively self-associate to form large extracellular complexes on the neuronal surface (Renner et al., 2010), we tested whether delaying insulin stimulation could make bound oligomers resistant to release induced by insulin. Indeed, a sharp decrease in the total amount of AβOs released from neurons was observed when insulin stimulation was delayed by as little as 2 min (Figure 9A). After 5 min, AβO release induced by insulin was minimal (Figure 9A), suggesting that oligomers had rapidly formed stable, release-resistant complexes on the surface of neurons.

Based on our previous findings that AβOs cause the removal of dendritic insulin receptors from the neuronal plasma membrane (Zhao et al., 2008; De Felice et al., 2009), the decrease in insulin-induced oligomer release could result from AβO-induced neuronal insulin resistance. To test this possibility, we repeated our time-delay experiments, adding an additional early predose of AβOs to distinguish between oligomer stabilization and neuronal insulin resistance (Figure 9, B–D). Neurons exposed to AβOs for 15 min immediately before insulin-induced oligomer release was measured showed the expected release behavior (Figure 9B). When neurons were exposed to AβOs for the same length of time (15 min) and then subjected to a 30 min delay period before insulin treatment, no release could be detected (Figure 9C). However, even after a 15 min delay period, sufficient to make previously added oligomers unreleasable, AβOs reapplied for another 15 min could still be released upon stimulation by insulin (Figure 9D). These findings indicate that insulin insensitivity is not responsible for the inhibition of insulin-induced oligomer release caused by a time delay between AβO binding and insulin stimulation. Instead, results suggest that AβOs rapidly become trapped at the neuronal surface in the absence of insulin signaling.

Neural cells chronically exposed to AβOs show reduced insulin/IGF1 expression
Given the association of impaired insulin/IGF1 signaling with AD dementia (Rivera et al., 2005; Bornfim et al., 2012; Craft et al., 2012; Talbot et al., 2012), we investigated whether insulin/IGF1 expression in neural cells was disrupted by AβOs (500 nM, 8 d) using qRT-PCR. In cultured astrocytes, insulin expression was unaffected by AβOs (95% confidence interval [CI] = 52.62–128.3% compared with control). However, IGF1 expression was reduced by 72% (Figure 10A). In hippocampal neuronal cultures, treatment with AβOs (500 nM,
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24 h) reduced insulin expression by 50% (Figure 10B). These results demonstrate that AβOs reduce the expression of insulin and IGF1 in neural cells.

Finally, having found that AβOs decrease IGF1 expression in astrocytes, we tested whether AβO treatment reduced the protective efficacy of astrocytes. Mouse astrocyte cultures were exposed to AβOs (500 nM, 24 h) or vehicle. After being rinsed thoroughly with DMEM/F12 to remove residual AβOs, ACM was collected for a period of 24 h and tested for its ability to prevent accumulation of AβOs (500 nM, 3 h) along the dendrites of cultured hippocampal neurons. Consistent with our observations described earlier, ACM from vehicle-treated astrocytes reduced AβO accumulation by 90% (Figure 10C). However, conditioned medium from AβO-exposed astrocytes reduced dendritic AβO accumulation by only 48% compared with control (ACM from nonexposed astrocytes) (Figure 10C). These results show that previous exposure to AβOs reduces the protective capacity of astrocytes.

DISCUSSION
AβOs are soluble toxins that accumulate in the AD brain and bind to dendritic spines when added to cultured hippocampal neurons. Accumulation of AβOs leads to spine deterioration, synapse failure, and, eventually, synapse loss (Lacor et al., 2007; Shankar et al., 2007; Koffie et al., 2009; Wilcox et al., 2011; Sivanesan et al., 2013). We report here that robust protection against the synaptoxicity of AβOs is conferred by soluble factors released from astrocytes. Compared with astrocyte-free cultures, neurons maintained in the presence of an astrocyte feeder layer or supplied with ACM showed greatly reduced dendritic binding of exogenously added AβOs. Astrocyte-derived protective factors were found to comprise insulin and IGF1. The mechanism of protection by insulin and IGF1 involves release of recently attached AβOs to the extracellular milieu, a process that exhibits features of exosome trafficking (Figure 11). Interestingly, in addition to extracellular release of AβOs, there also was release of tau phosphorylated at a prototypic AD epitope. This raises the possibility that insulin might help neurons eliminate both AβOs and pathological tau, but it also suggests that cell-to-cell propagation of toxic forms of pTau could potentially be stimulated by the presence of insulin and high levels of AβOs. In the absence of insulin/IGF1 signaling, even for relatively short times, neuron-bound AβOs transitioned to a state that was refractory to
release upon subsequent insulin/IGF1 treatment (Figure 11). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that sustained insulin/IGF1, perhaps derived from astrocytes, plays an important role in warding off dementia associated with the buildup of synaptotoxic AβOs in aging brain.

There has been considerable controversy regarding the involvement of Aβ-derived toxins in AD pathogenesis (Karran et al., 2011). Substantial evidence from human genetics and pathology, however, indicates they play a key role (Selkoe and Hardy, 2016). This is strongly supported by the discovery of the Icelandic A673T mutation in APP; this mutation decreases Aβ production and protects carriers against AD onset (Selkoe and Hardy, 2016). The major Aβ species implicated in AD pathogenesis comprise soluble AβOs (Mucke and Selkoe, 2012; Selkoe and Hardy, 2016; DiChiera et al., 2017). Experimentally, AβOs instigate memory failure (Lesne et al., 2006) and AD neuropathology, including tau hyperphosphorylation and synapse dysfunction and deterioration (De Felice et al., 2007, 2008; Lacor et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2009; Balducci et al., 2010; Nimmrich et al., 2010; Tomiyama et al., 2010; Sebollela et al., 2012; Figueiredo et al., 2013). The putative primary role of AβOs in AD pathogenesis is substantiated by the dementia and neuropathology caused by the E693Δ APP “Osaka” mutation (Tomiyama et al., 2008, 2010), carriers of which manifest abundant AβOs but no amyloid plaques.

In AD patients and mouse models, AβOs accumulate early, before plaque buildup (Jacobsen et al., 2006; Oddo et al., 2006; Lacor et al., 2007) and possibly decades before clinical symptoms develop (Jack et al., 2010). How dementia can be successfully postponed until older ages is of considerable interest, as AβOs self-assemble at extremely low levels of Aβ (Chang et al., 2003; Velasco et al., 2012), which exists at substantial concentrations in the brain and is released in response to neural activity (Bero et al., 2011). Several mechanisms may play roles in this. First, buildup of AβOs is slowed by Aβ degradation (Jiang et al., 2008; Cramer et al., 2012), which can be mediated by astrocytes and microglia (Mandrekar-Colucci et al., 2012), and stimulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ, a known insulin-sensitizing factor. AβO levels also can be reduced by clearance from interstitial fluid (Mawunye et al., 2010; Takeda et al., 2013). Aβ pathobiology, however, appears to be present

FIGURE 8: AβOs induce a release of exosomes and pTau 231 to the substrate after pretreatment with insulin. (A, B) Confocal microscopy shows that pretreatment of primary hippocampal cells for 1 h without (A) or with (B) insulin caused levels of culture substrate-bound AβOs (red) and Rab4 (green) to be elevated. No colocalization was observed. (C, D) Wide-field fluorescence microscopy of hippocampal neurons pretreated for 4 h without (C) or with (D) insulin before 24-h incubation with AβOs (red) and a sphingomyelinase inhibitor shows that insulin increases the AβO-induced release of pTau 231 (green).

FIGURE 9: AβOs become resistant to insulin-dependent removal mechanisms. (A) Immediately after AβO exposure, neurons were placed into basal MEM for 0, 2, 5, 10, and 15 min before addition of 1 μM insulin to stimulate release. At 2 min, AβO removal is reduced ~50%. At times longer than 5 min, insulin fails to liberate AβOs. (B) AβOs were releasable when there was no delay between AβO binding and insulin treatment. (C) A 30-min delay following AβO binding resulted in AβOs that were not releasable by insulin treatment. (D) Despite the continued presence of nonreleasable AβOs, a second application of AβOs immediately before insulin treatment proved to be fully releasable compared with B.
Insulin clears bound AβOs from neurons

Os are rapidly trapped at the neuronal surface and become resistant to insulin/IGF1-induced release.

induced by insulin in neuron-bound AβOs are not supported by the current data. For example, competitive binding between AβOs and insulin to a common neuronal receptor is ruled out by the fact that insulin is without effect if the kinase activity of its receptor is inhibited by AG1024 (De Felice et al., 2009; present study). Insulin-induced down-regulation of the receptor proteins to which AβOs might bind also appears as an incomplete explanation, as results showed insulin signaling acts to release AβOs after they had attached to neurons. Further, removal of bound AβOs is not mediated by their proteolytic cleavage, as AβOs released to the medium appear to be intact, as they are recognized by a conformation-specific antibody. Finally, insulin-induced proteolysis of neuronal surface proteins that act as oligomer receptors also appears unlikely, given the lack of effect of a number of specific protease inhibitors (including inhibitors of beta secretase 1 and various metalloproteinases) in blocking insulin-induced AβO release from neurons.

A salient finding relevant to the oligomer release mechanism is that it is blocked by dynasore and chlorpromazine, two inhibitors of endocytosis. Release of AβOs into the extracellular milieu thus depends upon intracellular trafficking. This is consistent with high-resolution imaging (Figure 5), which shows AβOs within dendrites and, possibly, within spines. The net impact of insulin on AβO trafficking and the relatively rapid transition of bound AβOs to an insulin-resistant state are illustrated in Figure 11. The ability of insulin to stimulate endocytosis in neurons is well known, including the endocytosis of potential AβO-binding proteins (Zhao et al., 2010). Further, vesicle acidification by V-ATPase appears essential in shuttling endocytosed AβOs back to the surface and into the extracellular space, as release was prevented by concanamycin A, a V-ATPase inhibitor (Malikova et al., 2004), and was attenuated by ammonium chloride. Acidification of the extracellular medium per se, however, did not substantially stimulate release. In addition to release to the medium, it also appeared that AβOs were deposited in particulate form onto the culture substrate. Although not yet proven, the data are consistent with a mechanism in which removal of bound AβOs is a consequence of insulin-stimulated exosome trafficking (Aoki et al., 2007; Muller et al., 2009).

In harmony with this interpretation, an inhibitor of exosome trafficking was found to block AβO release from insulin-treated neurons. Interestingly, insulin treatment of AβO-exposed neurons caused externalization and substrate attachment of tau phosphorylated at serine residue 231, an AD-associated epitope (Modrego, 2006). It remains to be determined whether removal of both AβOs and a pathological form of tau is a completely beneficial effect of insulin, or whether removal potentially might be harmful due to increased potential for cell-to-cell transmission of AD-linked pTau. Of note, recent microfluidics experiments strongly indicate that cellular transmission of AD-type tau can be propagated by exosomes (Usenovic et al., 2015).

The relationship between AβOs and CNS insulin signaling overall is surprisingly complex (Ferreira and Klein, 2011; De Felice, 2013). Impaired insulin/IGF1 function not only makes it possible for toxic AβOs to accumulate on neurons, but is itself a consequence of AβO accumulation, as bound AβOs down-regulate insulin receptors and

FIGURE 10: AβOs reduce insulin and IGF1 expression in astrocytes and neurons. (A) Treatment of cultured astrocytes with AβOs (500 nM) reduced IGF1 expression more than twofold (geometric mean = 28.0%; 95% CI = 19.1–40.8%) compared with control (geometric mean = 100%; 95% CI = 60.2–166%). (B) Treatment of cultured neurons with AβOs reduced insulin expression in neurons approximately twofold (geometric mean = 49.9%; 95% CI = 28.2–88.3%) compared with control (geometric mean = 100%; 95% CI = 72.3–138%). (C) Treatment of cultured astrocytes reduced the protective efficacy of conditioned media (based on images below). While conditioned media from untreated astrocytes (ACM) reduced neuronal AβO accumulation (∼45% using media from astrocytes previously exposed to AβOs (AβO-ACM**); red and black striped bar), accumulation was down only ∼90% in the presence of AβO (red bar), accumulation was down only ∼45% using media from astrocytes previously exposed to AβOs (AβO-ACM*); red and black striped bar). Geometric means and 95% CIs are plotted in C. *, p < 0.05, Mann-Whitney; **, p < 0.01, Mann-Whitney.

FIGURE 11: Proposed model for insulin/IGF1-stimulated AβO release. After AβO attachment to the neuronal surface, stimulation of insulin/IGF1 signaling leads to AβO internalization. AβOs are detached from their binding targets and shuttled back to the neuronal surface, where they are released to the extracellular space. When insulin/IGF1 signaling is deficient, AβOs are rapidly trapped at the neuronal surface and become resistant to insulin/IGF1-induced release.
inhibit IRS-1 (Zhao et al., 2008; Bomfim et al., 2012; Talbot et al., 2012), thereby rendering neurons insulin resistant. Moreover, expression of insulin and IGF1 in CNS cells exposed to AβOs is reduced, as found here. This decrease is consistent with findings that insulin and IGF1 expression in the CNS is reduced in AD patients (Rivera et al., 2005; Gil-Bea et al., 2010; Moloney et al., 2010). These phenomena have the potential to create a vicious cycle in which 1) brain cell expression of insulin/IGF1 is reduced by exposure to AβOs; 2) reduced levels of insulin/IGF1 make it easier for AβOs to bind and accumulate at synapses; 3) increasingly elevated AβO binding (to neurons and astrocytes) reduces insulin signaling further by reducing insulin/IGF-1 expression (as found here) or by instigating removal of insulin receptors and inhibition of IRS-1 (Zhao et al., 2008; Bomfim et al., 2012); and 4) the resulting major dysfunction in insulin/IGF1 signaling allows oligomer binding to reach toxic levels (De Felice et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2009). Compounding the problem, diabetes likely is a factor that instigates AβO buildup in the brain, as observed experimentally in studies of diabetes in wild-type rabbits (Bitel et al., 2012). Intriguingly, the most important AD risk factor, age, itself manifests with compromised brain insulin signaling (Fernandes et al., 2001).

Maintaining healthy CNS insulin signaling should be considered an important factor in preventing AD progression. Loss of robust CNS insulin signaling may account, at least in part, for the fact that type II diabetes, which can present with reduced brain insulin (Hu et al., 2013), is an important AD risk factor (Ott et al., 1999; Launer, 2005). Reduced brain insulin signaling, whatever the origin, would be expected to accelerate the vicious cycle of pathogenesis described earlier. As proposed (De Felice et al., 2009), such an accelerating feedback loop would likely require several levels of therapeutic intervention, optimally combining anti-AβO therapy using antibodies capable of recognizing oligomers, such as Aducanumab (Sevigny et al., 2016), together with CNS-targeted insulin therapy (Craft et al., 2012) and/or drugs that activate CNS insulin-signaling pathways (Gault and Holscher, 2008; Bomfim et al., 2012; De Felice, 2013; Lourenco et al., 2013; Pitt et al., 2013). Results here suggest that neuronal resistance to AβO toxicity could also be raised by enhancing the natural release of insulin/IGF1 from aging astrocytes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Reagents and chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise specified. MEM with Earle’s salts and f-glutamine (Invitrogen; 11095-080), N2 Supplement (Invitrogen; 17502-048), Neurobasal media (Invitrogen; 21103-049), B-27 supplement (Invitrogen; 17504-044), horse serum (Invitrogen; 16050), Aβ1-42 (American Peptide; 62-0-80), FAM-Aβ1-42 (AnaSpec; 23525-05), insulin (Sigma; I2978), IGF1 (Genway; GWB-4E7F14), epidermal growth factor (Sigma; E4127), nerve growth factor (Millipore; GF028), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (Millipore; GF029), demethylasterriquinone B1 (Tocris; 1819), PI-PLC (Sigma; PS542), insulin-degrading enzyme, His-Tag, rat recombinant (Calbiochem; 407241), Dynabeads His-Tag isolation and pull-down (Invitrogen; 101.03D), dynasore (Tocris; 2897), edelfosine (Tocris; 3022), AG 1024 (Calbiochem; 121767), chlorpromazine (Sigma; C8138), BMS 298897 (2-[(1R)-1-[(4-chlorophenyl)sulfonyl](2,5-difluorophenyl)amino]ethyl-5-fluorobenzenoic acid; Tocris; 2870), DAPT (N-(3,5-difluorophenyl)acetyl)-(l-alanyl-2-phenylglycine-1,1-dimethyl ester; Tocris; 2634), batimatstat (Tocris; 2961), marimastat (Tocris; 2631), FPI (N-(2-[4-(2,3-dihydro-2-oxo-1H-benzoimidazol-1-yl)-1-piperidiny][ethyl]-5-fluoro-1H-indole-2-carboxamide hydrochloride; Tocris; 3600), OBAA (4-(4-octadecylphenyl)-4-oxo-butenoic acid; Tocris; 0606), U 73122 (1-[(6-[[17β]-3-methoxyestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-17-yl]amino][hexyl]-1H-pyrrole-2,5-dione; Tocris; 1268), D609 (O-(octahydro-4,7-methano-1H-inden-5-yl)carbonapotassium dithioate; Tocris; 1437), and SBTI (Sigma; T9128).

**Hippocampal neuron cultures**

Primary hippocampal cultures were prepared from E18 rat embryos as previously described (Kaech and Banker, 2006). Neurons were plated at 150,000 cells per 60-mm dish and maintained in N2 medium (N2 supplement and 0.6% glucose in MEM). Experiments were carried out at 18–21 days in vitro (DIV).

**Cortical astrocyte cultures**

Secondary cortical astrocyte cultures were prepared from E18 rat embryos as previously described (Kaech and Banker, 2006). Astrocytes were grown in 75 cm² flasks containing astrocyte medium (0.6% glucose, 10% horse serum, and 1% penicillin–streptomycin in MEM). Astrocytes were isolated by mechanical dissociation of poorly adherent, presumably nonastrocytic cells. Briefly, each flask was hit briskly on the side before change of media to remove loosely attached, nonastrocytic cells (e.g., microglia). Dislodged cells were discarded. After reaching confluence, astrocytes were split into 60-mm dishes at 100,000 cells per dish and grown to ∼70% confluence before use as feeder layers. Astrocyte medium was exchanged for N2 medium 1 d before neuronal culture preparation.

**AβO preparations**

AβOs and FAM-AβOs were prepared as previously described (Pitt et al., 2009).

**AβO accumulation and toxicity**

Following any pretreatments, primary cell cultures were exposed to 500 nM AβOs (molarity based on Aβ monomers) for 24 h. After treatment, cultures were fixed for 10 min at room temperature with 4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Cultures were washed 5 times with PBS and stored at 4°C until immunolabeled (maximum of 1 wk). In other experiments, astrocyte cultures were pre-exposed to AβOs and ACM was tested for its impact on AβO binding to neurons. Primary mouse astrocyte cultures were prepared as previously described (Gomes et al., 1999). Secondary astrocytes were plated at 3 million cells per 25 cm² culture flask and maintained in DMEM/F12 with 10% bovine calf serum. After reaching confluence, astrocytes were exposed for 24 h to 500 nM AβOs in DMEM/F12 without serum. Cultures were washed to remove AβOs, and fresh DMEM/F12 was conditioned for 24 h before use in protection assays.

Mouse primary dissociated hippocampal neurons were plated at 100,000 cells per 13-mm dish and maintained in neurobasal media with B-27 supplement. At 19–21 DIV, neurons were placed in fresh or astrocyte-conditioned DMEM/F12 and exposed for 3 h to 500 nM AβOs. After treatment, cells were fixed by adding an equal volume of 3.7% formaldehyde (in PBS buffer) to the medium for 5 min; this was followed by removal of the entire fix/media solution and replacement with 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 min. Cultures were washed three times with PBS and stored at 4°C until immunolabeled (maximum of 1 wk).

**AβO release assay**

Hippocampal neurons cultured on 18-mm coverslips were moved into individual wells in 12-well plates containing 1 ml MEM. For AβO...
attachment, 500 nM AβOs were added for 15 min. Release of AβOs was stimulated by moving coverslips to new wells with fresh MEM supplemented with factors of interest. Coverslips were washed with MEM in between wells to remove any unbound AβOs. MEM was analyzed for AβO content by dot immunoblot (described in the following section) using NU1, an AβO-sensitive antibody (Lambert et al., 2007). Pharmacological inhibitors, when used, were washed 30 min before AβO attachment.

**AβO dot immunoblotting**

Media samples from AβO release assays were applied to nitrocellulose film in triplicate. Each dot contained 1 μl of media. All membranes also included a positive control (50 nM AβO; concentration based on monomers) and a negative control (PBS), both in triplicate. In a subset of experiments, a standard curve of AβOs (1–500 nM) was spotted onto the membrane to estimate the amount of AβO released. After spots were dry, membranes were blocked in immunoblocking buffer (Tris-buffered saline [TBS] with 0.05% Tween-20, 5% nonfat dry milk) for 45 min at room temperature. Primary stains were carried out overnight at 4°C using the AβO-sensitive antibody NU1 (mouse; 1.5 μg/ml in immunoblocking buffer). Membranes were washed four times (5 min each wash) with TBS containing 0.05% Tween-20. Membranes were then incubated with an anti-mouse, horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibody (1:5000 in immunoblocking buffer) for 90 min at room temperature. Membranes were washed as described above and developed using the appropriate chemiluminescent reagents.

**Glial conditioning of MEM and insulin-degrading enzyme treatment**

For release assays, conditioned medium was swapped for MEM on secondary astrocyte cultures. ACM was collected after 12–24 h. For IDE treatment, 1.5 ml ACM was treated with 1 U IDE for 1 h at 37°C. His-tagged IDE was removed using Dynabeads His-tag isolation protocols. IDE treatment, 1.5 ml ACM was treated with 1 U IDE for 1 h at 37°C. For release assays, conditioned medium was swapped for MEM on secondary astrocyte cultures. ACM was collected after 12–24 h. For IDE treatment, 1.5 ml ACM was treated with 1 U IDE for 1 h at 37°C. His-tagged IDE was removed using Dynabeads His-tag isolation and pull-down following the manufacturer's instructions.

**Immunostaining**

Antibodies against the following antigens were used: TuJ1 (1:1000; Promega; G7121), TuJ1 (1:2000; Covance; MRB-435P), GFAP (1:1000; Promega; GS60A), and spinophilin (1:250; Abcam; ab18561). Anti-AβO antibodies NU1 (1.5 μg/ml) and NU4 (1.5 μg/ml) are monoclonal antibodies derived from mice immunized with AβOs (Lambert et al., 2007). Both NU1 and NU4 interact strongly with oligomeric forms of Aβ. Immunostaining was carried out as previously described (Pitt et al., 2009).

**qRT-PCR**

Desalted primers were performed synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies) against the following genes in Rattus norvegicus: 18S rRNA (forward: gttctggtcttgaatctgcttg; reverse: agctgaatgctcctggctctc), β-actin (forward: ccttggaattcctggctctg; reverse: ctgggtcttcctctctgcctgggt), GAPDH (forward: cgtagaagatgctgcaagtt; reverse: caccggctgtcgtgctcag), insulin-1 (forward: ccctaaaggtgctgtttgac), and IGF1 (forward: cccctgttgctgtagccata; reverse: ggtgttccgatgttttgcag). Weighted CTs for three reference genes (actin, GAPDH, and 18S rRNA) were calculated using the RefFinder tool provided by the EST Database of Cotton (www.leonxie.com/reference-gene.php). Relative gene expression was calculated for 13 control samples and 14 AβO-treated samples across four separate experiments by the ACT method. Statistical analysis was carried out in Prism 5 (GraphPad).

**Imaging and data analysis**

Images were acquired using a 60x objective on a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U epifluorescence microscope and exported into CellProfiler (Carpenter et al., 2006) to analyze the number of pixels positive for each antibody normalized by neurite length. To avoid potential biases in results related to distance from the soma or dendritic order, we quantified the signal along neurite segments at various distances from the cell body and averaged. Manual analysis of microcopy data was performed in MetaMorph. N-SIM images were captured on a Nikon N-SIM Structured Illumination superresolution microscope. Confocal images were captured on a Nikon A1R+ confocal laser microscope system. Wide-field fluorescent images were captured using a Molecular Devices ImageXpress confocal microscope at 40x. Western blots were quantified using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). Numerical data from each experimental repetition were exported and pooled for descriptive and statistical analysis in Prism 5 (GraphPad). All experiments were carried out a minimum of three times. In each experiment, each experimental condition contained at least triplicate samples. qPCR data are reported as geometric means ± 95% confidence intervals. All other data are reported as means ± SEM.
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