Structure and mechanism of the ATP synthase membrane motor inferred from quantitative integrative modeling
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Two subunits within the transmembrane domain of the ATP synthase—the c-ring and subunit a—energize the production of 90% of cellular ATP by transducing an electrochemical gradient of H⁺ or Na⁺ into rotational motion. The nature of this turbine-like energy conversion mechanism has been elusive for decades, owing to the lack of definitive structural information on subunit a or its c-ring interface. In a recent breakthrough, several structures of this complex were resolved by cryo–electron microscopy (cryo-EM), but the modest resolution of the data has led to divergent interpretations. Moreover, the unexpected architecture of the complex has cast doubts on a wealth of earlier biochemical analyses conducted to probe this structure. Here, we use quantitative molecular-modeling methods to derive a structure of the a–c complex that is not only objectively consistent with the cryo-EM data, but also with correlated mutation analyses of both subunits and with prior cross-linking and cysteine accessibility measurements. This systematic, integrative approach reveals unambiguously the topology of subunit a and its relationship with the c-ring. Mapping of known Cd²⁺ block sites and conserved protonatable residues onto the structure delineates two noncontiguous pathways across the complex, connecting two adjacent proton-binding sites in the c-ring to the space on either side of the membrane. The location of these binding sites and of a strictly conserved arginine on subunit a, which serves to prevent protons from hopping between them, explains the directionality of the rotary mechanism and its strict coupling to the proton-motive force. Additionally, mapping of mutations conferring resistance to oligomycin unexpectedly reveals that this prototypical inhibitor may bind to two distinct sites at the a–c interface, explaining its ability to block the mechanism of the enzyme irrespective of the direction of rotation of the c-ring. In summary, this study is a stepping stone toward establishing the mechanism of the ATP synthase at the atomic level.

INTRODUCTION

As a result of cellular respiration or light harvesting, protons or sodium ions are actively exported across the inner membranes of mitochondria, chloroplasts, and prokaryotic cells, thus establishing an inward electrochemical potential gradient. How ATP synthases harness the reverse, downhill movement of these ions to power ATP production is a long-standing question in bioenergetics. From the general architecture of these enzymes (Fig. S1), which is largely conserved across species, it is apparent that ion translocation and ATP synthesis occur in two distinct, albeit mechanically coupled domains (Meier et al., 2011; Walker, 2013). It has also been established through structural, biochemical, and biophysical studies that two specific elements within the membrane domain, referred to as the c-ring and subunit a, are directly involved in facilitating ion permeation and that they do so through a rotary mechanism, which in turn powers the catalytic domain (Junge et al., 1997). However, insufficient information on the structure of the a–c complex has hindered a more detailed understanding of this unparalleled energy conversion mechanism. Multiple c-ring structures have been resolved at atomic resolution (Meier et al., 2005, 2009; Pogoryelov et al., 2009, 2010; Krah et al., 2010b; Preiss et al., 2010, 2015; Symersky et al., 2012b; Schulz et al., 2013; Matthies et al., 2014), consistently revealing a series of ion-binding sites along the outer circumference of the ring, each featuring a conserved acidic residue halfway across the transmembrane region. However, an atomic-resolution structure of subunit a, either isolated or in complex with the c-ring, has not been reported to date. This notwithstanding, the so-called two-half-channel model, proposed two decades ago (Vik and Anto-nio, 1994; Junge et al., 1997; Vik et al., 1998), still holds as the most plausible mechanistic hypothesis. According to this model, two aqueous half-channels or pathways would run through the a–c complex and reach to two ion-binding sites in the ring from either side of the membrane. Importantly, these two channels are noncontiguous and offset relative to each other; specifically, the half-channel open to the electrochemically negative (N) side of the membrane is clockwise with respect to that open to the positive (P) side (viewed from the cat-
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alytic domain). Similarly crucial is a conserved arginine residue on the surface of subunit α, believed to preclude ions from hopping directly between the two sites/channels (Mitome et al., 2010; Pogoryelov et al., 2010; Matthies et al., 2014). Therefore, an ion that binds the c-ring via the P channel can be released through the N channel only after the c-ring undergoes a near-complete revolution, counterclockwise, through a series of elementary rotational steps (Fig. S1). Individually, these steps would be stochastic and reversible, owing to the inherent symmetry of the c-ring structure; yet, a net directionality in the rotation of the c-ring would emerge in the presence of a proton-motive force, as forward and backward rates would become imbalanced (Matthies et al., 2014).

Although conceptually appealing, it has been challenging to verify or refute the two-half-channel hypothesis at the molecular level. In a remarkable breakthrough, the structure of a complete mitochondrial ATP synthase was recently determined at subnanometer resolution (7 Å), through single-particle cryo–electron microscopy (cryo-EM; Allegretti et al., 2015). This structure confirmed the expectation that subunit α lies adjacent to the c-ring and that it comprises at least four transmembrane helices, which at this resolution appear as rod-like density elements. Surprisingly, though, these helices differ noticeably in length and are highly tilted relative to the membrane perpendicular, by ∼70°, in stark contrast with earlier predictions based on a wealth of biochemical, biophysical, and bioinformatic analyses conducted to probe this structure (Rastogi and Girvin, 1999; Vik and Ishmukhametov, 2005; Moore and Fillingame, 2008; Hopf et al., 2014). Subsequent reports of two additional subnanometer cryo-EM structures (Zhou et al., 2015; Hahn et al., 2016) and of a partial crystal structure (Morales-Ríos et al., 2015) have qualitatively verified this unprecedented architecture, but the proposed topologies for subunit α differ. Arguably, however, none of these structures is by itself of sufficient resolution to permit a conclusive assignment of the protein amino acid sequence. Given that none of these studies have provided an objective, quantitative assessment of alternative interpretations of the data, nor a comprehensive comparative evaluation of earlier biochemical studies, it seems both timely and pertinent to clarify these discrepancies, to establish a clear foundation for future mechanistic studies.

To this end, we sought to build and refine a structural model of the α–c complex that is optimally consistent not only with the abovementioned cryo-EM data but also with an evolutionary analysis of the primary sequences of both subunits and with existing biochemical and functional data. Specifically, we use a model-building protocol whereby knowledge-based methodologies are first used to create a large and diverse ensemble of putative models that are similarly compatible with the cryo-EM map of best resolution (Allegretti et al., 2015); these models are then ranked according to their consistency with inter-residue distances inferred from correlated mutation analyses, cysteine cross-linking experiments, and key functional experiments. This integrative procedure enabled us to conclusively establish the topology of subunit α and its relationship with the c-ring, despite the inherent uncertainty of each of the sources of data. The resulting model of the α–c complex provides clear insights into the mechanism by which proton permeation drives the rotation of the c-ring and into the mode of action of known bidirectional inhibitors. From a methodological standpoint, we posit that systematic, integrative molecular-modeling approaches such as that used here are ideally suited to leverage the impending growth in subnanometer-resolution structural data for membrane proteins, and particularly cryo-EM data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Modeling of the c-ring

An initial homology model of the c-ring from Polytomella sp. Pringsheim 198.80 was generated with MOD ELLER 9v8 (Fiser and Sali, 2003), based on the structure of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae c-ring (Symersky et al., 2012b) and of a multiple-sequence alignment of c subunits generated with HHblits (Remmert et al., 2012). An ensemble of 2,000 models was initially produced and ranked in terms of the DOPE (Shen and Sali, 2006) and GA341 (Melo and Sali, 2007) scores. The top-ranking model was then refined and fitted into the relevant region of the Polytomella cryo-EM map (Allegretti et al., 2015), which had been previously carved out with CHIMERA (Pettersen et al., 2004). The refinement was performed with Rosetta, specifically with the so-called “relax” protocol (DiMaio et al., 2009), simultaneously using the high-resolution membrane and fit-to-density scoring functions (Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2006; DiMaio et al., 2009). A total of 1,200 models were generated and scored. The transmembrane spans in the protein were translated from those predicted by OPM (Lomize et al., 2006) for the structure of the S. cerevisiae c-ring. During the refinement, the positions of the Ca atoms were restrained, and 10-fold symmetry was imposed along the ring axis. It was assumed that the resolution of the map was that originally estimated for the c-ring (Allegretti et al., 2015), namely 7.8 Å (the overall value is 7.0 Å). The voxel spacing was set to 3.0 Å. The fit-to-density score was based on the correlation between the experimental map and the map calculated for a model, for the complete c-ring structure. As has been prescribed for maps of similar resolution (DiMaio et al., 2009), the calculated density map was that of the Ca trace, assuming an 8-Å atomic radius that is meant to also encompass the side chain.
Modeling of subunit a

Initial models of the Cα trace of either the TM4-TM5 or TM2-TM3 hairpins were generated following a protocol previously described (Baker et al., 2010), using the versions of SSEHunter and SSEBuilder (Baker et al., 2007) implemented in Gorgon (https://gorgon.wustl.edu). The skeletonization used an algorithm described elsewhere (Ju et al., 2007) with a threshold of 0.1, assuming a resolution of 6.5 Å for the portion of the cryo-EM map corresponding to subunit a (Allegratti et al., 2015). Each hairpin was threaded into the cryo-EM map starting from the C terminus, guided by a consensus secondary structure prediction. Based on these initial models, a series of alternative threadings were generated by displacing the Cα trace in either direction in one-residue increments; in practice, these alternative threadings are “homology models” of the initial threading, in which the reference sequence alignment includes gaps artificially introduced to achieve the desired shift. Each of these Cα traces of the TM4-TM5 and TM2-TM3 hairpins was individually transformed into an all-atom model, using Rosetta, as described elsewhere (DiMaio et al., 2009). In brief, fragments of nine and three residues of known structure were considered for each of the helical regions of the Cα trace (note that the residues encompassed in these helical regions vary with the threading). After these fragments were built in, the resulting structures were perturbed in a Monte Carlo simulation, fostering displacements of 30° per 0.5 Å along the helix axis and 2° per 0.5 Å off axis. A constraint of 2 Å from the Cα initial model was applied with a penalty of 0.1 (arbitrary units) in the scoring function. The loops were then rebuilt for the lowest-energy model, and the complete hairpin model was fitted and refined into the cryo-EM density, using the same procedure used for the c-ring. The map resolution used for this refinement was 6.5 Å, with a voxel spacing of 3.0 Å. For each threading of each hairpin, an ensemble of 1,200 models was produced with this algorithm. Models with the best 20% total Rosetta score were extracted from each ensemble and evaluated according to their consistency with an evolutionary analysis of correlated mutations, as well as with preexisting cross-linking data (see below). After determining the most plausible threading for each of the two hairpins, we rebuilt and refined the complete four-helix bundle into the partial cryo-EM map in a manner identical to that used for each individual hairpin/threading.

Evolutionary couplings within subunit a and between subunits a and c

Correlations between naturally occurring mutations in subunit a were identified in an alignment of ~9,500 sequences, which had been generated with HHblits (Remmert et al., 2012) using two iterations for the homology search and an E-value of $10^{-5}$. The coupling score for each pair of mutations was computed using the EVcouplings server (Marks et al., 2011, 2012; Hopf et al., 2012). To score the degree of correlation in concurrent mutations of the c and a subunit, an analogous multiple sequence alignment was generated for the former, concatenated with that of the latter, and evaluated with the EVcomplex server (Hopf et al., 2014). Only residue pairs with an Evolutionary Coupling score of 0.15 or greater were considered.

Evaluation of model compliance with evolutionary couplings and cross-links

Two methods were used to evaluate the degree to which a given model is compatible with the set of Cα–Cα distances inferred from either the analysis of correlated mutations or the existing cross-linking data. The first method was to simply determine the number of distances that exceed the ideal values, i.e., the number of violations; e.g., in the case of evolutionary couplings, we defined a violation as an instance in which the distance between two residues in a correlated pair is >15 Å. In the second method, we used a scoring function that accounts for the fact some of the reference data are less reliable, either because the data are too sparse (e.g., correlated mutations between the c and a subunit), or not necessarily self-consistent (e.g., cross-linking data). This function takes the form

$$F_k = \sum \frac{1 - (r_{ij}/R_k)^8}{\sum 1 - (r_{ij}/R_k)^8},$$

where the i and j indexes refer to the two Cα atoms in each residue pair considered and $r_{ij}$ is the distance between them in the model being evaluated. The k index denotes whether the score pertains to the cross-linking data ($k = 1$) or to the evolutionary couplings ($k = 2$). $R_k$ is the target distance value in each case. The values of $R_1$ used were 8 Å for direct disulfide bonds and 12 Å for M2M-spaced cross-links, whereas that of $R_2$ was 15 Å. Note these values imply that the maximum scores for a given model are $F_1 = 19$ and $F_2 = 11$. The more compliant a given model is with the data (i.e., the greater the number of pairs that fulfill $r_{ij} \leq R_k$), the larger the values of $F_k$. However, uneffective cross-links or couplings ($r_{ij} >> R_k$) in a given model do not contribute negatively to its $F_k$ score. Hence, this ranking method is designed to reveal the models that are most globally consistent with the experimental data.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the basic architecture of an ATP synthase. Fig. S2 shows a cryo-EM map of the subunit a-c-ring complex from the bovine mitochondrial ATP synthase. Fig. S3 shows alignment of the amino acid sequences of subunit c from *Polytomella* and *Chlamydomonas rein-
hardtii against those of known atomic structure. Fig. S4 shows refinement of the c-ring homology model with Rosetta. Fig. S5 shows amino acid sequences of subunit a from Polytomaella and Escherichia coli. Fig. S6 evaluates the consistency of the proposed structure of the a–c complex with previous cross-linking studies of the E. coli ATP synthase. Fig. S7 evaluates the consistency of the proposed structure of the a–c complex with cysteine accessibility data for the E. coli ATP synthase. Fig. S8 shows a comparison between different models of the subunit a structure. Table S1 evaluates the model of the Polytomaella c10-ring structure before and after refinement with Rosetta. Table S2 shows cysteine cross-links engineered in the E. coli ATP synthase. Table S3 shows Cd2+ block sites in the E. coli ATP synthase.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structure of the c10-ring

The cryo-EM map used in this study is that of the mitochondrial ATP synthase dimer from Polytomaella sp. Pringsheim 198.80, which so far is that with the best resolution in the membrane domain (Allegretti et al., 2015). The portion of this map that is relevant to model the a–c complex is shown in Fig. 1 (for comparison, the cryo-EM map for the bovine ATP synthase [Zhou et al., 2015] is shown in Fig. S2). We began by constructing an atomic model of the c-ring, based not only on this cryo-EM map but also on its expected homology with other c-rings of known atomic structure. The cryo-EM map clearly shows that the c-ring of Polytomaella comprises 10 copies of subunit c (Fig. 1 A), and hence the most suitable homology modeling template is the c10-ring of the mitochondrial ATP synthase of S. cerevisiae, whose structure is known at a resolution of 2.0 Å (Symersky et al., 2012b). Indeed, given the high similarity of the amino acid sequences of the Polytomaella and S. cerevisiae c subunits (47% identical, without any gaps in their alignment [Fig. S3]), it is likely that this homology model differs from the true structure by <1 Å (root-mean-square deviation of the Cα trace in the transmembrane region; Forrest et al., 2006).

Because the Polytomaella c subunit is encoded in the nuclear genome, a long portion of the N-terminal sequence is a mitochondrial transport signal, which is ultimately cleaved. To identify the starting point of the mature amino acid sequence, we compared the Polytomaella c subunit sequence with the Edman degradation product of the c subunit from the closely related C. reinhardtii algae (Fig. S3; van Lis et al., 2003). We assigned the same starting residue to the Polytomaella c subunit and constructed an initial homology model for the c10-ring using MODELLER (Fiser and Sali, 2003); we then improved and refined this initial prediction with Rosetta (Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2006; DiMaio et al., 2009; see Materials and methods). During this refinement, the portion of the experimental cryo-EM map that corresponds to the c-ring (Fig. 1 A) was used as a three-dimensional density restraint, concurrently with the Rosetta energy function and sampling algorithm. As expected, the refined model does not differ significantly from the initial homology model in terms of the Cα trace, but a MolProbity (Davis et al., 2007) evaluation demonstrates that there are clear improvements in the overall quality of the structure otherwise (Table S1). The refined model also has low values of the Rosetta high-resolution membrane scoring function and, concurrently, the fit-to-density score, without any indication of over-fitting to the low-resolution map (Fig. S4).

Fig. 2 A shows the overall structure of the Polytomaella c10-ring. As in other c-rings of small stoichiome-
try, the outer helices of the c subunits are not arranged radially relative to the inner helices; instead, they are noticeably staggered (Fig. 2 B). This staggering seems to owe to the requirement that the outer helices remain at an approximately constant distance regardless of the curvature of the ring, as residues in neighboring outer helices must come together to form the ion-binding sites (Pogoryelov et al., 2012; Leone et al., 2015). In the Polytomella c₁₀-ring, Glu58 marks the location of these binding sites (Fig. 2 C), halfway across the transmembrane span of the ring and on its outer face (Fig. 2, A–C). Aside from Glu58 and Ser59, on the adjacent outer helix, these binding sites are mostly hydrophobic, indicating a very high proton selectivity (Krah et al., 2010a; Leone et al., 2015). This high proton selectivity would ensure the Polytomella ATP synthase is coupled to the proton-motive force generated by the mitochondrial respiratory chain, despite the fact that the concentration of Na⁺ is multiple orders of magnitude greater than that of H⁺. By analogy with other c-rings (Leone et al., 2010), it is highly probable that proton binding to these sites entails the protonation of Glu58, further stabilized by a water-mediated bridge to Ser59.

Figure 2. Refined cryo-EM structure of the Polytomella c-ring. (A) The c-ring, viewed along the membrane plane. The ring consists of 10 hairpin-like c subunits, with the N-terminal helix in the interior and the outer helix in the exterior. A conserved glutamate in each of the outer helices (red spheres) marks the location of the proton-binding sites. (B) The c-ring, viewed from the mitochondrial interior. Note that the outer helices are staggered relative to the inner helices, rather than projecting radially (dashed black lines). (C) Close-up of one of the proton-binding sites, at the interface between two adjacent c subunits, featuring the conserved glutamate side chain (Glu58).

Assignment and threading of TM4 and TM5 in subunit a
As mentioned, the cryo-EM map of the Polytomella ATP synthase reveals a bundle of four rod-like densities adjacent to the c-ring, oriented in the membrane at an unusually high tilt angle (Fig. 1, B and C). Although the map is not sufficiently resolved to assign any of these elements to a specific segment of protein amino acid sequence, a wealth of functional and biochemical data for the E. coli enzyme (Jiang and Fillingame, 1998; Vik and Ishmukhametov, 2005; Fillingame and Steed, 2014) demonstrate that these density elements correspond to subunit a and that the two elements closest to the c-ring are the two C-terminal helices, originally designated TM4 and TM5. We thus set out to build the structure of this region of the amino acid sequence into these rod-like densities.

To define the mature amino acid sequence of the Polytomella subunit a, we again compared it with that of the closely related C. reinhardtii, whose N terminus is known (van Lis et al., 2003). Alignment of the resulting sequence with that of E. coli and comparison of alternative secondary structure predictions (Fig. S5) indicate that TM4 and TM5 are encompassed by the 117-residue C-terminal fragment. There are, however, two possible ways to thread this sequence fragment into the cryo-EM map: either with TM5 closer to the mitochondrial matrix and TM4 closer to the intermembrane space or vice versa. Hereafter, these two alternatives will be referred as “TM4-out/TM5-in” and “TM4-in/TM5-out,” respectively. Owing to the limited resolution of the cryo-EM data, we decided to model both possibilities and assess which is the most probable by integrating bioinformatic and biochemical information into our analysis. Specifically, we devised a scoring scheme that considers (a) a newly conducted, family-wide analysis of evolutionary covariant amino acid pairs in subunits a and c (Materials and methods and Fig. S3) and (b) a comprehensive set of cysteine cross-linking data for both subunits a and c, which had been previously obtained for the E. coli ATP synthase (Table S2). The premise of this scoring scheme is that two residues that are in sequence positions that mutate in a correlated manner are likely to be in close proximity; a similar assumption is made if cysteine variants of those residues were found to form a cross-link, either directly or via a spacer.
In addition, for both the TM4-out/TM5-in and the TM4-in/TM5-out assignments, we considered a range of seemingly equally plausible threadings of the amino acid sequence, shifted relative to each other in 1-residue increments, up to an 11-residue span (i.e., approximately three turns of a helix). For each threading in each assignment of the TM4-TM5 hairpin, we generated an ensemble of 1,200 models by introducing smaller shifts in the protein backbone and a wide variety of side chain configurations. Collectively, we generated a total of 13,200 models of the TM4-TM5 hairpin for each assignment.

As mentioned, the resolution of the cryo-EM data is such that all of these models fit to the density comparably. However, we found that only a few of the threadings are truly compatible with the set of Cα–Cα distances that can be inferred from an analysis of evolutionary covariant residue pairs within the TM4-TM5 hairpin (Fig. 3 A and Materials and methods). Specifically, in only for four threadings in each of the two possible assignments did we detect a small percentage of distance violations in the majority of models in the corresponding ensemble (Fig. 4 A). Logically, though, the evolutionary couplings between TM4 and TM5 are not sufficient to discriminate between the TM4-out/TM5-in assignment, i.e., clockwise and Z-like, are schematized in the top left inset (see Results and discussion). (B) Evolutionary couplings between the outer helix of the c subunit and helices TM4 and TM5 in subunit a. The horizontal dashed line divides the c subunit outer helix in two halves, before and after the proton-binding site, one closer to the P side of the membrane and the other to the N side.

In summary, this unbiased analysis makes clear that the resolution of the cryo-EM map of the Polytomella ATP synthase is not sufficient to assign TM4 and TM5 without any other inputs of information (even though this map is the best resolved of all available); however, this assignment becomes unequivocal when sequence and biochemical analysis and low-resolution structural information. Collectively, these data conclusively reveal that TM4 is closer to the electropositive (P) side of the membrane (i.e., the intermembrane space, or outside), whereas TM5 is closer to the electronegative side (N) side (i.e., the mitochondrial matrix, or inside).

This key result notwithstanding, neither the cryo-EM, bioinformatic, or cross-linking data are sufficiently solved to discern alternative threadings of TM4 and TM5 differing by up to a three-residue shift. To narrow down this assignment further, we resorted to functional data, specifically pertaining to a conserved, functionally crucial arginine residue in TM4 of subunit a (Lightowlers et al., 1987; Cain and Simoni, 1989). Of particular relevance are functional assays performed for the E. coli enzyme (Ishmukhametov et al., 2008; Bae and Vik, 2009) that strongly indicated that (a) the conserved glutamate in the c subunit proton-binding site interacts with this arginine (here Arg145) and (b) that this direct interaction is preserved if that arginine is swapped with a con-
served glutamine in TM5 (here Gln201). We reasoned that the concurrent proximity of Glu58 to Arg145 and Gln201 could be used as a metric to rank the three remaining threadings of the TM4-TM5 hairpin (Materials and methods). Indeed, this criterion led to a unique solution (Fig. 5 C), which therefore can be considered the most consistent with all available experimental and bioinformatic data. Having identified this most probable threading of TM4 and TM5, we next set out to complete the subunit a model by adding helices TM2 and TM3.

Threading the subunit a TM2-TM3 hairpin

Although helices TM2 and TM3 can be clearly discerned in the cryo-EM map of the Polytomella ATP synthase, the connectivity between TM4 and TM3 cannot (Fig. 1). Therefore, there are again two possible ways to thread TM2 and TM3 relative to TM4 and TM5. In a Z-like topology, TM2 would be closer to TM4, and TM3 to TM5 (Fig. 3 A, inset); alternatively, the four helices could be arranged clockwise. We reasoned that the analysis of evolutionary couplings within this four-helix bundle ought to reveal which of these topologies is the most probable. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3 A, the number of covariant pairs for residues in TM2 and TM5 is much greater than that between TM2 and TM4; in addition, the diagonal pattern of the correlation matrix indicates that TM2 and TM5 are in a parallel arrangement.

Similarly, many more pairs can be detected for TM3 and TM4 than for TM3 and TM5, and also in a diagonal pattern. It is therefore highly probable that TM2 is more proximal to TM5 than TM4, whereas TM3 is more proximal to TM5 than TM4.

Based on this result, we modeled the amino acid sequence of the TM2-TM3 hairpin (residues 52–115) into the cryo-EM map in a clockwise topology, following a procedure analogous to that used for TM4-TM5. That is, we considered 17 distinct threadings of the TM2-TM3 hairpin and produced a set of >20,000 models in total, which reflect a highly diverse ensemble of backbone and side chain conformations for each threading (Materials and methods). We then evaluated these models according to their consistency with the evolutionary couplings detected between TM2 and TM3. As shown in Fig. 4 B, this assessment clearly revealed one specific threading as the most probable, with ~80% of the models in the corresponding ensemble in agreement with 90% of the expected Cα-Cα distances.

Structure of the a–c complex supports the two-half-channel hypothesis

Having identified the most probable threading of the subunit a TM2-TM3 and TM4-TM5 hairpins, among a wide range of alternatives, we again used Rosetta to refine a model of the TM2-TM5 bundle, through a

Figure 4. Quantitative evaluation of alternative threadings of the transmembrane helices of subunit a into the cryo-EM map. (A) Analysis of the TM4-TM5 hairpin; the two possible assignments of TM4 (in/out) and TM5 (out/in) are evaluated separately in each panel (see Results and discussion). In each case, 11 alternative threadings were considered (as numbered), shifted relative to each other in one-residue increments. An ensemble of models was produced for each threading and analyzed individually, in terms of the consistency of the models with the Cα-Cα distances inferred from the evolutionary couplings between TM4 and TM5 (Fig. 3 A). Specifically, each column is a histogram of the percentage of distance violations calculated for each of the ensembles/threadings; the color scale indicates the population of each bin. The threadings marked with red arrows are the most compatible with the evolutionary analysis because the corresponding ensembles include the largest populations of models with the lowest percentage of violations. (B) Analysis of the TM2-TM3 hairpin. 17 different threadings of the TM2-TM3 hairpin (in a clockwise topology relative to TM4-TM5) were evaluated through an analysis identical to that summarized in A, except that the evolutionary couplings considered are those between TM2 and TM3 (Fig. 3 A). A single threading (marked with a red arrow) stands out as the most compatible with this evolutionary data.
Figure 5. Quantitative evaluation of alternative threadings of TM4 and TM5 into the cryo-EM map. The eight most likely threadings of the TM4-TM5 hairpin, based on the analysis described in Fig. 4 A, are evaluated further. (A) The ensembles of models constructed for each threading are evaluated in terms of the evolutionary couplings between the TM4-TM5 hairpin and the outer helix of subunit c (Fig. 3 B). For clarity, a single, global score was calculated for each model from this evolutionary data (Materials and methods), and a histogram of that score across the ensemble is shown; by definition, the maximum value of this score (i.e., no distance violations) is 11. (B) Analogous analysis to A, instead based on Ca-Ca distances inferred from cross-linking experiments (Materials and methods and Table S2); by definition, the maximum value of this score is 19. (C) Analysis of the top three threadings according to A and B, in terms of the proximity between Glu58 in subunit c, Arg145 in TM4 of subunit a, and Gln201 in TM5 (see Results and discussion). The plots show the percentage of models in each ensemble for which the distance between these side chains is less than or equal to an increasing value between 0 and 20 Å.

protocol similar to that used to improve the homology model of the c-ring (Materials and methods). The two models were then fitted simultaneously into the cryo-EM map, resulting in the structure of the a–c complex shown in Fig. 6.

Despite its limited resolution, this structural model is squarely consistent with the two-half-channel hypothesis outlined above and also helps to rationalize a wide range of biochemical data pertaining to the mechanism and inhibition of the enzyme. By construction, the structure shows one of the proton-binding sites in the c-ring in proximity to both Arg145, the crucial arginine in TM4 of subunit a, and Gln201 in TM5 (Fig. 6 A). The Ca-Ca distance from Glu58 to Arg145 (8 Å) is indeed consistent with a salt bridge, and that to Gln201 (13 Å) implies this same interaction would be feasible if Arg145 and Gln201 were swapped, as has been suggested for the E. coli ATP synthase (Ishmukhametov et al., 2008; Bae and Vik, 2009). A nontrivial finding, however, is that this c-ring binding site is aligned with a series of residues on subunit a that have been inferred to be exposed to the aqueous half-channel on the P side of the membrane (Fig. 6 A). Specifically, these are positions whose equivalent in E. coli, upon cysteine substitution, have been shown to mediate binding of Cd^{2+}, thereby blocking proton uptake from the periplasm (Table S3; Steed and Fillingame, 2008, 2009, 2014; Dong and Fillingame, 2010). In our structure, these residues group in the loop of the TM2-TM3 hairpin and near Arg145 and Gln201 in TM4 and TM5, respectively; together, these residues clearly delineate a pathway through the protein interior that leads to the abovementioned c-ring binding site, which we therefore refer to as the P site (Fig. 6, A and B). In close proximity to these Cd^{2+} block sites, four ionizable residues cluster together (Fig. 6 E), seemingly marking the entrance of this pathway (Fig. 6 B). Two of these residues, H154 and E194, are of special interest, as they are largely conserved. Indeed,
analysis of correlated mutations across subunit a homologues shows that these two residues are highly covariant (Fig. 3 A); for example, in the native E. coli sequence, these residues are swapped for E219 and H245, respectively. Functional assays of the E. coli ATP synthase show these two residues contribute to determine the rate of proton uptake (Cain and Simoni, 1988), suggesting that they might serve as a buffering site for the incoming proton; such buffering sites exist in other proton transport systems of known structure (Eicher et al., 2014; Kaila et al., 2014). In the context of our model, it becomes straightforward to envisage how a proton transiently residing at E194/H154, deep inside the subunit a four-helix bundle, could transfer to the P site on the c-ring, for example via a water wire flanked by the polar side chains of N149 (TM4) and Q201 (TM5; Fig. 6 C). Indeed, the equivalent residues in E. coli (N214 and Q252) are among those accessible to Cd\(^{2+}\), added from either the N or the P side, respectively, thereby blocking the proton transport mechanism (Gln201 is also a site of external Cd\(^{2+}\) block). (B) Same as A viewed along the membrane plane. (C–E) Smoothed surface of subunit a up to the C\(\gamma\) atom of each side chain, colored as follows: blue, Lys or Arg; red, Asp, Glu, or His; green, polar; white, hydrophobic.

Figure 6. Cryo-EM structure of the subunit a–c-ring complex. (A) The a–c complex is viewed from the mitochondrial matrix, i.e., the N side. The gray mesh is the cryo-EM map for subunit a; that for the c-ring is omitted for clarity. Red spheres indicate the C\(\alpha\) atoms of the conserved glutamate (Glu58) in the c-ring proton-binding sites. The dark and light blue spheres on subunit a indicate the conserved arginine (Arg145) in TM4 and the conserved glutamine (Gln201) in TM5. Green and yellow spheres indicate the positions in the E. coli subunit a for which a substituted cysteine is reactive with Cd\(^{2+}\), added from either the N or the P side, respectively, thereby blocking the proton transport mechanism (Gln201 is also a site of external Cd\(^{2+}\) block). (B) Same as A viewed along the membrane plane. (C–E) Smoothed surface of subunit a up to the C\(\gamma\) atom of each side chain, colored as follows: blue, Lys or Arg; red, Asp, Glu, or His; green, polar; white, hydrophobic.
clockwise, is exposed to the solution on the negative side of the membrane, i.e., it is the N site. The N channel, however, appears to be a solvent-filled crevice at the a–c interface, as we proposed elsewhere (Pogoryelov et al., 2010), rather than a narrow pathway. Similarly to the P channel, though, positions known to be accessible by Cd\(^{2+}\) on the cytoplasmic side of the E. coli a–c complex (Table S3; Steed and Fillingame, 2009, 2014; Dong and Fillingame, 2010) cluster in this region, both on subunit a and on the c-ring (Fig. 6, A and B). Consistent with the notion that the N channel is an interfacial solvent-filled crevice, the surface of subunit a in this region features multiple polar and ionizable residues (Fig. 6, C and D). Two of these residues, E131 and R138, are worth noting as they are well conserved. The former corresponds to E196 in E. coli, whose replacement by, e.g., lysine slows down the proton transport activity of the enzyme but does not preclude proton binding to the c-ring (based on the reactivity of E58 to DCCD; Vik et al., 1988). Therefore, E131 might provide a transient binding site for the protons released via the N channel in a manner similar to E194 in the P channel. The proximity of the highly conserved R138 (K203 in E. coli), which is also one of the Cd\(^{2+}\) block sites in this pathway (Dong and Fillingame, 2010), suggests that this residue might also be involved in this process.

Our structural model reveals a striking difference in the nature of the surface of subunit a that is exposed to the c-ring at either side of the membrane center (Fig. 6, C–E). On the N side, this surface is highly polar and most probably well hydrated; on the P side, however, it is highly hydrophobic and most probably sealed. These features are consistent with the notion that the N channel is at the a–c interface, whereas the P channel runs across the interior of subunit a (Angevine et al., 2007; Steed and Fillingame, 2008, 2009; Dong and Fillingame, 2010). A second implication is that most of the 10 binding sites in the c-ring (all sites except 2) face a hydrophobic microenvironment; as we have discussed elsewhere, this environment restricts the conformational freedom of the binding sites and ensures that protons (or sodium ions) remain tightly bound (Pogoryelov et al., 2009, 2010; Symersky et al., 2012b; Mattheis et al., 2014), as these sites travel within the membrane. Our model suggests that despite the unexpected length of the a–c interface, only two adjacent ion-binding sites in the c-ring, referred to here as P and N sites, are implicated in the mechanism that couples proton binding and release to the rotation of the c-ring (Fig. 7); hence, we do not concur with the three-site mechanism proposed elsewhere (Allegretti et al., 2013; Hahn et al., 2016).

Finally, the proposed structure seems to reaffirm the hypothesis that the role of the conserved arginine in TM4 of subunit a is not to induce the deprotonation of the c-ring binding sites, but rather to preclude the leakage of ions across the a–c interface, by acting as an electrostatic barrier between the P and N sites (Fig. 7; Pogoryelov et al., 2010; Matthies et al., 2014). Indeed, functional assays of the E. coli ATP synthase have shown that the a–c complex retains its ability to catalyze the permeation of H\(^{+}\) even when this arginine is neutralized by mutation; the acidic residue in the c-ring binding sites is, in contrast, essential (Valiaveeti and Fillingame, 1997; Mitome et al., 2010). What these arginine mutations do, however, is to abolish the mechanical coupling between the catalytic and membrane domains; i.e., they short-circuit the mechanism that couples permeation and rotation. From our structure and previous experiments (Matthies et al., 2014), we envisage that the role of this arginine side chain is to sequester either the P or N site, already in their deprotonated state, freeing the other site to load or release H\(^{+}\), while simultaneously preventing those incoming or outgoing H\(^{+}\) from directly hopping from one half-channel to the other (Fig. 7). Similarly to secondary-active transporters, therefore, the a–c complex would drive the catalytic domain by virtue of an alternating access mechanism.

Lessons from failed predictions: The value of integrative modeling

The architecture and membrane orientation of subunit a observed in the cryo-EM structure of the Polytomella ATP synthase diverges dramatically from earlier predictions (Rastogi and Girvin, 1999; Vik and Ishmukhametov, 2005; Moore and Fillingame, 2008; Hopf et al., 2014). These predictions had been based on biophysical and biochemical experimental data collected for over two decades for the E. coli enzyme, which is, admittedly, different from the mitochondrial enzyme in significant ways. For example, the membrane domain of the mitochondrial ATP synthase includes additional protein subunits that mediate its dimerization (Davies et al., 2012). In our view, however, the discrepancy between the actual and predicted structures of the a–c complex cannot be explained by variations in the primary sequence across species. In fact, the sequence of the C-terminal four-helix bundle of subunit a is relatively well conserved, as is the c subunit, and so it is rather improbable that different species will feature entirely different folds (or mechanisms). Therefore, this discrepancy suggests that the structural inferences made from the biophysical and biochemical experimental data were often inaccurate. From a methodological standpoint, it is worth examining what lessons may be learned from these earlier efforts, if only to foster better predictions in the future.

In hindsight, it seems fair to conclude that one of the sources of error in previous predictions stems from an excessively stringent geometric interpretation of cysteine cross-linking data (Jiang and Fillingame, 1998; Schwem and Fillingame, 2006; Moore and Fillingame, 450 Structure and mechanism of the ATP synthase rotor | Leone and Faraldo-Gómez
As discussed above, this dataset is highly informative: it helped us to discern whether the TM2-TM5 bundle is arranged clockwise or in a Z-like pattern and also to determine the orientation of the TM4-TM5 hairpin relative to the c-ring. However, when interpreting such data, it is worth keeping in mind that the cross-linking reaction is irreversible (in oxidizing conditions), and hence that a cross-link might reshape the inherent conformational distribution of a protein very significantly. In addition, cysteine pairs are typically engineered one at a time. Thus, we would argue that it is not self-evident that the structural constraints imposed by an individual cross-link, e.g., a Cα–Cα distance of up to ~8 Å for a disulfide bond (Richardson, 1981), must also be feasible when other cross-links are considered simultaneously, e.g., when translating this data into a three-dimensional model. This assumption is particularly dubious when no functional data can be gathered for the cross-linked protein, to ascertain whether or not its fold has been significantly altered.

To illustrate this point, Fig. 8 highlights the residue pairs in our structure of the Polytomella a–c complex that are equivalent to those cross-linked in E. coli, via either a direct disulfide bond or an M2M spacer; a quantitative analysis of corresponding Cα–Cα distances is also provided in Fig. S6. It is apparent that it is not feasible for all of these distances to take simultaneously the values that would be expected for each disulfide bond individually, even if we were to assume that our model is inaccurate by one full turn of a helix. If instead we were to allow for an extra 5 Å in the expected Cα–Cα distances, as prescribed by a previous meta-analysis of cross-linking data for MFS transporters (Radestock and Forrest, 2011), all residue pairs would be within range, with the exception of G85-E196 (corresponding to N148-H245 in E. coli), for which the cross-linking reaction was only partial (Schwem and Fillingame, 2006).
summary, despite the unexpected features implied by the cryo-EM data, we would argue that the structure of the a–c complex reported here is in good agreement with the cross-linking results, providing a pragmatic interpretation of this type of experimental data. A similar conclusion may be drawn in regard to the comprehensive set of cysteine accessibility measurements and other biochemical assays that were conducted to locate the transmembrane spans of subunit a along the protein sequence (Valiyaveetil and Fillingame, 1998; Vik et al., 2000; Vik and Ishmukhametov, 2005; Schwem and Fillingame, 2006; Moore and Fillingame, 2008). These data appear to have been originally interpreted under the premise that these transmembrane spans ought to be comparable in length and arranged perpendicularly to the membrane. In hindsight, though, it is not evident that these assumptions necessarily stem from the experimental data. A stark difference with the c-ring model proposed here, and with available crystal structures, is that the conserved carboxyl side chain in the binding sites (Asp51 in E. coli) is buried in between the inner and outer helices of the subunits c, rather than being exposed on the surface of the c-ring (Fig. 2). In that case, as the c-ring rotates against subunit a, a large structural change would be required in each of the c subunits to permit the interaction of Asp51 with the conserved arginine in TM4 (R210 in E. coli). The cryo-EM map is at odds with this notion, in that it reveals no sign of a structural asymmetry in the c-ring; instead, the cryo-EM data, and our structure based thereon, appears to be more consistent with the hypothesis that the sequential engagement of this key interaction primarily involves a reconfiguration of the structure and mechanism of the ATP synthase rotor | Leone and Faraldo-Gómez
side chains at the a–c interface and rotational displacements of the c-ring as a whole (Fig. 7; Pogoryelov et al., 2010; Matthis et al., 2014).

Paradoxically, the conclusion that emerges from this retrospective analysis is that the types of sparse biochemical and bioinformatic data underlying the earlier models of subunit a do actually have significant predictive value; to derive their full value, however, it seems critical that direct structural information be also available, even at nanometer resolution, and that systematic molecular-modeling approaches are used to integrate all available data in an unbiased, quantitative manner that also accounts for the inherent uncertainties of each source.

Lastly, we note that the subunit a topology resulting from this objective analysis of the cryo-EM data for the *Polytomella* ATP synthase corrects the initial assignment of this data (Allegretti et al., 2015), which had TM4 and TM5 reversed. Our assignment, however, coincides with that subsequently proposed for the bovine enzyme, also based on cryo-EM data (Zhou et al., 2015), and for the synthase from *Paracoccus denitrificans*, from low-resolution diffraction data (Morales-Ríos et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the specific threading of the transmembrane helices differs with respect to ours, and therefore the relative positions of mechanistically key residues also vary (Fig. S8). For example, in the model of the bovine enzyme (PDB entry 5FIL), the equivalent of Arg145 seems to project away from the a–c interface, rather than toward the nearest proton-binding site in the c-ring, whereas Glu194 seems to face that interface, rather than project toward the interior of subunit a, and the P channel. Whether this variability owes to the fact that these enzymes are from different organisms or to inaccuracies in existing models will become clearer when high-resolution structural data are available.

**Molecular basis for bi-directional inhibition of the membrane motor**

Owing to its fundamental role in cellular metabolism, the ATP synthase is increasingly seen as a potential pharmacological target, particularly in the context of last-resort treatments against dormant or resistant bacterial strains (Ahmad et al., 2013; Cook et al., 2014). Indeed, the latest FDA-approved drug against multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, which affects millions of people worldwide, targets the membrane domain of the ATP synthase (Andries et al., 2005; Palomino and Martin, 2013; Lu et al., 2014; Preiss et al., 2015). However, the mechanism of action of this and other membrane-permeable inhibitors of the ATP synthase is not well understood, hampering efforts to develop more potent and specific drugs. Crystal structures of isolated c-rings have shown that antibiotics such as oligomycin, which inhibits the membrane sector of the mitochondrial ATP synthase (Kagawa and Racker, 1966; Hong and Pedersen, 2008), can recognize the proton-binding sites in the c-ring (Symersky et al., 2012a). Together with the finding that genetic mutations conferring antibiotic resistance localize on both subunits c and a (John and Nagley, 1986; Nagley et al., 1986), this observation has lent support to the notion that oligomycin and others inhibitors bind to one of the c-ring sites at the a–c interface, thereby blocking proton translocation and further rotation (Symersky et al., 2012a). It is puzzling, however, that these inhibitors halt the rotation of the c-ring not only when the enzyme operates as an ATP synthase, but also when the enzyme functions as an ATPase and the c-ring rotates in the opposite direction (Kagawa and Racker, 1966; Förster et al., 2010). For example, if oligomycin was able to bind only to the N site (Fig. 7), it would block the rotation of the ring counterclockwise, but clockwise rotation would foster dissociation. Indeed, inhibitors that block the rotation of the central stalk (Fig. S1), such as IF1, do so only in one direction for this reason (Cabezón et al., 2003). Why then, are the inhibitors of the membrane motor bi-directional?

Our structure of the mitochondrial a–c complex offers a surprisingly simple but completely unexpected answer. If we model oligomycin molecules bound to the three c-ring binding sites closest to the a–c interface (i.e., those referred to above as N and P sites, plus that immediately adjacent) in the exact same conformation and pose observed in the abovementioned crystal structure of the *S. cerevisiae* c10-ring (Symersky et al., 2012a), we observe that the two sites at either side of the P site appear to be (at this resolution) sterically compatible with the inhibitor (Fig. 9). Furthermore, if we map the mutations on subunit a that are known to result in resistance to oligomycin inhibition, we find that these positions coincide exactly with those two c-ring binding sites. Collectively, these qualitative insights strongly indicate that oligomycin and possibly other inhibitors are able to block the rotary mechanism of the enzyme in a bi-directional manner because they can bind to two distinct binding sites at the a–c interface, namely at either side of the c-ring site engaged in the key glutamate-arginine salt bridge with subunit a.

**Conclusions**

The ATP synthase is a highly paradigmatic system. Detailed investigations of its structure and mechanism have and will continue to reveal insights and principles that are broadly relevant to other enzymes, other molecular motors, and other membrane transport systems. Studies of this important system are also expanding our understanding of the seemingly crucial role that some proteins have in defining the morphology of the membranes where they reside (Davies et al., 2012), and they might also foster the
development of novel last-resort antibiotics (Lu et al., 2014). Recent breakthroughs in the cryo-EM field have advanced our understanding of the structure of this fascinating protein enormously, particularly for the membrane motor, which has been the focus of this study. However, the cryo-EM structures have also seemingly put in question the conclusions derived from a myriad of biochemical and biophysical studies performed earlier. Here, we have used systematic molecular-modeling methods and bioinformatics analyses to arrive at what we believe is an objective interpretation of the available cryo-EM data and to show that it is also quantifiably consistent with the wealth of biochemical and functional information gathered over the past decades. Although at this point neither the experimental data or the computational tools permit us to define the structure of the membrane motor in atomic detail, the low-resolution structure of the a–c complex discussed here provides important insights into the mechanism that couples the translocation of protons to the rotation of the c-ring and into the mode of action of known bi-directional inhibitors.
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Figure 9. **Insights into the mechanism of bi-directional inhibition of the ATP synthase by oligomycin.** (A) Structure of the a–c complex, viewed as in Fig. 6 A, with two oligomycin molecules (yellow/red) bound to the c-ring. The binding pose of oligomycin is exactly that observed in a co-crystal structure of the c-ring from *S. cerevisiae* (in the absence of subunit a; see Results and discussion). This pose appears to be entirely feasible with two different sites at the a–c interface, to the left and to the right of the site where Glu58 interacts with Arg145 (i.e., the P site). An oligomycin molecule would not fit in this P site, implying that binding at the flanking sites shown would ultimately halt the rotation of the ring. The positions in the *S. cerevisiae* c and a subunits where mutations confer resistance to oligomycin inhibition (see Results and discussion) are marked with green and cyan spheres, respectively. These positions are: Leu52, Ala55, Leu56, and Phe63 in the outer helix of the c subunit (Leu53, Ala56, Leu57, and Phe64 in *S. cerevisiae*), Leu130 and Ser134 in aTM4, and Leu193 in aTM5 (aLe171, aSer175, and aLeu232 in *S. cerevisiae*). (B) Side view of the oligomycin inhibition site when the c-ring rotates clockwise, transporting H⁺ uphill, driven by ATP hydrolysis. (C) Side view of the inhibition site when the c-ring rotates counterclockwise, driven by downhill H⁺ permeation, during ATP synthesis.
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