Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in a patient with situs inversus totalis: Case report, technical tips and review of the literature
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**ARTICLE INFO**

**ABSTRACT**

**INTRODUCTION:** Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB) has proven over the years to be one of the most effective bariatric procedures. It is highly technical, and therefore is mostly performed by bariatric and metabolic surgeons. Although Situs Inversus Totalis (SIT) is a very rare congenital condition, surgeons do occasionally have to operate intra-abdominally on those patients, consequently facing some challenges related to the unusual anatomy.

**CASE PRESENTATION:** We describe a rare case of LRYGB for chronic morbid obesity on a 43 year old patient with pre-operative diagnosis of situs inversus totalis without Kartagener’s syndrome, using slight modification from the usual technique based on anatomical correlation without the need to change the surgeon’s position or switching trocar placements as described in previous papers. This could help surgeons in general reduce the potential challenges faced when performing such procedure.

**CONCLUSION:** Situs Inversus Totalis is a rare congenital condition, but surgeons in general do encounter those patients throughout their career. This rare condition should not solely be an indication for an open approach as minimally invasive surgery, whether laparoscopic or robotic, is safe and should still be considered the standard of care approach. Bariatric surgery is one of the most technical intra-abdominal procedures mainly due to the patients’ body habitus, different instrumentations used, and the different anastomoses created. Gastric bypass and bariatric surgery in general can be safely performed on patients with SIT without the need for major adjustment to the surgeon’s position, trocar placement or instruments used.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Situs inversus totalis (SIT) is a rare autosomal recessive condition that is found in about 0.005%–0.02% of the population. It involves complete transposition of intra-abdominal organs (mirror image formation) with the heart located in the right chest cavity (dextrocardia). The majority of SIT patients have no symptoms or complications because the relationship between the organs is not changed. Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB) for morbid obesity is one of the most effective bariatric procedures done in the United States and is currently considered the gold standard in bariatric surgery. We report a rare case of LRYGB that was done successfully on a patient with SIT without major changes in the usual operative technique or length of procedure.

2. Case presentation

Our patient is a 43 year old female with known pre-operative diagnosis of situs inversus without Kartagener’s syndrome that was demonstrated on a prior CT of the abdomen and pelvis (Fig. 1). Her dextrocardia was discovered in the past on a chest x-ray (CXR) obtained during a work up for atrial fibrillation (Fig. 2).

Pre-operative BMI was 50 kg/m² and comorbidities included hypertension, insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, obstructive sleep apnea, dyslipidemia, severe gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GERD) on daily proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy and atrial fibrillation on daily Clopidogrel. Patient failed during the years to achieve or maintain any significant weight loss despite multiple dietary and exercise attempts. After proper pre-operative workup she was found to be a good candidate for LRYGB. Surgery was performed successfully without significant changes in the usual surgeon and trocar positions or in the operative time and technique.

In standard setup for LRYGB with normal anatomy we usually place the patient in supine position with arms abducted and the operating surgeon performing the entire procedure standing on the right side of the patient using the dominant right hand when utilizing energy, stapling and free hand suturing.

* This work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [22].
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Having in mind that performing this procedure on SIT patients standing entirely on the left side of the patient, using the non-dominant left hand for most of the technical aspects of the procedure, can be very confusing and challenging we opted to maintain our standard setting and continue to operate from the right side of the patient for the most part of the procedure to minimize any confusion and maintain efficiency. The total number and location of trocars used in our case were similar to that in standard cases with normal anatomy, except that we had to make one of the left sided trocars a 12 mm instead of 5 mm so we can use the stapling device from the left side when creating the gastric pouch. A supra-umbilical (Optiview) trocar was used for entry to the abdominal cavity. Two trocars were placed in the left upper quadrant and left mid-abdomen, and two other trocars in the right upper quadrant and right mid-abdomen. We also used the Nathanson liver
retractor via an epigastric incision. The operating surgeon and camera holder were both on the right side of the patient, while the assistant was on the left side. The only part that required the operating surgeon to be on the left side of the patient was during the gastric pouch creation. Upon entering the abdominal cavity, general inspection confirmed the totally reversed anatomy (Fig. 3) with the gallbladder located on the left side of the abdominal cavity, the spleen, angle of His and the greater curvature of the stomach on the right side. After splitting the omentum and retracting the transverse mesocolon cephalad, the ligament of Treitz (LT) was identified. We expected, due to her reversed anatomy that the LT would be located to the right of the midline/falciform ligament, but it was found to be located just to the left of the falciform ligament. In the normal anatomy scenario the LT is located to the left of the midline, and the bilio-pancreatic (BP) limb and jejunjejunal (JJ) anastomosis are also located to the left of the falciform ligament alongside the LT while the Roux limb is located opposite to and to the right of the LT, BP limb and the JJ anastomosis. This proper orientation and placement of the Roux limb relative to the LT, BP limb and JJ anastomosis prevents twisting/kinking of the small bowel mesentery of the Roux limb, and facilitates proper closure of Peterson's defect hence reducing the potential development of an internal hernia. The operating surgeon was able, in our patient, to perform a side to side stapled JJ anastomosis stand-
ing on the patient's right side to minimize technical confusion and maintain a higher level of efficiency. The BP and Roux limb lengths were 50 cm and 150 cm respectively. To ascertain that no twisting of the small bowel mesentery was created, the LT, BP limb and JJ anastomosis were placed on one side; which in this case was just at or slightly to the left of the falciform ligament, and the Roux loop was positioned opposite to these structures and to the right of the falciform ligament (Fig. 4). Next the gastric pouch was created with the operating surgeon on the left side of the patient. We found that using a pediatric colonoscope was very helpful in creating the pouch to make sure it maintained a longitudinal configuration, and to prevent any narrowing near the gastro-esophageal junction (GEJ). Then the gastro-jejunal anastomosis (GJ) anastomosis was created using a 25 mm circular stapler using the trans-oral anvil. This step was performed in the usual manner with the surgeon standing at the patient's right side. The total operative time was around 180 min. A brief video presentation describing the main parts of the surgery is provided through the link (Video).

Patient did very well postoperatively, and was discharged home on post-operative day two. She is now one year out from surgery; she is completely off of diabetic, anti-hypertensive and cholesterol medications. She is no longer using her CPAP after a sleep study showed resolution of obstructive sleep apnea. Her last HbA1C was below 5% and her BMI is down to 25 kg/m². She is also off of Clonidogrel, and is only on 81 mg of daily Aspirin.

3. Literature review

3.1. Methods

PubMed, ResearchGate, and Google Scholar search revealed a total of 22 cases of bariatric surgeries performed on patients with Situs Inversus between 1998 and 2017. Data collected from each case included: gender, age, BMI, technique, length of operation, technical difficulties, postoperative course, length of stay and complications. Results listed in (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wittgrove</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>LRYGB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosy [2]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>LAGB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheline</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad [4]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>LRYGB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matar [6]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>LAGB</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauli [7]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>LAGB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taskin [8]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>LAGB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Barros</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>LRYGB</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall [11]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>RRYGB</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ster [12]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tspeleidis</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>LRYGB</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson [14]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>LRYGB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genser [15]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>SILSG</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yazar [16]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surve [18]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>SIPS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azeret [19]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kassir [20]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>MGB</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salerno [21]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>LSG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results

Fourteen out of twenty one patients (66.6%) were females. The youngest patient was 19 years old [3] and the oldest was 58 years old [11] with median age of 39 years. BMI ranged between 40 and 76 kg/m² with median BMI of 48 kg/m². In one case the authors did not provide any demographic data about their patient [20]. Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB) was performed in 5 patients (22.7%) [1,4,12–14]; Laparoscopic banded Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LBYGB) in one patient [9]; Robotic assisted RYGB (RRYGB) in one patient [11]; and laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) in 5 patients [2,5–8]. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) was performed in 7 patients [3,10,12,15,16,19,21]; modified duodenal switch (LSG + duodeno-jejunal bypass, and stomach-intestine pylorus sparing; SIPS) in two cases [17,18], and there was a single case of Omega loop gastric bypass or mini gastric bypass that was published with a Supplementary video [20]. Complications were documented in 2 cases [5,10]. One case was a staple-line leak after LSG [10], and the second was band erosion through gastric wall after LAGB where the band had to be removed [5].

5. Discussion

Morbid obesity is a serious and devastating disease that can involve nearly every organ system and interferes with basic physical functions such as breathing or walking. Undoubtedly those with morbid obesity are at a significantly greater risk for diseases such as Type II Diabetes Mellitus, Hypertension, Obstructive sleep apnea, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), chronic osteoarthritis, heart diseases, and even cancer. Management of morbid obesity requires a comprehensive multimodality treatment approach that includes but not limited to: diet modification, exercise and lifestyle changes, certain medications and natural supplements, genetic testing (gene polymorphisms, etc.) and psychiatric evaluation and support. Bariatric surgery has demonstrated over the years its ability to offer significant metabolic benefit to those patients when other non-surgical options have failed to provide long-lasting metabolic and weight loss results. (LRYGB) for morbid obesity is one of the most common bariatric procedures done in the United States [14] and is still considered the gold standard in bariatric surgery. Situs inversus totalis is a rare autosomal recessive congenital condition where there is complete transposition of intra-abdominal organs (mirror image formation) with Dextrocardia [4]. On average, it occurs in about one in ten thousand people. Patient with Situs inversus may have primary ciliary dyskinesia or Kartagener’s syndrome. Applying advanced minimally invasive techniques on patients with SIT can potentially pose some technical challenges due to the inverted anatomy, and despite those challenges bariatric surgery has been accomplished successfully and safely. Literature review revealed 22 patients with situs inversus who successfully underwent bariatric surgery (Table 1).

A total of five patients underwent LRYGB [1,4,12–14], one had a banded RYGB [9], another patient had a robotic assisted surgery [11], and one patient had an Omega loop or mini gastric bypass [20]. A total of seven patients had LSG [3,10,12,15,16,19,21], one patient had a LSG with duodeno-jejunal bypass [17] and another patient had SIPS [18]. Only five patients had LAGB [2,5–8]. None of the gastric bypass patients developed any complications. Deutsch et al. reported a case of LSG that was complicated with staple line leak [10], while band erosion occurred in the case reported by Samaan et al. [5]. Most reported cases of gastric bypass in SIT patients were performed in a mirror image technique with the operating surgeon
Table 2
Results of LRYGB in patients with SIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Surgeon position</th>
<th>Operation time</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wittgrove</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Left side</td>
<td>300 min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mirror image technique</td>
<td>160 min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Barros</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>400 min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Robotic assisted</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stier</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mirror image technique</td>
<td>76 min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsepelidis</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kassir</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>French position</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This case was a single anastomosis gastric bypass which is known as Omega loop or mini gastric bypass.

Fig. 5. Demonstrates the proper orientation of the Roux limb, the bilio-pancreatic limb and the jejuno-jejunal anastomosis in SIT patients if the LT is located to the right of the falciform ligament (right of the midline).

standing on the left side of the patient. In some of these cases, the authors mentioned the technical challenges related to changing the routine surgeon’s position and trocar placement. In our patient, her gastric bypass was successfully accomplished without any changes in trocar placement or the operating surgeon’s position except for the gastric pouch creation which required the operating surgeon to be standing on the patient’s left side. By investing the time in identifying her anatomy and the relationship of the Roux limb to the LT, BP limb and JJ anastomosis, we were able to significantly reduce the level of technical difficulty and confusion, and maintain an efficient pace that matches our standard operative time in normal anatomy patients.

Wittgrove [1] was the first author to describe LRYGB in a patient with situs inversus totalis in 1998. He described several technical challenges such as the operating surgeon’s position, using opposite hands when handling the instruments and the opposite foot to
operate the foot pedal. He also mentioned that the operative time nearly doubled; 300 mins vs 159 mins. Ahmad et al. [4] described minimal difficulty in performing LRYGB standing exclusively on the patient’s left side. Changing sides did not alter the surgeon’s use of his right hand as previously reported by Wittgrove et al. All intracorporeal suturing was performed with the surgeon’s right hand and the operative time was only slightly longer than his standard time (160 mins vs 105 mins). In 2013 Wall et al. [11] described a case of robotic assisted RYGB in a patient with SIT. The author described the advantage of using the robotic compared to traditional laparoscopy where the robot eliminated the need to change the surgeon’s position, trocar placement, or instrument orientation and concluded that robotic assisted Roux-en-Y gastric bypass can be successfully and safely performed in patients with situs inversus. Stier et al. [12] described a successful LRYGB in a SIT patient using the mirror image technique without significant prolongation of the operative time. The author though did not elaborate on the level of technical difficulties. In 2015 Nelson et al. [14] published a case of LRYGB in a patient with SIT. The author described some of the technical difficulties but did not describe the operating surgeon’s position or the operative time.

In May 2017 Kassir et al. [20] published a video of an Omega loop gastric bypass performed in France with the patient placed in the modified lithotomy position and the operating surgeon standing between the patient’s legs. There was no change in their standard operative time, trocar placement or the operating surgeon’s position throughout the surgery. The authors emphasized the advantage of operating in the modified lithotomy position in such cases to minimize technical confusion by enabling the operating surgeon to complete the procedure from the usual position.

Results of LRYGB in SIT are listed in (Table 2).

6. Conclusion

Situs Inversus Totalis is a rare congenital condition, but surgeons in general do encounter those patients throughout their career. This rare condition should not solely be an indication for an open approach as minimally invasive surgery; whether laparoscopic or robotic, is a safe alternative and should still be considered the standard of care approach. Bariatric surgery is one of the most technical intra-abdominal procedures mainly due to the patients’ body habitus, different instrumentations used, and the different anastomoses created. Gastric bypass and bariatric surgery in general can be safely performed in this population without the need for major adjustment to the surgeon’s position, trocar placement or instruments used. Performing this procedure on SIT patients standing entirely on the left side of the patient using the non-dominant left hand for most of the technical aspects of the procedure as described in the literature can be very challenging. In our case, we felt that most of the confusion could occur with the J anastomosis and the concern for improper orientation of the Roux limb leading to kinking or twisting of the mesentery. We opted to maintain the same standard technique by performing these steps with the surgeon standing on the right side of the patient. By examining the relationship of the falciform ligament to the LT, and making sure to place the LT, BP limb and J anastomosis on one side, and the Roux limb on the opposite side, we were able to easily eliminate factors that could lead to confusion and improper technique. We describe in Figs. 4 and 5 the proper relationship of the Roux limb to the LT, BP limb and J anastomosis if the LT was at the level of the falciform ligament (Fig. 4) or to the right of the falciform ligament (Fig. 5). Our goal in this literature review and the figures included is to help reduce any potential technical difficulties or confusion that may face surgeons when planning intra-abdominal procedures that involve the Roux-en-Y configuration whether bariatric surgery related or not.
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