Inhibition of tumor–microenvironment interaction and tumor invasion by small-molecule allosteric inhibitor of DDR2 extracellular domain
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The action of the collagen binding receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) discoidin domain receptor 2 (DDR2) in both tumor and tumor stromal cells has been established as critical for breast cancer metastasis. Small molecule inhibitors that target the extracellular domain of RTKs are rare, as they have classically been regarded as too small to block binding with large polypeptide ligands. Here, we report the identification and characterization of a selective, extracellularly acting small molecule inhibitor (WRG-28) of DDR2 that uniquely inhibits receptor–ligand interactions via allosteric modulation of the receptor. By targeting DDR2, WRG-28 inhibits tumor invasion and migration, as well as tumor-supporting roles of the stroma, and inhibits metastatic breast tumor cell colonization in the lungs. These findings represent an approach to inhibiting tumor–stromal interactions and support the development of allosteric inhibitors of DDR2, such as WRG-28, as a promising approach to antimetastasis treatment.

Significance

To effectively prevent cancer spread from primary tumor sites, new treatments need to target tumor cells, the cells and extracellular matrix within the tumor environment, and communicating pathways between these sites simultaneously. The collagen receptor discoidin domain receptor 2 (DDR2) has been implicated as such a target. Here, we describe the identification and characterization of a small molecule inhibitor of DDR2 that uniquely acts in an allosteric manner via the extracellular domain to selectively inhibit the action of DDR2 in tumor cells and tumor stromal cancer-associated fibroblasts. In experimental mouse models of breast cancer, WRG-28 inhibits DDR2 signaling and tumor cell invasion.
an extracellularly acting small molecule allosteric inhibitor of DDR2 that functions to disrupt DDR2 receptor–collagen ligand interaction.

**Results**

**Identification of a Selective, Small Molecule Inhibitor of the DDR2 ECD.** To identify nonclassical inhibitors of DDR2, we adapted a DDR2 ECD binding assay (26) using plates coated with a DDR2-selective, high affinity collagen II-derived peptide (27–28) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). A previous in vitro screen for DDR2 inhibitors identified the natural antibiotic, actinomycin D, as a weak inhibitor (29). By screening in the ECD binding assay, we were able to establish that actinomycin D inhibits DDR2 by acting on the extracellular domain of the protein (Fig. L4), as the kinase domain is absent in this assay. Due to its low potency and high toxicity profile (30), actinomycin D would not be considered a clinically suitable inhibitor of DDR2. Therefore, we deconstructed actinomycin D to various component chemical scaffolds to identify an active portion of the molecule, allowing for further derivateization to develop lower toxicity, higher potency inhibitors. The scaffold 7-hydroxy-phenoxazin-3-one inhibited DDR2 binding with an IC\(_{50}\) of 16 μM (Fig. L4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Medicinal chemistry-based optimization was performed to generate a library of lead compounds (SI Appendix, Figs. S1C and S2). One of these, WRG-28 (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1C), bound moderately as an antagonist of DDR2-ligand binding (IC\(_{50}\) 230 ± 75 nM) (Fig. L4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1F) and was chosen for further study.

In response to collagen I stimulation, DDR2 is activated (tyrosine phosphorylated) and leads to downstream activation of ERK to stabilize the SNAIL1 protein, thereby regulating cell migration (9). In HEK293 cells expressing DDR2, WRG-28 blunted collagen I-mediated DDR2 tyrosine phosphorylation, ERK activation, and SNAIL1 protein stabilization (IC\(_{50}\) 286 ± 124 nM) (Fig. 1 C and D). Normal mammary epithelial MCF10A cells, which do not express DDR2, were unaffected in their proliferation or survival (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D) when treated with WRG-28 (1 μM), demonstrating the absence of nonspecific toxicity from the inhibitor.

The inhibitory activity of WRG-28 was selective for DDR2. Collagen I-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of the related DDR1 RTK in HEK293 cells was unaffected by WRG-28 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). Further, using biolayer interferometry (BLI), we observed a dose-dependent association of WRG-28 with DDR2, but not DDR1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 F–H). WRG-28 did not inhibit collagen binding of α1(1) integrin ECD (SI Appendix, Fig. S1I). Finally, WRG-28 treatment did not affect phosphorylation of unrelated RTKs, as determined by RTK signaling arrays (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A and B).

In summary, WRG-28 appeared to be a potent and selective inhibitor of DDR2 ECD binding to collagen in vitro, and collagen I mediated DDR2 activation in cells.

**WRG-28 Inhibits DDR2–Collagen Interaction in an Allosteric Manner.** Since no ECD inhibitors of DDR2 have been described, we sought to determine the mode of inhibition for WRG-28. A fluorescein-conjugated analog of the DDR2 binding collagen peptide was used to quantify the amount of substrate bound to assay plates. Fluorescein conjugation did not alter DDR2 binding to the collagen peptide (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C). In the range of substrate concentrations examined, there was linear adsorption of the DDR2 binding collagen peptide that was not reduced upon washing (SI Appendix, Fig. S3D). Using the amount of collagen peptide plated as a measure of available ligand, increasing concentration of added DDR2 ECD did not outcompete the inhibitory effects of WRG-28 (Fig. 1E). This suggested that WRG-28 was not acting as an orthosteric inhibitor of collagen binding.

Next, we asked whether WRG-28 displaced DDR2 from pre-bound DDR2 ECD–collagen peptide complexes. After allowing receptor–ligand complex to reach equilibrium, the complex was treated with WRG-28. In the absence of inhibitor, there was little dissociation of DDR2 over 90 min (Fig. 1F). However, in the presence of WRG-28, there was a dramatic acceleration of DDR2 dissociation from collagen peptide, in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1F).

Previous reports have shown that for DDR2 ECD to bind collagen, it must exist as a dimer (26) or possibly higher order multimer (31). Therefore, we asked whether WRG-28 impaired or disrupted receptor dimerization or multimerization. To do so, we made use of a DDR2 ECD protein that covalently dimerized as a result of a Fc tag added to the C terminus (DDR2-Fc) (32). In the solid phase binding assay, WRG-28’s capacity to inhibit covalent DDR2-Fc dimer binding to collagen peptide was dramatically reduced (SI Appendix, Fig. S3E), suggesting that inhibition by WRG-28 may require DDR2 dimer or multimer disruption.

To determine if WRG-28 affected the organization of the DDR2 ECD in solution, we employed size exclusion chromatography. Consistent with previous reports, the majority existed as a presumed dimeric complex, with ~20% of the DDR2 ECD eluted as a higher molecular mass oligomer, with very little monomer present (Fig. 1G) (26). A molecular mass calibration curve for the column was established using standard proteins of known molecular mass (SI Appendix, Fig. S3G). Monomeric DDR2 ECD runs at ~72 kDa on SDS reducing gels. When the elution volume of the large peak was plotted using calibration curve for the column, it approximated a molecular mass consistent with a dimeric species (K\(_{av}\) = 0.27, M\(_{d}\), predicted = 154 kDa). The molecular mass of the smaller peak was estimated to be approximately double that of the dimer peak (K\(_{av}\) = 0.44, M\(_{d}\), predicted = 307 kDa), consistent with the molecular mass of an oligomeric species. When elution fractions were concentrated, run on a native gel, and compared with the DDR2 ECD protein solution added to the column, the “oligomeric” peak ran at a higher size than the dimeric peak (Fig. 1H). The oligomeric and dimeric peaks were collected, protein concentration normalized, and subjected to in vitro binding analysis using the solid phase assay (Fig. 1J). The oligomeric species bound to the collagen peptide with threefold higher affinity, illustrating the functional relevance of this higher order species. When the DDR2 ECD solution was treated with 1 μM WRG-28, the oligomer fraction was significantly reduced (Fig. 1 G and J). There appeared to be a corresponding increase in the monomeric state; however, since the monomer appeared as a shoulder of the dimer peak and was not well resolved, it could not be accurately quantified (SI Appendix, Fig. S3F).

In another approach testing whether WRG-28 could disrupt DDR2 dimers in cells, we performed chemical cross-linking of HEK293-DDR2 cells with membrane-impermeable BS\(_{3}\). Consistent with previous reports, full-length DDR2 existed as dimers on the cell surface in the absence of collagen (SI Appendix, Fig. S3H) (33). When HEK293-DDR2 cells were treated with WRG-28, we did not detect significant disruption in the amount of cell surface DDR2 dimerization (SI Appendix, Fig. S3H). This could be due to the fact that in cells, full-length DDR2 dimerization is strongly influenced by the transmembrane domain (33). Regardless, WRG-28 was not capable of complete disruption of full-length DDR2 cell surface dimers.

In summary, these results indicated that WRG-28 inhibitory activity was not due to ligand-receptor binding site modulation. Rather, these results are consistent with allosteric regulation of DDR2–collagen interactions due to impairment in higher order clustering of the ECD.
Identification of DS-DSL Domain Interface Residues Required for DDR2-Collagen Binding and Signaling. The extracellular portion of the DDR proteins consists of three domains—an N-terminal collagen binding discoidin (DS) domain, a discoidin-like (DSL) domain, and a short membrane proximal juxtamembrane domain. The collagen binding motif in the DS domain is highly conserved between DDR1 and DDR2 (34). To gain insight into the structural determinants of DDR2 inhibition by WRG-28, SWISS-MODEL (35) was used to construct a homology model of the 3D structure of the DDR2 ECD, using the existing crystal structure of the DDR1 ECD. This structure and the resulting model contain the DS and DSL domains but lack the juxtamembrane domain (Protein Data Bank ID code 4AG4) (36). WRG-28 in silico docking analysis with AutoDock Vina (37) was performed against the homology model of DDR2. Of the top nine WRG-28 binding solutions, five low energy conformations clustered around a similar site located at the interface of the DS-DSL domains (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S4A and B). Such interface binding can be a common mode of action of allosteric inhibitors (38, 39), as conformational changes along interfaces are often needed for proper receptor function (40).
crystallized structure of DDR1 (36). As shown, WRG-28 did not show any inhibitory activity against DDR1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E), despite 53% sequence identity shared between the ECDs of the two proteins. Among the interface region, two key potential interacting residues in DDR2, Phe96 and Thr98, were not conserved in DDR1 (DDR1: Leu96 and Ala98, respectively).

Single F96A or T98A point mutations in DDR2 showed no appreciable effect on DDR2 binding to collagen in vitro, DDR2 phosphorylation in cells, or inhibition by WRG-28 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4C–E, respectively). However, DDR2F96A/T98A double mutant ECD protein no longer bound to collagen peptide at concentrations of ligand where binding was saturated for wild-type DDR2 ECD (Fig. 2C). When DDR2F96A/T98A ECD was analyzed by size exclusion chromatography, only the presumed dimer fraction, with virtually no higher order oligomers, was present (Fig. 2D). This suggested that ECD clustering may be important for collagen binding in solid phase assays. When DDR2F96A/T98A was expressed as a full-length receptor in HEK293 cells, it was present at the cell surface and dimerized (SI Appendix, Fig. S4F–H). Despite this, collagen-mediated DDR2F96A/T98A receptor activation in cells was dramatically reduced compared with wild-type receptor (Fig. 2E). In controls, DDR2 mutants of alternative, lower probability WRG-28 binding sites (SI Appendix, Fig. S4I) did not affect collagen peptide binding in vitro, collagen-induced receptor phosphorylation in cells, or WRG-28 inhibitory activity (SI Appendix, Fig. S4J–M).

As these two residues were not conserved between DDR1 and DDR2, and WRG-28 is selective for DDR2, we asked whether we could confer inhibition by WRG-28 upon DDR1 by replacing Leu96 and Ala98 residues in DDR1 with the key interacting residues of the DDR2 counterpart (Phe and Thr, respectively). When a DDR1L96F/A98T mutant was expressed in HEK293 cells and subjected to treatment with WRG-28, its phosphorylation in response to collagen was now diminished in the presence of WRG-28 (Fig. 2F), unlike wild-type DDR1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1J).

These modeling studies, coupled with in vitro and cell-based mutagenesis experiments, indicated that the Phe96 and Thr98 residues in the DS-DSL domain interface of DDR2 are critical for receptor interaction with collagen in vitro and receptor activation in cells. While wild-type DDR1 is not inhibited by WRG-28, introducing these two residues into DDR1 was now able to confer inhibition by WRG-28 compound.

**WRG-28 Inhibition of DDR2 Blunts Tumor Cell Invasion, Migration, and Tumor-Promoting Effects of CAFs.** Genetic studies in vivo and cell-based studies have shown that the action of DDR2 in breast tumor cells and tumor stromal CAFs is critical for tumor invasion, migration, and metastasis without affecting proliferation (8, 9). Treatment of human BT549 and mouse 4T1 invasive breast cancer cell lines (which express endogenous DDR2) with...
WRG-28 inhibited their invasion/migration in 3D collagen I and through matrigel to an extent comparable to cells RNAi-depleted of DDR2 (Fig. 3 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A, C, and G). In both breast cancer cell lines, WRG-28 treatment also inhibited DDR2-mediated SNAIL1 protein stabilization in response to collagen stimulation (Fig. 3C and SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). Treatment of BT549 cells with WRG-28 did not affect their proliferation (SI Appendix, Fig. SSD).

The action of DDR2 in tumor stromal cells also plays an important role in regulation of metastasis (8). In particular, the action of DDR2 in CAFs influences their tumor-promoting function, in part, by mediating the secretion of paracrine signals that enhance collective invasiveness of tumor cells (8). To assess the ability of WRG-28 to modulate such CAF effects, primary MMTV-PyMT; Ddr2+/−; ROSA-LSL-TdTomato or MMTV-PyMT; Ddr2−/−; ROSA-LSL-TdTomato primary tumor organoids were isolated from breast tumors and plated in 3D collagen I with mouse CAFs, derived from MMTV-PyMT; Ddr2+/− or MMTV-PyMT; Ddr2−/− breast tumors, in the presence or absence of WRG-28 and the number of invasive organoids scored (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 A–E). Expression of Tomato was used to confirm that invasive foci were composed of invading tumor cells (Tomato positive) and not CAFs (Tomato negative) that had migrated to the tumors (SI Appendix, Fig. S6F). Treatment of Ddr2+/− tumor organoids alone with WRG-28 reduced the number of invasive tumor organoids to a level comparable to that seen with Ddr2−/− tumor organoids (Fig. 3D). Addition of Ddr2+/− CAFs to Ddr2+/− tumor organoids increased the number of invasive organoids present, as expected (8) (Fig. 3D). WRG-28 treatment potently reduced the number of invasive tumor organoids, to a level equivalent to that observed when Ddr2+/− breast tumor organoids and Ddr2−/− CAFs were cocultured (Fig. 3E). PI/annexin V staining confirmed that the reduction in invasion was not due to toxic effects of WRG-28 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 G and H). These data indicated that not only did WRG-28 inhibit primary tumor organoid invasion in 3D collagen I matrices, but also the activity of DDR2 in CAFs to support invasion of primary tumor organoids.

We were surprised that WRG-28 inhibited invasion of BT549 and 4T1 breast cancer cells through Matrigel (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5 C and G) since Matrigel contains mostly collagen IV, which is not a ligand for DDR2, and negligible
amounts of fibrillar collagen (DDR2 ligand). DDR1 and DDR2 are known to be reciprocally expressed (41), and unlike DDR2, DDR1 utilizes collagen IV as a ligand. We confirmed that neither Bts54 nor 4T1 cells express DDR1 at baseline. Importantly, shRNA depletion of DDR2 or treatment with WRG-28 did not induce expression of DDR1 in these cell lines (SI Appendix, Fig. S5E). Various RTKs have been shown to display kinase-independent functions within cells (42), therefore we asked if DDR2 exhibited kinase-independent functions. To do so, we rescued DDR2 expression in shRNA-depleted Bts549 cells with RNAi-resistant kinase dead mutant (DDR2*K608E) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5F). DDR2*K608E expression rescued the invasive potential of the tumor cells through Matrigel (Fig. 3B). Importantly, treatment with WRG-28 inhibited invasion of the DDR2*K608E-expressing cells (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5G). In contrast, in 3D collagen I invasion assays, DDR2*K608E rescue did not restore invasiveness (SI Appendix, Fig. S5H), indicating that the kinase-dependent action of DDR2 predominates in this assay.

Another common setback to canonical TKIs is the development of resistance mutations (20). Within the RTK family, gatekeeper mutations are a common mode of resistance, and these have been documented in DDR2 as well (21). In HEK293 cells expressing a documented DDR2 gatekeeper mutation (DDR2*T654I), WRG-28 inhibited phosphorylation of the DDR2*T654I in response to collagen I (Fig. 3E).

These data demonstrated that WRG-28, through its allosteric activation of the ECD, was capable of inhibiting both kinase-dependent and kinase-independent functions of DDR2. Additionally, WRG-28 maintained inhibitory action toward acquired DDR2 mutations that are resistant to TKIs.

Validation of DDR2 as a Therapeutic Target To Prevent Breast Cancer Metastasis. Ubiquitous deletion of Ddr2 in the MMTV-PyMT mouse model of metastatic breast cancer significantly blunts lung metastases (8). While these genetic studies establish the importance of DDR2 in breast cancer metastasis, Ddr2 was deleted from birth or shortly thereafter in such models. The potential to target DDR2 therapeutically after cancer development and prevent metastatic disease was still unknown. To test this possibility, mice containing a conditional Ddr2^fl/fl, ROSA-CreER^122, ROSA-LSL-TdTomato; MMTV-PyMT and control Ddr2^+/+, ROSA-CreER^122, ROSA-LSL-TdTomato; MMTV-PyMT mice were generated. These allow for temporal deletion of Ddr2 during breast cancer progression upon treatment with tamoxifen.

In the MMTV-PyMT model, malignant transition occurs between 8 and 12 wk of age (43). Histologic examination of lungs at this stage showed no evidence for metastases. At 8 wk of age, mice were administered tamoxifen. Tomato fluorescence in tissues was used to document Cre activity. In mice not treated with tamoxifen, minimal tomato fluorescence was detected (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). In mice treated with tamoxifen, the majority of cells in the breast and lung showed Tomato fluorescence (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). In experimental mice, deletion of Ddr2 in the tumor was confirmed by PCR (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B). In experimental and control mice, there was no difference in latency of primary tumor formation (Fig. 4A) or total primary tumor burden per mouse (Fig. 4B). However, there was a significant reduction in the number of lung metastases in mice where Ddr2 was deleted during cancer progression (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S7C). These data support the therapeutic targeting of DDR2 in the setting of early stage breast cancer to prevent metastasis.

WRG-28 Inhibits DDR2 Signaling in Vivo and Reduces Metastatic Lung Colonization of Breast Tumor Cells. Since DDR2 signals sustain tumor cell invasion/migration through the ECM by stabilizing SNAIL1 protein level in breast tumor cells (9), we asked whether SNAIL1 level in tumors could serve as a biomarker for WRG-28 responses in vivo. 4T1 breast tumor cells that contain a SNAIL1-clic beetle green (SNAIL1.CBG) bioluminescent fusion protein that serves as a surrogate reporter of SNAIL1 protein level in tumors were implanted into the breast of syngeneic mice. After 1-cm tumors had formed, bioluminescence imaging was conducted at baseline, and WRG-28 was administered by various routes followed by bioluminescent detection of SNAIL1.CBG levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S7D). Four hours after mice were given a single i.v. administration of WRG-28 (10 mg/kg), compared with control mice, WRG-28–treated mice exhibited a 60% reduction in SNAIL1.CBG level within the tumor (Fig. 5 A and B). These data indicated that i.v. administration of WRG-28 attenuated biochemical signaling of DDR2 in breast tumors in vivo.

To determine whether WRG-28 has the potential to inhibit metastasis in vivo, DDR2-expressing 4T1 mouse breast tumor cells expressing GFP/luciferase (4T1 GFP/luc) were injected into syngeneic BALB/cJ mice via tail vein, and lung colonization and growth were monitored by bioluminescence imaging and confirmed histologically. Genetic RNAi depletion of DDR2 in 4T1 cells significantly reduced lung metastatic colonization (Fig. 5 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7F). i.v. administration of WRG-28 at 10 mg/kg daily for 7 d was chosen for dosing based on the results of SNAIL1.CBG modulation by the compound (SI Appendix, Fig. S7D). WRG-28 reduced lung colonization to a level comparable to shDDR2-depleted cells (Fig. 5 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7F). Histologic analysis of GFP^* tumors in the lungs isolated at termination (7 d) confirmed the bioluminescent results (Fig. 5E and SI Appendix, Fig. S7E and G).

A related compound, cinnabarinic acid, which is inactive as a DDR2 inhibitor in vitro (Fig. 1A), was also tested in this model and demonstrated no effect on lung colonization, further supporting the notion that the results of WRG-28 treatment are due to its inhibitory activity toward DDR2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S7F). While stromal DDR2 plays a critical role in the primary site in promoting the invasiveness of tumor cells (8), the role of stromal...
DDR2 in the metastatic niche does not contribute to the lung colonization by breast tumor cells in this model (SI Appendix, Fig. S7I). This is consistent with the observation that treatment with WRG-28 in this assay does not confer any additional benefit beyond what is seen when shDDR2-depleted breast tumor cells are allowed to colonize. These data indicated that WRG-28 had the potential to inhibit colonization of the lung by metastatic breast tumor cells in vivo.

Discussion

We have identified a potent and selective small molecule inhibitor of DDR2. In contrast to other inhibitors, WRG-28 acts via the ECD of the receptor in an allosteric manner, potentially disrupting receptor clustering. In support of the proposed allosteric mode of action, WRG-28 is not a strong orthosteric inhibitor of ligand binding, is highly selective, dissociated preformed DDR2-collagen complexes, disrupted receptor clustering in solution, inhibited kinase-independent receptor function, and inhibited mutant DDR2 receptors resistant to traditional TKIs.

Computational modeling followed by mutagenesis analysis suggests a region at the interface of the extracellular DS and DSL domains as the putative binding site of WRG-28. In the absence of structural data, we cannot definitively confirm the predicted binding site. However, when key residues within this site are mutated (DDR2F96A/T98A), collagen binding and DDR2 activation are abrogated, and when these residues in DDR2 (F96 and T98) were substituted into DDR1, sensitivity of DDR1 to inhibition by WRG-28 was conferred. Since WRG-28 may interfere with receptor clustering, it is possible that the binding site could be a composite site formed by two or more interacting proteins. We cannot exclude contributions from the short extracellular juxtamembrane region as it is absent from the current model.

Previous studies have suggested that receptor clustering is critical for DDR2 binding to collagen (31), and DDR1 clustering enhances activation and strengthens DDR1 binding to collagen (44, 45). If DDR2 oligomerization is required for efficient collagen binding and receptor activation, the inability of the DDR2F96A/T98A mutant to form higher order clusters would provide a straightforward explanation for its lack of binding and activation. We cannot exclude the possibility that this region could function allosterically to regulate the collagen ligand binding site from a distance as have been described for integrins and other receptors (46). Alternatively, if residues within the DS-DSL domain interface region act to position two DDR2 protomers for binding and subsequent activation, these residues might contribute to conformational changes necessary for ligand binding and receptor activation.

To our knowledge, there is only one other example of a small molecule allosterically targeting the ECD of an RTK (FGFR) (22, 23). That compound inhibits signaling linked to receptor internalization in an allosteric manner without affecting orthosteric ligand binding. Although nonclassical small molecule inhibitors that disrupt protein complexes or multimerization of receptors have been reported (47, 48), to our knowledge no such inhibitors have been identified for any RTK. These types of nonclassical or allosteric inhibitors remain an elusive goal for drug development as they offer a number of favorable therapeutic attributes, such as increased selectivity and safety (24, 25). Indeed, neither the homologous family member DDR1 nor the unrelated collagen receptor α1β1 integrin is inhibited by WRG-28.

Since WRG-28 has the potential to disrupt preformed receptor complexes, this may be important for its in vivo efficacy, where the ligand (fibrillar collagen) is in great excess. WRG-28 binding to DDR2–collagen complexes appears to accelerate the intrinsically slow dissociation of the complex. Extrapolation of this in vitro data would suggest that in vivo the DDR2–collagen interaction equilibrium lies strongly in favor of receptor–ligand complex. Therefore, this mode of inhibition may be poised as advantageous over blockage of ligand binding with an orthosteric inhibitor, where an empty receptor would be needed for drug binding.

ASEMMs (49) and correlative human studies (49, 50) have shown that DDR2 is important for metastasis of breast cancer through its function in both tumor cells, as well as CAFs. Therefore, DDR2 inhibition can target both the invasive tumor cell as well as stromal cells concurrently. DDR2 activation has also been implicated in other cancer types (51) as well as other disease states such as osteoarthritis (52) and cardiac (53) and pulmonary (54) fibrosis. It will be important to determine whether DDR2 inhibition with WRG-28 or derivatives would be a useful therapeutic strategy in those settings. In the context of cancer, it is important to note that genetic deletion of Drd2 or selective pharmacologic inhibition of the receptor does not affect primary tumor growth (8). Thus, in humans, treatment with such an antimetastasis agent would likely need to be administered as an adjuvant therapy along with standard chemotherapeutic agents that reduce tumor cell growth.

Materials and Methods

Solid-Phase Collagen-Binding Experiments. Collagen or collagen peptides were diluted in 0.01 M acetic acid coated onto Immulon 2 HB 96-well plates (Fisher Scientific) overnight at 4 °C. Wells were then blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 1 mg/mL BSA in PBS plus 0.05% Tween 20. Recombinant proteins, diluted in incubation buffer (0.5 mg/mL BSA in PBS plus 0.05% Tween 20, overnight at 4 °C. Wells were then blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 1 mg/mL BSA in PBS plus 0.05% Tween 20. Recombinant proteins, diluted in incubation buffer (0.5 mg/mL BSA in PBS plus 0.05%
TWEEN 20), were added for 3 h at room temperature. Wells were washed with incubation buffer between all incubation steps. Bound DDB2-His protein or α-His-His were detected with anti-His-conjugated HRP monoclonal antibody (1:2,500 dilution), added for 1 h at room temperature. Bound DDB1-FC or DS2-FC protein were detected with goat anti-human Fc coupled to horseradish peroxidase (1:2,500 dilution), added for 1 h at room temperature. Detection was achieved using o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (Sigma), added for 3–5 min. The reaction was stopped with 3 M H$_2$SO$_4$, and plates were read in a 96-well plate reader at 492 nm.

Size Exclusion Chromatography. Size exclusion chromatography was carried out at 4°C using a BioRad Biologic Dufouy system equipped with a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE). Experiments were run using PBS at a 0.5 mL/min flow rate, and elution was monitored at UV absorbance 280 nm. Twenty-five micromolars of protein were incubated in solution with 1 μg WRG-28 or DSMO for 1 h, then injected, and 1-mL fractions were collected upon elution. Fractions were concentrated using Vivaspin 500 centrifugal concentrators (10,000 molecular weight cutoff) and run on 10% gel under nonreducing conditions. To assess collagen binding of various fractions, they were immediately spin concentrated, protein concentration determined, and normalized across samples. Samples were then subjected to binding in the solid-phase plate assay.

Migration and Invasion Assays. For 3D cell migration assays, 10$^5$ cells were embedded in 20 μL of type I collagen gel (2.0 mg/mL) extracted from rat tail (BD Biosciences). After gelling, the plug was embedded in a cell-free collagen gel (2.0 mg/mL) within a 24-well plate. After allowing the surrounding collagen matrix to gel (1 h at 37°C), 0.5 mL of culture medium was added on the top of the gel and cultured for another 2 d. Invasion distance from the inner collagen gel plug into the outer collagen gel was quantified. For invasion assays, Transwell cell invasion assays were performed using either 24-well poly-carbonate membrane (Corning) with 8-μm pore size, or 24-well FluoroBlok Transwell insert (BD) with 8-μm pore size. Inserts were prepared by coating the upper surface with 1 mg/mL Matrigel (BD Biosciences) for 4–6 h at 37°C in a 5% CO$_2$ incubator. BSTA49 or A51 cells (5 × 10$^5$) in DMEM containing 1% FBS were seeded into the upper chamber of the insert. The bottom chamber contained DMEM with 10% FBS. After 24 or 48 h, membranes were processed. Polycarbonate membranes were stained with HEMA3 staining kit (Fisher) and then mounted and enumerated based on number of cells per 20× high power field, five fields per insert. For FluoroBlok transwells, luminescence intensity was measured using a Fluorostar Optima microplate reader (BMG Labtech) for 10 individual fields on the bottom of each insert.

Tumor Organoid and CAF Coculture. Primary tumor organoids (30–50, each 200–1,000 cells) with or without CAFs (750 cells) were cultured in 50-μL of type I collagen gel (2.0 mg/mL) and embedded in 20 μL of DMEM containing 1% FBS in 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. CAFs were cultured for 7 d in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. For analysis of lung metastasis, microscopically visible metastatic foci were counted from three to five hematoxylin/eosin (H&E) stained sections per mouse. For histology, specimens were sectioned with a cryostat (6 μm) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy for tomato ex-futletin (Life Technologies) as a control for endogenous fluorescence. Images were collected with a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems). For immunohistology, specimens were sectioned with a cryostat (6 μm) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy for γH2AX (Upstate Biotechnology) and Ki-67 (Novus Biologicals) as well as counterstaining with mouse anti-FoxP3 (eBioscience) for regulatory T cells.

In Vivo Breast Implant Assay. Eight-week-old female BALB/cJ mice (Jackson Labs) were anesthetized. A small Y-shaped incision was made in the lower abdominal skin to expose the fourth mammary gland. 4T1-Snail.CBG cells (1 × 10$^5$) in 50 μL of DMEM were injected into the fourth mammary gland using a 29-gauge needle. The skin flaps were replaced and closed. When tumors were 1 cm in diameter, in vivo biochemical response studies were conducted by BLI at baseline, followed by i.v. lateral tail vein injection of either control saline, or indicated doses of WRG-28 in saline/DMSO, and BLI at indicated time points. The baseline BLI signal for each mouse served as its own control. For lung metastasis formation assay, 5 × 10$^5$ cells in 100 μL of DMSO were injected into the lateral tail vein of BALB/cJ mice. Beginning on day 0, mice were treated with either control saline or 10 mg/kg WRG-28 in saline/DMSO daily for 7 d, and BLI imaging was used to follow tumor growth at time points indicated. After 7 d, mice were euthanized, and lungs were removed and fixed in 10% formalin for 24 h, cryopreserved in 30% sucrose overnight, and finally embedded in OCT and frozen in a dry ice/ethanol bath. Frozen specimens were sectioned with a cryostat (6 μm) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy for GFP expression or stained with H&E for histological analysis.

To test the contribution of stromal DRR2 in the lung colonization assay, global deletion of DRR2 in C57BL/6 mice was achieved by backcrossing the DRR2-null allele in C57BL/6 mice for 10 generations. Heterozygote crosses generated DRR2$^{+/−}$ (wild-type) and DRR2$^{−/−}$ (null) mice for the experiment. Bo1-GFP-luc cells, a C57BL/6-derived mouse breast tumor line that is known to metastasize to lung, were injected into the lateral tail vein (5 × 10$^5$ cells in 100 μL of DMEM), and BLI imaging was used to follow tumor growth at the time points indicated. For all BLI, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and imaged using the IVIS 100 bioluminescent imaging system (PerkinElmer) following an ip. injection of luciferin (150 mg/kg).

Extended methods can be found in the SI Appendix.
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