MuRF-1 and Atrogin-1 Protein Expression and Quadriceps Fiber Size and Muscle Mass in Stable Patients with COPD
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Abstract

Introduction: Animal studies demonstrate the importance of the E3 ubiquitin ligases, Muscle RING-Finger Protein 1 (MuRF-1) and atrogin-1, in muscle protein degradation during acute muscle atrophy. Small clinical studies suggest MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 expression in the quadriceps muscle is also increased in stable patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease compared to controls. However, it remains unclear whether these ligases have a role in maintaining a muscle-wasted state in COPD patients.

Methods: 32 stable COPD patients (16 with a low fat-free mass index (FFMI), 16 with a normal FFMI) and 15 controls underwent lung function and quadriceps strength tests and a percutaneous quadriceps biopsy. Quadriceps MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein were quantified with western blotting. Quadriceps fiber cross-sectional area (CSA) and fiber proportions were determined by immunohistochemistry on muscle sections. MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 levels were compared between COPD patients with and without a low FFMI, and between patients and controls, and correlations between MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 levels and quadriceps fiber CSA in the patients were investigated.

Results: Atrogin-1 protein levels were lower in patients than controls, but similar in patients with a low and normal FFMI. MuRF-1 levels did not differ between any groups. MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 levels were not associated with quadriceps fiber CSA or quadriceps strength in patients.

Conclusions: Chronic upregulation of ubiquitin ligases was not evident in the quadriceps muscle of stable COPD patients with a low muscle mass. This does not exclude the possibility of transient increases in ubiquitin ligases during acute catabolic episodes.

Introduction

Loss of muscle bulk, particularly in the lower limb, is a common and important complication of COPD (1) predicting a poor outcome independent of the severity of lung disease (2, 3). This has led to interest in the molecular mechanisms underlying muscle atrophy in COPD.

The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway is a key final pathway of muscle protein breakdown. Enzymes involved in this pathway, in particular Muscle RING-Finger Protein-1 (MuRF-1) and atrogin-1, mediate acute muscle atrophy in experimental animal and cell models (4). MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 expression are induced by nuclear factor-kappa B (5) and P38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation (6) respectively; activation of these pathways may or may not occur in the muscle of patients with COPD (7–11).

In one study of 12 stable COPD patients, increased MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 mRNA levels were found in the quadriceps of patients compared to controls.
but there were no differences in either mRNA between patients with low muscle mass and patients with normal muscle mass (12), no differences in atrogin-1 protein between patients with low muscle mass and patients with normal muscle mass, and MURF-1 protein was not measured. Another study reported increased atrogin-1 mRNA and increased Nedd4 protein (another ubiquitin ligase), but not increased MuRF-1 protein, in the quadriceps of nine patients with muscle atrophy compared to nine controls. However in that study, the relationship between these proteins and muscle mass or quadriceps function were not explored (13).

There is, therefore, insufficient data comparing MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein levels in COPD patients with and without muscle atrophy to clarify whether these proteins are likely to have a role in determining muscle mass in stable COPD. Therefore, we compared protein expression of MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 in quadriceps samples from 32 COPD patients (16 with muscle atrophy as defined by a low global fat-free mass index using the Dutch criteria (14), 16 without muscle atrophy) and 15 healthy age-matched controls, and examined relationships with muscle mass and quadriceps fiber size. Our conclusions were further supported by analysis of MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 mRNA in another, overlapping cohort of COPD patients and controls (details in supplement).

**Methods**

**Ethical approval**

Study numbers 06/Q0404/35 and 06/Q0410/54 were approved by the Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Trust and Ealing and West London Mental Health Trust Research Ethics Committees and all participants gave written, informed consent.

**Participants**

First, 32 GOLD(15) Stage II to IV COPD patients were enrolled from respiratory clinics and 15 healthy controls were recruited by advertisement. A diagnosis of heart, renal or liver failure, a systemic inflammatory, metabolic or neuromuscular disorder or a severe exacerbation (ie renal or liver failure, a systemic inflammatory, metabolic testing as described previously (23) and symptom-limited incremental cycle ergometry with metabolic testing as described previously (24). On a separate occasion, percutaneous needle biopsy of the vastus lateralis was performed using the Bergstrom technique and samples stored at –80°C (25) (see supplement).

**Measurements of quadriceps muscle fiber cross-sectional area (CSA) from biopsy**

Immunohistochemistry was performed on 10 μm transverse muscle sections using anti-type I myosin, anti-type Ila myosin and anti-laminin antibodies to determine type I, type I/IIa, IIa, IIx proportions and median CSA of each fiber type (26, 27) from a minimum of 100 fibers per subject (see supplement). The patients in this MS (in whom ubiquitin ligases) were measured were also participants in a larger study describing the heterogeneity of quadriceps biopsy appearances in COPD (28).

**Statistical analysis**

**Sample size calculation**

Using the MuRF-1 protein data from COPD patients and controls in the publication of Plant et al. (13), a sample size of 11 was required in both the COPD and control groups to achieve 90% power. A similar calculation using the atrogin-1 protein data from the paper of Doucet et al. (12) suggested a sample size of 7. Atrogin-1 protein and MuRF-1 mRNA expression were not included in Doucet’s paper for the COPD patients with and without muscle wasting, so we were unable to calculate the sample size required for the low and normal FFMI groups.

**Data analysis**

Normally and non-normally distributed data are presented as mean (standard deviation) and median (25th percentile, 75th percentile) respectively, and group differences assessed with a t-test and the Mann–Whitney U-test respectively. Differences between categorical variables were tested with Fisher’s exact test. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was calculated to assess correlations between MUFR-1 and atrogin-1 levels and FFMI and quadriceps fiber CSA. A conventional 2-tailed p-value of ≤ 0.05 was used to define statistical significance.
Results

The clinical and physiological characteristics of the COPD patients and controls are shown in Tables 1 and 2. As expected, the COPD patients had significantly poorer lung function, arterial oxygen tensions, FFMI, quadriceps strength, exercise performance and physical activity levels than the controls (Table 1). Patients with a low FFMI had a significantly lower TLCO, but not lower FEV1, than patients with a normal FFMI, and as expected quadriceps strength was lower in the patients with a low FFMI compared to patients with a normal FFMI. Patients with a low FFMI also had a lower BMI and greater pack-year smoking history than patients with a normal FFMI but otherwise the low and normal FFMI groups did not differ significantly, including the proportion of patients on long-term oral glucocorticoids (Table 1).

Patients had significantly reduced glycolytic type IIx fiber CSA [2490(1830,3240) μm2 vs 4790(2930,6190)μm2; p = 0.003, Table 2], a reduced proportion of oxidative type I fibers [28(19)% vs 58(14)% p < 0.0001], and increased proportions of intermediate oxidative/glycolytic type IIa [62(17)% vs 37(14)% p < 0.0001] and glycolytic type II fibers compared to controls [4(1,8)% vs 0(0,4)% p = 0.009]. Quadriceps muscle fiber CSA was not significantly different between patients with and without a low FFMI (type I fibers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Clinical characteristics of COPD patients and controls</th>
<th>COPD (n = 32)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 15)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Low FFMI (n = 16)</th>
<th>Normal FFMI (n = 16)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>65(8)</td>
<td>68(8)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>64(8)</td>
<td>66(8)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% male)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history (pack-years)</td>
<td>42(29,75)</td>
<td>2(0,8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>38(23,55)</td>
<td>48(35,75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (% current: ex)</td>
<td>7:93</td>
<td>0:53</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6:94</td>
<td>7:93</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% on oral glucocorticoids</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>0.92(0.64,1.29)</td>
<td>2.92(2.49,3.16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.77(0.58,1.13)</td>
<td>1.00(0.68,1.70)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>35(25,51)</td>
<td>111(101,122)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>30(25,41)</td>
<td>46(24,60)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLCO (% predicted)</td>
<td>39(16)</td>
<td>93(16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>32(14)</td>
<td>46(16)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (kPa)</td>
<td>9.2(1.1)</td>
<td>10.9(1.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>9.3(1.1)</td>
<td>9.1(1.2)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (kPa)</td>
<td>5.3(0.5)</td>
<td>5.2(0.5)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>5.3(0.6)</td>
<td>5.4(0.5)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m2)</td>
<td>23.2(3.9)</td>
<td>25.6(4.6)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>20.6(2.6)</td>
<td>26.2(2.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (kg)</td>
<td>44(9)</td>
<td>47(9)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>39(6)</td>
<td>49(8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass index (kg/m2)</td>
<td>15.7(2.0)</td>
<td>17.0(2.1)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>14.1(1.0)</td>
<td>17.0(1.6)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps MVC (kg)</td>
<td>27(10)</td>
<td>36(11)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22(8)</td>
<td>33(8)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps twitch force (kg)</td>
<td>7.5(3.1)</td>
<td>9.4(3.2)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>6.5(3.1)</td>
<td>8.6(4.1)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotion time (min/12h)</td>
<td>38(22,55)</td>
<td>96(61,128)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>30(23,41)</td>
<td>41(17,66)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-minute walk distance (m)</td>
<td>391(137)</td>
<td>616(97)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>384(140)</td>
<td>398(139)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO2 (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>12.6(9.6,14.6)</td>
<td>21.1(17,32,69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>11.1(9.4,14.1)</td>
<td>13.6(9.7,15.1)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean(standard deviation), compared using the t test, or median(25th percentile, 75th percentile), compared using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Abbreviations: COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, FEV1 = Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second, TLCO = carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, PaO2 = partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood, PaCO2 = partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood, MVC = Maximal Voluntary Contraction, VO2 = oxygen consumption during maximal incremental cycle ergometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Quadriceps muscle fiber cross-sectional areas in COPD patients and controls</th>
<th>COPD (n = 32)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 15)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>COPD low FFMI (n=16)</th>
<th>COPD normal FFMI (n=16)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I fiber CSA (μm2)</td>
<td>5020(3670,6060)</td>
<td>5130(4430,5850)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>4650(3630,7230)</td>
<td>5070(3670,5720)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I/IIa fiber CSA (μm2)</td>
<td>5390(3260,5860)</td>
<td>4770(4410,6000)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4690(2460,5470)</td>
<td>5470(5060,7640)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IIa fiber CSA (μm2)</td>
<td>4030(2920,4750)</td>
<td>4230(3050,5350)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3520(2220,4850)</td>
<td>4130(3670,4750)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IIx fiber CSA (μm2)</td>
<td>2490(1830,3240)</td>
<td>4790(2930,6190)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2550(1650,3370)</td>
<td>2490(1930,3920)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of type I fibers (%)</td>
<td>28(19)</td>
<td>58(14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>24(19)</td>
<td>32(19)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of type I/IIa fibers (%)</td>
<td>4(1.6)</td>
<td>20(6.8)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>5(0.7)</td>
<td>30(5.5)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of type IIa fibers (%)</td>
<td>62(17)</td>
<td>37(14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>65(18)</td>
<td>59(14)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of type IIx fibers (%)</td>
<td>4(1.8)</td>
<td>0(0.4)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>4(1.8)</td>
<td>4(2.9)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are mean(standard deviation), compared using the t test, or median(25th percentile, 75th percentile), compared using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Abbreviations: COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, CSA: cross-sectional area, FFMI: fat-free mass index Muscle fiber proportions do not add up exactly to a total of 100% as these are median values.
Quadriceps muscle MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 in COPD

4650(3630,7230) μm² vs 5070(3670,5720) μm², \( p = 1.00 \),

\text{type IIa fibers} 3520(2220,4850) μm² vs 4130(3670,4750) μm², \( p = 0.26 \)

and type IIx fibers 2550(1650,3370) μm² vs 2490(1930,3920) μm², \( p = 0.59 \), Table 2) and type I and II fiber proportions did differ between these groups.

Quadriceps MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein levels in the patient and control groups are displayed in Figure 1 and representative images of the Western blots shown in Figure 2. Atrogin-1 protein levels were significantly lower in COPD patients than controls \([0.64(0.31,1.24) \text{AU} \text{vs} 1.41(0.68,2.06) \text{AU}, p = 0.03, \text{Figure 1A}]\). However, atrogin-1 protein levels were not significantly different between COPD patients with and without a low FFMI \([0.73(0.34,1.61) \text{AU} \text{vs} 0.74(0.12,1.34) \text{AU}, p = 0.46, \text{Figure 1A}]\), with a small subset of patients in both the normal and low muscle mass groups having extremely high and low values compared to controls.

MuRF-1 protein in quadriceps was not significantly different between COPD patients and controls \([0.56(0.45,1.30) \text{AU} \text{vs} 0.92(0.74,1.50) \text{AU}, p = 0.12, \text{Fig. 1B}]\) nor between COPD patients with and without a low FFMI \([0.55(0.42,0.92) \text{AU} \text{vs} 0.59(0.53,1.62) \text{AU}, p = 0.35, \text{Figure 1B}]\). These results were consistent with our findings from a larger group of COPD patients \((n = 49)\) and controls \((n = 23)\) overlapping with this current cohort in which we observed that atrogin-1 mRNA levels were significantly lower in patients compared to controls, while MuRF-1 mRNA levels were not significantly different between these groups, and neither atrogin-1 or MuRF-1 mRNA levels were different between patients with and without a low FFMI (see Tables E1 and E2 in supplement).

In the COPD group there were no significant correlations between quadriceps MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein and FFMI, quadriceps type I or type II fiber CSA or quadriceps strength (whether measured as MVC or TQ).

Discussion

The main finding of the present study is that MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein were not increased in the quadriceps of stable COPD patients with a reduced total muscle mass compared to patients with normal muscle mass. There were also no correlations between MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein and mRNA in the quadriceps with quadriceps fiber size. Similarly, MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 protein were not greater in the quadriceps of COPD patients compared to controls; in fact, controls had higher muscle atrogin-1 protein than patients. Therefore, our data are not supportive of a role of MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 in the maintenance of a chronic muscle-wasted state in stable patients with COPD.
Significance of the findings

Since our data point away from maintenance of a chronic wasted state being associated with increased muscle ubiquitin ligases, why then do patients sustain a low muscle mass? In chronic wasting in humans, impaired protein synthesis may be the driver of reduction in muscle mass with muscle protein breakdown rates actually becoming suppressed as an adaptation to limit muscle loss (29). Our finding of reduced atrogin-1 protein expression in the muscle of COPD patients compared to controls is consistent with this concept. In cancer cachexia, which has similarities to COPD-related cachexia, the muscle loss is largely attributable to impaired muscle protein synthesis and not increased protein breakdown (30, 31).

We acknowledge, however, that, despite our findings, strategies to block the action of atrogin-1 further may still be effective in treating muscle atrophy in COPD by shifting the balance further in favour of muscle protein synthesis. In addition, our data do not exclude the possibility that muscle atrophy results from acute catabolic episodes involving increases in MuRF-1 and atrogin-1, for example during an exacerbation, which cannot then be fully resolved during convalescence. Apart from exacerbation, an acute catabolic event could potentially be triggered by restarting smoking (32) or stopping anti-inflammatory medication such as steroids, for example. In this case, blockade of these mediators during the catabolic episode may be effective in preventing development of a chronic wasted state.

Although our findings, at first glance, appear to contradict data from other studies (12, 13), this is not the case. While the two prior studies are often cited, for example Kim et al. (33) or Rabinovich and Vilarino (34), as evidence for a role for ubiquitin ligases in the quadriceps atrophy of COPD, they did not actually show any association between ubiquitin ligases and muscle atrophy in COPD. Doucet et al. did not find a difference in atrogin-1 protein levels in COPD patients and controls, only in mRNA levels, and did not measure MuRF-1 protein. As with our research, Doucet et al. did not find a difference in atrogin-1 or MuRF-1 mRNA levels between the 6 COPD patients with muscle wasting compared to 5 patients without wasting (12).

Plant et al. found that MuRF-1 protein levels did not differ between muscle-wasted patients and healthy controls and did not measure atrogin-1 protein level (13). The difference between Nedd4 protein levels in patients and controls could have been related to muscle wasting and/or COPD itself; the question could have been answered if Nedd4 had been compared in COPD patients with and without muscle wasting. However, our data, for the first time, expressly shows that muscle ubiquitin ligases are not increased in the specific context of stable muscle wasting in COPD.

Our data are also not inconsistent with animal models of acute muscle wasting due to denervation or complete immobilisation, MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 mRNA levels peak 4 days after the insult then return to normal by day 14 (4). Similarly, following immobilisation in humans, quadriceps MuRF-1 mRNA is 3-fold lower than baseline 20 days after the result, though it is increased 3-fold at day 10 (35). In chronic wasting in humans, there is unlikely to be a single, sudden insult but a gradual accumulation of chronic factors (reduced physical activity, hypoxaemia, low-grade systemic inflammation), which means that ubiquitin ligases may not be upregulated in the same way as in animal models.

Lastly, the finding that atrogin-1 and MuRF-1 levels were not increased in patients with COPD compared to controls, nor specifically in patients with wasting, is consistent with our finding in patients from the same cohort that the P38 mitogen-activated kinase pathway was not activated in quadriceps (10) and data from Mercken et al. showing that in clinically stable COPD, muscle NF-κB is not activated in resting conditions (8).

Critique of the method

The study was designed to answer the question about whether MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 expression were different in COPD patients with and without muscle wasting, and investigated this in respect to both total muscle bulk and atrophy of individual muscle fiber types. Both MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 were quantified at a protein level, in contrast to previous studies. The present study includes data from COPD patients with a wider range of lung disease severity, which means our data is more generalizable to the COPD population than if we had selected only the very severe group. We did not specifically select GOLD III and IV patients, since it is known that the muscle weakness (1) and wasting (36) in COPD are not confined to those with the worst lung function. We ensured, however, that severity of lung disease was matched between the patients with and without muscle wasting.

The data would have been strengthened had muscle protein breakdown and muscle protein synthesis rates also been measured, although these techniques are complex and would be difficult to accomplish in a sample of this size (37).

One criticism of our study is that because we studied stable outpatients we were unlikely to find evidence of ubiquitin ligase activity, since this is a marker of active muscle atrophy. Although this is a pertinent criticism, it overlooks the following points. First, partially positive results had been reported prior to our study by Doucet et al. (12) and Plant et al. (13) in stable outpatients, supporting the validity of our study question. Second, while muscle wasting is almost certainly accelerated during exacerbation (see later), reduced muscle strength occurs in the absence of exacerbation and is unrelated to exacerbation frequency (38).

In this cohort, exacerbation frequency was not significantly different between the patients with a low FFMI
and patients with a normal FFMI (3.7 exacerbations per year versus 2.9 exacerbations per year respectively, \( p = 0.47 \)). Last, we have recently demonstrated a relationship between muscle specific micro-RNA (miRNA) and muscle phenotype in COPD (39); preliminary data confirm that muscle specific miRNA can also be detected in the blood of stable outpatients with COPD suggesting that active muscle atrophy is indeed occurring in apparently stable patients with COPD (40).

Strength loss is a feature of acute exacerbation (41), but we cannot comment on possible roles of MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 in loss of muscle mass at the time of an exacerbation (38, 41) as we specifically excluded clinically unstable patients who had suffered a recent exacerbation. Our aim was to study clinically stable patients who were either maintaining or very slowly losing muscle mass. Data on any changes in patients’ weight and FFMI in the months preceding this study would have been helpful to define the exact nature of the patients (muscle mass maintaining or gradually decreasing) that this data relates to. Nevertheless, the cross-sectional study design we used, although also employed in the studies by Doucet et al. (12) and Plant et al. (13), would have been strengthened by a longitudinal arm.

In conclusion, we do not find any associations between MuRF-1 or atrogin-1 protein and global muscle atrophy, quadriceps muscle fiber size or quadriceps weakness in a group of patients with stable COPD. Our data do not support the concept that chronically elevated muscle levels of MuRF-1 or atrogin-1 are key drivers of muscle wasting in stable COPD.
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