Pulmonary artery perfusion versus no pulmonary perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass in patients with COPD: a randomised clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Absence of pulmonary perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) may be associated with reduced postoperative oxygenation. Effects of active pulmonary artery perfusion were explored in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) undergoing cardiac surgery.

Methods: 90 patients were randomised to receive pulmonary artery perfusion during CPB with either oxygenated blood (n=30) or histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate (HTK) solution (n=29) compared with no pulmonary perfusion (n=31). The coprimary outcomes were the inverse oxygenation index compared at 21 hours after starting CPB and longitudinally in a mixed-effects model (MEM). Secondary outcomes were tracheal intubation time, serious adverse events, mortality, days alive outside the intensive care unit (ICU) and outside the hospital.

Results: 21 hours after starting CPB patients receiving pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood had a higher oxygenation index compared with no pulmonary perfusion (mean difference (MD) 0.94; 95% CI 0.05 to 1.83; p=0.04). The blood group had also a higher oxygenation index both longitudinally (MEM, p=0.009) and at 21 hours (MD 0.99; CI 0.29 to 1.69; p=0.007) compared with the HTK group. The latest result corresponds to a difference in the arterial partial pressure of oxygen of 23 mm Hg with a median fraction of inspired oxygen of 0.32. Yet the blood or HTK groups did not demonstrate a longitudinally higher oxygenation index compared with no pulmonary perfusion (MEM, p=0.57 and 0.17). Similarly, at 21 hours there was no difference in the oxygenation index between the HTK group and those no pulmonary perfusion (MD 0.06; 95% CI −0.73 to 0.86; p=0.87). There were no statistical significant differences between the groups for the secondary outcomes.

Discussion: Pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood during cardiopulmonary bypass appears to improve postoperative oxygenation in patients with COPD undergoing cardiac surgery. Pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic HTK solution does not seem to improve postoperative oxygenation.

INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary dysfunction is one of the leading causes of prolonged hospitalisation, morbidity and mortality after cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB)-dependent cardiac surgery and varies from only a short need of mechanical ventilation to fever, productive cough, pulmonary oedema, respiratory failure and in the most severe cases adult respiratory distress syndrome.1–5 The aetiology is multifactorial and can be separated into poor postoperative lung mechanics and abnormal gas exchange. However, CPB is considered to be the main contributor to postoperative
pulmonary dysfunction due to (1) contact of blood with the heart-lung machine’s artificial surfaces, (2) perfusion of only the systemic circulation and not the pulmonary circuit with non-physiological laminar flow, resulting in (3) release of endotoxins from the splanchic area, and after weaning from CPB (4) warm ischaemic reperfusion injury of especially the lungs, all leading to activation of the inflammatory response and consequently interstitial pulmonary oedema.6–8

The lungs have a dual blood supply from the pulmonary and bronchial arteries with extensive collateral connections. Blood supply to the lungs during CPB is limited to flow through the bronchial arteries and an ischaemic injury occurs as bronchial blood flow is insufficient to meet the lungs metabolic demands. It has now been confirmed in several animal models that bronchial artery blood flow is decreased substantially during CPB, and although exposure to CPB alone is enough to cause pulmonary injury, concomitant cessation of pulmonary artery flow contributes significantly to the development of postoperative pulmonary dysfunction.9–12

The results of animals studies,13–16 small randomised trials in infants with congenital heart disease,17–20 and inconclusive minor randomised trials and observational studies in adults21–25 indicates that pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood preserves postoperative oxygenation. Further, lung transplantation experiences has shown that pulmonary artery perfusion with a hypothermic preservation solution protects the lungs from warm ischaemic injury during storage and transplantation.26 We therefore hypothesised that pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood or uniform hypothermia by pulmonary artery perfusion with a hypothermic preservation solution potentially can attenuate the warm ischaemic injury to the lungs during CPB.

The aim of our trial was therefore to investigate two different concepts of pulmonary artery perfusion during CPB in a patient population with an increased risk of lung injury due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).27 We wanted to test two hypotheses: (1) pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood and (2) cooling of the lungs by pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate (HTK) solution improves postoperative oxygenation in patients with COPD undergoing cardiac surgery compared with (3) no pulmonary artery perfusion.

METHODS
The Pulmonary Protection Trial was approved by the Committees on Biomedical Research Ethics of The Capital Region of Denmark, the Danish Medicines Agency and the Danish Data Protection Agency and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (6 June 2012, NCT01614951, Principal Investigator Daniel A Steinbrüchel). Written informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to randomisation and the trial was conducted in accord with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declarations of 1975.28

The rationale for and design of the trial including the detailed statistical analysis plan have been published.27 29 The methodology is summarised in the following paragraph and adheres to Equator guidelines.

Trial design
The Pulmonary Protection Trial was a randomised, parallel group, participants, statistician and conclusion drawers blinded trial. The good clinical practice unit at Copenhagen University Hospital monitored adherence to the protocol and data registration. The steering group vouches for the accuracy, completeness and statistical analysis of the data, and for the adherence of this report to the trial protocol and statistical analysis plan.27 29

Patients
We screened patients 18 years of age or older with COPD admitted to the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Rigshospitalet, Denmark for coronary artery bypass grafting, aortic valve replacement or the two procedures combined. COPD was diagnosed in patients with an irreversible airway obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1/forced vital capacity <0.7) and classified (mild, moderate, severe and very severe) in accordance with GOLD.30 The main exclusion criteria were previous heart or lung surgery, previous thoracic radiation, left ventricular ejection fraction <20%, tracheal intubation or medically treated pneumonia immediately prior to surgery.

Randomisation
Patients were assigned in a 1:1:1 ratio to one of three interventions (1) pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood, (2) pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic HTK solution or (3) no pulmonary artery perfusion during CPB. Randomisation was performed centrally, on a website hosted by The Copenhagen Trial Unit, by a computer-generated allocation sequence in permuted blocks of varying size stratified according to the preoperative lung function in (1) mild COPD or (2) moderate to very severe COPD.

Blinding
Those blinded to the intervention assignment were: physicians performing the lung function tests, personnel at the intensive care unit (ICU) and ward, personnel performing the 90 days follow-up and the statistician and conclusion drawers. During writing of six abstracts (due to two coprimary outcomes and three comparisons), the intervention groups were identified as 1, 2 and 3 and abstracts were approved by all authors before the randomisation code was broken.31 Only the operation team was aware of the intervention assignment due to the inherent problem with blinding the surgical perfusion procedure.
Data collection and verification
Data for the primary outcome and some of the secondary outcomes were obtained from direct observations and measurements during the hospital stay. Data for the remaining secondary outcomes were obtained at the 90-day follow-up by an in-hospital visit. The primary outcome and serious adverse events (SAE) were verified source data in all patients. Prerandomisation characteristics and secondary outcomes were verified by a random sample of at least 10% of the patients. All data were registered in case record forms by the trial or clinical personnel under the supervision of the trial investigators. The trial database was established by double-blinded data entry from the case record forms and subsequently merging of the two databases to minimise typos and errors from converting handwritten data to an electronic database.

Anaesthesia, surgery and protocol interventions
Anaesthesia was induced using fentanyl (10 µg/kg), propofol (1–2 mg/kg) and cisatracurium (0.1 mg/kg) and maintained with sevoflurane 0.5–3% and continuous infusion of remifentanil (15–30 µg/kg/hour). Venous access was obtained using two peripheral veins. A sheath for the pulmonary artery catheter and a central line were inserted in one of the internal jugular veins. The ventilation mode was preferentially volume controlled and after CPB positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) was set to 5 mm Hg and lung recruitment performed. Ventilation was ceased during sternotomy and during CPB, irrespective of trial randomisation.

After heparinisation (350 IU/kg, ACT >480 s), normothermic CPB (36.5–37.0°C bladder temperature) was initiated. In the ascending aorta an angled arterial cannula was placed (DLP 24 FR, Medtronic, Minnesota, USA) and, the right atrial appendage was provided with a straight arterial cannula placed in the pulmonary artery. We aimed to maintain a pulmonary artery flow with a maximum pulmonary artery mean pressure of 20 mm Hg resembling the upper limit for normal pulmonary artery pressure. The blood returning from the pulmonary circuit was drained to the venous reservoir via a left atrial vent (VT-53218, CalMed Laboratories, California, USA) inserted through one of the pulmonary veins. Before aortic cross-clamp release, the pulmonary artery perfusion was terminated, the cannula removed and the purse-string suture tied to seal the insertion puncture.

In group II (hypothermic HTK solution): the pulmonary artery was cannulated as described for group I and 2 L of Custodiol HTK solution at 4°C (Dr Franz Köhler Chemie GmbH, Bensheim, Germany) were administered within 8–10 min without pausing the surgical procedure. The pulmonary artery mean pressure was monitored as for group I to guide the infusion rate. The blood and HTK solution returning from the pulmonary circuit (average 1800 mL) was directly sucked out from a small hole in the left atrium, filtered by a cell saver, the HTK solution was discarded and the purified red blood cells (average 100 mL) returned to the patient via the venous reservoir of the heart–lung machine.

In group III: standard CPB was performed as described above without pulmonary artery perfusion.

The intubation time was defined from end surgery to extubation at the ICU. The patients were extubated when the following criteria were met:
- The patient is awake and alert, pain-free, haemodynamically stable with sufficient cough reflexes and swallowing movements.
- Pressure support ventilation with support ≤8 cm H2O;
- Fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2 ≤0.40);
- Peripheral capillary saturation ≥0.94%;
- pH >7.34;
- PEEP ≤5 cm H2O;
- Ventilation rate 10–12/min;
- Bleeding from chest drains ≤200 mL/time;
- Bladder temperature ≥36°C.

Outcomes
The two primary analyses were comparing the two pulmonary perfusion groups with no pulmonary perfusion. Second, a comparison of the two pulmonary perfusion groups was performed. The two coprimary outcomes were the inverse oxygenation index (partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) divided by FiO2 times mean airway pressure) at a single time point 21 hours after start of CPB, and longitudinally at fixed time points 1, 3, 5, 7 and 21 hours. Reasons for choosing that time points were that (1) haemodynamically stable and extubated patients
are routinely discharged from the ICU at 21 hours after the start of CPB and (2) the fixed time points (1, 3, 5, 7 and 21 hours) approximately correspond to the following surgical events: weaning form CPB, end of surgery, 4 and 6 hours after CPB weaning and 24 hours after anaesthesia induction.

For some of the last oxygenation index measurements the patients were extubated breathing atmospheric air or receiving oxygen supply through a nasal catheter or an oxygen mask. In these cases, the oxygenation index was calculated by first converting the external oxygen supply to an estimated $\text{FiO}_2$, and second by setting the mean airway pressure to 1, removing that parameter from the equation. For intubated patients respiratory settings for $\text{FiO}_2$ and mean airway pressure were manually read and noted at the time when blood was drawn from the radial artery and blood gas analysis performed to obtain the $\text{PaO}_2$.

The secondary outcomes were: (1) oral tracheal intubation time (hours) after surgery, (2) days alive outside the ICU, (3) days alive outside the hospital, (4) 30-day and 90-day mortality and (5) patients with one or more of the selected SAEs: pneumothorax or pleural effusion requiring drainage, major bleeding, reoperation, severe infection, cerebral event, hyperkalaemia, acute myocardial infarction, cardiac arrhythmia, renal replacement therapy and readmission to the hospital with a respiratory-related condition.

Statistical analysis

We estimated that a sample size of $3 \times 30$ patients was needed to detect a difference in the PF ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$) ratio of 55 mm Hg for the group perfused with oxygenated blood compared with no pulmonary perfusion with a power of 90% and a two-sided maximal risk of type 1 error of 0.025. The PF ratio was used for the power calculation as no clinical trials in adults had the oxygenation index as an outcome measure. The oxygenation index includes lung compliance in the equation, a clinically important parameter for intubated patient’s oxygenation, and therefore we chose it as our primary outcome instead of the PF ratio.

The primary analysis included a modified intention-to-treat population defined as all randomised patients, except patients not receiving CPB-dependent cardiac surgery, and a per-protocol population excluding patients with major protocol violations defined as: (1) patients who were randomised to an intervention but did not receive any intervention and (2) patients who received an incorrect intervention. For the coprimary outcomes all analyses were adjusted for the stratification variable (mild COPD or moderate to very severe COPD) and the patients’ baseline oxygenation index. We then adjusted for both the stratification and design variables (age, FEV$_1$, left ventricular ejection fraction and the patients’ baseline oxygenation index). Linear regression was used to compare the three groups’ oxygenation indices at the last time point 21 hours after CPB start. Linear mixed-effects model (MEM) analysis was used to analyse differences in the longitudinal measurements of the oxygenation indices between the three groups.

Proportions of patients with one or more SAEs and 30 days and 90 days mortality were analysed as dichotomous variables using logistic regression adjusted for the stratification variable. The remaining secondary outcomes were count data analysed with the van Elteren test and were therefore only adjusted for the stratification variable.

We will assess the validity of the trial results according to a five-point procedure which includes adjustments of thresholds for significance according to the number of primary outcome comparisons. We will use a $p$ value threshold for significance of 0.025 (0.05/2 because two primary outcome comparisons are used) and a Bayes factor threshold for significance of 0.1.

RESULTS

Patients

A total of 3688 patients were screened and 387 patients meet the inclusion criteria. Ninety patients were randomised due to trial limitations of only two patients per week. Thirty patients were randomised to pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood, 29 to pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic HTK solution and 31 to no pulmonary artery perfusion. Eighty-nine of the patients received the intervention and were included in the intention-to-treat analysis of the primary outcome and 86 patients were included in the per-protocol analysis. The 90-day follow-up for survival was complete for 89 patients (figure 1 and see online supplementary table S1).

The baseline characteristics of the patients, comorbidities, preoperative pulmonary status and surgical data are summarised in table 1. Mean age was 70 years, and 75% were men. We found no statistically significant differences for the baseline characteristics between the three groups.

Outcomes

Our primary comparisons were (1) pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood compared with no pulmonary perfusion and (2) pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic HTK solution compared with no pulmonary perfusion. For the oxygenation index we present results for both the last measurement at 21 hours and the longitudinal measurements. The inverse unadjusted mean oxygenation indices are illustrated in figure 2 and listed in table 2 which also includes the unadjusted mean PF ratios (not inversed oxygenation indices see online supplementary table S2).

For the PF ratio the two perfused groups demonstrates their lowest PF ratio 1 hour after CPB start with the HTK group showing the most pronounced deterioration of all three groups. For the group receiving no pulmonary
perfusion the lowest PF ratio was found 3 hours after CPB start. Point estimates for this group demonstrate the greatest overall change in PF ratio from baseline to 21 hours of 122 mm Hg, compared to only 61 and 84 mm Hg for the groups receiving oxygenated blood and HTK solution, respectively.

At 21 hours after CPB start, patients who received pulmonary artery perfusion with oxygenated blood had a higher oxygenation index compared to patients receiving no pulmonary artery perfusion during CPB (mean difference (MD) 0.94; 95% CI 0.05 to 1.83; p=0.04). This corresponds to a difference in the PaO2 of 22 mm Hg with a median FiO2 of 0.32. The oxygenation index was also significantly higher at 21 hours (MD 0.99; CI 0.29 to 1.69; p=0.007) and longitudinally ((MEM, p=0.009) for patients who received pulmonary artery perfusion with oxygenated blood compared to pulmonary artery perfusion with HTK solution. This corresponds to a difference in the PaO2 of 23 mm Hg with a median FiO2 of 0.32 (see online supplementary table S3).

We found no statistically significant differences in the longitudinal measurements of the oxygenation index between patients who received pulmonary artery perfusion with oxygenated blood or HTK solution compared with no pulmonary perfusion (MEM, p=0.57 and p=0.17, respectively). The same applied at 21 hours for the patients who received pulmonary artery perfusion with HTK solution compared with no pulmonary perfusion (MD 0.06; 95% CI −0.73 to 0.86; p=0.87). The secondary and per-protocol analyses did not noticeably change the results of the coprimary outcome (see online supplementary table S4).

We found no statistically significant differences between the groups for any of the secondary outcomes (table 3 and see online supplementary table S5) although point estimates (except for SAEs), favoured

Figure 1 CONSORT flow diagram.
pulmonary artery perfusion with oxygenated blood compared with no pulmonary perfusion.

The proportions of patients with one or more of the selected SAEs did not differ between the three groups. The number of patients with 0–6 SAEs are listed in online supplementary table S6 and described in details in online supplementary table S7, both in the online supplementary appendix. There were no serious adverse reactions or suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions for any of the trial patients.

Within the total observation time from randomisation of the first patient and until 90 days after randomisation of the last patient (90–574 days) 8 (9%) patients had died with an equal distribution in the three groups (3 in the blood group, 3 in HTK group and 2 in the no pulmonary perfusion group). The relative high mortality probably reflects that the trial population is elderly and with a high prevalence of comorbidities.

**DISCUSSION**

In this randomised clinical trial we tested in a clinical setting (1) pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood or (2) cooling of the lungs by pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic HTK solution compared with (3) no pulmonary artery perfusion.
during CPB in cardiac surgery patients with COPD. At 21 hours after starting CPB, patients perfused with oxygenated blood had a significantly higher oxygenation index compared with those receiving no pulmonary perfusion. However, the p value was above our predefined significance level (0.025) based on multiple comparisons. The oxygenated blood group had also a significantly higher oxygenation index on both the longitudinal measurements and at 21 hours compared with the HTK group. The trial was not powered to detect clinically relevant differences and therefore we found no significant differences between the three groups in regards to tracheal intubation time, SAEs, mortality or days alive outside the ICU and outside the hospital.

As reported in animal studies, small randomised trials and observational studies in infants and adults, we have now evaluated and confirmed in a clinical setting with adult patients with COPD, that pulmonary artery perfusion with oxygenated blood during CPB results in a higher postoperative oxygenation. This was obtained without an increased incidence of complications or significant prolongation of the surgical procedure. However, we could not confirm the findings of a higher postoperative oxygenation in patients receiving pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic Custodiol HTK solution.

The severity of postoperative pulmonary dysfunction is related to the patients’ preoperative pulmonary function and reduced lung function is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Patients with reduced lung function like COPD therefore face a multitude of problems during and after surgery, as cardiac surgery is offered to an increasingly elderly and sicker population, with a significant age-related decline in respiratory reserves. All patients developed reduced PF-ratio and had, according to the recent Berlin definition, a mild degree of ARDS with PF-ratios between 200 and 300 mm Hg in the perioperative 24 hours (table 2). In

![Figure 2](image-url) Oxygenation indices inverse means for the three groups from cardiopulmonary bypass start and until 21 hours after.

### Table 2 Unadjusted inverse oxygenation indices and PF ratio*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OI mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>PF mean (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood</td>
<td>1.15 (0.78 to 1.52) 0.89</td>
<td>392.35 (348.06 to 436.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with HTK solution</td>
<td>0.74 (0.94 to 1.04)</td>
<td>350.14 (314.80 to 385.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.97 (0.83 to 1.11)</td>
<td>413.43 (371.37 to 455.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 hour after CPB start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood</td>
<td>0.60 (0.48 to 0.73)</td>
<td>272.00 (225.90 to 318.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with HTK solution</td>
<td>0.46 (0.32 to 0.59)</td>
<td>199.96 (160.15 to 239.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.69 (0.55 to 0.83)</td>
<td>293.02 (245.93 to 340.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 hours after CPB start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood</td>
<td>0.41 (0.33 to 0.48)</td>
<td>282.11 (237.46 to 326.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with HTK solution</td>
<td>0.36 (0.30 to 0.42)</td>
<td>249.64 (214.58 to 284.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.52 (0.27 to 0.77)</td>
<td>267.84 (216.66 to 319.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 hours after CPB start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood</td>
<td>0.65 (0.37 to 0.94)</td>
<td>337.15 (260.56 to 413.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with HTK solution</td>
<td>1.12 (0.55 to 1.69)</td>
<td>262.86 (235.55 to 290.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>1.52 (0.67 to 2.37)</td>
<td>285.84 (238.32 to 333.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7 hours after CPB start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood</td>
<td>1.99 (1.20 to 2.77)</td>
<td>321.00 (286.47 to 355.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with HTK solution</td>
<td>2.14 (1.46 to 2.82)</td>
<td>274.82 (250.64 to 299.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>1.99 (1.23 to 2.76)</td>
<td>277.43 (239.55 to 315.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>21 hours after CPB start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood</td>
<td>4.48 (3.86 to 5.10)</td>
<td>331.04 (285.54 to 376.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary perfusion with HTK solution</td>
<td>3.45 (3.06 to 3.84)</td>
<td>266.03 (238.91 to 293.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>3.58 (2.93 to 4.22)</td>
<td>291.37 (254.58 to 328.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Intention-to-treat population.

CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; HTK, histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate; OI, oxygenation index; PF, PaO2/FiO2 ratio in mm Hg.
In this respect, it is interesting that the highest PF-ratio at baseline was seen in the control group, which was also the group demonstrating the most pronounced deterioration over the next 21 hours with a delta-difference in PF-ratio from baseline to 21 hours of 122 mm Hg, compared to only 61 and 84 mm Hg for the groups receiving oxygenated blood and HTK solution, respectively.

Our trial had a number of strengths. The protocol was pragmatic so routine practice was maintained, and we predefined the methodology in a protocol, and a detailed statistical analysis plan, which minimised the risk of data-driven analyses and outcome reporting bias. Additional strengths were the authors’ unawareness of the randomisation groups during the statistical analyses and writing of six abstracts, which were approved by all authors before the blinding was unveiled.

The limitations of our trial were mainly that the primary outcome (oxygenation index) was a surrogate outcome with unknown long-term clinical relevance for the patients. We chose the oxygenation index, and not PF ratio, as it includes the mean airway pressure in the calculation which can be an important correcting parameter for oxygenation monitoring in mechanically ventilated patients with excessive pulmonary dysfunction. Second, we chose our primary comparison to be pulmonary perfusion with oxygenated blood or HTK solution compared with no pulmonary perfusion. Comparing the two pulmonary perfusion techniques were considered explorative, but came out with the strongest significant result. Third, we had limited power to detect any differences in the secondary outcomes, probably due to a small sample size. We believe that a larger multicentre randomised trial, including patients with both reduced and normal lung function undergoing cardiac surgery, could provide conclusive results on the short-term and long-term clinical benefits of pulmonary artery perfusion with oxygenated blood during CPB.

In conclusion we found that pulmonary artery perfusion with normothermic oxygenated blood during CPB resulted in improved postoperative oxygenation for patients with COPD undergoing cardiac surgery compared with both no pulmonary perfusion and pulmonary artery perfusion with hypothermic HTK solution. For those patients receiving hypothermic HTK solution postoperative oxygenation did not improve.
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**Table 3 Effects of pulmonary artery perfusion versus no pulmonary perfusion on the secondary outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary Outcome</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral tracheal intubation time †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days alive outside ICU †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days alive outside the hospital †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days alive outside ICU †</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days alive outside the hospital †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days alive outside the hospital †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death at 90 days ‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.69 (0.09 to 3.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.78 (0.01 to 65.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>0.47 (0.01 to 9.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with one or more serious adverse events ‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.79 (0.19 to 3.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTK vs no pulmonary perfusion</td>
<td>0.61 (0.13 to 2.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenated blood vs HTK solution</td>
<td>1.33 (0.27 to 6.53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Analysis of the intention-to-treat population.
†Van Elteren test.
‡Exact logistic regression adjusted for stratification variable.
HTK, histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate; ICU, intensive care unit; ITT, intention to treat.
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