Building CT Radiomics-Based Models for Preoperatively Predicting Malignant Potential and Mitotic Count of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors
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Abstract

PURPOSE: To build radiomic prediction models using contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CE-CT) to preoperatively predict malignant potential and mitotic count of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs).

METHODS: A total of 333 GISTs patients were retrospectively included in our study. Radiomic features were extracted from the preoperative CE-CT images. According to postoperative pathology, patients were categorized by malignant potential and mitotic count, respectively. The most valuable radiomic features were chosen to build a logistic regression model to predict the malignant potential and a random forest classifier model to predict the mitotic count. The performance of radiomic models was assessed with the receiver operating characteristics curve. Our study further developed a radiomic nomogram to preoperatively predict malignant potential in a personalized way for patients with GISTs.

RESULTS: The predictive model was built to discriminate high–from low–malignant potential GISTs with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.882 (95% CI 0.823-0.942) in the training set and 0.920 (95% CI 0.870-0.971) in the validation set. Moreover, the other radiomic model was built to differentiate high–from low–mitotic count GISTs with an AUC of 0.820 (95% CI 0.753-0.887) in the training set and 0.769 (95% CI 0.654-0.883) in the validation set.

CONCLUSION: The radiomic models using CE-CT showed a good predictive performance for preoperative risk stratification of GISTs and hold great potential for personalized clinical decision making.
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Introduction
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common subgroup of gastrointestinal mesenchymal neoplasms with fusoid and epithelioid cells or rarely with pleomorphic cells [1], and arise from Cajal interstitial cells or their similar cells [2,3]. The diagnosis of GISTs is histopathological and implemented by the immunohistochemical marker CD117 (or C-KIT) and DOG1 [3–5]. Nearly 50%-70% of GISTs originate from the stomach and 30%-45% from the small bowel [6,7]. The biological behavior of GISTs varies from benign to malignant, which is different from other solid lesions of the gastrointestinal tract. Malignant GISTs tend to recur and metastasize even after a complete surgical resection [8]. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) risk categories criteria of proposed modification (Table S1) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) prognosis prediction guidelines (Table S2) are widely used in clinical practice due to their important value for assessment of prognosis after operation. GISTs are classified into four risk categories, ranging from very low risk to high risk, which are determined by the tumor size, tumor location (gastric or nongastric), and mitotic count [3,9]. The recurrent rates vary from 2.4% to 69.8% in different risk categories of GISTs [10]. In the NIH criteria (Table S1) and NCCN guidelines (Table S2), the morphological features of tumor size and mitotic count gain the greatest acceptance as predictors of malignant outcome. Nevertheless, these pathological evaluations of surgical specimens are only available postoperatively. It is relatively easy to calculate the tumor size preoperatively using anatomic imaging techniques, but it is difficult to calculate the mitotic count preoperatively. Thus, the determination of malignant potential of GISTs remains difficult. However, it is of high clinical value to predict malignant potential of GISTs preoperatively, which may offer guidelines for determining the need for adjuvant chemotherapy, such as the use of molecular targeted drugs for preventing metastasis and recurrence [11].

Patients
The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine. We searched our retrospectively maintained database for consecutive patients who underwent preoperative CE-CT between June 2009 and March 2018. The inclusion criteria included 1) histological diagnosis of GISTs; 2) availability of preoperative CE-CT; and 3) histological analysis of the surgical specimen reporting the lesion size, location of origin, and the mitotic index. The exclusion criteria included 1) patients who received preoperative chemotherapy, such as the use of targeted drugs, and 2) tumor ruptured during the surgery. The final number of patients who matched the above criteria and were enrolled in the study was 333 (172 men and 161 women; mean age, 58.8 ± 11.8 years).

Pathology
Postoperative specimens were fixed with formalin and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Immunohistochemical staining of tumors for c-kit and CD34 or both was diagnosed as GISTs. Mitotic count was calculated on 50 high-power fields (HPFs). Neoplasm size was measured on formalin-fixed sample. According to the NIH criteria (Table S1), GISTs were classified into four risk grades, ranging from very low risk to high risk. According to risk categories, the patients in this study were categorized as the low- (very low to intermediate risk) and the high–malignant potential group (high risk). In addition, according to mitotic count, the patients with mitotic count ≤5/50 HPF were categorized as the low–mitotic count group, and the patients with mitotic count ≥5/50 HPF were categorized as the high–mitotic count group.

CT Protocol
All patients underwent abdominal CE-CT. Details of the acquisition parameters and CT image retrieval procedures were provided in Supplementary S1.

Radiomic Feature Extraction
CE-CT images in the portal venous phase were used for tumor segmentation since the portal venous phase had a better performance in identifying the lesion from the surrounding normal tissue. The tumor outline at the largest cross-sectional area was drawn as the region of interest (ROI) by an experienced radiologist who had no knowledge of the tumors other than their locations by using a free open-source software package (ITK-SNAP, version 3.6.0; http://itksnap.org) to provide the ROI for computer-based image analysis (Figure 1).

Radiomic feature extraction procedures were provided in Supplementary S2. A total of 385 quantitative parameters from feature sets of Histogram, Form Factor, Haralick [24], Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix [24], and Run-Length Matrix [25,26] were used in our image feature extraction.

To evaluate inter- and intraobserver reproducibility, 30 cases were chosen at random for ROI delineation and ROI-based feature extraction by two experienced radiologists (radiologist 1 and radiologist 2). To find out robust radiomic features, we used intra- and interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) to evaluate the stability and reproducibility in radiomic feature extraction. The intraobserver ICC was calculated based on the twice feature extractions by radiologist 1. The interobserver ICC was calculated based on the first-extracted features by radiologist 1 and those by radiologist 2. In general, ICC > 0.75 was deemed to have a good reliability or reproducibility [27].

Statistical Analysis
Clinicopathologic Characteristics
The groups’ differences in gender, age, tumor location, malignant potential, and mitotic count were compared using two-sample t or \( \chi^2 \) test in SPSS 20.0 software (IBM).
Radiomic Feature Selection and Radiomic Model Building

As tumor location is a main component of the modified NIH criteria [9] (Table S1), we further combined tumor location and the radiomic features into a feature set. Data preprocessing was performed on this feature set in the following three steps. First, abnormal values were replaced with median. Second, data were partitioned at random: the training set accounted for 0.7, and the validation set accounted for 0.3. Third, standardization was done before analysis (Supplementary S3). Feature selection was performed in the following three steps to reduce redundancy. Firstly, the difference of every radiomic feature between the high– and low–malignant potential groups was compared using $\chi^2$ test and Mann-Whitney U test (MW). Secondly, a minimum redundancy maximum relevance (mRMR) feature ranking was calculated, and one-third of features were retained, which reduced the redundancy between features in the feature subset. The mRMR feature ranking takes into account not only the correlation between features and labels but also the redundancy between features [28]. Thirdly, the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator method (LASSO) was performed to select the most valuable predictive features, and backward stepwise was used to select high-risk related optimum feature subset. As a widely used machine learning method in the field of radiomics, the LASSO method selects a suitable number of non–zero-weighted features according to the minimum or 1-standard error 1-SE criteria, which can prevent overfitting in the model construction. Finally, the remaining features were selected to build a radiomic model to predict the malignant potential using a logistic regression classifier. In addition, in the process of selecting related optimum features subset for the high–/low–mitotic count groups, the feature selection was also performed in three steps to reduce redundancy (Supplementary S4).

Predictive Performance of Radiomic Model

The radiomic model performance was evaluated by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. To quantify the discriminatory power of the radiomic model, the parameters including the area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were provided. Then, the same parameters on the validation set were obtained from the training set to test the prediction performance of this model. R software (version 3.5.0; http://www.R-project.org) was used to conduct statistical analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Training Set</th>
<th>Validation Set</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD) (y)</td>
<td>57.8 ± 12.1</td>
<td>60.9 ± 11.0</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant potential</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low malignant potential</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High malignant potential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitotic count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5/50 HPF</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5/50 HPF</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent-samples t test was applied in continuous variables. $\chi^2$ test was applied in categorical variables. The number in the table is the number of the patients except the age.

$P$-value <.05.
applied in the above statistical analysis. All of the statistical tests in this study were two-tailed, and the R packages used in this study were shown in Supplementary S5.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Three hundred and thirty-three patients were comprised of men (172 cases) and women (161 cases), stomach (204 cases) and small intestine (129 cases), the low malignant potential (228 cases) and the high malignant potential (105 cases), and the low mitotic count (263 cases) and the high mitotic count (70 cases). The training set consisted of 122 men and 111 women (57.8 ± 12.1 years, range 16-88 years). The validation set consisted of 50 men and 50 women (60.9 ± 11.0 years, range 21-86 years). A statistical difference in age between the two sets ($P = .03$) was found, but no statistical differences were found in gender ($P = .693$), tumor location ($P = .124$), malignant potential ($P = .096$), and mitotic count ($P = .995$) between the two sets. The clinicopathologic characteristics of gender, age, tumor location, malignant potential, and mitotic count of the two sets were summarized in Table 1. Demographic characteristics of high–low–malignant potential groups and high–low–mitotic count groups in the two sets were summarized in Supplementary S6 and Tables S3 and S4.

Reproducibility of Radiomic Feature Extraction

A total of 385 radiomic features were extracted from patients with GISTs. The feature with ICC > 0.75 was deemed to have a good reliability or reproducibility in both inter- and intraobserver analyses. As a result, a total of 378 features were robust and then applied for subsequent feature selection.

Feature Selection and Radiomic Model Building

The High– and Low–Malignant Potential Groups. First, $\chi^2$ test and MW were performed to compare the feature differences between the high–low–malignant potential groups, and 265 features remained. Second, an mRMR feature ranking was calculated, and 90 features remained. Last, a LASSO method (Figure 2) with cross-validation was performed, and five features remained. Finally, the three features including tumor location, maximum diameter, and a texture feature were selected after backward stepwise, and then the remaining three features were chosen to build a radiomic model by a logistic regression model. The three selected features were provided in Table 2.

The High– and Low–Mitotic Count Groups. First, one-way analysis of variance and MW were used to compare the difference in each radiomic feature between the low– and the high–mitotic count groups, and 239 features remained. Second, a correlation analysis (Spearman’s correlation test) between each two different features was performed, and 61 features remained. Lastly, a LASSO method with cross-validation was performed, and 14 features remained. Finally, the remaining 14 features were chosen to build a radiomic model using a random forest classifier. The 14 selected features were provided in Table 3.

Predictive Performance of Radiomic Model

The High– and Low–Malignant Potential Groups. In the training set, the radiomic model had an excellent discrimination capacity (AUC = 0.882, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.823-0.942; sensitivity = 80.6%; specificity = 94%; and accuracy = 90.1%) for discriminating the high– from the low–malignant potential GISTs (Figure 3A). In the validation set, this radiomic model had a similar discrimination capacity (AUC = 0.920, 95% CI: 0.870-0.971; sensitivity = 76.3%; specificity = 91.3%; and accuracy = 84%) (Figure 3B). Finally, the selected three features, including maximum diameter, intensity values range, and tumor location (Table 2), were incorporated into the radiomic nomogram building (Figure 4).

The High– and Low Mitotic Count Groups. In the training set, the radiomic model had a satisfactory discrimination capacity (AUC = 0.820, 95% CI: 0.753-0.887; sensitivity =63.3%; specificity =91.3%;...
Table 2. Selected Features with Descriptions of the High- and Low-Malignant Potential Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td>Gastric or nongastric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum diameter</td>
<td>Measures the size of the tumor region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity values range</td>
<td>Represents the range of intensity values</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and accuracy = 85.4%) for discriminating the high- from the low-mitotic count groups (Figure 5A). In the validation set, this radiomic model had a similar discrimination capacity (AUC = 0.769, 95% CI: 0.654-0.883; sensitivity = 52.4%; specificity = 81%; and accuracy = 75%) (Figure 5B).

Discussion

In this study, we developed and validated an effectively preoperative radiomic model using CE-CT to differentiate the high- from the low-malignant potential GISTs, and we further built a personalized radiomic nomogram to predict malignant potential for patients with GISTs. Moreover, we also developed and validated a radiomic model to differentiate the high- from the low-mitotic count GISTs. The present research confirmed the predictive ability of radiomic model for both malignant potential and mitotic count of GISTs, and it may be a potential imaging method for assessing prognosis and guiding clinical treatment decision making before surgery in a noninvasive way.

Despite complete surgical resection, up to 50% of GISTs will recur at a median of 24 months [29]. Accurate preoperative risk grade classification for patients with GISTs has gained a lot of attention due to the emergence of targeted drugs [11,30]. A previous CE-CT study [31] investigated the associations between imaging features, mitotic count, and risk categories and found that some imaging features, including heterogeneous enhancement, angiogenesis, necrosis, adjacent organ invasion, and irregular margins, were correlated with the high-risk category. Meanwhile, irregular margins and adjacent organ invasion were associated with the high mitotic count. It should be noted that these imaging findings were judged by radiologists’ subjective analysis, and this qualitative analysis would bring about inter- and intraobserver variability. However, in our present study, quantitative radiomic features were extracted and selected to build a radiomic model which could effectively avoid inter- and intraobserver variability.

Positron-emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) can assess tumor anatomy and glucose metabolism and has been considered as an important imaging tool for GISTs [32–34]. Tokumoto et al. [34] used PET/CT to discriminate the high from low malignant potential of GISTs, and they found that PET/CT might be useful for evaluating the risk category of GISTs (sensitivity = 85.7%, specificity = 62.5%) but could not be used for evaluating the mitotic count. Our present study built a CT-based radiomic model with a similar prediction performance with a sensitivity of 76.3% and a specificity of 88.7%. Furthermore, in our study, we further developed and validated a CT-based radiomic model to differentiate the high- from the low-mitotic count GISTs with a diagnostic accuracy of 75%. In addition, Wong et al. [35] compared the performance between magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and PET/CT of GISTs, and they reported that diffusion weighted imaging had a similar performance as PET/CT in diagnosis and treatment response evaluation for patients with GISTs. In fact, CE-CT is the most routinely used imaging examination tool for GIST patients, while PET/CT or MRI is regarded as an additional imaging examination tool because PET/CT and MRI examinations are relatively expensive and take a long time [3]. Therefore, CT-based radiomic model in discriminating malignant potential of GISTs could have better generalizability and clinical application value.

In the present study, we used the three selected features, including tumor location and maximum diameter and intensity values range, to develop a radiomic model and an individualized radiomic nomogram for discriminating malignant potential of GISTs. Malignant potential of GISTs is commonly stratified based on tumor size, tumor location (gastric or nongastric), and mitotic count [3,9,36–39]. Tumor size is an important factor for assessing the malignant potential and prognosis of GISTs (Tables S1 and S2). The NCCN guideline has recommended that the tumor should be surgically resected when tumor size is larger than 2 cm, and the tumor can be resected or monitored by endoscopy when tumor size is smaller than 2 cm [40]. However, it is insufficient to discriminate the high- from the low-malignant potential GISTs simply only by tumor size since some GISTs with small size may be high-risk malignancy and have poor prognosis [41,42]. Tumor location is another important factor for prognosis evaluation and is a main component of the modified NIH criteria [9] (Table S1). The small intestine GISTs have been reported to be more aggressive and have worse prognosis than gastric GISTs of the same size [43,44]. In this study, tumor size and tumor location were significantly different between the high- and low-malignant potential groups, and as expected, these two factors finally remained after a series of radiomic feature selections in the radiomic model.

Table 3. Selected Features with Descriptions of the Low- and High-Mitotic Count Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensity values range</td>
<td>Represents the range of intensity values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphericity</td>
<td>Represents the sum calculations for voxels in the ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity</td>
<td>Represents a histogram parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment_AllDirection_offset1_SD</td>
<td>Inverse difference moment is the local homogeneity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment_angle0_offset4</td>
<td>Correlation measures the similarity of the gray levels in neighboring pixels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment_angle45_offset4</td>
<td>Represents a feature of gray level run-length matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment_angle90_offset4</td>
<td>Represents a feature of gray level run-length matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment_angle135_offset4</td>
<td>Represents a feature of gray level run-length matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment_angle90_offset7</td>
<td>Represents a feature of gray level run-length matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation_angle135_offset7</td>
<td>Represents a feature of gray level run-length matrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Suffix of “0,” “45,” “90,” and “135” means the directions of gray-level matrix directions.
and two features of gray level run-length matrix (Table 3). These remaining radiomic features represented an assessment of tumor shape and tumor heterogeneity. Iannicelli et al. [31] investigated the relations between the morphological CE-CT features and mitotic count of GISTs, and they found a statistically significant association between shape of lesion margins and mitotic count: most (90.9%) of lesions with the low mitotic count showed regular margins ($P = .016$), suggesting that solid lesions with smooth and not crispy borders could be more aggressive than the ones with jagged borders. Moreover, most (81.8%) of lesions with the high mitotic count showed heterogeneous enhancement and necrosis which represented tumor heterogeneity, while there were no statistically significant differences which may be caused by its small sample size (only 44 patients). In addition, it is noteworthy that the radiomic feature, the range of intensity values, remained after radiomic feature selection in both radiomic models for malignancy differentiation (Table 2) and

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** ROC curves of the radiomic model in training set (A) and validation set (B) in the high- and low-malignant potential groups.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Developed radiomic nomogram. The radiomic model, maximum diameter, range, and tumor location were used for building the radiomic nomogram. The probability of each predictor can be converted into scores according to the first scale “Points” at the top of the nomogram. After adding up the corresponding prediction probability at the bottom of the nomogram is the malignancy of the tumor. The cutoff point of our nomogram is 0.5. The case would be diagnosed as high malignant potential when the total prediction probability is beyond the cutoff point.
mitotic count differentiation (Table 3), which suggested that the radiomic feature, intensity values range, might be a potential imaging biomarker for both mitotic count and malignancy differentiation of GISTs.

In the present study, radiomic features were analyzed using two-dimensional (2D) image in the largest axial plane rather than three-dimensional (3D) images of whole tumor. A previous study demonstrated that single-slice 2D features analysis was sufficient to evaluate the tumor pathology and clinical outcomes due to no significant difference between 2D and 3D texture evaluation of liver metastases [45]. Recently, several studies further demonstrated that 2D radiomics analysis of a single-slice largest cross-sectional image had good performances in preoperatively differentiating malignant grades of colorectal cancer [46] and determining lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer [18].

However, a limitation of our study is the fact that gene mutations are not considered in this present study. Most GISTs have an activating mutation in tyrosine kinase protein receptor (KIT) gene or platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFRA) gene. Chinese consensus guidelines for the diagnosis and management of GISTs [3] have recommended c-kit or PDGFRA gene mutational analysis, which is important for diagnosing some difficult cases, predicting the therapeutic effect of targeted drugs and guiding medical decision making. In recent years, radiogenomics, which concentrates on the association between imaging phenotypes and genomics, has emerged and developed in the field of tumor research and received increasing attention [47]. Hence, it is worthwhile to investigate the relationship between radiomic features and different c-kit or PDGFRA mutation in further radiogenomic study.

In conclusion, our preliminary study showed that the radiomic model had a good performance for preoperatively predicting both malignant potential and mitotic count of GISTs in a noninvasive way. Although promising, these results were preliminary and required validation on a prospective dataset to assess the potential for clinical translation. After validation, the radiomic assessment may become a potential imaging biomarker for GISTs and can be conveniently performed for the preoperative personalized prediction of malignant potential for patients with GISTs.
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