Cellulose synthase complexes display distinct dynamic behaviors during xylem transdifferentiation
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In plants, plasma membrane-embedded CELLULOSE SYNTHASE (CESA) enzyme complexes deposit cellulose polymers into the developing cell wall. Cellulose synthesis requires two different sets of CESA complexes that are active during cell expansion and secondary cell wall thickening, respectively. Hence, developing xylem cells, which first undergo cell expansion and subsequently deposit thick secondary walls, need to completely reorganize their CESA complexes from primary wall- to secondary wall-specific CESAs. Using live-cell imaging, we analyzed the principles underlying this remodeling. At the onset of secondary wall synthesis, the primary wall CESAs ceased to be delivered to the plasma membrane and were gradually removed from both the plasma membrane and the Golgi. For a brief transition period, both primary wall- and secondary wall-specific CESAs coexisted in banded domains of the plasma membrane where secondary wall synthesis is concentrated. During this transition, primary and secondary wall CESAs displayed discrete dynamic behaviors and sensitivities to the inhibitor isoxaben. As secondary wall-specific CESAs were delivered and inserted into the plasma membrane, the primary wall CESAs became concentrated in prevaccular compartments and lytic vacuoles. This adjustment in localization between the two CESAs was accompanied by concurrent decreased primary wall CESA and increased secondary wall CESA protein abundance. Our data reveal distinct and dynamic subcellular trafficking patterns that underpin the remodeling of the cellulose biosynthetic machinery, resulting in the removal and destruction of the primary wall CESA complex with concurrent production and recycling of the secondary wall CESAs.

Cellulose, the most abundant biopolymer on Earth and is a major constituent of the plant cell wall (1). It is also of immense importance to a range of human uses and industries, including fiber, fuel, textile, fodder, and specialty chemicals (2). Cellulose is a homopolymer composed of long chains of 1,4-β-linked glucose molecules (3). The polymeric chains are largely arranged in parallel orientation that allows inter- and intrachain hydrogen bonds that ultimately form cellulose microfibrils that contain domains of high crystallinity (4, 5). The microfibrils display high tensile strength and provide the structural support for the integrity of the cell-wall types in plants. During cell division and growth, the orientation of primary cell wall (PCW) cellulose microfibrils directs cell expansion by acting as the main load-bearing component that resists the turgor pressure driving growth (6). Once cell expansion ceases, specialized cell types produce thick and chemically distinct secondary cell walls (SCWs) that contain a larger portion of cellulose than PCWs. SCWs provide the structural strength required for fibers to hold the plant upright and reinforce vessels and tracheary elements to resist the negative pressures associated with water transport (7).

Cellulose is generated by a family of integral membrane glucosyltransferases called “CELLULOSE SYNTHASES” (CESAs), of which there are 10 (AtCESA1–10) in Arabidopsis thaliana (8). In vascular plants, the CESAs oligomerize, forming six-lobed rosette structures known as “cellulose synthase complexes” (CSCs) (9), estimated to contain between 18 and 24 CESAs (10–12). Oligomers are thought to form in the Golgi and trans-Golgi network (TGN) (13) before the assembled complexes are delivered to the plasma membrane, possibly via vesicles called “small CESA-containing compartments” (SmaCCs) (14) or “microtubule-associated CESA compartments” (MACSs) (15). At the plasma membrane, active CESAs utilize cytoplasmic UDP-glucose as a substrate to synthesize glucan chains that are extruded into the apoplast (16–18). The catalytic activity of CESAs and the aggregation of cellulose into microfibrils are believed to propel the CSCs through the plasma membrane (19–21). Thus the speed of a CSC at the plasma membrane is routinely used as proxy for its catalytic activity (19, 22).

It is widely accepted that a CSC needs three distinct isoforms to function and that the specific isoforms differ depending on whether the CSCs are forming PCWs or SCWs. For example, mutant studies and coexpression data in Arabidopsis clearly demonstrate that the PCW CSCs consist of AtCESA1, AtCESA3, and one of the partially redundant AtCESA6-like CESAs (AtCESA2, AtCESA5, AtCESA6, and AtCESA9) (23–25), while AtCESA4, AtCESA7, and AtCESA8 are strictly associated with and required for the production of SCWs (26). Given the functional divergence and expression relationships of the CESAs, one might assume that PCW cellulose synthase complexes display distinct dynamic behaviors during xylem transdifferentiation
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PCW and SCW CESAs Briefly Co-Occur but Have Different Velocities at the Plasma Membrane During Xylem Vessel Transdifferentiation. To visualize PCW and SCW CESAs simultaneously during the transition, we generated Arabidopsis plants expressing proCESA6::tdTomato-CESA6 and a fluorescently tagged AceSA7 (CESA7:YFP-CESA7) (30) in the VND7-GR-inducible system. Before VND7 induction, we did not observe any YFP-CESA7 signal in the cells, whereas clear tdTomato-CESA6 signal was detected as described above (Fig. 2A, pretransition). During the early phase of transition, a clear YFP-CESA7 signal appeared in intracellular compartments in close proximity to the plasma membrane, in ring-shaped Golgi bodies, and in SmaCCs (Fig. 2A, arrowheads). As the transition progressed, motile YFP-CESA7 appeared at the plasma membrane and tracked along trajectories similar to those of tdTomato-CESA6 (Figs. 2A and 3A and Movie S2). However, this developmental window, showing plasma membrane localization of both tdTomato-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7, was relatively short-lived and was maintained for a maximum of 1 h, indicating that the PCW CESAs stopped being delivered to the plasma membrane. We controlled this by performing photobleaching experiments in which we found that the recovery of the tdTomato-CESA6 fluorescence was substantially delayed compared with the recovery of the YFP-CESA7 fluorescence (Fig. 2B–D, arrowheads and Movie S3). Kymograph analysis along these bands revealed steadily moving YFP-CESA7 foci appearing in bleached areas, indicating the delivery of active YFP-CESA7–containing CESAs to the plasma membrane (Fig. 2C, arrows). The tdTomato-CESA6 signal was subsequently removed from the plasma membrane and was observed only within bright intracellular puncta (Fig. 2A, arrows). The transition phase was considered complete only when YFP-CESA7, but no tdTomato-CESA6, fluorescence was evident as distinct foci at or in close proximity to the plasma membrane (Fig. 2A).

The co-occurrence of both PCW and SCW CESAs in the same cell-permitted detailed analysis of their relative behaviors (Fig. 3A). Kymograph analyses indicated that the tdTomato-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7 moved with different speeds (Fig. 3B and C). Indeed, during midtransition the tdTomato-CESA6 moved with a speed of 251 ± 19 nm/min (n = 128 CESAs in four cells from three seedlings), while the YFP-CESA7 moved significantly faster (430 ± 62 nm/min; n = 682 CESAs in 10 cells from three seedlings; Student’s t test) (Fig. 3D). These data show that the PCW and SCW CESAs co-occur at the plasma membrane for only a short while and that the two CESAs move with different speeds. Hence, we conclude that the tdTomato-CESA6 CESAs move independently of the YFP-CESA7 CESAs (Fig. 3C and D), although the two CESAs track along common paths.

The Cellulose Synthesis Inhibitor Isoxaben Affects PCW but Not SCW CESA Dynamics. The PCW CSC in Arabidopsis is highly sensitive to the cellulose synthesis inhibitor isoxaben (38). However, cellulose synthesis in grasses does not show the same type of sensitivity (39), and it is unclear to what degree SCW cellulose synthesis is affected by the inhibitor. To assess how isoxaben affected the dynamic behavior of tdTomato-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7, we first grew the VND7-inducible seedlings on 0.5x Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium for 2 d in the dark and then transferred them to medium containing 200 nM isoxaben for another day (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, whereas the noninduced seedlings showed severe cell swelling, the VND7-induced seedlings showed less swelling and were able to produce clear secondary wall bands (Fig. 4A and B). Moreover, cell-wall staining using the cellulose-binding dye Scarlet S4B (40) revealed clear cellulose bands in the VND7-induced seedlings in both the absence and presence of isoxaben (Fig. 4C). To assess how isoxaben influenced CESA dynamics, we...
first observed the tdTomato-CESA6 line and found, similar to previous studies, that the fluorescent foci disappeared from the plasma membrane and accumulated in internal SmaCCs/MASCs (Fig. 4D). We next examined the behavior of YFP-CESA7 in the VND7-induced seedlings. In contrast to tdTomato-CESA6, YFP-CESA7 maintained steady movement at the plasma membrane, and there were no differences in speed before and after isoxaben treatment (Fig. 4E and F). We furthermore confirmed that the cellulose content was unaltered in the VND7-induced seedlings after isoxaben treatment, confirming that SCW cellulose synthesis is not affected by isoxaben (Fig. 4G). Hence, isoxaben might be used to perturb PCW cellulose synthesis while maintaining SCW cellulose synthesis intact.

**PCW CESAs Are Internalized and Trafficked to Late Endosomes/Prevacuolar Compartments During Mid to Late Xylem Vessel Development Stages.**

The gradual loss of tdTomato-CESA6 signal at the plasma membrane indicated that it might be degraded. To assess this, we examined deeper optical sections within cells during the various stages of transdifferentiation to elucidate the nature of the intracellular tdTomato-CESA6 localization (Fig. 5A). Intracellular CESA compartments were defined as outlined in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. During the pretransition stage, we observed cytosolic tdTomato-CESA6-labeled compartments including Golgi (arrowheads) and SmaCCs (Fig. 5A, pretransition, yellow arrows). Once the YFP-CESA7 became visible, the two fluorescently labeled CESAs coincided in both Golgi and SmaCCs (Fig. 5A–C, early transition and Movie S4). As SmaCCs might be involved in both the delivery of CESAs to the plasma membrane and their endocytosis/recycling, we cannot determine if these dual-labeled SmaCCs are anterograde or retrograde in nature. However, as the delivery of tdTomato-CESA6 stops once YFP-CESA7 is at the plasma membrane (Fig. 2B), these SmaCCs are most likely compartments involved in CESA endocytosis and/or recycling. Interestingly, during the mid-stages of the process, the Golgi-located tdTomato-CESA6 signal started to fade (arrowheads), and bright distinct puncta that did not coincide with YFP-CESA7 became apparent (white arrows; Fig. 5A and B and Movie S5). These compartments were distinctly different from the YFP-CESA7–containing compartments that would increase during the transition. Therefore we measured the divergence of the tdTomato-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7 signals by quantifying loss of co-occurrence of tdTomato-CESA6– and YFP-CESA7–labeled compartments at different stages of transition (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). At early transition, 39 ± 12% (mean ± SD, n = 20 cells) of SmaCCs containing tdTomato-CESA6 did not co-occur with YFP-CESA7 fluorescence. This percentage remained the same at midtransition with 45 ± 6% of tdTomato-CESA6 SmaCCs without YFP signal. However, by late transition, 55 ± 10% of the tdTomato-CESA6–labeled compartments contained only red fluorescence and hence no YFP-CESA7 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C). To test if these tdTomato-CESA6–enriched
compartments were PVC/MVBs, we treated plants at the midtransition stage with wortmannin (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), a pharmaceutical agent known to block CESA endocytosis (41) and trafficking to the lytic vacuole by inhibiting phosphatidylinositol-phosphate-3 kinases (42). In cells treated with wortmannin, the tdTomato-CESA6-containing puncta still formed. However, in the treated cells, unlike DMSO-treated controls at the same time point, we did not observe any tdTomato-CESA6 vacuolar signal (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), indicating that wortmannin treatment inhibited tdTomato-CESA6 trafficking to the vacuole.

PCW CESAs Are Degraded as SCW CESAs Accumulate During Xylem Tracheary Element Transdifferentiation. Although we show that tdTomato-CESA6 disappears from the plasma membrane and appears in the lytic vacuoles, while YFP-CESA7 accumulates rapidly during the transition from PCW to SCW synthesis, the question remains whether the other CESAs behave accordingly.

To determine if the other PCW-specific CESAs, i.e., CESA1 and CESA3, are degraded while the SCW-specific CESAs CESA4, CESA7, and CESA8 are accumulating, we collected protein extracts during the transdifferentiation and performed Western blots using antibodies specific for the different CESA isoforms (Fig. 5D) (43, 44). We used samples from wild-type VND7-GR-VP16 that were noninduced or were induced for 6 h (early transition), 12 h (midtransition), 18 h (late transition), and 24 h (posttransition). Consistent with our confocal data, the PCW CESA protein abundance decreased steadily in a concerted fashion, while the SCW-specific CESAs accumulated over time (Fig. 5D). These data therefore support our hypothesis that the

Fig. 2. PCW CSCs briefly coexist with and are subsequently replaced by SCW complexes. Shown are 3-d-old etiolated hypocotyl cells expressing YFP-CESA7 (green) and tdTomato-CESA6 (tdt-CESA6; magenta) in the VND7-inducible background. (A) Pretransition: tdtTomato-CESA6-containing CSCs are visible at the plasma membrane as a diffuse pattern in which they migrate along linear trajectories. Early transition: YFP-CESA7–containing CSCs begin to appear within intracellular compartments including SmaCCs (arrowheads), while tdt-CESA6 remains at the plasma membrane. Midtransition: both YFP-CESA7 and tdt-CESA6 appear at the plasma membrane and steadily migrate along diffuse bands. Here, tdt-CESA6s begin to appear in distinct and bright intracellular compartments (arrows) that typically coincide with cortical microtubule bands (see also Fig. 1 B and D). Late transition: Only YFP-CESA7 signal was observed at the plasma membrane, while tdt-CESA6 was seen only within cortical compartments (arrows). Posttransition: tdt-CESA6 fluorescence is no longer seen associated with distinct cortical compartments, and only YFP-CESA7 signal is observed as distinct foci at the plasma membrane or cortical compartments. (Scale bars: 10 μm.) (B) Time-average images of induced dual-labeled tdt-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7 before and after photobleaching. Primary and secondary CSCs coexist in bands before photobleaching (arrowheads). tdt-CESA6 fails to be recruited to the bleached region after 11 min, whereas YFP-CESA7 reappears at the location of the band (arrowheads). (Scale bars: 5 μm.) (C) Kymographs along the dashed lines in B reveal that recovery of tdt-CESA6 fluorescence is largely due to vesicles moving in the cytoplasm, while YFP-CESA7 fluorescence reappears also in the form of migrating dots (arrows) moving along the previously bleached bands indicating newly delivered YFP-CESA7-containing CSCs. (Scale bars: 2 μm.) (D) Fluorescence recovery curves reveal slow recovery of tdt-CESA6 (0.012 ± 0.005 a.u./s) while YFP-CESA7 fluorescence recovers substantially faster (0.053 ± 0.005 a.u./s, mean ± SD, measured from five recovery curves; P < 0.0001, Welch’s unpaired t test).
PCW CESAs are targeted to the vacuole for degradation during transdifferentiation.

Discussion

The transition from PCW to SCW synthesis represents a major shift in cellular developmental processes including transcription and posttranslational modifications (34, 35). A general shift from PCW to SCW CESA4 expression has been well documented via transcriptome analysis (23, 34). However, the brief and highly regulated nature of the distinct transitional phases of this major developmental modification has made it very difficult to visualize the process. Here, we show in detail the dynamic change in PCW and SCW cellulose synthesis and demonstrate how PCW and SCW CESAs are coordinated during the differentiation of protolylem tracheary elements. During transition, PCW CESAs are no longer delivered to the plasma membrane and instead are selectively targeted into distinct compartments for transport to the lytic vacuole. This all occurs while SCW-specific CESAs are synthesized, accumulate within intracellular compartments, and are delivered to the plasma membrane.

The selective targeting of PCW CESAs into a distinctive set of intracellular compartments and finally to the lytic vacuole hints at a mechanism involving protein modification through phosphorylation or ubiquitination. Indeed, selective inactivation of plasma membrane protein by endocytosis and degradation via ubiquitination has been well characterized (45) and has been demonstrated for several plasma-membrane proteins including the auxin-efflux protein PIN2 (46) and the boron carrier BOR1 (47). Interestingly, CESAs have been shown to have ubiquitination sites (48) which have been shown not only to affect the activity of CESAs (49–52) but also to cause the selective degradation of CESAs via a proteasome pathway (53).

The formation of prevacuolar CESAs compartments during wortmannin treatment and the disappearance of Golgi signal imply that delivery of the PCW CESAs to the vacuole may come from intracellular compartments, including Golgi and the TGN. However, this does not exclude the possibility that CESAs are targeted for degradation while still at the plasma membrane. Another plasma membrane protein, PIN2, that parallels CESAs in terms of trafficking (46, 54), has been shown to be mono-ubiquitinated at the plasma membrane before being poly-ubiquitinated at the TGN and targeted to the vacuole (55). Previous work has shown that CESAs are delivered, in part, via a TGN-associated pathway, as shown by the presence and accumulation of CESA3 within the TGN (15). Since the TGN acts as an important sorting hub for endocytosis, exocytosis, and recycling (56), it is tempting to speculate that a similar pathway exists in which CESAs are mono-ubiquitinated, marking them for endocytosis, at the plasma membrane and for polyubiquitination once in the TGN, which would target them for degradation. Indeed, this may explain our observation of compartments containing both tdTomato-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7 even at late stages of transition, as these may be TGNs that are involved in targeting CESAs for degradation while transporting/recycling CESA7 to the plasma membrane. However, further work including identifying the distinct tdTomato-CESA6 compartments with endomembrane markers such as the TGN markers VTI12 and VHA-A1 (57, 58) and the PVC/late-endosomal marker ARA7/RabF2B (59), is required to conclusively support our hypothesis of PCW CESA trafficking to the vacuole via the TGN and PVC.

It is well established that two distinct sets of CESAs are required for the production of PCW and SCW cellulose (1). However, our data indicate that there is a brief period when both PCW and SCW CESAs concurrently contribute to cellulose production within SCW cells. As well, given the differences in their velocities and their sensitivities to isoxaben, PCW and SCW CESAs appear to be within distinct complexes. What this means in terms of cellulose quality during this overlapping period is unknown, as the exact biochemical, molecular, and cellular mechanisms that control the differences between PCW and SCW cellulose properties remain to be elucidated (60). Although the interface between PCW and SCWs has yet to be explored with great detail, the overlap between both sets of CESAs could aid in facilitating the contiguous nature of the two wall layers, creating a transition zone between PCWs to SCWs that ensures their structural stability.

We have previously reported that during the peak of SCW formation SCW CSCs have a higher velocity than PCW CSCs under the same imaging conditions (30). However, it has also been reported that, because of both the latty variation in observed velocities and the similar means of these velocities, the
Fig. 4. The potent CESA inhibitor isoxaben affects PCW CESAs but not SCW CESAs during patterned cell wall formation. (A) Three-day-old VND7 seedlings grown in the dark for 2 d and transferred to 200 nM isoxaben-containing plates for 1 d were imaged using bright-field illumination. Isoxaben causes the cells of the upper hypocotyl to bulge (compare first and second images from the left). After induction, hypocotyl cells transdifferentiate into protoxylem cells and make SCWs as seen by the striated patterns (third image from the left and B). Adding isoxaben to induced seedlings leads to slight bulging of the upper hypocotyl cells while the transdifferentiation is not impaired (fourth image from the left). (Scale bar: 100 μm.) (B) Zoomed-in images of the red boxes in A showing clear xylem-like cell wall patterns (red arrowheads). (Scale bar: 50 μm.) (C) The cellulose stain Scarlet S4B allowed visualization of the secondary wall bands deposited after induction. Noninduced seedlings showed weak and nonspecific labeling (first and second images from the left). Induced seedlings deposit large amounts of cellulose in band patterns in the absence and presence of isoxaben, respectively (third and fourth images from the left). (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (D) The effect of isoxaben was analyzed using 3-d-old etiolated double-labeled tdTomato-CESA6 (tdT-CESA6) and YFP-CESA7 seedlings in the VND7-inducible background. Primary wall tdT-CESA6 foci move along linear tracks in the plasma membrane in nontreated (0.5× MS) and noninduced (DMSO) cells but are internalized within 2 h after the addition of 200 nM isoxaben (ISOX) (right image and kymograph below). (Scale bars: 10 μm and 2 min.) (E) In contrast, secondary wall YFP-CESA7 foci remain at the plasma membrane and move with similar speeds in both isoxaben and mock-treated cells. (Scale bars: 10 μm and 2 min.) (F) Mock-treated (DMSO; n = 279) and isoxaben-treated (ISOX; n = 363) foci were analyzed; P > 0.87, Welch’s unpaired t test; n.s, not significant. (G) Measurement of the cellulose content of induced and isoxaben-treated induced seedlings compared with nontreated controls of VND7-GR and Col-0 wild-type seedlings reveal that secondary wall production is unaffected by application of isoxaben. Groups were assigned by significant statistical difference based on Welch’s unpaired t test (P < 0.05 between groups, mean ± SD from three technical and three experimental replicates each).
Fig. 5. Primary wall CSCs are trafficked to distinct intracellular compartments and eventually to the vacuole where they are degraded. Shown are 3-d-old etiolated epidermal hypocotyl cells expressing YFP-CESA7 (green) and tdTomato-CESA6 (tdT-CESA6; magenta) in the VND7-inducible background. (A) Time course of intracellular signal for tdT-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7 during transition. Pretransition: No YFP-CESA7 signal is observed. Early transition: YFP-CESA7 fluorescence co-occurs with tdT-CESA6 in both Golgi apparatus (arrowheads) and SmacCs (yellow arrows). Midtransition: Both YFP-CESA7 and tdT-CESA6 signals are seen within Golgi (arrowheads) and SmacCs (yellow arrows), while tdT-CESA6 fluorescence is also apparent within distinct cortical compartments (white arrows). Late transition: Only YFP-CESA7 signal is seen in Golgi (arrowheads) and SmacCs while tdT-CESA6 fluorescence is seen as distinct cytosolic puncta (white arrows). Posttransition: tdT-CESA6 is seen only as a diffuse intracellular signal within the vacuole (v), evident at deeper optical planes where the void in fluorescence is caused by the nucleus (n). (Scale bars: 10 μm.) (B) Zoomed-in views of the insets in A show the differences in localization between tdT-CESA6 and YFP-CESA7 fluorescence within Golgi (arrowheads), SmacCs (yellow arrows), and bright tdT-CESA6 puncta (white arrows) as transition progresses. (Scale bars: 2 μm.) (C) Pearson’s correlation coefficient for colocalization of YFP-CESA7 and tdT-CESA6 at the different stages shown in A. Means with different letters represent statistically significant differences based on Tukey’s pairwise comparison (mean ± SD, n = 10 cells from three plants at each stage, P < 0.05). (D) Western blots of an induction time course of VND7-GR seedlings show that the accumulation of SCW CESAs (CESA4, CESA7, and CESA8) and the degradation of PCW CESAs (CESA1, CESA3, and CESA6) are consistent among CESA isoforms.

Materials and Methods

Generation of Plant Lines. Seeds of cesa7−/−, cesa4−/−, cesa7−/−, and cesa8−/− plants containing proCESA7::YFP-CESA7 and proCaMV35S::VND7-VP16-GR (30) were crossed with cesa3−/− and irx3-4 lines containing proCESA6::tdTomato-CESA6 (36), and F2 lines homozygous for all three constructs were isolated. Further, plants containing proCaMV35S::YFP-TUA5 (37) were crossed with proCaMV35S::VND7-VP16-GR, and F2 lines positive for all three constructs were used for imaging.

Seeding Growth and Induction. Seeds were surface sterilized with 20% bleach and 0.1% Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min and then were washed three times with sterilized distilled water. Seeds were sown on plates containing germination medium [1× MS medium (Phyto Technology Labs), 1% sucrose, 1× Gamborg’s Vitamin mix (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.05% MES, and 0.08% agar at pH 5.8]. Plates were wrapped in aluminum foil and were placed at 4 °C for 2 d before being moved into a growth chamber at 21 °C in a vertical position and were grown for 3 d. Plates were then removed from the chamber and under sterile conditions 10 mL of 10 μM dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich) in sterilized distilled deionized water was added to the plates for VND7-GR induction. Plates were then rewrapped in
Images were processed using Fiji software (63), which allowed the velocity of moving CSCs to be determined by measuring their slopes in multiple kymograph projections within a short time. Movies were generated using the Mtracki plugin for Fiji (64) and employ the built-in compression codecs in Fiji.

**Localization analysis.** Colocalization analysis was performed in Volocity 6.3 (Perkin-Elmer) using the colocalization analysis described by Manders et al. (65). The threshold was set to regions of interest (ROIs) drawn in background areas. Individual cells were then selected as ROIs, and correlation coefficients were calculated.

**Protein Extraction and Western Blot Analysis.** Arabidopsis seedlings containing proCaMV35S::VDND7::VP16-GR were grown in the dark for 4 d on plates before being induced as described above. Two hundred milligrams of seedlings were harvested at each time point (6 h, 12 h, 18 h, and 24 h) along with a DMSO control, which was treated for 24 h as well, and were snap-frozen with liquid nitrogen. Seedlings were then ground to a fine powder and resuspended in acetone containing 10% trichloroacetic acid. Total protein was extracted (66), and pellets were resuspended in 100 μL of DMSO. Protein concentration was assayed using the DC protein assay (Bio-Rad). Samples then were diluted to 2 μg/mL with SDS-loading buffer.

Twenty micrograms of protein were then run on 8% SDS/PAGE gels with a 5% stacking gel and were transferred to a 1:1000 pore nitrocellulose membrane as described (63). Primary antibodies (43, 54) were used at dilutions of 1:2,000 for α-CESA1 and α-CESA4, 1:1,500 for α-CESA6, 1:1,500 for α-CESA8, and 1:200 for α-CESA3 and 1:500 for α-CESA5. Supercilonic secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit IgG, Thermo Fisher Scientific) conjugated with ECL HPR was used at concentrations of 1:2,000 for α-CESA1, 1:2,000 for α-CESA6, 1:1,500 for α-CESA8, and 1:1,500 for α-CESA3, α-CESA8, and α-CESA7, and 1:1,000 for α-CESA5. The SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and CL-Xposure film (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used to image Western blots.

**Cellulose analysis.** Liquid cultures were harvested after 7 d in the dark. Seedlings were stored in 70% ethanol for 1 wk. To extract cell-wall material, seedlings were first air-dried overnight in a 60 °C oven. The dry material was then frozen in liquid nitrogen and homogenized to a fine powder using metal balls and an oscillating mill (1 min at 25 Hz) from Retsch. The cell-wall powder was washed with pure ethanol and centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 10 min. Subsequently, the pellet was resuspended in a 1:1 methanol/chloroform mixture and was centrifuged again at 16,000 × g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in pure acetone and centrifuged again at 16,000 × g for 10 min. Then the cell-wall pellet was air-dried overnight. Approximately 700–800 μg of the dry, insoluble part of the cell-wall material were used for further analysis in 2 mL screw-cap tubes. Subsequently, 250 μL of 2 M trifluoroacetic acid was added to the tubes, and the tubes were incubated for 1 h at 121 °C. Afterward, the tubes were supplemented with 300 μL of 2-Propanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and left for 1 h at room temperature under a steady airflow at 40 °C. This step was repeated once. After the samples were centrifuged with 300 μL distilled water, thoroughly vortexed, and centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 15 min. The pellet was further used to determine the amount of crystalline cellulose using the Updegraff method (67).
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