Reversible ON–OFF switching of single-molecule-magnetism associated with single-crystal-to-single-crystal structural transformation of a decanuclear dysprosium phosphonate†
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(\(\text{Dy}_5\text{(EDDC)}_2\text{(\(\mu_5\)-AcO)}_2\text{[\(\mu_5\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_2\)-AcO]}_2\text{(AcO)}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O)}\text{(CH}_3\text{OH)}\text{I}_2\text{(\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{2}\text{O}_4\))}\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}}\)) (I), where \(\text{H}_2\text{EDDC}\) is \(N,N^\prime,E,N^\prime,E-N,N^\prime-E-N,N^\prime-E^{-}\text{(ethane-1,2-diylenedipryazine-2-carboxyhydrazide and \(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{PO}_3\)}\text{H}_2\) is 9-anthrylmethylphosphonic acid, is found to undergo two consecutive single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformations. The first is under UV irradiation (\(\lambda = 365 \text{ nm for 3 d in air} \)) to \((\text{Dy}_5\text{(EDDC)}_2\text{(\(\mu_5\)-AcO)}_2\text{[\(\mu_5\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_2\)-AcO]}_2\text{(AcO)}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O)}\text{I}_2\text{(\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{2}\text{O}_4\))}\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}}\)) (I-UV) where the two \(\text{CH}_3\text{OH}\) are replaced by two \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and the second by annealing under \(\text{N}_2\) at 100 °C on a diffractometer or under \(\text{Ar}\) in a glovebox to \((\text{Dy}_5\text{(EDDC)}_2\text{(\(\mu_5\)-AcO)}_2\text{[\(\mu_5\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_2\)-AcO]}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O)}\text{I}_2\text{(\(\mu_2\)-\(\text{C}_{2}\text{O}_4\))}\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}}\)) (I-A-N2 or I-A-Ar) where it has lost two \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecules. The second transformation is reversible by exposure to air at room temperature (I-A-N2-cool). While the overall structures are the same (retaining the space group \(\text{P2_1/c}\)), there is a considerable expansion of the unit cell from \((8171 \text{ Å}^3)\) to I-UV (8609 \(\text{Å}^3\)) and I-A-N2 (8610 \(\text{Å}^3\)) and the coordinations of the Dy atoms undergo major reconstructions. This is associated with switching the single-molecule-magnetism (SMM) from OFF for I to ON for I-UV and to OFF again for I-A-Ar in air. Such a switching mechanism associated with the retention of crystallinity is unique in the chemistry of dysprosium. The structure of the molecule is formed from two symmetry related pentamers joined by an oxalate. A related compound containing two isolated neutral pentamers \((\text{Dy}_5\text{(EDDC)}_2\text{(\(\mu_5\)-AcO)}_2\text{[\(\mu_5\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{PO}_3\)]}\text{[\(\mu_2\)-AcO]}_2\text{(AcO)}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O)}\text{I}_2\text{(\(\mu_4\)-\(\text{C}_{2}\text{O}_4\))}\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}}\)) has also been isolated with closely related Dy coordination and it exhibits similar SMM behaviour in zero field.

**Introduction**

Molecular compounds that can have their physical properties switched from one state to another reversibly and retain their crystallinity are quite rare.1 And clusters that can switch their single-molecule-magnetism (SMM) ON and OFF are even fewer. In general, numerous compounds are known where the parameters defining their SMM are altered but are not switched ON and OFF reversibly. Since the discovery of SMM in the early nineties,2 there is a general wish to use these SMMs as ON–OFF switches which will endow them with a bi-stable magnetic ground state that has been coined as a great attribute in applications for information storage and quantum computing.3–8 Consequently, there is major interest in realising these properties by design using both d- and f-elements.6–8 Lanthanide complexes are becoming more promising candidates for SMMs because of their significant single-ion magnetic anisotropy arising from the large unquenched orbital angular momentum and strong spin–orbit coupling, and thus may lead to high energy barriers for spin reversal.9–12 Additionally, the very weak intermolecular interaction compared to those made up of d-elements makes them very appealing for single-molecule magnetism. However, the magnetization dynamics of lanthanide based SMMs (Ln-SMMs) is still far from being fully understood, because they are influenced by different factors such as the symmetry and the charge distribution of the coordination sphere around the lanthanide ions, the hyperfine couplings, dipolar...
spin–spin interactions, etc.\textsuperscript{9–21} For polynuclear clusters the magnetic behaviour can be further complicated by the different moment vector directions.

While great efforts have been devoted to the design and synthesis of new Ln-SMMs with high energy barriers and blocking temperatures,\textsuperscript{14–18} the manipulation of the magnetic properties of Ln-SMMs through external stimuli has rarely been explored. Such manipulations can be realized by imposing chemical influences such as ligand redox\textsuperscript{19–21} and pH control with a base or acid.\textsuperscript{22} Guest solvent exchange was also found to induce a reversible structural transformation but only tunable magnetization relaxation.\textsuperscript{23,24} Reversible switching of SMM behaviors can also be achieved by using physical stimuli. Light-induced switching of magnetic relaxation has been realized in Dy compounds containing optically active diarylethene\textsuperscript{25} or 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane linkages.\textsuperscript{26} Thermal treatment can remove lattice or coordinated solvent molecules, switching the magnetic behavior of Ln-SMMs.\textsuperscript{27,28} But only in one case, as far as we are aware, ON–OFF SMM switching coupled with reversibility single-crystal-to-single-crystal (SC–SC) structural transformation was achieved for Ln-SMMs.\textsuperscript{29}

Cyclic lanthanide rings belong to a specific subclass of Ln-based clusters. These rings not only have structural aesthetics but also are magnetically interesting. In particular, the observation of a toroidal arrangement of local magnetization vectors in a Dy\textsubscript{3} triangular cluster\textsuperscript{29,31} stimulates the enthusiasm for the exploration of new cyclic Ln-based clusters.\textsuperscript{22} Unfortunately, there are a very few examples of cyclic lanthanide rings reported so far, and most contain even-numbered lanthanide ions.\textsuperscript{32–37} The syntheses of odd-numbered lanthanide rings larger than three have therefore been a great challenge.\textsuperscript{38} Recently we developed a new synthetic strategy by combining pre-designed trimeric and dimeric building blocks and a phosphonate ligand as an adhesive co-ligand.\textsuperscript{39} The present cyclic heptanuclear Dy\textsubscript{7} clusters were successfully obtained, which turn out to be the first with seven Dy on the ring and none inside.

In this paper, we report the syntheses, structures and magnetic properties of a novel series containing oxalate bridged pentamers, \{Dy\textsubscript{5}(EDDC)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{3}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{3}-C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3})(μ\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{2}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}\} (I) and its SC–SC transformations to two other forms, \{Dy\textsubscript{5}(EDDC)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{3}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3})(μ\textsubscript{2}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}\} (I-UV) and \{Dy\textsubscript{5}(EDDC)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{3}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3})(μ\textsubscript{2}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}\} (I-SC) (I-SC–I-A-N\textsubscript{2}), where H\textsubscript{2}EDDC is \(N'-N'-E,N',N'-E,N',N'-'(ethane-1,2-diyldiene)dipyrazine-2-carboxyhydrazide, and C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2} is 9-anthrylmethylphosphonic acid (Scheme 1), consisting of a fused pair of a cyclic pentamer by oxalate. I undergoes two consecutive SC–SC transformations accompanied by switching ON and OFF of the single-molecule-magnetism reversibly. Another compound consisting of two independent structurally related pentamers, \{Dy\textsubscript{5}(EDDC)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{3}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(μ\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3})(μ\textsubscript{2}-AcO)\textsubscript{2}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}\} (II), has also been isolated and characterised. It displays similar SMM behaviour. These phases are the first examples of odd-numbered rings with cyclic Ln-pentamers displaying the unique ON–OFF switching of SMM properties.

## Results and discussion

### Structures of I and II

I was synthesized as dark red crystals from a water–methanol (1 : 3) mixture containing H\textsubscript{2}EDDC neutralised with triethylamine, Dy(OAc)\textsubscript{3}, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, sodium oxalate and C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}PO\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}. As shown in Scheme 2, the crystals undergo two SC–SC transformations, the first under UV irradiation to form I-UV and the second by annealing at 100 °C under N\textsubscript{2} on a diffractometer (or under Ar in a glovebox) to form I-A-N\textsubscript{2} (or I-A-Ar). Upon exposure to air, I-A-N\textsubscript{2} (or I-A-Ar) transforms into I-A-N\textsubscript{2}-cool (or I-A-Ar-cool). Therefore, we have determined the structure in each state (I, I-UV, I-A-N\textsubscript{2} and I-A-N\textsubscript{2}-cool). A summary of the crystalographic data is given in Tables 1 and S1.\textsuperscript{†} We therefore describe the five structures with enough details for a thorough understanding. Further details can be seen in the ESI (Tables S2 and S3†) and the crystallographic information files. We note that there are three different molecular contents for the five structures where those of I-UV, I-A-N\textsubscript{2}-cool and I-A-Ar-cool are the same. Therefore, only the structure of I-UV is described.

The crystals adopt the monoclinic space group P2\textsubscript{1}/c (Z = 2) in all the forms. The key feature of the structures is two symmetry-related cyclic [Dy\textsubscript{5}] units, looking like a distorted star, fused by a bis-chelating oxalate ligand (Fig. 1 and 2). Each Dy\textsubscript{5} unit contains a common fragment consisting of five independent Dy atoms, two EDDC\textsuperscript{2–} and two μ\textsubscript{3}-acetate (shown in grey in Fig. 2). The oxygen atoms of one EDDC\textsuperscript{2–} ligand form two bridges between three Dy atoms (Dy\textsubscript{1}, Dy\textsubscript{2} and Dy\textsubscript{3}) in a pseudo-linear array. It also provides five chelate units (4 N–O and 1 N–N) to hold the three Dy atoms. The other EDDC\textsuperscript{2–} is hinged at the apical Dy atom (Dy\textsubscript{1}) and hold two other Dy atoms (Dy\textsubscript{4} and Dy\textsubscript{5})

![Scheme 2](https://example.com/scheme2.png) **Scheme 2** Protocol used for the transformations of I.
by the chelating N-N and N-O. The two μ₃-acetate groups, adopting a syn-syn-anti mode, bridge Dy₃ and Dy₄ into a rigid pair and form an irregular star-shaped ring. The structure of this base unit is common to all the clusters of the two compounds.

II was synthesized as red hexagonal crystals by reacting H₂EDDC, Dy(OAc)₆·4H₂O, triethylamine and C₁₅H₁₁PO₃H₂ in methanol/chloroform solution at room temperature. It crystallizes in the trigonal chiral space group R32 with Z = 9. The structure contains two kinds of cyclic pentanuclear rings of different compositions {Dy₅(EDDC)_2[μ₂-AcO]_2[μ₃-C₁₅H₁₁PO₃]}_2 and {Dy₅(EDDC)_2[AcO]_3[AcO]_2[C₆H₁₂O]_2} (II-molecule B). It also contains the common basic building block, 5Dy, 2EDDC<sup>2-</sup>, 2C₁₅H₁₁PO₃<sup>2-</sup>, and 2 acetate forming

![Fig. 1](image1.png)  
**Fig. 1** Structure of I showing two parallel chains connected by the supramolecular π–π overlap of the anthracene moieties of adjacent molecules (blue circle highlight) while the other anthracene is face-to-face with the EDDC<sup>2-</sup> ligand (red circle).

![Fig. 2](image2.png)  
**Fig. 2** The pentameric units in the structures of I, I-UV and I-A-N₂. The common fragments (2 EDDC<sup>2-</sup> and 2 acetate) are shown in grey, Dy in cyan, other acetate in blue, C₁₅H₁₁PO₃ in red, oxalate in yellow, and H₂O and CH₃OH solvents in green.

![Fig. 3](image3.png)  
**Fig. 3** The structures of the two pentamers in II. The colour coding is as used in Fig. 1.
the star ring, in both independent molecules (Fig. 3 and Table S2†).

The remaining ligands adopt different coordination modes in all the five different pentamers of compounds I and II. Table 2 lists the modes of coordination of each ligand and the total coordination bonds per pentamer. We find that there are additionally a μ2-bridge (syn-syn mode), chelate and terminal acetate (shown in blue). The two phosphonates (shown in red) per pentamers can be only μ2-, or mixed with μ3-C15H17PO3 as in I and II-molecule B. They sit on each face of the pentamers with a P–P distance of ca. 3.7 Å. Methanol or water molecules (shown in green) are also present in prepared I which contains two coordinated methanol and one water molecule. When it is exposed to UV light it is transformed to I-UV where the methanol molecules are replaced by two water molecules, presumably from the atmosphere. Upon heating I-UV at 100 ºC under a flow of N2 on a diffractometer it loses two of the three molecules of water to form I-A-N2. During the two transformations major reconstructions have taken place as can be seen from Table 2 and in the ESL†. After the subsequent exposure of I-A-N2 in air, it reabsorbs two water molecules to I-A-N2-cool which has almost the same molecular content and structure as I-UV (Tables 1 and S2†). When I-UV is heated at 100 ºC under argon in a glovebox it loses its solvents forming I-A-Ar. Upon subsequent exposure to air it quickly absorbs water to give the same structure as I-A-N2-cool and I-UV, confirmed by the crystal structure determination (Tables S1 and S3†).

Because of the changes in the coordination of the ligands the coordination number (CN) per cluster is also varied from 41 to 54 per pentamers can be only μ2-, or mixed with μ3-C15H17PO3 as in I and II-molecule B. They sit on each face of the pentamers with a P–P distance of ca. 3.7 Å. Methanol or water molecules (shown in green) are also present in prepared I which contains two coordinated methanol and one water molecule. When it is exposed to UV light it is transformed to I-UV where the methanol molecules are replaced by two water molecules, presumably from the atmosphere. Upon heating I-UV at 100 ºC under a flow of N2 on a diffractometer it loses two of the three molecules of water to form I-A-N2. During the two transformations major reconstructions have taken place as can be seen from Table 2 and in the ESL†. After the subsequent exposure of I-A-N2 in air, it reabsorbs two water molecules to I-A-N2-cool which has almost the same molecular content and structure as I-UV (Tables 1 and S2†). When I-UV is heated at 100 ºC under argon in a glovebox it loses its solvents forming I-A-Ar. Upon subsequent exposure to air it quickly absorbs water to give the same structure as I-A-N2-cool and I-UV, confirmed by the crystal structure determination (Tables S1 and S3†).

Because of the changes in the coordination of the ligands the coordination number (CN) per cluster is also varied from 41 to 44. For example, it is 42 for I, meaning that 3 × CN = 8 and 2 × CN = 9, 43 for I-UV (2 × CN = 8 and 3 × CN = 9), 44 for I-A-N2 (1 × CN = 8 and 4 × CN = 9), 42 for II-molecule A (3 × CN = 8 and 2 × CN = 9), and 41 for II-molecule B (4 × CN = 8 and 1 × CN = 9). The different coordination geometries suggested by the Shape analysis program (SchM) are listed in Tables 2 and S5–S8†. While the coordination number of the Dy sharing the two EDDC and is always eight, their geometries are close to either a triangular dodecahedron (Ddd) or square antiprism (Dap). The coordination numbers of the other atoms are eight or nine and are random with a wide range of geometries. 

The oxalate anion serves as a bis-chelate bridge through its four oxygen atoms between the two pentamers in the di-molecule A [3 × CN = 8 and 2 × CN = 9], and 41 for II-molecule B [4 × CN = 8 and 1 × CN = 9]. The different coordination geometries suggested by the Shape analysis program (SchM) are listed in Tables 2 and S5–S8†. While the coordination number of the Dy sharing the two EDDC is always eight, their geometries are close to either a triangular dodecahedron (Ddd) or square antiprism (Dap). The coordination numbers of the other atoms are eight or nine and are random with a wide range of geometries. 

The oxalate anion serves as a bis-chelate bridge through its four oxygen atoms between the two pentamers in the di-molecule A [3 × CN = 8 and 2 × CN = 9], and 41 for II-molecule B [4 × CN = 8 and 1 × CN = 9]. The different coordination geometries suggested by the Shape analysis program (SchM) are listed in Tables 2 and S5–S8†. While the coordination number of the Dy sharing the two EDDC is always eight, their geometries are close to either a triangular dodecahedron (Ddd) or square antiprism (Dap). The coordination numbers of the other atoms are eight or nine and are random with a wide range of geometries. 

Magnetic properties

The direct-current (dc) magnetic susceptibility was measured as a function of temperature (1.8–300 K) in a field of 1 kOe on polycrystalline samples of I, I-UV, I-A-Ar and II (Fig. S18†). At 300 K, the χmT (cm3 K mol−1) values per Dy40 are 139.9 for I, 137.4 for I-UV, 135.6 for I-A-Ar and 140.4 for II, close to the expected values of 141.7 for ten non-interacting DyIII (S = 5/2, L = 5, H5/2, g = 4/3, and C = 14.17 cm3 K mol−1). The χmT of all the compounds behaves similarly showing a decrease on lowering the temperature from 300 to 30 K, which is mainly due to the progressive depopulation of the excited Stark sublevels of the mJ states of the DyIII. Below 30 K, weak intra-/inter-molecular antiferromagnetic coupling becomes operative and the χmT shows a sharp decline to 88.1 (I), 79.2 (I-UV), 81.5 (I-A-Ar) and 86.7 cm3 K mol−1 (II). This behaviour is typical of DyIII. The isothermal magnetizations up to 70 kOe were measured for each sample at different temperatures (Fig. S19†). The
Table 2  Summary of coordination geometries of the dysprosium atoms and bonding modes of the components in I. I-UV, I-A-N2 and II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination bonds</th>
<th>Coordination geometries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dy1 – triangular dodecahedron (D_{2d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dy2 – Hula-hoop (C_{2d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dy3 – Snub dipyramid J84 (D_{2d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dy4 – spherical capped square antiprism (C_{4a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dy5 – Hula-hoop (C_{2d})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>I-UV</th>
<th>I-A-N2</th>
<th>II-molecule A</th>
<th>II-molecule B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{\text{Dy}_5(\text{EDDC})_2(\text{µ}_3-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{PO}_3)_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-3\text{-AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_15\text{H}_11\text{PO}_3)(\text{µ}_4-3\text{-AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_15\text{H}_11\text{PO}_3)}_2(\text{µ}_4-4\text{-C}_15\text{H}_11\text{PO}_3)(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{µ}_4-\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2}_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>{\text{Dy}_5(\text{EDDC})_2(\text{µ}_3-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{PO}_3)_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_15\text{H}_11\text{PO}_3)(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{µ}_4-\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2}_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>{\text{Dy}_5(\text{EDDC})_2(\text{µ}_3-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{PO}_3)_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_15\text{H}_11\text{PO}_3)(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{µ}_4-\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2}_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>{\text{Dy}_5(\text{EDDC})_2(\text{µ}_3-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{PO}_3)_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_15\text{H}_11\text{PO}_3)(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{AcO})_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{µ}_4-\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2}_2(\text{µ}_4-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Acetate | 2 \text{µ}_3 \text{syn}-\text{syn}-\text{anti} (\text{Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 2 \text{µ}_2 \text{syn}-\text{syn} (\text{Dy}_1 \text{– Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 2 \text{µ}_1 \text{syn}-\text{syn}(\text{Dy}_1 \text{– Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 1 \text{terminal} (\text{Dy}_3) | 2 \text{µ}_1 \text{syn}-\text{syn}-\text{anti} (\text{Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 4 \text{µ}_2 \text{syn}-\text{syn} (\text{Dy}_1 \text{– Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 1 \text{chelate} (\text{Dy}_5), 1 \text{terminal} (\text{Dy}_5) | 2 \text{µ}_1 \text{syn}-\text{syn}-\text{anti} (\text{Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 3 \text{µ}_2 \text{syn}-\text{syn} (\text{Dy}_1 \text{– Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 2 \text{chelate} (\text{Dy}_5), 2 \text{terminal} (\text{Dy}_5) | 2 \text{µ}_1 \text{syn}-\text{syn}-\text{anti} (\text{Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 3 \text{µ}_2 \text{syn}-\text{syn} (\text{Dy}_1 \text{– Dy}_3 \text{– Dy}_5 \text{– Dy}_7 \text{– Dy}_9), 2 \text{chelate} (\text{Dy}_5), 2 \text{terminal} (\text{Dy}_5) |

| Oxalate | Chelate (Dy3) | Chelate (Dy3) | Chelate (Dy3) | — |
| H2O | 1 terminal (Dy3) | 3 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5) | 1 terminal (Dy3) | 2 terminal (Dy3, Dy5) |
| CH3OH | 2 terminal (Dy4, Dy3) | None | None | None |
| PO3 | P1 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1), P2 - 1 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 2 terminal (Dy1, Dy3) | P1 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1), P2 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1) | P1 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1), P2 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1) | P1 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1), P2 - 2 µ2 (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy3-Dy5), 1 terminal (Dy1) |
| EDDC | 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3), 1 N-N chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 1 N-N chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 4 carbonyl bridges (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy1-Dy7, Dy3-Dy5, Dy3-Dy7), 1 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 2 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5) | 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3), 1 N-N chelate (Dy3, Dy5), 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 1 N-N chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 4 carbonyl bridges (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy1-Dy7, Dy3-Dy5, Dy3-Dy7), 1 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 2 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5) | 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3), 1 N-N chelate (Dy3, Dy5), 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 1 N-N chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 4 carbonyl bridges (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy1-Dy7, Dy3-Dy5, Dy3-Dy7), 1 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 2 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5) | 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3), 1 N-N chelate (Dy3, Dy5), 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 1 N-N chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 4 carbonyl bridges (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy1-Dy7, Dy3-Dy5, Dy3-Dy7), 1 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 2 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5) | 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3), 1 N-N chelate (Dy3, Dy5), 2 N-O chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 1 N-N chelate (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 4 carbonyl bridges (Dy1-Dy3, Dy1-Dy5, Dy1-Dy7, Dy3-Dy5, Dy3-Dy7), 1 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5), 2 terminal (Dy1, Dy3, Dy5) |
magnetization rises abruptly in a low field then slowly reach the maximum values of 53.1 (I), 51.4 (I-UV) 49.9 (I-A-Ar) and 59.2 μB (II) at 1.8 K. The magnetizations do not saturate at 70 kOe and reach values which are lower than the expected 100 μB for ten DyIII, and are associated with the considerable crystal-field effects.56,66 Furthermore, the non-saturation of the magnetization together with the non-superimposed M vs. H/T curves (Fig. S20†) suggests the presence of significant magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states that might be populated when a dc field is used.67–69

Alternating-current (ac) susceptibility measurements were carried out on polycrystalline samples in the temperature range 1.8 to 26 K without a dc field in the frequency range 1 to 1000 Hz to investigate the dynamics of the magnetization reversal.21

The behaviours of I, I-UV and I-A-Ar are drastically different from one another. I exhibits a lack of slow dynamics with clear frequency independence of both χ’ (in-phase) and χ” (out-of-phase) (Fig. 4a). This is speculatively associated with facile tunnelling allowing demagnetization without overcoming the barrier.

However, upon transforming I to I-UV by exposure to 365 nm light for 3 days strong frequency dependent ac-susceptibilities are now observed indicating that slow relaxation is completely integrated (Fig. 4b, S21 and S22†). The Cole–Cole plots (χ’ vs. χ”) are quasi-semicircles fitting well to a generalized Debye model between 3.0 and 7.6 K (Fig. 4c, inset and Table S9†). To quantify the effective energy barrier to magnetization reversal, the relaxation time was obtained from the fit of the frequency-dependent data between 1.8 and 7.6 K. The plot of ln τ versus 1/T shows three distinct regions based on an Orbach process of high temperatures, a Raman process for intermediate temperatures and a QTM process at lower temperatures.31–34 These data follow the function including the three above-mentioned relaxation processes, τ−1 = AT + B + CTα + τ0−1 exp(−Ueff/kBT),25

with the following parameters: Ueff = 47.3 K (32.8 cm−3), τ0 = 1.26 × 107 s, A = 3.58 s−1 K−1, B = 0.0036 s−1, C = 0.0011 s−1 K−2, n = 5.21 and α = 0.15 (Fig. 4c). The α parameter indicates a narrow distribution of slow relaxation times (τ).36,57 This drastic change of behaviour may be brought about by the different geometrical changes of the coordination spheres of the five Dy atoms of the asymmetric unit.

Upon annealing I-UV under Ar at 100 °C the crystal is transformed to I-A-Ar and its ac-susceptibilities are reverted back to being non-SMM. When I-A-Ar is allowed to stand in air under ambient conditions, it regains its SMM characteristics, albeit with slight modification of its metrics, Ueff/kB0 = 37.6 K (26.1 cm−3), τ0 = 1.04 × 107 s, A = 2.23 s−1 K−1, B = 0.0031 s−1, C = 0.0009 s−1 K−4.79, n = 4.79 and α = 0.19 (Fig. 4e and f). This means that annealing at 100 °C can be reverted by standing under ambient conditions but I-UV cannot be reverted back to I. This corroborates well with the crystal structures which indicates that annealing by heating at 100 °C removes

Fig. 4  Magnetic properties of I in its different forms. (a) Temperature dependence of the ac-susceptibilities of I for three different frequencies. (b) Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase ac susceptibility of I-UV and (c) Arrhenius plots of the relaxation rate and its fitting (see the text) for I-UV; inset: Cole–Cole plot and fits at different temperatures. (d) Temperature dependence of the ac-susceptibilities of I-A-Ar for three different frequencies. (e) Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase ac susceptibility of I-A-Ar exposed to air and (f) Arrhenius plots of the relaxation rate and its fitting (see the text) for I-A-Ar exposed to air; inset: Cole–Cole plot and fits at different temperatures.
two water molecules and it takes up the water reversibly upon standing in air.

The ac susceptibilities of II (Fig. 5, S25 and S26†) exhibit progressive frequency dependence characteristics of SMM. Analyses of the data led to characteristic parameters, $U_{\text{eff}} = 138 \text{ K (95.9 cm}^{-1}), \tau_0 = 2.79 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s, } A = 2.62(3) \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}, B = 0.19(6) \text{ s, } C = 0.0014 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and $n = 4.83(5)$. The energy barrier is much higher than that of I-UV.

The contrasting relaxation processes of the different structures of compound I are quite astonishing and have not been seen previously. Although I is a non-SMM, its UV irradiated form I-UV behaves as a SMM and its heat annealed form under N₂, or argon I-A is reverted back to a non-SMM and II behaving as a SMM, and they all contain pentameric units which are structurally related. Therefore, the fusion of two pentamers with an oxalate bridge in I, I-UV and I-A cannot be the cause of the switching compared to the isolated pentamers in II which is a SMM.

The fortuitous advantage of having the above process with complete single-crystal transformation to single-crystals allows for a thorough structure–property correlation. The differences in the magnetic dynamics may be correlated with the different crystal field environments with the distinct coordination modes of the peripheral assistant ligands for crystallographically independent DyIII ions. Careful analysis of the three cyclic arrangements reveals important disparities (Fig. 2). One piece of evidence is that the cyclic pentanuclear unit of I is surrounded by six acetate groups adopting three different coordination modes (Fig. 2a), while three of the six acetate groups in I-UV show one kind of crossfade based on the terminal and chelate modes (Fig. 2b). Only one coordination mode (bidentate fashion) can be observed for the four peripheral acetate groups of I-A-N₂ (Fig. 2c). On the other hand, the coordination spheres of the central metal ions of I are completed by one water and two methanol molecules, while it has three terminal water molecules in I-UV and only one water molecule in I-A-N₂. Obviously, these structural modifications are most likely to influence the emergence of magnetic anisotropy through alteration of some crucial parameters of the structure (such as Dy–O/N bond lengths and Dy–O–Dy angles), and the coordination geometries of DyIII ions (see Table S1† for details), hence causing the drastic difference of magnetization dynamic behaviours.

In order to get an insight into the magneto-structure relationship, theoretical investigation was conducted for all these complexes. Complete-active-space self-consistent field (CASSCF) calculations on individual DyIII fragments for complexes I, I-UV, I-A-N₂, II-molecule A and II-molecule B on the basis of X-ray determined geometries have been carried out by the CASSCF/RASSI method with the MOLCAS 8.2 program package.† The Single, Aniso,E–E‡ method was used to obtain the $g$ tensors, energy levels, magnetic axes, etc., based on the above CASSCF/RASSI calculations. The lowest eight spin-orbit energies, the corresponding $g$ tensors and the $m_g$ values of individual DyIII fragments for complexes I, I-UV, I-A-N₂, II-molecule A and II-molecule B are shown in Table S12† where the calculated energy gaps of the lowest two Kramers doublets (KDs) and the ground $g$ tensors of five or three types of individual DyIII fragments for each complex are much different from each other. The tunnelling gaps of individual DyIII fragments for each complex are also different from each other (Fig. S28–S32†). Thus, it is difficult to differentiate them only from the energy gaps of the lowest two KDs and the ground $g$ tensors of individual DyIII fragments for the five complexes. From the energy states and $g$ tensors of the five complexes in Table S12† however, we can find some differences between them. For I, the energy gap of the lowest two KDs of the Dy5 fragment is only 15.1 cm⁻¹, much smaller than that of the others, and its ground $g_x$ value is far from 20. For I-UV, both of the energy gaps of the lowest two KDs of five individual DyIII fragments and the ground $g_x$ values are larger than those of I, which can be one of the reasons for its showing SMM behaviour.

As usual, the energy barriers of the polynuclear lanthanide complexes are mainly from the single-ion anisotropy of the DyIII centres. The Dy–Dy interactions have some influence on the relaxation rate of incoherent quantum tunnelling to give drastically different effective relaxation barriers. For the current systems, it is difficult to fit the Dy–Dy interactions using the Lines model since each complex has a large pair of interactions. However, the dipole–dipole interactions between DyIII ions can be calculated exactly according to the ground $g_x$ values and the magnetic axes shown in Fig. S33.† Simply, we only gave

---

**Fig. 5** Magnetic properties of II. (a) Frequency and (b) temperature dependence of the out-of-phase ac-susceptibilities. (c) Arrhenius plots of the relaxation rate and its fitting (see the text); inset: Cole–Cole plot and fits at different temperatures.
the nearest neighbouring Dy–Dy dipole–dipole interactions in Table 3 for the five complexes. The parameters $J_1$, $J_2$, $J_3$, $J_4$, and $J_5$ represent Dy$_1$–Dy$_2$, Dy$_2$–Dy$_3$, Dy$_3$–Dy$_4$, Dy$_4$–Dy$_5$, and Dy$_5$–Dy$_1$ dipole–dipole interactions, respectively, for each complex. It is evident that most of the dipole–dipole interactions are antiferromagnetic. But the interactions are too complicated to deduce further interesting conclusions from them.

Conclusions

In this paper, we report the first examples of odd-numbered cyclic pentamer rings of lanthanide, e.g. decanuclear clusters of I, I-UV and I-A-N$_2$ containing oxalate bridged pentamers as well as a pentanuclear cluster of II containing two crystallographically distinguished pentamers. The switching ON and OFF of the single-molecule magnetism followed by single-crystal-to-single-crystal structural transformation has been evidenced for the first time in a decanuclear cluster of I upon a simple and modest physical process like irradiation with UV light and annealing at a moderate temperature of 100 °C. The work opens new possibilities to achieve switchable ON–OFF SMM behaviour using dynamic cluster systems.

Experimental

Materials and physical measurements

9-Anthrylmethylphosphonic acid (C$_{15}$H$_{15}$PO$_3$H$_3$)$^{65}$ and (N',N''E, N',N''E-N',N''-tetrakis(ethylene-1,2-diyldiene)dipyrazine-2-carboxyhydrazide (H$_2$EDDC)$^{66}$ were prepared according to the methods reported in the literature. All the reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.

Structure determinations

Single crystals of I, I-UV and II were attached to glass fibres and mounted on a Bruker D8 system for data collection at 123(2) K, using monochromatic Mo-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The data of I-A-N$_2$ were collected at 373 K by heating a single crystal of I in situ under a flow of nitrogen on the diffractometer (Bruker D8 system) for half an hour. The data of I-A-N$_2$-cool, obtained by exposing the same single crystal of I-A-N$_2$ to air at 25 °C for a week, were collected at 123 K.

The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on $F^2$ by full matrix least squares using SHELXTL.$^{66}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. All hydrogen atoms were either put in calculated positions or found from the difference Fourier maps and refined isotropically. In the cases of I and II, residual electron densities in the solvent-accessible void due to disordered solvent molecules were treated with the PLATON/SQUEEZE program.$^{67}$ In each case of I-UV and I-A-N$_2$-cool, 11 lattice water molecules were found from the $F$-map. Except for O14W (1.0 occupancy), the other water molecules [O4W, O5W, O6W, O7W, O8W, O9W, O10W, O11W, O12W and O13W] are disordered with half occupancy. The hydrogen atoms of disordered water molecules are not placed. In all cases, quite large (3.1–4.9 Å$^3$) residual electron density peaks are all located near the Dy atoms in the corresponding structures and could not be modelled with reasonably disordered atoms. The refinement of I-A-N$_2$-cool gave unsatisfactory $R_1$ and $wR_2$ values of 11.1% and 27.1% due to the poor quality of data. Hence this structure was not further refined and deposited in CCDC.

A summary of the lattice parameters is presented in Tables 1 and S1.$^†$ Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Tables S2–S4.$^†$ CCDC 1819929–1819933 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

Physical measurements

Elemental analyses for C, N and H were performed with a Perkin Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer by transmission from KBr pellets containing the compounds in the range of 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$. Magnetization measurements were performed in the temperature range 1.8–300 K, field of ±70 kOe and frequency range of 1 to 1000 Hz using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) of a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID-VSM system. The diamagnetic contributions of the samples were estimated from Pascal’s constant.$^{44}$

Synthesis of I

To a slurry of H$_2$EDDC (59.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) and triethylamine (0.07 mL, 0.5 mmol) in mixed water/methanol (20 mL, 1 : 3), solid Dy(OAc)$_3$·4H$_2$O (82.4 mg, 0.20 mmol) and sodium oxalate (20.1 mg, 0.15 mmol) were added at room temperature. After stirring for 24 h, C$_{138}$H$_{132}$Dy$_{10}$N$_{32}$O$_{54}$P$_4$·6H$_2$O: C, 33.39, H, 2.90, N, 9.03: found C, 32.95, H, 2.52, N, 10.11. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3419(vs), 1655(m), 1606(s), 1582(vs), 1501(s), 1452(m), 1420(s), 1364(m), 1218(w), 1177(m), 1161(m), 1137(m), 1105(m), 1024(s), 975(m), 943(w), 805(m), 776(m), 724(w), 676(m), 651(m), 603(m), 563(m), 473(w), 417(w).

I was converted to I-UV by UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 3 days. By annealing at 100 °C under a flow of nitrogen on a diffractometer it was transformed to I-A-N$_2$ and subsequently kept at...

Table 3 Calculated dipole–dipole interaction $J_{dp}$ (cm$^{-1}$) between the nearest neighbouring Dy$^{III}$ ions in I, I-UV, I-A-N$_2$, II–molecule A and II–molecule B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compd</th>
<th>I-UV</th>
<th>I-A-N$_2$</th>
<th>II–molecule A</th>
<th>II–molecule B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$J_1$</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_2$</td>
<td>−1.56</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>−1.21</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_3$</td>
<td>−1.17</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−1.87</td>
<td>−3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_4$</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>−1.84</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_5$</td>
<td>−1.38</td>
<td>−2.49</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
25 °C in air for one week (I-A-N₂-cool). When I-UV was annealed at 100 °C under argon in a glovebox for 2 d, I-A-Ar was obtained which was loaded into a capsule in a glovebox and carefully immobilised in n-eicosane for magnetic measurements.

**Synthesis of II**

A mixture of H₂EDDC (44.7 mg, 0.15 mmol) and Dy(OAc)₃·4H₂O (61.8 mg, 0.15 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was stirred with triethylamine (0.14 mL, 1.0 mmol) at room temperature. After 12 h, C₁₅H₁₁PO₃H₂ (27.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added to the solution and stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered off, dissolved in chloroform (5 mL), and evaporated to 2 mL under vacuum. Subsequently, this solution was transferred to a 5 mL glass tube. Red hexagonal crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis, were formed as a single-phase product by slow diffusion of n-hexane (2 mL) into the mother liquor after one week. Yield: 34 mg (45% based on Dy). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₁₄₀H₁₃₂Dy₁₀: C, 33.26, H, 2.63, N, 8.86; found C, 32.61, H, 2.47, N, 9.24. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3415(s), 1669(m), 1610(s), 1576(vs), 1505(s), 1427(s), 1365(m), 1279(w), 1224(w), 1185(m), 1154(s), 1132(s), 1076(m), 1029(s), 1009(m), 982(m), 935(w), 865(w), 787(m), 732(m), 678(m), 747(m), 601(w), 561(w), 483(m), 420(w).

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

**Acknowledgements**

Financial support by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0303203) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21731003, 11774178, and U1532110) is acknowledged. MK is funded by the CNRS, France.

**Notes and references**