Relationship between sensorimotor cortical activation as assessed by functional near infrared spectroscopy and lower extremity motor coordination in bilateral cerebral palsy
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ABSTRACT

Background: Evaluation of task-evoked cortical responses during movement has been limited in individuals with bilateral cerebral palsy (CP), despite documented alterations in brain structure/function and deficits in motor control.

Objective: To systematically evaluate cortical activity associated with lower extremity tasks, and relate activation parameters to clinical measures in CP.

Methods: 28 ambulatory participants (14 with bilateral CP and 14 with typical development) completed five motor tasks (non-dominant ankle dorsiflexion, hip flexion and leg cycling as well as bilateral dorsiflexion and cycling) in a block design while their sensorimotor cortex was monitored using functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), in addition to laboratory and clinical measures of performance.

Results: Main effects for group and task were found for extent of fNIRS activation (number of active channels; \( p < 0.001 \) and \( p = 0.010 \), respectively), magnitude of activation (sum of beta values; \( p < 0.001 \) for both), and number of active muscles (\( p = 0.001 \) and \( p < 0.001 \), respectively), but no group by task interactions. Collectively, subgroups with CP and especially those with greater impairments, showed higher extent and magnitude of cortical sensorimotor activation as well as higher amounts of concurrent activity in muscles not required for task performance. Magnitude of fNIRS activation during non-dominant dorsiflexion correlated with validated measures of selective control (\( r = -0.60, p = 0.03 \)), as well as mobility and daily activity (\( r = -0.55, p = 0.05 \) respectively) and self-reported gait function (\( r = -0.68, p = 0.01 \)) in those with CP.

Conclusions: The association between higher activity in the sensorimotor cortex and decreased selectivity in cortical organization suggests a potential neural mechanism of motor deficits and target for intervention.

1. Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is the most prevalent child-onset motor disorder and is caused by a non-progressive brain injury early in life (Graham et al., 2016; Sanger et al., 2006). In the case of bilateral lower extremity motor impairment, substantial research has been done in describing and quantifying motor behavior. It has been shown that this population demonstrates difficulty with selective voluntary motor control (Sanger et al., 2006; Fowler & Goldberg, 2009), manifesting as atypical synergistic movements within and across limbs (Fowler et al., 2009; Thelen et al., 2003). Studies have demonstrated greater difficulty with isolated distal movements compared to proximal movements (Fowler et al., 2010; Lim, 2015), increased co-contraction across joints (Chen et al., 2003), and impaired reciprocal activation (Chen et al., 2003).

Damage to the sensorimotor cortex and the corticospinal pathways are postulated to be a primary contributor to impaired selective voluntary motor control (Fowler et al., 2009; Cahill-Rowley & Rose, 2014; Sukal-Moulton et al., 2014a), with sensory cortex responses to external stimuli (Wingert et al., 2010; Kurz et al., 2014a) or sensory pathways (Hoon Jr. et al., 2009) in particular being implicated in bilateral CP.
Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) investigations in unilateral CP have indicated the capacity of the less damaged hemisphere to maintain ipsilateral projections in order to influence control of the paretic arm in unilateral CP (Eyre et al., 2007; Vandermeer et al., 2009). In contrast, far less data have been reported in bilateral CP. Using TMS, a lateral shift in the location of motor representations, or “hot spots”, for individual lower extremity segments was shown (Kesar et al., 2012; Maegaki et al., 1999), in addition to overlapping and sometimes bilaterally projecting cortico-muscular connections to upper and lower extremities (Wittenberg, 2009). One child with bilateral, asymmetrical CP showed a large area of fMRI cortical activation during an ankle dorsiflexion task (Phillips et al., 2007).

Brain imaging techniques enable researchers to decipher the mechanisms by which alterations in sensory and motor pathways may affect underlying lower extremity motor control in CP, with MRI having the greatest potential to evaluate both superficial and deeper brain structures (Wingert et al., 2010; Hilderly et al., 2016). However, with MRI, researchers are often limited to either structural or resting state connectivity measures (Burton et al., 2009), or small amplitude mostly single joint movements in supine during functional imaging measures (Phillips et al., 2007; Hilderly et al., 2016). Furthermore, obtaining high quality images is not always possible in children with CP due to exaggerated startle responses and/or involuntary movements which become increasingly more problematic in children with greater degrees of neurological involvement. Mobile brain imaging techniques such as functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) move with the head and body, allowing for the study of a wide range of motor tasks in a more naturalistic setting and in a broader clinical population.

fNIRS is a non-invasive neuroimaging method that uses low-levels of red to near-infrared light to measure task-evoked changes in oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin (Hb) concentrations on the cortical surface. These measurements are made by placing a head cap containing light emitters and detectors on the scalp overlying specific brain regions, which allows recording of optical density measures that are converted to relative changes in Hb concentrations, specifically increases in oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO) and decreases in deoxygenated hemoglobin (HbR) during functional tasks. This technology has been used with healthy adults during a number of motor tasks including stepping (Huppert et al., 2013; Koenraadt et al., 2014), gait (Miyai et al., 2001; Miyai et al., 2003; Suzuki et al., 2008), and balance tasks (Karim et al., 2013; Karim et al., 2012).

In unilateral CP, fNIRS has been used to assess brain activation during hand movements (Tian et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2010; Decampos et al., 2016), and to evaluate pre-frONTAL cortical activation in bilateral CP during a throwing task (Chaudhary et al., 2014). With respect to the lower extremity, one study evaluated fNIRS activation during gait in four children with bilateral CP compared to eight children with typical development (TD). The results showed higher levels of activation across sensorimotor and superior parietal lobules and greater variability in gait patterns in CP (Kurz et al., 2014b). Because of the small sample size, a direct correlation between cortical activity and gait variability was seen only with combined data from both groups. Understanding the relationship between increased brain activation and specific motor control deficits in CP is challenging when measured during a complex task such as gait, which involves coordination of multiple joints, reciprocal activity across legs, as well as dynamic postural control, all of which may be impaired in CP.

The goal of the current study was to systematically evaluate cortical activity and potential neural mechanisms associated with a range of simple to incrementally more complex lower extremity tasks including distal (ankle dorsiflexion) and proximal (hip flexion) single joint movements, coordinated unilateral and bilateral multi-joint tasks (single and bilateral cycling), and synchronous (bilateral dorsiflexion) as well as reciprocal (bilateral cycling) tasks performed in an upright position. fNIRS measures were related to clinical scales and simultaneous lower limb electromyography (EMG) measures to elucidate potential neural mechanisms underlying functional and performance differences. Our primary hypothesis was that individuals with CP would demonstrate higher magnitude and more widespread extent of brain activation (Kurz et al., 2014b) that would be related to a greater degree of task performance impairment as measured by EMG, a lower extremity selective motor control test and clinical scales assessing gait function, mobility and daily activity. Secondary hypotheses included: 1) a dominant hemisphere would still be identifiable in all groups contralateral to the dominant lower limb as indicated by group differences in an activation laterality index, even though the brain injury in CP is considered bilateral; 2) the center of activity would be more laterally located in CP compared to TD for unilateral tasks due to the high prevalence of midline brain injuries in the patient group and reorganization that may consequently occur more laterally (Maegaki et al., 1999); and 3) contrasts between tasks (unilateral, bilateral, single joint, multiple joint) would reveal differential responses across groups with respect to relative task difficulty due to underlying differences in motor coordination.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

A total of 28 participants completed the study, including 14 (5 males) with bilateral CP, GMFCS Levels I–III, and 14 (9 males) age-matched with TD. Participants were included if they were at least 5 years old, able to understand and follow simple directions for performing a repetitive task, and agreed to not drink caffeine or alcohol for 24 h before assessments to avoid associated alterations in blood flow dynamics. Exclusion criteria included any health condition or diagnosis other than CP that would affect the ability to maintain attention or move a body part repetitively for short periods of time, surgery within a year, or botulinum toxin injections within 6 months. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (protocol #13-CC-0110) and all participants (or their parents, as applicable) completed informed consent and assent.

A complete history and physical examination was performed by a pediatric physiatrist, followed by a number of standardized clinical assessments for those with CP, including the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) (Palisano et al., 2008) and Hypertonia Assessment Tool (HAT) (Jethwa et al., 2010). Furthermore, the Selective Control Assessment of the Lower Extremity (SCALE) (Fowler et al., 2009), AbilLOCO (Caty et al., 2008), and PEDi-CAT (version 2.5) (Haley et al., 2005) were completed for correlation to fNIRS and electromyography (EMG) activity. The SCALE evaluates the ability to move each joint of the lower extremity independently, with each of 5 joints receiving a score of 0, 1, or 2 (best). For example, the SCALE score at the ankle involves dorsiflexion, plantarflexion, and then dorsiflexion the ankle again to a timed count, while the examiner observes for movement occurring at other joints on that limb or on the opposite leg. Parents of or adult participants with CP completed the AbilLOCO questionnaire about difficulty performing ambulation tasks and the PEDi-CAT which yields summary scores for Mobility and Daily Activity, with higher scores indicating greater function. A structural magnetic resonance image (MRI, T1 and T2 weighted) was completed on each participant, and evaluated by a neuroradiologist to provide more information about the type and extent of brain injuries to aid in interpretation of NIRS data. The Edinburg Handedness Inventory was completed by all participants with an additional question about lower extremity preferences to determine which leg to test.

2.2. Setup

Setup for each participant included placement of the fNIRS optodes (CW6, TechEn, Milford, MA) on the scalp regions that overlay the...
sensorimotor cortical areas (Fig. 1A). The hair was carefully parted to align with optode placement as described previously (Sukal-Moulton et al., 2014b). The optode arrangement (8 sources and 16 detectors, with inter-optode distance ranging between 22.4 and 36.5 mm) was centered on Cz and covered bilateral sensorimotor areas of the brain, as defined by the International 10/20 System. The CW6 fNIRS system uses 690 nm and 830 nm wavelengths emitted from the source optodes, which are frequency encoded for decomposition at each of the detectors. Power for each wavelength at the source optodes were regularly checked between participants and adjusted according to the manual specifications.

Three-dimensional locations for each of the optodes as well as the nasion, inion, and pre-auricular points for each participant were recorded using reflective markers and a motion capture system (Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Oxford, UK). To confirm that channels on all participants corresponded to the same underlying brain regions, the
coordinates of optodes were imported into AtlasViewer and standardized to the Colin27 atlas (Fig. 1B), translating their original coordinates into a common space. For each optode, an average location of optode placement of 4 mm and standard deviations in x, y, and z were calculated. The results were shown to participants with brain lesions.

In addition, EMG electrodes (Delsys Trigno, Natick, MA) were placed according to SENIAM guidelines (Hermens et al., 2000) over the following muscles bilaterally: rectus femoris (RF), vastus lateralis (VL), semimembranosus (SM), tibialis anterior (TA), and medial gastrocnemius (MG). Data were synchronized between the EMG and fNIRS systems using a mouse button press trigger pulse, which was split to analog inputs on both systems at the start of a trial. Tasks were completed in a semi-randomized fashion to minimize set-up changes, and included: non-dominant ankle dorsiflexion, bilateral ankle dorsiflexion, non-dominant hip flexion with the leg supported by an overhead sling, non-dominant single leg cycling and bilateral cycling. For all tasks, participants were positioned with their trunk well supported on a plinth in a semi-recumbent position and completed 8 task blocks of 15-s each, interspersed with a variable rest period of 25 to 35 s (Fig. 1D). They were cued to move at 1 Hz with audio (Van de Winckel et al., 2013) and visual prompts customized for this experiment. Cycling tasks were completed using a MOTOrMed (Reck, Reckstraße, Germany) cycle with no resistance, using a counterweight for unilateral cycling. Participants were given rest breaks in between tasks.

2.3. Motor tasks

Tasks were completed in a semi-randomized fashion to minimize set-up changes, and included: non-dominant ankle dorsiflexion, bilateral ankle dorsiflexion, non-dominant hip flexion with the leg supported by an overhead sling, non-dominant single leg cycling and bilateral cycling. For all tasks, participants were positioned with their trunk well supported on a plinth in a semi-recumbent position and completed 8 task blocks of 15-s each, interspersed with a variable rest period of 25 to 35 s (Fig. 1D). They were cued to move at 1 Hz with audio (Van de Winckel et al., 2013) and visual prompts customized for this experiment. Cycling tasks were completed using a MOTOrMed (Reck, Reckstraße, Germany) cycle with no resistance, using a counterweight for unilateral cycling. Participants were given rest breaks in between tasks.

2.4. fNIRS data processing and analysis

Light intensities in the fNIRS signals were analyzed using a set of open source Matlab functions found in the NIRS toolbox (Huppert, 2016). Using this toolbox, a number of processing steps were completed. First, optical density was converted to oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin using the modified Beer Lambert Law. A first-level canonical general linear model (Huppert, 2016; Barker et al., 2013) was then used to estimate the statistical response of each fNIRS light emitter-detector (channel) to the functional task. In brief, as described in Barker et al., (2013), an autoregressive whitening filter was iteratively computed and applied to the data and linear regressor model in order to reduce the effect of serially-correlated noise. A robust
linear regression using the Huber bisquare weighting was applied to
estimate the model. The regression coefficients (beta values) and t
statistical effects were estimated for each fNIRS channel and task
condition. This procedure was previously shown to correct the high false-
discovery rate problems that result from motion and non-task coupled
superficial physiological noise in fNIRS analysis (Barker et al., 2013).
For all analyses, the sum of oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin,
or the total hemoglobin (HbT) was used to incorporate both aspects of
neurovascular coupling and for its robustness against artifact from the
pial vein (Gagnon et al., 2012). Statistical estimates used Benjamini-
Hochberg controlling procedures for comparisons across all fNIRS
emitter-detector pairs and task conditions and the false discovery rate
(FDR) corrected p-values (denoted as the q-value) were used.

2.5. Evaluation of hemodynamic response and task performance (primary
hypothesis)

To evaluate differences in the brain activity between groups (TD,
GMFCS I, GMFCS II, and GMFCS III), channels were reversed across the
midline in participants whose tested, non-dominant lower limb was on
the right side, so that all results are reported as if all participants were performing a left-sided task during unilateral conditions for ease of interpretation. Therefore, the assumed active hemisphere during a unilateral task was always the right side of the probe. The NIRS toolbox was used to test the effect of group on the entire probe (covering both left and right hemispheres) and post-hoc testing between group pairs was performed over the whole probe and across the 4 ROIs. The number of fNIRS channels that were significantly active \((q < 0.05)\) and the sum of beta values for all active channels \(Huppert, 2016\) were determined for each hemisphere and across hemispheres as an indication of the extent and magnitude of brain activity, respectively, for each participant.

Task performance was evaluated relative to the muscles required to complete the task. Within and across joint cross correlations of specific muscle pairs quantified the extent to which mirror movements, co-activation with the antagonist, or activation in synergy patterns were present (for details of the muscle pairs evaluated for each task, see Table 2). Mirroring correlations were done only for unilateral tasks because it is defined as unwanted EMG activity in the same muscle on the opposite side, and can only be measured during intended unilateral tasks.

Individual muscles were considered to be significantly active during the task if the task activation exceeded 3 standard deviations from baseline (range of possible active muscles for each task was 0–10). Correlations were performed between the number of active fNIRS channels and the number of active muscles, as well as between the sum of beta values across both hemispheres and the number of active muscles to determine if the extent and magnitude of brain activity was related to activity observed in the peripheral neuromotor system.

To evaluate the relationship of selective voluntary motor control of the tested limb and measures of brain activation, the SCALE limb score on the non-dominant side was correlated with both the active fNIRS channels and the number of active muscles, as well as between the sum of beta values across both hemispheres for all tasks.

### Table 2

Summary of group effects across task.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Unilateral dorsiflexion</th>
<th>Bilateral dorsiflexion</th>
<th>Unilateral hip flexion</th>
<th>Unilateral cycling</th>
<th>Bilateral cycling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group comparisons HbT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD compared to GMFCS I</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD compared to GMFCS II</td>
<td>(q = 0.183)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD compared to GMFCS III</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS I compared to GMFCS II</td>
<td>(q = 0.051)</td>
<td>(q = 0.544)</td>
<td>(q = 0.740)</td>
<td>(q = 0.081)</td>
<td>(q = 0.673)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS I compared to GMFCS III</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS II compared to GMFCS III</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
<td>(q &lt; 0.001^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) indicates \(q < 0.05\) or \(p < 0.05\).
2.6. Evaluation of dominant hemisphere (secondary hypothesis #1)

Within the NIRS toolbox, a contrast was performed to evaluate group differences in activation between right and left hemispheres. On an individual participant level, laterality indices were calculated using the difference in beta values of significantly active channels (q < 0.05) between hemispheres divided by the sum. This index quantifies the overall balance in activation between contralateral and ipsilateral hemispheres relative to the task being performed and has been widely used in previous studies (Koeda et al., 2013).

2.7. Location of maximal activity (secondary hypothesis #2)

For all unilateral tasks, the center of activation was calculated with a weighted average of the beta values of significantly active (q < 0.05) channels to determine the medial-lateral location of highest activity on the right and left hemispheres independently, similar to calculations done by Khan et al., (2011)

2.8. Comparison between tasks (secondary hypothesis #3)

To address the last hypothesis, the NIRS toolbox was used to further evaluate contrasts between task types, including the difference between bilateral and unilateral tasks (dorsiflexion and cycling), and between a focal, single joint task (unilateral dorsiflexion) and a multi-joint complex task (unilateral cycling).

2.9. Statistical analyses

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to evaluate the effect of group (4-levels: TD or CP GMFCS Levels I, II, III) and task (5 levels: unilateral dorsiflexion, bilateral dorsiflexion, unilateral hip flexion, unilateral cycling, bilateral cycling) for each of the following dependent variables: number of active fNIRS channels, sum of beta values for active fNIRS channels, correlation coefficients for each muscle pair, laterality index, and center of activation. Significant main or interaction effects were explored further using post-hoc tests with Bonferroni corrections. To relate brain activation extent and magnitude to the muscle activation ratios, SCALE scores and functional scales, Pearson’s r correlations or Spearman non-parametric correlations were performed depending on normality of the data.

For all statistical tests performed outside of the NIRS toolbox using SPSS (version 24), p < 0.05 was considered significant. For any tests done using NIRS toolbox, an FDR corrected p-value, q < 0.05, was used as a threshold for significance.

3. Results

3.1. Participant characteristics and clinical features

All participants (Table 1) with CP had spasticity in at least one lower extremity as evaluated by the HAT. One had dystonia in the right leg, 3 in the left leg, and 6 bilaterally. Unilateral dystonia, when present, was on the more impaired leg.

Because light transmission can be decreased by darker hair and skin color, these characteristics (Orihuela-Espina et al., 2010) are also reported. Overall, 31% of participants self-reported having black hair, 42% brown, 19% blonde, and 8% red. Participants also self-reported skin type as: 23% sunburn often, 31% sunburn usually, 23% sunburn rarely and tan usually, 19% sunburn rarely and tan often, and 4% sunburn extremely rarely.

3.2. Evaluation of hemodynamic response and task performance

Supporting our primary hypothesis, visual differences between tasks and groups can be appreciated in activation maps (Fig. 2), and in the statistical results in Table 2.

Across all tasks, there was a significant effect of group when evaluating HbT activation, with clear differentiation between groups except for the comparisons between GMFCS I and II, although trends towards differences in unilateral and bilateral dorsiflexion were seen. Evaluation of the number of active fNIRS channels, a measure of activation extent, revealed a main effect of group for unilateral dorsiflexion and unilateral cycling (Table 2), with post-hoc tests showing GMFCS level III had more active channels than TD. Evaluation of the sum of beta values, a measure of activation magnitude, revealed a main effect of group for all tasks except unilateral hip flexion (Table 2). Post-hoc
comparisons showed that the GMFCS level III had higher values than all other groups except for bilateral dorsiflexion where they were only higher than the TD group. A summary of ROI comparisons completed in the NIRS Toolbox between groups for all tasks is available in Supplementary Table S1. Similar trends were seen in this analysis, where the comparison between GFMCS I and II was less frequently significant across tasks, and TD was more similar to mild CP (GMFCS I and II) for unilateral dorsiflexion. Nearly all significant comparisons resulted from the more impaired group having higher activation than the less impaired comparison.

There were a number of muscle pairs that demonstrated differences between groups. Mirroring, or activation of analogous muscles on both lower extremities was seen in all tasks except for bilateral dorsiflexion where activation of both analogous muscles was the expected response, and was present in both proximal (RF, VL) and distal (TA) muscles. Co-contraction of the knee flexors and extensors was seen in both cycling tasks. Finally, synergistic patterns were seen in unilateral proximal tasks with dorsiflexors active during hip flexion, and knee extensors and plantarflexors during unilateral cycling. Hip and knee flexors were activated during unilateral and bilateral dorsiflexion. In all significant comparisons, the strength of correlation increased in a nearly linear fashion from TD through increasing GMFCS levels in CP (Supplementary Fig. S1). Finally, significant correlations were found between extent and magnitude of fNIRS activity and the number of active EMG channels for several of the tasks (Fig. 3).

3.3. Evaluation of dominant hemisphere (secondary hypothesis #1)

No significant effect of group was noted in the ANOVA analyses for laterality index with any of the tasks. A few differences emerged when ROI contrasts were analyzed for contralateral and ipsilateral hemispheres using the NIRS toolbox (Huppert, 2016). The contralateral hemisphere was more active for GMFCS level III during hip flexion, unilateral and bilateral cycling (q < 0.001 for all). The ipsilateral hemisphere was more active for GMFCS level I during hip flexion (q = 0.02) and unilateral cycling (q = 0.03).

3.4. Location of maximal activity (secondary hypothesis #2)

A significant effect of group was found for the location of highest activity on both ipsilateral (F = 4.95, p = 0.009) and contralateral (F = 3.26, p = 0.041) hemispheres for unilateral dorsiflexion and in the

contralateral hemisphere (F = 4.28, p = 0.020) for unilateral hip flexion. On average, activation for the CP groups was more laterally located in the contralateral hemispheres than the TD group. There was no effect of group for either hemisphere during unilateral cycling (ipsilateral F = 0.83, p = 0.493; contralateral F = 1.95, p = 0.153).

3.5. Comparisons between tasks (secondary hypothesis #3)

There was a significant main effect of both group and task for number of active NIRS channels (group F = 11.77, p < 0.001; task F = 3.51, p = 0.010), sum of beta values across both hemispheres (group F = 42.56, p < 0.001; task F = 7.85, p < 0.001), and number of active muscles (group F = 5.56, p = 0.001; task F = 7.40, p < 0.001), but no group by task interaction (active NIRS F = 0.43, p = 0.949; sum of beta F = 1.82, p = 0.054; active EMG F = 1.12, p = 0.355). Post-hoc comparisons revealed only a few between-task differences that were present across the whole cohort in the study (p < 0.05): unilateral cycling required more activation (sum of beta) than unilateral dorsiflexion (Fig. 4B); unilateral cycling required a greater extent of activity (number of active NIRS channels) than bilateral cycling (Fig. 4C); and bilateral cycling had more active muscles than any other task (p < 0.05). Only GMFCS II showed a significant difference between unilateral and bilateral dorsiflexion, with more ipsilateral activity (Fig. 4A).

4. Discussion

Using fNIRS, we investigated the dynamic localized changes in total hemoglobin associated with performance of specific motor tasks relative to rest to evaluate the magnitude and extent of cortical activity associated with each. Despite the anatomic location of the foot and ankle deep in the central sulcus which tests the limits of the depth of the fNIRS light transmission, we were able to demonstrate activation even in the isolated dorsiflexion tasks in all groups. Across tasks, greater and largely undesirable EMG activity was present in the groups with CP, demonstrating a lack of efficiency or fluency in movements consistent with previous reports (Damiano et al., 2006; Ikeda et al., 1998; Poon & Hui-Chan, 2009; Alves-Pinto et al., 2016; Arpin et al., 2013) and corresponding to an increase in the extent and intensity of activity measured on the cortical surface, even in cases where there may be damage to and consequently loss of neurons in those areas.

The tasks were chosen to be easy enough for all participants to complete in comparable ways with respect to extent and timing of the movements. The relative simplicity of the tasks was demonstrated by low levels of activation for the TD group in both the fNIRS channels (lower beta values and fewer significantly active channels) and the muscles active during the tasks. As expected, fewer channels were active in the focal, distal joint task (unilateral dorsiflexion) compared to bilateral multi-joint activities such as cycling, as has been shown in adults (Promjanyakul et al., 2015). Although higher activation would be expected in the tasks involving more joints, the unilateral cycling task showed higher levels of cortical activity than bilateral cycling. This can be explained by the novelty of the unilateral task compared to cycling or other common reciprocal leg movements practiced during walking or other play or exercise activities, the increased postural control demands to stabilize the trunk for unilateral efforts, or the influence of subcortical or spinal mechanisms such as central pattern generators contributing to the bilateral cycling activity that might need to be inhibited when only using one leg (Kautz et al., 2006). In addition, there is evidence in typically developing young adults for very limited cortical activity, and on average some decreases (as seen in our study), in HBT over the motor cortex during continual cycling (Rupp et al., 2013).

In stark contrast to the typically developing cohort, groups with CP demonstrated more widespread areas of activation in all tasks at both the cortical and muscle levels, which is consistent with a preliminary investigation of cortical activity during walking (Kurz et al., 2014b). This may be the result of the tasks being more difficult to execute for those with CP due to differences in strength, previous motor practice or sensory input to the nervous system (Kurz et al., 2014a; Kurz et al., 2014c; Kurz et al., 2015). However, the most relevant contributor to increased recruitment of muscles in participants with CP is likely their deficits in selective voluntary motor control (Fowler & Goldberg, 2009; Fowler et al., 2010; Cahill-Rowley & Rose, 2014; Sukal-Moulton et al., 2014a), which can be explained by the location, greater extent, amount of activity in the brain leading to co-activation of two or more muscles. More lateral activity was recorded on the sensorimotor cortices during single joint movements, consistent with measures of corticomotor excitability using TMS (Kesar et al., 2012; Maegaki et al., 1999). This could be evidence of neural reorganization or the mechanical result of expanded lateral ventricles given the common etiology of periventricular leukomalacia in our study cohort. Ankle movements, as expected, showed higher prevalence of motor control deficits than the hip (Fowler et al., 2010). During ankle dorsiflexion, the magnitude of cortical activity correlated with an impairment-specific measure, the SCALE limb score, in addition to being predictive of mobility in two participant-reported functional scales. This suggests that overflow and amplification of activity observed in the sensorimotor cortex has important implications for functional mobility for those with bilateral CP. The lack of a relationship between unilateral hip flexion and selectivity measures also reflects the greater prevalence of distal versus proximal involvement in bilateral CP. The SCALE score tends to be higher at the hip (Fowler et al., 2010), with more potential for subcortical areas of the brain that are not measured by fNIRS to be responsible for activity at this joint (Cahill-Rowley & Rose, 2014). Those with GMFCS III tended to favor the contralateral hemisphere during non-dominant hip tasks but the ipsilateral hemisphere during ankle tasks, which again may relate to and provide insights into the greater deficits in selective control in distal versus proximal activities seen in CP.

Some particularly unique differences were seen between TD and CP groups in both types of cycling. Those with TD required very little activity for either task with less activity seen in bilateral versus unilateral cycling, even though more joints are involved. It is possible that oscillating circuits at the spinal level were largely involved in this task with some higher level inhibition needed to suppress the tendency to cycle bilaterally. Participants in the groups with CP showed larger amounts of cortical activity along with higher levels of muscle activity and co-contraction, which were consistent with findings of another study of cycling in adults with CP (Alves-Pinto et al., 2016). The two tasks were also more similar in CP in the level of brain activation as seen in Fig. 4C. One explanation for this difference between those with and without CP is that while unilateral cycling was likely a novel activity for all participants (TD and CP), bilateral cycling may have also been relatively novel as well to those with greater impairment. Additionally, children with bilateral CP often have difficulty with inter-limb reciprocal coordination needed for asynchronous bilateral activities (Damiano et al., 2017) that is more pronounced in those with greater involvement. Because cycling is used as an intervention in clinics and in research studies (Siebert et al., 2010; Damiano et al., 2017), it would also be interesting to evaluate if a cycling intervention would reduce cortical activity and increase the contrast between unilateral and bilateral cycling as seen in TD.

In contrast to previous studies showing more pronounced ipsilateral control of the more impaired limb in hemiplegia (Eyre et al., 2007; Friel et al., 2013), CP groups with significant differences between hemispheres in this study showed higher levels of activity in the contralateral hemisphere to the moving limb during the novel task of unilateral cycling, but higher ipsilateral activity during unilateral dorsiflexion. This may indicate that the mechanisms of re-organization following bilateral injury may be dependent on the location and extent of the injury, or simply are more variable than those following unilateral injury.
This study has a number of limitations, some of which are due to the limitations of the technology. NIRS does not allow for investigation of neural activity in structures below the level of the cortex, eliminating the possibility to evaluate activation in structures such as thalamus, brain stem nuclei, or spinal cord, which are all likely candidates for structural and functional differences in CP compared to TD. The influence of systemic task related changes in blood flow in the scalp cannot be entirely ruled out in this study, but the relationship between cortical and peripheral measures suggests a neural rather than cardiovascular mechanism. Further development is also needed regarding co-registration of subject-specific MRI to the NIRS probe in injury cases. While the group results are illuminating, the precision for evaluating small individual differences in activation is still limited but has much potential for designing and evaluating personalized treatment prescriptions that are informed by the type or extent of brain activity associated with tasks important for an individual's goals. Evaluation of other task types is warranted, including unilateral tasks in both extremities to determine if there are differences in laterality between dominant and non-dominant limbs in this population as has been well documented in unilateral CP.

In conclusion, the overflow of unwanted muscle activity noted in the lower extremities of participants with CP was largely explained by the overflow of neural activity in the sensorimotor cortex, pointing to inefficient cortical organization as a potential and even primary culprit in the lack of selective voluntary motor control in the lower extremities. More effective rehabilitation strategies that progressively train more precise motor control aiming to reduce unwanted intralimb synergistic movements or improve interlimb coordination are needed (Damiano et al., 2017). Further, greater use of techniques such as fNIRS or EEG in combination with EMG measures are recommended for inclusion as outcome measures to determine whether the intervention succeeded first at the level of impairment, with improvement in functional activity and participation in those with bilateral CP the ultimate goal. It is clear that there are differences across patients (Weinstein et al., 2018) and differences in CP compared to TD. The insight of CP compared to TD. The inference of abnormal motor cortex activation patterns in children with cerebral palsy by functional near-infrared spectroscopy: pilot study. J. Rehabil. Med. 53 (5), 503–510.
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