Although cytarabine has been widely considered as one of the chemotherapy drugs for high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), the overall response rate is only approximately 20-30%. Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2, also called NFE2L2) has been shown to play a pivotal role in preventing cancer cells from being affected by chemotherapy. However, it is not yet known whether NRF2 can be used as a prognostic biomarker in MDS, or whether elevated NRF2 levels are associated with cytarabine resistance. Here, we found that NRF2 expression levels in bone marrow from high-risk patients exceeded that of low-risk MDS patients. Importantly, high NRF2 levels are correlated with inferior outcomes in MDS patients (n=137). Downregulation of NRF2 by the inhibitor Luteolin, or lentiviral shRNA knockdown, enhanced the chemotherapeutic efficacy of cytarabine, while MDS cells treated by NRF2 agonist Sulforaphane showed increased resistance to cytarabine. More importantly, pharmacological inhibition of NRF2 could sensitize primary high-risk MDS cells to cytarabine treatment. Mechanistically, downregulation of dual specificity protein phosphatase 1, an NRF2 direct target gene, could abrogate cytarabine resistance in NRF2 elevated MDS cells. Silencing NRF2 or dual specificity protein phosphatase 1 also significantly sensitized cytarabine treatment and inhibited tumors in MDS cells transplanted mouse models in vivo. Our study suggests that targeting NRF2 in combination with conventional chemotherapy could pave the way for future therapy for high-risk MDS.

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are a heterogeneous disease of clonal hematopoietic stem cell neoplasms characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis, cytopenia, dysplasia of the myeloid cells, and an inherent risk of progression to acute myeloid leukemia (AML). There are several prognostic scores, and the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) and its revised vision (IPSS-R) are commonly used to stratify patients into two risk groups, defining lower and higher risk patients. Higher risk MDS patients have an increased risk of developing AML and are associated with poor clinical outcomes. Treatment strategies were made...
cells were further incubated in 5% CO2 for 3-4 hours at 37 °C. The reader at 490 nm. The half inhibitory concentration (IC50) values of Ara-C were calculated by Prism Graphpad software. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Mice models

NOD/SCID-IL2Rγ<sup>−/−</sup>-SGM3 (NSGS) mice were bred and maintained in Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC).<sup>17</sup> Mice were randomized into six groups. NRF2 shRNA SKM-1 (transfected with shRNA targeting NRF2, DUSP1 shRNA SKM-1 (transfected with shRNA targeting DUSP1), and scramble shRNA SKM-1 were resuspended in 300 μl phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and then injected intravenously into the non-irradiated mice (1 million cells per mouse). Ten days after cell inoculation (Day 0), the mice received 50 milligram/ kilogram (mg/kg) of Ara-C or PBS once a day for five consecutive days (Day 10-15). Ara-C and PBS were injected intraperitoneally. All experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of CCHMC.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 16.0 and GraphPad Prism 6. Statistical analyses were performed using Student t-test or one-/two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons correction. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

NRF2 is elevated in higher risk MDS and correlates with inferior overall survival

To explore NRF2 expression and its clinical outcome in MDS, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) on 137 MDS patients and 17 controls (Figure 1A). IHC staining results showed that NRF2 was over-expressed in the BM biopsies from MDS patients (P<0.01) (Figure 1B). The NRF2 levels of intermediate-, high-, and very high-risk IPSS-R patients exceeded that of low-risk IPSS-R patients (P=0.004) (Online Supplementary Table S1). To validate the expression of NRF2 with its downstream target signature in MDS, we analyzed published gene expression profiles of CD34<sup>+</sup> BM cells purified from samples obtained from 183 MDS patients and 17 healthy controls.<sup>13</sup> We performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to explore the downstream targets’ signature of NRF2 in this cohort.<sup>19</sup> Although the expression of NRF2 is not significantly activated in MDS patients compared to the healthy controls (P=0.225) (Online Supplementary Figure S1A), NRF2 expression is significantly enriched in higher risk MDS patients (MDS-EB-1/2) when compared to those with lower risk MDS (MDS-SDL/MDS-RS) (P=0.020) (Figure 1C). Leading edge genes are shown in Online Supplementary Appendix: Lists 1 and 2. It is worth noting that MDS patients with higher NRF2 expression levels (IHC scores, 4-6) displayed worse overall survival (OS) than patients with lower NRF2 levels (IHC scores, 0-3) (median, 391 vs. 554 days; P=0.011) (Figure 1D). We further performed CD34 and NRF2 double staining by immunofluorescence with MDS patient BM aspiration samples (Online Supplementary Figure S4B). CD34 and NRF2 double staining in particular cells demonstrated that NRF2 was also expressed at protein levels in CD34<sup>+</sup> cells (Online Supplementary Figure S4C). High NRF2 expression levels were closely associated with higher risk according to the 2016 WHO subtype (P=0.022), IPSS cytogenetics (P=0.001), and IPSS categories (P<0.001). There were no significant differences in other clinical features between

Methods

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 4 μm thick bone marrow (BM) sections. BM samples were stained for NRF2 expression (dilution 1:200; Abcam, UK) or DUSP1 expression (dilution 1:100; Abcam, UK). Samples were incubated using primary antibody for 30 minutes (min) at 37°C. Secondary antibody (dilution 1:50; Dako, Denmark) was applied for 15 min. Binding was visualized by the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)/3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) kit (ZSGB-BIO, China). Staining results were semi-quantified using an arbitrary score as follows: No staining, 0; Pale yellow, 1; Tan, 2; Brown, 3; Nuclear staining in 0-25% of cells, 2; Nuclear staining in 25-50% of cells, 3; Nuclear staining in 50-75% of cells, 4; Nuclear staining in 75-100% of cells, 5. The stain color score multiplied by the nuclear staining proportion in 50-75% of cells, 3; Nuclear staining in 75-100% of cells, 4. The final IHC score.

In vitro cytotoxicity assay

Myelodysplastic syndrome cell lines (5×10<sup>4</sup>/mL) and primary MDS cells (1×10<sup>5</sup>/mL) were seeded in 96-well flat bottom plates and treated with increasing concentrations of Ara-C. Cell proliferation was determined using the MTS proliferation assay. 20 μl of MTS (Promega, USA) was added to 100 μl of cell suspension, and cells were further incubated in 5% CO2 for 3-4 hours at 37 °C. The plates were then analyzed on an enzyme immunoassay plate reader at 490 nm. The half inhibitory concentration (IC50) values

Here, we aimed to correlate NRF2 expression and its clinical outcome in a large cohort of MDS patients (n=137). We also performed in vitro and in vivo experiments to validate our findings regarding the function of NRF2 in chemo-resistance in MDS. We found that NRF2 expressions were elevated in higher risk MDS and correlated with inferior clinical outcomes. High levels of NRF2 reduced MDS cell sensitivity to Ara-C treatment partly through its direct target gene DUSP1.

Clinically, MDS patients who remained unresponsive to chemotherapy in AML cells.<sup>15,16</sup>

It has recently been shown that NRF2 underlies drug resistance in acute myeloid leukemia (AML), chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).<sup>10-12</sup> NRF2 binding to antioxidant responsive element (ARE) allows induction of a number of cytoprotective and detoxification genes, such as NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), and glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCL).<sup>13,14</sup> Few studies have shown the mechanisms of NRF2 in drug resistance. NRF2 target genes, such as HO-1, have been reported to facilitate resistance of tumor cells to chemotherapy in AML cells.<sup>15,16</sup>

According to the risk categories of MDS, Cytarabine (Ara-C) is a pyrimidine nucleoside analog that interferes with the synthesis of DNA when the cycle holds in the S phase. Over the last decades, Ara-C-based therapies have been widely used to manage MDS patients, especially those at higher risk.<sup>4</sup> However, the overall response rate of single Ara-C treatment was only approximately 20-30%.<sup>5,6</sup>

Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2, also called NFE2L2) is a transcription factor that protects cells from oxidative damage.<sup>7,8</sup> Under oxidative stress, NRF2 is released from its cytosolic inhibitor Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) and translocates to the nucleus.<sup>9</sup>

The half inhibitory concentration (IC50) values of Ara-C were calculated by Prism Graphpad software. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Mice models

NOD/SCID-IL2Rγ<sup>−/−</sup>-SGM3 (NSGS) mice were bred and maintained in Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC).<sup>17</sup> Mice were randomized into six groups. NRF2 shRNA SKM-1 (transfected with shRNA targeting NRF2), DUSP1 shRNA SKM-1 (transfected with shRNA targeting DUSP1), and scramble shRNA SKM-1 were resuspended in 300 μl phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and then injected intravenously into the non-irradiated mice (1 million cells per mouse). Ten days after cell inoculation (Day 0), the mice received 50 milligram/ kilogram (mg/kg) of Ara-C or PBS once a day for five consecutive days (Day 10-15). Ara-C and PBS were injected intraperitoneally. All experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of CCHMC.

According to the risk categories of MDS, Cytarabine (Ara-C) is a pyrimidine nucleoside analog that interferes with the synthesis of DNA when the cycle holds in the S phase. Over the last decades, Ara-C-based therapies have been widely used to manage MDS patients, especially those at higher risk.<sup>4</sup> However, the overall response rate of single Ara-C treatment was only approximately 20-30%.<sup>5,6</sup>

It has recently been shown that NRF2 underlies drug resistance in acute myeloid leukemia (AML), chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).<sup>10-12</sup> NRF2 binding to antioxidant responsive element (ARE) allows induction of a number of cytoprotective and detoxification genes, such as NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), and glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCL).<sup>13,14</sup> Few studies have shown the mechanisms of NRF2 in drug resistance. NRF2 target genes, such as HO-1, have been reported to facilitate resistance of tumor cells to chemotherapy in AML cells.<sup>15,16</sup>

Here, we aimed to correlate NRF2 expression and its clinical outcome in a large cohort of MDS patients (n=137). We also performed in vitro and in vivo experiments to validate our findings regarding the function of NRF2 in chemo-resistance in MDS. We found that NRF2 expressions were elevated in higher risk MDS and correlated with inferior clinical outcomes. High levels of NRF2 reduced MDS cell sensitivity to Ara-C treatment partly through its direct target gene DUSP1.
MDS patients with higher and lower NRF2 levels (Online Supplementary Table S2).

Pharmacological modulations of NRF2 regulate chemotherapeutic efficacy of Ara-C in MDS cells

The effect of NRF2 on Ara-C resistance was first evaluated in primary MDS cells. After 72 h exposure to increasing doses of Ara-C, proliferation of primary MDS cells with 2 μM NRF2 inhibitor Luteolin was significantly reduced compared to vehicle control-treated MDS cells (# 1 MDS-EB-2 IC50: 5.7 μM vs. 4.8 μM, P=0.04; # 2 MDS-EB-2 IC50: 3.2 μM vs. 1.9 μM, P=0.006; # 3 MDS-EB-2 IC50: 7.5 μM vs. 6.9 μM; P=0.03) (Figure 2A).

To further identify the function of NRF2 in MDS, we examined the pharmacological effects of NRF2 inhibitor and activator in MDS-patient-derived SKM-1 and murine MDS model cells MLL PTD/WT/RUNX1-S291fs cells.20 The highest dose of Ara-C resulted in approximately 90% inhibition of SKM-1 at 72 h (IC50, 1.72 μM) and MLL PTD/WT/RUNX1-S291fs cells at 48 h (IC50, 0.17 μM) (Online Supplementary Figure S2A and B). In agreement with previous reports on human lung carcinoma and colorectal cancer cell lines,21,22 we found that the NRF2 inhibitor Luteolin (3, 4, 5, 7-tetrahydroxy flavone) suppressed the protein expression of NRF2 in SKM-1 (Figure 2B). Sulforaphane (SFN) has been shown to be a potent NRF2 activator.23 SFN treatments in SKM-1 cells increased the protein expression of NRF2 (Figure 2C). NRF2 mRNA levels in MDS cells treated with the NRF2 inhibitor or agonist were measured. There was little change at mRNA levels, but obvious changes of NRF2 were seen at protein levels (Online Supplementary Figure S2C-F). Lower doses of Luteolin treatment had little effect on cell proliferation (Online Supplementary Figure S3A). The IC50 values of Ara-C in SKM-1 cells were 1.41 μM and 0.93 μM with 5 μM and 10 μM Luteolin treatment, respectively (P<0.001) (Figure 2D). Similar results were also found in MLL PTD/WT/RUNX1-S291fs cells (Online Supplementary Figure S3B-D). The IC50 was reduced from 0.17 μM to 0.11 μM by 1 μM Luteolin in MLL PTD/WT/RUNX1-S291fs (P=0.007) (Online Supplementary Figure S3D). 1μM SFN treatments had little effect on the proliferation of SKM-1 cells (Online Supplementary Figure S3E).
Interestingly, SFN treatment can decrease the chemotherapeutic effect of Ara-C (0-40 μM) in SKM-1. The IC50 was raised from 1.72 μM to 5.73 μM in SKM-1 by 2.5 μM SFN treatment (P=0.008) (Figure 2E). A similar effect of SFN could also be found in MLLPTD/WT/RUNX1-S291fs (Online Supplementary Figure S3F-H). 1 μM SFN treatment increased the Ara-C IC50 from 0.17 μM to 0.26 μM compared to vehicle treatment (P=0.001) (Online Supplementary Figure S3H).

Re-sensitizing MDS cells to Ara-C treatment in vitro by knockdown of NRF2

Transduction of NRF2 shRNA plasmid in human and mouse MDS cell lines repressed NRF2 mRNA levels by approximately 40-60%, compared with scramble shRNA plasmid transduction (Online Supplementary Figure S4A and B). Immunoblot analysis revealed that NRF2 shRNA robustly reduced the expression of NRF2 protein (Online Supplementary Figure S4C and D). Knockdown of NRF2...
resulted in a significant reduction of Ara-C IC50 in SKM-1 (72 h Ara-C IC50, 2.20 μM vs. 0.87 μM; P=0.001) (Figure 2F) and MLLP<sup>DTWt</sup>/RUNX1-S291fs (48 h Ara-C IC50, 0.37 μM vs. 0.25 μM; P=0.049) (Online Supplementary Figure S4E). Knockdown of NRF2 enhanced apoptosis induced by Ara-C in MDS cell lines (Figure 2G and Online Supplementary Figure S4F). We also found that NRF2 silenced MDS cell lines after Ara-C treatment tended to be arrested in the S phase (Online Supplementary Figure S4G-I).

**DUSP1 is an NRF2 direct target gene in MDS**

To further investigate the mechanisms involved in NRF2-mediated Ara-C resistance, we also analyzed published gene expression profiles of Ara-C-sensitive and Ara-C-resistant AML patient samples. Our analysis indicated that a group of NRF2 target genes might be responsible for Ara-C resistance in AML (P=0.032) (Figure 3A). Leading edge genes are shown in Online Supplementary Appendix List 3. After overlapping the up-regulated NRF2 target genes in high-risk MDS patients (total 132 genes) and Ara-

---

**Figure 3. DUSP1 is an NRF2 target gene in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS).** (A) Gene set enrichment plot showed that NRF2 target genes were enriched in cytarabine (Ara-C)–resistant acute myeloid leukemia (AML) patients. (B) Overlap of up-regulated NRF2 target genes in higher-risk MDS patients and Ara-C-resistant AML patients. (C) The gene list of 37 overlapped genes. (D) ChIP sequence analysis of published data<sup>24</sup> indicated the NRF2 binding site in the region of DUSP1 gene. (E) NRF2 binding sites in the regions of NQO1 and DUSP1 genes. TSS: transcription start site; TTS: transcription termination site. (F) NRF2 ChIP q-PCR analysis of SKM-1 cells.
C. resistant AML patients (total 153 genes), we found a list of common up-regulated NRF2 target genes (n=37) (Figure 3B). Interestingly, dual-specificity protein phosphatase 1 (DUSP1) was one of the genes up-regulated in both high-risk MDS patients and Ara-C-resistant AML patients (Figure 3C). We then performed NRF2 ChIP-seq analysis based on a published dataset from human lymphoblastoid cell lines.\(^\text{24}\) In cells treated with NRF2 agonist, ChIP analysis validated the NRF2 binding site in the region of DUSP1 gene loci (Figure 3D). The NRF2 binding regions proximal to NQO1 and DUSP1 genes contained a conserved NRF2 binding TGAnnnnGG motif, as previously reported (Figure 3E).\(^\text{25}\) ChIP q-PCR analysis revealed that the NRF2 binding signals in the NQO1 and DUSP1 genes were significantly higher than the negative control loci. Lower NRF2 signals were detected in SKM-1 with 5 \(\mu\)M NRF2 inhibitor treatment (48 h, \(P<0.01\)) (Figure 3F).

Consistent with the mRNA expression of NRF2, mRNA expression of DUSP1 could also be inhibited by 2 \(\mu\)M NRF2 inhibitor Luteolin treatment in primary MDS cells (Figure 4A). Our q-PCR results confirmed that DUSP1 was an NRF2 direct target gene in SKM-1 and

Figure 4. NRF2 and DUSP1 expressions were elevated in higher-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or cytarabine (Ara-C)-resistant MDS patients. (A) NRF2 and DUSP1 mRNA levels were both repressed by Luteolin in primary MDS cells. (B) DUSP1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of bone marrow (BM) biopsy samples (magnification ×400). (C) NRF2 and DUSP1 IHC scores in controls and MDS. (D) Immunoblotting analysis was conducted for NRF2 and DUSP1 protein levels in healthy controls, MDS cell lines, and primary MDS cells. (E) Elevations of NRF2 and DUSP1 were confirmed in the BM samples of Ara-C-resistant MDS by immunoblotting analysis. *\(P<0.05\); **\(P<0.01\).
We also performed immunohistochemistry assay to detect DUSP1 expression in 11 controls and 26 MDS patients (Figure 4B). NRF2 and DUSP1 IHC scores were both significantly increased in the higher-risk MDS group (high-risk and very high-risk by IPSS-R) compared to the control group \((P<0.05)\) (Figure 4C). To better detect the small differences at the protein levels, we further compared the levels of NRF2 and DUSP1 with the BM mononuclear cells from Ara-C sensitive and Ara-C-resistant MDS patients by immunoblotting (Figure 4D). Samples from MDS patients who were responsive to Ara-C treatment were selected as Ara-C-sensitive MDS samples. MDS patients in whom Ara-C treatment was seen to be ineffective were chosen as Ara-C-resistant cases. Elevated levels of NRF2 and DUSP1 were seen in the BM samples of Ara-C-resistant MDS patients by immunoblotting analysis; this was statistically significant based on intensities (Figure 4E).

**NRF2 mediates Ara-C resistance partly through its direct target gene DUSP1**

A Dusp1 and Dusp6 inhibitor\(^{26,27}\) was used to test the potential of targeting DUSP1 for Ara-C therapy. The combined inhibitory effect was predicted using the Bliss independent model\(^{28,29}\). Our data indicate that BCI and Ara-C have statistically significant synergistic effects on NRF2 activated SKM-1 cells \(\%\text{survival}, \text{predicted 70.1\% vs. experimental 51.0\%; } P=0.005\) and MLL\(^{PTD/WT} /\text{RUNX1-S291fs}\) cells \(\%\text{survival}, \text{predicted 57.0\% vs. experimental 41.0%; } P=0.048\) (Figure 5A and Online Supplementary Figure S5E). To further demonstrate that the therapeutic synergistic effect is due to the inhibition of DUSP1, we conducted DUSP1 shRNA on SKM-1 cells. DUSP1
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**Figure 5. NRF2 confers cytarabine (Ara-C) resistance partly through the activation of DUSP1 in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS).** (A) Ara-C and \((E)-2\text{-benzylidene-3-(cyclohexylamino)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (BCI) have synergistic effects in NRF2 agonist-treated SKM-1 cells. (B) DUSP1 shRNA-1 sensitized SKM-1 cells to Ara-C treatment. (C) DUSP1 shRNA-1 re-sensitized NRF2 agonist treated SKM-1 cells to Ara-C treatment. (D) DUSP1 shRNA-1 enhanced apoptosis induced by Ara-C in SKM-1 cell lines. (E) DUSP1 shRNA-1 induced S phase arrest in SKM-1. *\(P<0.05\); **\(P<0.01\); ***\(P<0.001\).
shRNA-1 and Dusp1 shRNA-1 were chosen because the data indicated that both of them mediated the best knockdown efficiency in human and mouse MDS cell lines, respectively (Online Supplementary Figure S6A-D). Downregulation of DUSP1 by lentivirus shRNA could sensitize SKM-1 cells to Ara-C treatment (72 h Ara-C IC50, scramble shRNA 1.91 µM vs. DUSP1 shRNA-1 1.23 µM; P=0.002) (Figure 5B). Treatment of the NRF2 agonist SFN significantly mitigated Ara-C toxicity in scramble shRNA SKM-1 cells (72 h Ara-C IC50, control treatment 2.05 µM vs. SFN treatment 2.50 µM; P=0.044). Significantly, DUSP1 shRNA on NRF2 elevated SKM-1 cell lines abrogate Ara-C resistance (72 h Ara-C with SFN treatment IC50, scramble shRNA 2.50 µM vs. DUSP1 shRNA-1 1.35 µM; P=0.020) (Figure 5C). In MLL171SPT /RUNX1-S291fs cells, Dusp1 downregulation could sensitize MDS mouse cells to Ara-C treatment (48 h Ara-C IC50, scramble shRNA 0.20 µM vs. Dusp1 shRNA-1 0.15 µM; P=0.001) (Online Supplementary Figure S6F). Consistent with the data in SKM-1 cells, Dusp1 shRNA could also re-sensitize Ara-C-resistant MDS mouse cells (48 h Ara-C IC50, scramble shRNA with control treatment 0.20 µM vs. scramble shRNA with SFN treatment 0.22 µM (P=0.025) scramble shRNA with SFN treatment 0.22 µM vs. Dusp1 shRNA-1 with SFN treatment 0.16 µM (P=0.001)) (Online Supplementary Figure S6F). Knockdown of DUSP1 led to an increase in the apoptotic rate of SKM-1 treated with Ara-C compared to scramble shRNA SKM-1 cells (Figure 5D). DUSP1 silenced SKM-1 cell lines with Ara-C treatment also tended to be arrested in the S phase (Figure 5E). These results indicated that DUSP1 was a downstream gene of NRF2 and partially responsible for

Figure 6. Knockdown of NRF2 significantly sensitizes myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) cells to cytarabine (Ara-C) in vivo. (A) Flow cytometry showed appearance of human CD45+ cells in liver tumors of scramble, NRF2 and DUSP1 shRNA SKM-1 transplanted NSGS mice. (B) Liver tumors in scramble, NRF2 and DUSP1 shRNA SKM-1 transplanted NSGS mice with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) or Ara-C treatment. (C) Liver tumor volumes were significantly smaller in NRF2 or DUSP1 shRNA SKM-1 transplanted MDS mice treated with Ara-C compared with PBS. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001. g: grams.
NRF2-mediated Ara-C resistance. To determine whether silencing of NRF2 or DUSP1 compromised the reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in MDS cells, we analyzed ROS production by flow cytometry. No significant difference in ROS levels was observed between NRF2 or DUSP1 knockdown MDS cells and control cells (Online Supplementary Figure S7A-D). To further investigate other possible pathways involved in Ara-C resistance, we adjusted the fold change value to 1.1 and the rawp value to 0.05 so that we obtained two larger cohorts of up-regulated genes in high-risk MDS (total 5477 genes) or Ara-C-resistant AML (total 3074 genes). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis was performed on 331 overlapped common genes (Online Supplementary Figure S7E), which revealed potential involvement of genes in a number of pathways (Online Supplementary Figure S7F).

Re-sensitizing MDS cells to Ara-C treatment in vivo by knockdown of NRF2 or DUSP1

To determine the effect of NRF2 and DUSP1 on chemoresistance in vivo, we established xenograft mouse models through intravenously injecting NRF2 shRNA, DUSP1 shRNA, or scramble shRNA SKM-1 cells into NOD/SCID-IL2Rγnull-SGM3 (NSGS) mice. The ratios of human CD45+ cells to mouse CD45+ cells were more than 80% in tumors (Figure 6A) but less than 5% in bone marrow (Online Supplementary Figure S8A) or peripheral blood (Online Supplementary Figure S8B). However, no significant differences were observed in the survival of transplanted NSGS mice (Online Supplementary Figure S8C). In scramble shRNA MDS mice, the tumor weight showed a trend to decrease, but did not reach a significant change in the Ara-C treatment group (1.91 g vs. 1.53 g with PBS vs. Ara-C treatment group, respectively; P=0.062) (Figure 6B and C). Treatment of NRF2 or DUSP1 silencing MDS mice with Ara-C resulted in significantly smaller tumors in the liver (NRF2 silencing MDS mice, 2.30 g vs. 1.77 g with PBS vs. Ara-C treatment group, respectively, P=0.010; DUSP1 silencing MDS mice, 1.84 g vs. 1.41 g, respectively, P=0.001).

Discussion

The present study was aimed to investigate the role of NRF2 in MDS and its molecular mechanism involved in chemoresistance, particularly in Ara-C-based therapy. Using IHC and unbiased analysis, we identified the predictive role of NRF2 in clinical outcomes amongst MDS patients. Based on our data and published evidence, we proposed a model of NRF2 in high-risk MDS with Ara-C treatment (Figure 7). Activation of NRF2-DUSP1 signaling and other pathways might lead to Ara-C resistance in high-risk MDS. Inhibition of NRF2 could re-sensitize MDS cells to Ara-C treatment.

Garcia-Manero et al. had previously measured NRF2 mRNA levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of AML or MDS cases (n=31) and reported that higher mRNA levels of NRF2 were associated with longer survival. Here, we explored the prognostic impact of NRF2 in a larger cohort of MDS patients (n=137). Our IHC data indicated that higher risk MDS patients had higher NRF2 expression levels in BM samples compared to lower risk patients by IPSS-R (P=0.004). GSEA results of CD34+ BM cell gene expressions from published MDS patient cohort data (n=183) further confirmed NRF2 was elevated in higher-risk MDS patients (MDS-EB-1/2) compared to lower-risk patients (MDS-SLD/RS) (Figure 1C). We speculate that activated NF-κB signaling may drive the overexpression of NRF2 in high-risk MDS. It has also been reported that mitochondrial dynamics could regulate neu-
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**Figure 7. A proposed model of NRF2 in higher-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) with cytarabine (Ara-C) treatment.** (A) Ara-C treatment inhibits the cell viability of the MDS cells with low NRF2 levels. (B) NRF2 confers Ara-C resistance partly through its downstream target gene DUSP1 in MDS cells. (C) The inhibition of NRF2 re-sensitizes MDS cells to Ara-C treatment.
ral stem cell fate by modifying ROS signaling to activate NRF2-dependent pathways. Future studies may help to elucidate the possible mechanisms involved in high NRF2 expression levels in higher-risk MDS patients. Importantly, our survival analysis indicated that MDS patients with higher NRF2 levels in BM cells correlated with worse OS than patients with lower NRF2 levels ($P=0.011$) (Figure 1D). The discrepancy between our results and the previously published data may be related to the methods, cells studied (mononuclear cells of PB vs. BM), or sample size (31 vs. 137). This needs to be further investigated in larger independent cohorts.

Ara-C is widely used as a treatment approach in higher-risk MDS patients; however, single Ara-C treatment has limited therapeutic effect. Drug resistance is the major cause of treatment failure. High NRF2 proteins in human primary AML cells have been shown to be driven by NF-kB and knockdown of NRF2 reduced colony formation of AML cells in response to treatment of Ara-C and daunorubicin. However, there are no research reports on the role of NRF2 in mediating drug resistance in MDS. The SKM-1 cell line was established from a Japanese male patient in 1995 who was initially diagnosed as higher-risk MDS (MDS-EB-2). It has been reported that SKM-1 cells had a higher IC50 of Ara-C than other myeloid leukemia cell lines, indicating that SKM-1 cells were more Ara-C resistant. The incidences of MLL-PTD and RUNX1 mutations showed an increase in higher-risk MDS compared to lower-risk MDS. Thus, SKM-1 cells and MDS mouse model cell line RUNX1 mutant-transduced MLLPTDWT BM cells (MLPTDWT/RUNX1-S291fs) were used in our study. BM mononuclear cells from Ara-C-sensitive and Ara-C-resistant MDS patients were also studied. Our results indicated that NRF2-mediated drug resistance in MDS was similar to other conditions.

Luteolin is a potential NRF2 inhibitor that can promote the degradation of NRF2 mRNA. Our results revealed that NRF2 downregulation in primary MDS cells, by inhibitor Luteolin, decreased IC50 of Ara-C. As primary MDS cells were mostly composed of non-transformed cells, we also validated our results in human and mouse MDS cell lines. Downregulation of NRF2 by Luteolin could also enhance the chemotherapeutic efficacy of Ara-C in MDS cell lines. SFN is a well-known NRF2 agonist. SFN is an isothiocyanate that forms a KEAP1–NRF2 complex, which is involved in NRF2-dependent pathways. It has been reported that SFN increased cancer cell migration and induced tumor metastasis by decreasing ROS levels. It has been suggested that SFN improved sensitivity of AML cells to chemotherapy by compromising the ability of the AML cell to scavenge the ROS. It has been reported that alterations of SETD2 (encoding the histone 3 lysine 36 trimethyltransferase) and EZH2 (catalyzing the trimethylation of lysine 27 of histone H3) also led to resistance to DNA damaging-chemotherapy such as Ara-C in leukemia via different mechanisms. Our current study indicated that NRF2 conferred Ara-C resistance partly through DUSP1 in MDS. Other signaling pathways identified in this study warrant further investigation in the future.

Our data showed that silencing NRF2 or DUSP1 significantly sensitized tumors to Ara-C by measuring tumor size in the livers of our SKM-1-transplanted mouse models. SKM-1 is a cell line carrying a large number of mutations, affecting ASXL1, BCL11A, EZH2, SFI, STAG2, TET2, TP53, and WT1, which are close to the characteristics of high-risk MDS. Thus, SKM-1 cell lines were used in this study. To better establish stable NRF2 or DUSP1 knockdown MDS mouse models, SKM-1 cells were transfected and then injected into NSGS mice. SKM-1 cells mainly generated tumors in the livers but not in BM or peripheral blood of NSGS mice. Although not the ideal model, our in vivo results indicated that silencing NRF2 or DUSP1 increased the sensitivity of SKM-1 cells to Ara-C treatment. Future MDS patient-derived xenograft models are needed to validate our findings.

In conclusion, our clinical and experimental results revealed that NRF2 expression levels are elevated in high-risk MDS patients and serve as a statistically significant prognostic variable for OS in MDS patients. Pharmacological inhibition of NRF2 re-sensitizes MDS
cells to Ara-C treatment while activation of NRF2 by agonist resulted in the reduced sensitivity to Ara-C. NRF2 mediates Ara-C resistance partly through its direct target gene DUSP1. Taken together, our findings suggest that silencing NRF2 re-sensitizes high-risk MDS cells to Ara-C treatment. Targeting NRF2 in combination with conventional chemotherapy could overcome drug resistance in high-risk MDS patients.
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