The blood transcriptional signature for active and latent tuberculosis
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Background: Although the incidence of tuberculosis (TB) has dropped substantially, it still is a serious threat to human health. And in recent years, the emergence of resistant bacilli and inadequate disease control and prevention has led to a significant rise in the global TB epidemic. It is known that the cause of TB is Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. But it is not clear why some infected patients are active while others are latent.

Methods: We analyzed the blood gene expression profiles of 69 latent TB patients and 54 active pulmonary TB patients from GEO (Transcript Expression Omnibus) database.

Results: By applying minimal redundancy maximal relevance and incremental feature selection, we identified 24 signature genes which can predict the TB activation. The support vector machine predictor based on these 24 genes had a sensitivity of 0.907, specificity of 0.913, and accuracy of 0.911, respectively. Although they need to be validated in a large independent dataset, the biological analysis of these 24 genes showed great promise.

Conclusion: We found that cytokine production was a key process during TB activation and genes like CYBB, TSPO, CD36, and STAT1 worth further investigation.
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Introduction
Tuberculosis (TB), a pulmonary infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, is a serious threat to human health. In the early 20th century, TB had spread around the world, killing millions of people.1 Later, with the progress of medical technology and improvement of sanitary conditions, the incidence of TB dropped substantially. However, in recent years the emergence of resistant bacilli and inadequate disease control and prevention has led to a significant rise in the global TB epidemic, which has now become the leading cause of deaths from infectious diseases.2

Currently, about 90%–95% TB patients are with latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI).3 LTBI is defined by persistent immune response caused by M. tuberculosis, but under conditions of a long-term asymptomatic infection.4 During latent infection, body’s immune system can inhibit the growth of bacteria by blocking the replication of the bacteria,5 but not to be completely eliminated. M. tuberculosis can stay dormant for decades, or even during the host’s lifetime.6

However, the risk of developing active TB increases greatly when human immunity declines.7 The main reason bacteria can survive in the body is because it contains high lipid content, which can prevent it from degradation and destruction within macrophages.5 In addition, M. tuberculosis can also affect the normal function of CD8+ T-cells, natural killer cells, and complement membrane attack complex.6
Immune responses to *M. tuberculosis* is primarily cell-mediated, regulated by the interaction between T-cells and infected macrophages and cytokines secreted by these cells. In most cases, infection of *M. tuberculosis* transits to the dormant state accompanied by the formation of infective granuloma that are well separated from the surrounding tissue. Saunders and Cooper indicated that the formation of granulomas is essential for limiting *M. tuberculosis* growth and tissue damage in TB infections, two major components of active TB. Risk factors known for TB reactivation include malnutrition, HIV infection, anti-tumor necrosis factor treatment, insulin-dependent diabetes, alcoholism, and smoking. However, the mechanisms of reactivation of LTBI in most cases are still unknown.

Early diagnosis and preventive treatment of LTBI are an effective means to eliminate the bacteria and reduce the risk of TB in immunocompromised patients. Currently, the preferred diagnostic tools for LTBI remain tuberculin skin testing (TST) or interferon-γ release assay (IGRA). IGRA, based on cellular immunity, was tested to confirm whether people infected with bacteria by detecting the release of IFN-γ to specific antigen of *M. tuberculosis*. Compared with traditional TST, IGRA has higher specificity and sensitivity, and has been widely used in clinical detection of TB. However, they can only detect the infection of TB bacilli, but cannot reflect the risk of developing active TB.

Recently, evidence from researches has demonstrated the importance of genetic factors in the development of active TB, but the mechanism of genetic susceptibility for TB remains largely unknown. Since humans are the natural hosts for *M. tuberculosis*, the precise etiology of infection cannot be studied in any other animal models.

To understand what actives TB, we analyzed the blood gene expression profiles of 69 latent TB patients and 54 active pulmonary TB (PTB) patients. With advanced feature selection methods, including minimal redundancy maximal relevance (mRMR) and incremental feature selection (IFS), 24 genes were identified as discriminative between latent and active TB patients. What is more, a support vector machine (SVM) classifier for active TB prediction was built based on these 24 genes and its sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were measured using microarray of Illumina HumanHT-12 V3.0 expression beadchip. There were 48,803 probes corresponding to 25,153 genes. The probes corresponding to the same gene were averaged. The gene expression matrix was quantile normalized.

### Identify the genes that are related to the activation of TB

As a basic problem in bioinformatics, many methods have been proposed to identify the phenotype-related genes or proteins. One of these methods, mRMR, has been widely used and proved to be effective.

The mRMR method is different from univariate statistical test. To explain its principle, let us use $Ω$ to denote all the 25,153 genes, $Ω_g$ to denote the selected $m$ genes, and $Ω_s$ to denote the to be selected $n$ genes. First, the relevance of gene $g$ from $Ω_g$ with the activeness of TB $l$ was evaluated with mutual information ($I$) equation:

$$I(g,l)$$

Then, the average redundancy of gene $g$ with the already selected genes was

$$\frac{1}{m}\left( \sum_{g_i \in \Omega_s} I(g,g_i) \right)$$

At last, to consider both maximum relevance and minimum redundancy, the goal of this algorithm was to find the best gene $g$ from to maximize the function below in which the first part meant relevance and the second represented redundancy

$$\max_{g \in \Omega_s} \left[ I(g,l) - \frac{1}{m}\left( \sum_{g_j \in \Omega_s} I(g_j,g) \right) \right] (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$$
After 25,153 rounds of optimization, all the 25,153 genes can be ranked as

\[ S = \{g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_{25153} \} \quad (4) \]

In this gene list, the top ranked ones were discriminative. We considered the top 500 mRMR genes as relevant to the activeness of TB. The top 500 mRMR genes are listed in Table S2.

**Find the signature genes for TB activation**

The top 500 mRMR genes were related to TB activation, but the number of genes was too large to detect practically. Therefore, we adopted IFS\textsuperscript{21–27} to get the signature genes for TB activation. Hopefully, a small number of genes were enough to build an actionable predictor for TB activation. The widely used SVM was adopted to construct the classifiers.

During the IFS procedure, the genes were added sequentially based on the mRMR rank, starting with the first mRMR gene, ending with the 500th mRMR gene. In other words, 500 gene sets were selected and 500 SVM classifiers were constructed. Each classifier was evaluated with LOOCV and its sensitivity \((S_n)\), specificity \((S_p)\), and accuracy \((\text{ACC})\) were calculated

\[
S_n = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (5)
\]

\[
S_p = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{ACC} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad (7)
\]

TP, TN, FP, and FN were the number of true active TB, true latent TB, false active TB, and latent TB patients.

Based on the IFS results, we can choose the right gene sets as signature and get acceptable prediction accuracy.

**Results and discussion**

The 500 genes that are related to the activation of TB

Using the mRMR method which considered both the relevance with TB activeness and the redundancy with other genes, we identified 500 genes that were related to the activation of TB. These 500 genes were ranked based on their discriminative ability of latent TB patients and active PTB patients.

**The 24 signature genes for TB activation**

To further narrow down the 500 genes that were related to the activation of TB and obtain a signature for TB activation, we applied the IFS analysis and plotted the IFS curve based on the prediction performances using different number of signature genes (Table S3). As shown in Figure 1, when the top 51 mRMR genes were used, the LOOCV accuracy was the highest, 0.919. But when only 24 genes were used, the accuracy became stable. Therefore, we choose the 24 genes as signature genes for TB activation. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the 24 signature genes for TB activeness prediction were 0.907, 0.913, and 0.911, respectively. The 24 signature genes are given in Table 1 and the confusion matrix of their prediction performance is shown in Table 2.

To explore the expression levels of these 24 signature genes in latent TB patients and active PTB patients, we plotted their heatmap in Figure 2. It can be seen that most of the latent and active TB patients were clustered into the right groups. TMEM51, TSPO, CD36, CYBB, LOXL3, CYB561, GPR63, LYRM1, and STAT1 were highly expressed in active TB patients while SLC9A3R1, TUSC4, ZNF91, HNRNPD, ...
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Table 1 The 24 signature genes for TB activation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>mRMR score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HNRNP1D</td>
<td>Anaphase promoting complex subunit 1</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CYBB</td>
<td>B-cell scaffold protein with ankyrin repeats 1</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TSPO</td>
<td>Ribosomal I24 domain containing I</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SLC9A3R1</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase 5B</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LOXL3</td>
<td>CD36 molecule</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CASB</td>
<td>Cytochrome b561</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>GPR63</td>
<td>Cytochrome b-245 beta chain</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C15orf15</td>
<td>EPH receptor A4</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FNBP4</td>
<td>Formin binding protein 4</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>EPHA4</td>
<td>G protein-coupled receptor 63</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ANAPC1</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein D</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>QSOX2</td>
<td>Family with sequence similarity 214 member a</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>NELL2</td>
<td>Lysyl oxidase like 3</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LYRM1</td>
<td>Lyr motif containing 1</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>KIAA1370</td>
<td>Neural EGFL like 2</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ZNF91</td>
<td>Protein kinase C theta</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>TMEM51</td>
<td>Quiescin sulfhydryl oxidase 2</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>TRIB2</td>
<td>SLC9A3 regulator 1</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>BANK1</td>
<td>Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>TUSC4</td>
<td>Transmembrane protein 51</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CYBS61</td>
<td>Tribbles pseudokinase 2</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>PRKCQ</td>
<td>Translocator protein</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>CD36</td>
<td>Npr2 like, gator1 complex subunit</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>Zinc finger protein 91</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: mRMR, minimal redundancy maximal relevance; TB, tuberculosis.

Table 2 The confusion matrix of the predicted and actual TB activeness based on the 24 signature genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted active TB</th>
<th>Actual active TB</th>
<th>Actual latent TB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted active TB</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted latent TB</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 0.907 Specificity: 0.913 Accuracy: 0.911

Abbreviation: TB, tuberculosis.

PRKCQ, QSOX2, BANK1, EPHA4, C15orf15, NELL2, ANAPC1, FNBP4, TRIB2, CASB, and KIAA1370 were highly expressed in latent TB patients.

The biological analysis of the signature genes for TB activation

We did Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of these 24 signature genes and the results with false discovery rate <0.10 are given in Table 3. The enriched GO biological processes were GO:0001817 regulation of cytokine production, GO:0001816 cytokine production, GO:0051172 negative regulation of nitrogen compound metabolic process, GO:0042592 homeostatic process, GO:0031324 negative regulation of cellular metabolic process, GO:0010243 response to organonitrogen compound, and GO:0010605 negative regulation of macromolecule metabolic process. There have been many reports of the important roles of cytokine in responding to mycobacteria during the activation of TB.28,29

The following genes from Table 1 showed great promise and were discussed.

Gp91phox, encoded by CYBB ranked second in Table 1, is an essential subunit of NADPH oxidase complex. Alterations in macrophage function, such as defects in NADPH oxidase30 and the vitamin D receptor,31 are known as risk factors for mycobacterial infection. Several studies have shown that mutations in CYBB could result in X-linked chronic granulomatous disease with much higher risk of TB,32–34 which is an immunodeficiency caused by defective activity of NADPH oxidase in phagocytes.33,35 Liu et al have also demonstrated the significant correlation between CYBB polymorphisms and decreased risk of TB, particularly among male smokers.36

TSPO ranked third in Table 1 serves as a trans-mitochondrial membrane channel that transports cholesterol and other endogenous ligands.37 It has been reported that the expression of TSPO is highest in steroidogenic tissues, lung, and immune cells like macrophages.38 Immunofluorescence studies made by Foss et al indicated that TSPO was highly expressed in phagocytic cells and CD68(+) macrophages.
Figure 2 The heatmap of the 24 signature genes latent and active TB patients.
Notes: The rows represent genes while the columns represent patients. The green and red columns represent latent and active TB patients, respectively. It can be seen that the latent and active TB patients were clustered into different groups.
Abbreviation: TB, tuberculosis.

Table 3 The enriched GO biological processes for the 24 signature genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO biological process</th>
<th>FDR</th>
<th>Signature genes with this GO annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO:0001817 regulation of cytokine production</td>
<td>0.0373</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, CYBB, PRKcQ, STAT1, TRIB2, BANK1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0001816 cytokine production</td>
<td>0.0373</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, CYBB, PRKcQ, STAT1, TRIB2, BANK1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0051172 negative regulation of nitrogen compound metabolic process</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, EPHA4, HRNPND, STAT1, ZNF91, SLC9A3R1, TRIB2, BANK1, ANAPC1, LOXL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0042592 homeostatic process</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, CYBB, HRNPND, NELL2, PRKcQ, STAT1, SLC9A3R1, QSOX2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0031324 negative regulation of cellular metabolic process</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, EPHA4, HRNPND, STAT1, ZNF91, SLC9A3R1, TRIB2, BANK1, ANAPC1, LOXL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0010243 response to organonitrogen compound compound process</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, CYBB, EPHA4, HRNPND, PRKcQ, STAT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0010605 negative regulation of macromolecule metabolic process</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>TSPO, CD36, EPHA4, HRNPND, STAT1, ZNF91, SLC9A3R1, TRIB2, BANK1, ANAPC1, LOXL3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate; GO, Gene Ontology.

within TB lesions. The increased expression of TSPO will lead to macrophages activation, which is a pivotal component of TB-associated inflammation. In PTB, a synthetic ligand for TSPO, radioiodinated DPA-713, is found to be upregulated in activated macrophages.

CD36 ranked 23rd in Table 1 is a membrane glycoprotein that exist in various cells, including macrophages, monocytes, adipocytes, and platelets. It has been implicated in multiple cellular processes and defined as a multiligand scavenger receptor that mediates fatty acid transport, phagocytosis, and inflammation in response to a variety of pathogens, including mycobacteria. CD36 facilitates surfactant lipid uptake which can be exploited by M. tuberculosis for growth. Lao et al suggested that rs1194182 and rs10499859, two SNPs of CD36, may reduce the risk of PTB, indicating CD36 as an important biomarker for PTB. Hawkes et al observed that deficiency of CD36 reduces the susceptibility of mice to mycobacterial infection. In addition, CD36 deficiency of
macrophages inhibits the growth of many mycobacterial species in vitro, demonstrating that deficiency of CD36 plays a role in the resistance to mycobacterial infection.45

STAT1 ranked 24th in Table 1, is a member of the STAT protein family and thought to be an important mediator in response to IFN-γ and host defense against M. tuberculosis.46,47 IFN-γ activates macrophages to kill multiple pathogens but cannot activate macrophages to kill M. tuberculosis.48 Much recent evidence shows that M. tuberculosis infection inhibited IFN-γ signaling via blocking several responses to IFN-γ, such as induction of FcγRI,49 and the dysfunction of macrophages in response to IFN-γ depends on an altered regulatory mechanism in STAT1 signaling pathway.50 Sugawara et al discovered that STAT1 knockout mice have higher susceptibility to pulmonary mycobacterial infection in mice, indicating that STAT1 appears to be a key transcription factor in resisting mycobacterial infection.51

The proteomics pattern of the signature genes
Marakalala et al measured the granulomas proteomes of TB patients using mass spectrometry and confocal microscopy.52 They identified 4,406 proteins that were expressed in caseous granuloma caseum, cavitary granuloma cells, cavitary granuloma caseum, and solid granuloma cells. We mapped our signatures onto their proteomics results. Within the 24 signature genes, 6 of them were detected by Marakalala et al. Their log2 label-free quantification intensities in caseous granuloma caseum, cavitary granuloma cells, cavitary granuloma caseum, and solid granuloma cells were shown in Table 4. HNRNPD, CYBB, TSPO, SLC9A3R1, FNBP4, and STAT1 are important on both mRNA and protein levels.

Conclusion
As a pulmonary infectious disease caused by M. tuberculosis, TB is a serious threat and can spread through coughing, sneezing, or other ways. But not all infected patients exhibit symptoms. Some patients were active while others were latent. Which factors or genes trigger TB activation is key to understand TB. By analysis, the blood gene expression profiles of 69 latent TB patients and 54 active PTB patients, we found 24 signature genes that can predict TB activeness. In-depth analysis of these 24 genes suggested that cytokine production was a key process during TB activation. Signature genes including CYBB, TSPO, CD36, and STAT1 worth to be further investigated.
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Table 4 The log2 label-free quantification intensity of signature genes in caseous granuloma caseum, cavitary granuloma cells, cavitary granuloma caseum, and solid granuloma cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Caseous granuloma caseum</th>
<th>Cavitary granuloma cells</th>
<th>Cavitary granuloma caseum</th>
<th>Solid granuloma cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPD</td>
<td>28.41</td>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>27.26</td>
<td>29.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYBB</td>
<td>28.66</td>
<td>26.68</td>
<td>29.95</td>
<td>25.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSPO</td>
<td>27.96</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC9A3R1</td>
<td>25.35</td>
<td>26.53</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>26.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNBP4</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>21.88</td>
<td>20.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>28.98</td>
<td>29.07</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>29.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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