Polyphenols from grape pomace induce osteogenic differentiation in mesenchymal stem cells
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Abstract. Polyphenols are increasingly investigated for the treatment of periodontitis and research on their use in dental biomaterials is currently being conducted. Grape pomace extracts are a rich source of polyphenols. In the present study, the polyphenols of two different types of grape pomace were characterized and identified by high-performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector, and the effect of polyphenol-rich grape pomace extracts on mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) osteogenic differentiation was investigated. Solid-liquid extraction was used to recover polyphenols from red and white grape pomace. The two extracts have been characterized through the phenolic content and antioxidant power. Human MSCs (hMSCs) from the bone marrow were cultured both with and without given amounts (10 or 20 µg/ml) of the obtained pomace extracts. Their effects on cell differentiation were evaluated by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction, compared with relevant controls. Results showed that both pomace extracts, albeit different in phenolic composition and concentration, induced multiple effects on hMSC gene expression, such as a decreased receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B ligand/osteoprotegerin ratio and an enhanced expression of genes involved in osteoblast differentiation, thus suggesting a shift of hMSCs towards osteoblast differentiation. The obtained results provided data in favor of the exploitation of polyphenol properties from grape pomace extracts as complementary active molecules for dental materials and devices for bone regeneration in periodontal defects.

Introduction

The regenerative potential of polyphenols is attracting increasing attention in the field of oral health, thanks to their anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant properties ascribed to their molecular structure. Flavonoids, a major subclass of polyphenols, have an anti-inflammatory action and are capable of modulating the host inflammatory response (1,2). Clinical evidence has shown that flavonoids have beneficial effects on periodontitis (1,3), an oral inflammatory disease of polymicrobial origin that causes the destruction of gingival connective tissue and the alveolar bone supporting the teeth. Periodontitis affects 30% of adults (4). Cell biology and in vivo rodent model studies (5-7) have revealed a multiplicity of effects exerted by flavonoids on periodontal cells and tissues, including the regulation of the inflammatory response in periodontal components, and potential preserving effects on periodontal ligaments and alveolar bone tissues (8). Potentially beneficial effects of flavonoids have been reported in various periodontal cells, as well as alveolar bone-maintaining osteoblasts. Proanthocyanidins have been shown to exert protective effects against oxidative stress and periodontitis, both in vitro and in vivo (9,10). Multiple study findings have shown that polyphenols can counteract the shift towards osteoclastogenesis in bone-loss pathologies (11-14).

In addition to the role played by the molecular structure of polyphenols in controlling inflammation and related tissue-protection mechanisms, mounting evidence has suggested their active involvement in tissue formation. With regards to, for example, bone tissue, it has been shown that polyphenols exert effects on osteoblasts by involving different signaling pathways, such as Wingless-INT/β-catenin (15), insulin-like growth factor (16), bone morphogenetic proteins (17), Runx-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) (18) and Osterix (19). Furthermore, due to a structural similarity to
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mammalian estrogens, some polyphenols, such as isoflavones, are also called phytoestrogens and are able to bind to estrogen receptors (ERs) α and β, thus acting as hormone analogs with different agonistic or antagonistic actions, depending on the tissue (20). The role of polyphenols in different mechanisms of bone formation has recently been reviewed (21,22).

These aforementioned properties make polyphenol molecules of particular interest to dental materials and devices. Presently in oral surgery, most dental biomaterials, including bone fillers, work through a simple scaffolding effect, providing osteoconduction (i.e., adhesion and growth of osteogenic cells, but no direct osteoinduction, such as triggering of mechanisms of new bone formation) (23). In a clinical setting, dental implants stimulate new bone formation through a physical effect, namely through a controlled surface roughness (24). The emerging field of biomolecular modifications of bone implants and dental biomaterials aims at enhancing the host tissue response through biologically active molecules delivered from the device or linked to the device surface (25-29). The biomolecular modification of dental devices and materials through polyphenols from different sources is being investigated (30-38).

A key-issue in the exploitation of polyphenol properties is their source. Polyphenol-rich pomace extracts (PRPEs), obtained through straightforward solid-liquid extraction (39-41), are of particular interest, due to availability, as well as economical and ethical reasons. The heterogeneity of the mixture makes these extracts extremely interesting, since it is possible to take advantage of the potential of a large number of polyphenolic classes.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of 2 PRPEs, very different in terms of molecular composition and content, on hMSC osteogenic commitment. The first PRPE is obtained from Croatina, a red grape variety very rich in proanthocyanidins, whereas the second one is obtained from Arneis, a white grape characterized by the absence of proanthocyanidins and richness in phenolic acids. Red grapes notoriously contain a greater amount of polyphenols than white ones (42-44). However, in the making of Arneis wine (white wine making), once grapes are pressed, skins are removed in order to obtain a juice fermented without skin contact. Hence, skin polyphenols are not extracted and remain in the pomace. Conversely, the making of wine from Croatina (red wine making) involves the fermentation of pulp together with the grape skins, which give wine its typical color through the extraction of a fraction of the polyphenols they contain, rendering the pomace partially deprived of skin polyphenols.

In particular, it was demonstrated that, through specific classes of phenolic compounds identified in the 2 grape-pomace extracts, it is possible to induce a specific biomolecular response in human MSCs (hMSCs). The present study aimed at setting the basis for conscious design of bone implant dental devices and materials through biomolecular modification involving specific PRPEs, obtained from winery byproducts and, specifically, from the Croatina and Arneis varieties. This aspect represents the novelty of this study, in fact the use of an original complex mixture of polyphenols was shown to induce the same results of osteogenic differentiation in MSCs elicited by single phenolic standards or a mixture of them (45-50).

Materials and methods

Standards and chemicals. All chemicals were analytical-reagent grade and the water was distilled prior to use (Milli-Q Advantage A10; EMD Millipore). Chemicals, including acetone, acetic acid, hydrochloric acid, Folin-Ciocalteu (FC) reagent, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), sodium carbonate, sodium bisulphite, gallic acid (GA), quercetin, rutin, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid and malvidin-3-glucoside, were all purchased from Merck KGaA. Grape pomace was purchased from local winery producers; red grape (Croatina) from Alemat (Ponzano Monferrato) and white grape (Arneis) from Tenuta Carretta (Piobesi d’Alba).

PRPE. Grape pomace was collected and stored at -20°C under vacuum until the beginning of the extraction process. A multi-residual control was performed on both PRPEs following the UNI EN 15662:2009 methods, to avoid any collateral effect on cells due to possible residual pesticides. Prior to extraction, they were washed with acidified water, dried in a circulating-air oven (37°C±5°C-UN260; Memmert GmbH + Co.KG) and grinded in a bladed mill (GM 200; Retsch GmbH). The milled grape pomace (300 g) was extracted from 2,000 ml of 50:50 acetone:water (v/v) using an automatic extractor (TIMATIC Micro C, Tecnolab). The extraction cycle is fully automatic and alternates a dynamic phase, obtained in programmed pressure, and a static phase, in which a forced percolation is generated, thus ensuring a continuous solvent flow to the interior of the plant matrix and avoiding oversaturation, thanks to the programmable recirculation.

Next, the obtained solution was concentrated in a rotavap (Laborota 4001) under reduced pressure (and maintained in the fridge between 2-4°C).

In the present study, two different PRPEs were prepared: One from white (Arneis) and the other from red (Croatina) grape pomace. After the total phenolic content measurements were obtained, PRPEs were diluted in water to reach a common concentration of 1 mg/ml GA equivalents (GAE).

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled with diode array detector (DAD). PRPEs from Arneis and Croatina have been characterized using HPLC Shimadzu LC 2010 AHT equipped with Shimadzu SPD-M10AVP DAD. PRPEs were filtered using 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters and analyzed using a C8 Luna column (150x4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size) from Phenomenex operated at 25°C. The mobile phase consisted of 2% (v/v) acetic acid in water (mobile phase A) and 0.5% acetic acid in water and acetonitrile (50:50 v/v) (mobile phase B). The gradient program shown in Table I was used, at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min and a total running time of 123 min (51). The injection volume was 10 µl and the DA recorded spectra at 200-600 nm. The quantification of individual polyphenols was performed using calibration curves of the corresponding reference compounds. GA (280 nm), quercetin (370 nm), rutin (355 nm), caffeic acid (320 nm), p-coumaric acid (370 nm) and malvidin-3-glucoside (520 nm) were dissolved in an ethanol/water solution at concentrations of 1, 5, 10, 50 100, 150 and 200 µg/ml and analyzed using the aforementioned HPLC method. The quantification was performed by applying the standard calibration curve.
Phenolic content. The initial phenolic content of Arneis and Croatina PRPEs was evaluated using the FC method. All extracts were transferred into a 25-ml volumetric flask and diluted with distilled water at a ratio of 1:50. Subsequently, 0.5 g FC reagent was added and mixed for 5 min, and then 1.5 g of 20% anhydrous sodium carbonate (w/v) solution was added. After 2 h, the absorbance was measured at 765 nm, using water as the compensation liquid and a quartz cell (10-mm path length) in a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (T80+, PG Instruments Limited). The absorbance value was used to calculate the concentration of polyphenols using a calibration curve obtained with GA. The results are expressed as mg/ml of GAE, which means the amount of gallic acid needed to have the same polyphenolic concentration of the extract.

Calibration curve: A total of 10 mg GA was diluted in 10 ml water to obtain 1 mg/ml stock solution. Aliquots of stock solution were transferred into a 25-ml volumetric flask and diluted in water at the final concentrations of 0.05, 0.025, 0.01 and 0.005 mg/ml. Each standard solution was prepared according to the procedure described above for the PRPEs; the absorbance was measured under the same condition as for PRPEs.

This method was used to calculate the initial phenolic content and the amount of water needed to dilute the PRPE at a concentration of 1 mg/ml.

Antioxidant power. The ability of water PRPEs (1 mg/ml of GAE) to scavenge the DPPH radical was estimated using the method described by Brand-Williams et al (52). An aliquot of 40 µl PRPEs was added to 1,600 µl water:ethanol 50:50 (v/v) solution. Separately, a DPPH solution (0.1 mg/ml w/v) was prepared in ethanol and 2 ml of this solution was added to the reaction mixture. Next, the solution was well-shaken and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark and absorbance was recorded at 525 nm. The blank solution was made up by a solution of water:ethanol instead of PRPE. The percentage inhibition of the DPPH radical by the samples was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{Reduction} = \left( \frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{eq. 1}
\]

Where \(A_0\) is the absorbance of control sample and \(A_1\) is the absorbance of the test sample. The reduction of the absorbance was used as value to indicate the antioxidant power.

Determination of anthocyanins. The methods of Ribéreau-Gayon and Stonestreet have been widely used to determine the concentration of proanthocyanidins in red wine (53). The determination of anthocyanins of the grape pomace is carried out using two properties stemming from their molecular features: The modification of their colors according to the pH and the transformation into colorless derivatives under the action of certain reagents, such as bisulphite ions. Thus, the variation of the absorbance read at 520 nm following the addition of excess bisulphite ions is proportional to the anthocyanin content.

Briefly, solution A was prepared in a test tube of 50 ml, as follows: Mix 1 ml of PRPE with 1 ml of acidified ethanol solution [0.1% v/v hydrochloric acid (HCl)] and 20 ml HCl solution (2% of HCl in distilled water). Subsequently, 5 ml solution A was mixed in a 25-ml test tube with 2 ml distilled water (solution B); furthermore, 5 ml solution A was mixed with 2 ml sodium bisulphite solution in another 25-ml test tube (concentration of 150 g/l; solution C).

Using an UV-Vis spectrophotometer, absorbance at 520 nm was recorded for both solutions B and C, and the absorbance variation was used to calculate the amount of anthocyanins contained in the PRPE. Quantification has been made using a calibration curve made through the standard anthocyanin malvidin-3-glucoside dissolved in an ethanol/water solution at concentrations of 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 500 µg/ml. Malvidin-3-glucoside solutions have been analyzed using the aforementioned method. The linearity of the absorbance-concentration curve was verified at 0-300 µg/ml (R²=0.999). Results are expressed as µg/ml of malvidin-3-glucoside equivalent.

Cell culture. hMSCs, frozen following the first passage in culture, were purchased from Stemcell Technologies, Inc. (cat. no. 70022; Human Bone Marrow Stromal Cells, Primary human cells, Cryo, 7.5x10⁵ cells). Cells were obtained using Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved consent forms and protocols (BIOMED IRB-Bone marrow collection for therapeutic or non-therapeutic use-Protocol number 701-01). On receipt, they were cultured at standard conditions of 37°C and 5% CO₂, and maintained in Mesencult™ Proliferation medium was changed and replaced with new medium. In each plate, the first column (3 wells) of the plate was used as the control and did not receive any further supplement. Columns 2 and 3 received 10 µg/ml Arneis and Croatina PRPEs, respectively. A further control was obtained by culturing hMSC in osteogenic growth medium (cat. no. 05465, Mesencult™ Osteogenic Diff kit; Human; Stemcell Technologies, Inc.) in the fourth column of the plate.

At the first experimental time point (5 days), one plate was used for RT-qPCR analysis, as described in the next paragraph. The second plate received 0.5 ml fresh medium and relevant analysis was performed on day 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>MPA (%)</th>
<th>MPB (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-35</td>
<td>100→95</td>
<td>0→5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-80</td>
<td>95→80</td>
<td>5→20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-110</td>
<td>80→0</td>
<td>20→100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113-123</td>
<td>0→100</td>
<td>100→0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPA, mobile phase A; MPB, mobile phase B.
Based on the obtained results, as described in the relevant section, a further experiment was planned, using the same general approach but working at two different PRPE concentrations (10 and 20 µg/ml). A dedicated 12-well plate for each concentration and each experimental time point (total 4 12-well plates) was prepared according to the experimental scheme described for the preliminary experiment. Measurements were performed after 48 h and 7 days.

**RT-qPCR analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from hMSCs using the Maxwell® RSC simply RNA Cells kit (Promega Corporation) in the Maxwell® RSC instrument (Promega Corporation), following the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA quantification was performed using the Quantifluor system kit in the Quanta Fluorometer (both from Promega Corporation) and the obtained total RNA was reverse-transcribed using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit in the Thermal Cycler 2720 (both from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at the following conditions: 10 min at 25°C, 120 min at 37°C, 5 min at 85°C and were maintained at 4°C until further experimentation. RT-qPCR was performed, following the Fast running protocol of the TaqMan® FastAdvanced Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), in the QuantStudio 5 Real Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) using designed TaqMan® assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to quantify gene expression of human tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein ζ (YWHAZ; Hs00304428_g1), a 1 type 1 collagen (Coll1a1; Hs00164004_m1), alkaline phosphatase (ALPL; Hs01029144_m1), osteocalcin (OCN; Hs00609452_g1), osteonectin (SPARC; Hs00234160_m1), metalloprotease (MMP)1 (Hs00899658_m1), tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP)1 (Hs01092512_g1), Runx2 (Hs01047976_m1), BMP2 (Hs00154192_m1), integrin-binding sialoprotein (IBSP) (Hs00173720_m1), receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B ligand (RANKL; Hs00243519_m1) and osteoprotegerin (OPG; Hs00900358_m1), and all transcripts were normalized to YWHAZ. Data have been normalized using the comparative threshold cycle (ΔΔCq) method (54).

**Statistical analysis.** Experimental data were analyzed using PAST version 3.18 (55) and results are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean from 3 independent experiments (3 wells for each set of data). Statistical differences between groups were analysed using two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Grape pomace extract characterization.** Prior to the in vitro study, a prerequisite was the identification and quantification of the polyphenolic pattern by different techniques. Two different types of grape pomace were used as a source of polyphenols: Arneis and Croatina. Analysis of phenolic content showed an initial amount of 3.6 mg/ml of GAE for Croatina PRPE and 5.5 mg/ml of GAE for Arneis PRPE. The detection of a higher GAE value in white grape pomace is likely the outcome of the mentioned different technique of white vs. red wine-making. Starting from that value, the two extracts were diluted with water to reach the same amount of phenolic content, equal to 1 mg/ml GAE and then HPLC-DAD analysis and related antioxidant power were performed.

The analysis of the UV-Vis spectra of the peaks found in the chromatograms allowed the classification of the separated peaks (Figs. S1 and S2) in different classes: Phenolic acid and flavonoids, which exhibit an absorbance maximum of 277-280 nm, hydroxycinnamic acid of 313-330 nm with sometimes a shoulder of ~290 nm, flavonols of 350-385 nm and anthocyanidines, which show an absorbance maximum of 280-320 nm with specific absorbance at 525 nm (56).

The different composition of PRPEs (1 mg/ml of GAE) is well represented in Figs. 1 and 2, which contain the chromatograms of the two extracts, obtained through HPLC-DAD.

The baseline drift is one of the issues of the HPLC analysis and, aiming at reducing it, the use of a gradient characterized by the same solvent used both at the beginning and at the end of the analysis and that has a low absorbance cut-off is recommended (57,58).

In order to reduce the baseline drift, in the present analysis acetonitrile was used as solvent, which has a low cut-off wavelength and is different from the absorbance wavelength of the compound (>280 nm). This resulted in a very low baseline drift at the wavelength of 280 nm (Figs. 1 and 2). The chromatograms corresponding to the Croatina extract (Fig. 2) at the wavelengths of 320, 355, 370 and 520 nm seem to have a more evident baseline drift corresponding to the Arneis extract (Fig. 1) but this is due to the y axis scale (intensity) which is smaller and, as a consequence, amplified.

The chromatograms corresponding to the 2 grape PRPEs showed a particular and distinctive phenolic profile, with a good separation that created the fingerprint of the extracted residual phenolics. Using different standard solutions, it was possible to identify and quantify the specific polyphenols for each extract: Quercetin, rutin, GA, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid and malvidin-3-glucoside (Table II).

The obtained results showed a significant difference in the amount of phenolic acid: The amount of GA was 9.42 µg/ml for Croatina and 2.83 µg/ml for Arneis. The amount of the quercetin flavonol was similar in the 2 extracts, whereas that of rutin, a flavonoid glycoside, was significantly increased in the Arneis PRPE, as compared to Croatina PRPE (18.07 and 0.19 µg/ml, respectively).

Both extracts contained a similar amount of caffeic and coumaric acid (see Table II). Croatina PRPE is mainly composed of anthocyanidines. As seen in Table II, the amount of malvidin-3-glucoside found in the chromatogram of Croatina PRPE is 37.2 µg/ml, as compared with the 0 µg/ml of Arneis PRPE.

The total amount of anthocyanins was further determined using the Ribéreau-Gayon and Stonestreet methods (53), which exploit the bleaching potential of sodium bisulphite, which reveals that 446.7 µg/ml of anthocyanins are present in Croatina PRPE, whereas ~ 3.7 µg/ml of anthocyanins are present in Arneis PRPE (Table III).

The free radical scavenging capacity of both extracts has also been evaluated by DPPH assay, which is considered a valid, accurate, easy and economic method to evaluate the radical scavenging activity of antioxidants, since the radical
compound is stable and needs not to be generated. The antioxidant power for both PRPEs at 1 mg/ml of GAE was analyzed. Results reported in Table IV showed that PRPEs with the same phenolic content can reduce radicals with a different efficacy. In particular, Arneis PRPE reduces radicals by 41.8%, whereas croatina PRPE reduced by 18.5%, suggesting that, with the same polyphenolic content (1 mg/ml), the molecules contained in pomace from Arneis exert a higher antioxidant power, as compared with pomace from croatina.

Gene expression analysis by RT-qPCR. The qPCR experiment was set up following a series of preliminary screening tests, in order to determine the best concentrations of polyphenols extracts at different time points in terms of cell viability and biological activity (data not shown). In particular, for the evaluation of cell viability depending on PRPEs concentrations and time, the MTT assay was used, followed by RT-qPCR to evaluate gene expression analysis as a response of cells to the not cytotoxic concentrations of PRPEs.

The expression of genes associated with hMSC differentiation into osteoblasts was examined by RT-qPCR, however the absence of experiments investigating both the molecular mechanisms involved in the observed polyphenols actions and the protein levels is a limitation of the present study. The first experiment, at two different time points (5 and 12 days), was carried out with a low concentration (LC=10 µg/ml) of extracts, as compared with a control containing hMSCs incubated in basal growth medium for 5 days. An osteogenic treatment on hMSCs, grown in osteogenic growth medium (OGM), was also administered to further investigate the differentiation potential of the two grape PRPEs. Incubation of hMSCs with both low-concentration (LC) PRPEs resulted in an increase of the expression levels of genes involved in the induction of osteogenic differentiation, such as BMP2 and Runx2 at both time points, as compared with negative control and osteogenic cells (Fig. 3).

Specifically, BMP2 gene expression in hMSCs treated with LC Arneis PRPE, increased by 1.7 at 5 days and 2.4-fold at 12 days (not significant), whereas the Runx2 expression increased by 2.7-fold (P<0.001) at 5 days and 3.9-fold (P<0.0001) at 12 days, as compared with hMSCs at 5 days. Furthermore, the expression value of cells with LC Arneis PRPE at 12 days, is also greater than that of osteogenic cells at 12 days (P<0.0001).

Unexpectedly, for cells incubated with LC croatina PRPE, analysis of IBSP showed a significantly increased gene expression (1.6-fold) at 5 days, as compared with the control (P<0.05) and osteogenic cells (P<0.0001) at 5 days, and to osteogenic cells at 12 days (P<0.0001). Furthermore, LC Arneis PRPE significantly induced IBSP expression at both time points, as compared with osteogenic cells (P<0.05, at 5 days and P<0.0001 at 12 days; Fig. 3).
These promising results led the current study to further investigate additional genes and evaluate whether the effects seen on hMSCs, following incubation for 48 h and 7 days with the two tested PRPEs, were dose-dependent; two concentrations, namely LC and high concentration (HC=20 µg/ml), were therefore used.

Enhancement of bone matrix protein expression of genes such as Col1a1 and SPARC can be observed in cells incubated with LC Arneis PRPE (Col1a1, 1.9-fold at 48 h, P<0.001; 4.7-fold at 7 days, P<0.0001; SPARC, 1.7-fold at 48 h, P<0.001; 4.7-fold at 7 days, P<0.0001) and in HC Arneis PRPE (Col1a1, 2.1-fold at 48 h, P<0.0001; SPARC, 2.1-fold at 48 h, P<0.0001), as compared with the control (Fig. 4).

Of note, as compared with osteogenic cells, those incubated with Arneis PRPE had a significantly increased Col1a1 expression (LC at 7 days, P<0.0001) and SPARC levels (LC at 48 h P<0.05, at 7 days P<0.0001; HC at 48 h P<0.001, at 7 days, P<0.0001), whereas cells with Croatina PRPE only showed a significant increase of SPARC (LC and HC at 48 h and 7 days, P<0.0001; Fig. 4). Analysis of mineralization-related genes such as ALPL and OCN showed a significant increase of OCN expression in cells incubated with Arneis PRPE (HC at 48 h; P<0.001), as compared with the control (Fig. 5), whereas no differences were found for ALPL expression (Fig. S3).

Given that the osteogenic differentiation process is also influenced by the perturbation of subtle equilibria, the opposite actions of MMPs and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) have been investigated. In particular, as compared with the control, only LC Arneis PRPE at 48 h showed a reduced MMP1 expression (0.1-fold; P<0.01), whereas the TIMP1 expression was significantly increased in all PRPEs at 7 days, as compared with cells grown in OGM (LC Arneis, P<0.0001; LC Croatina, P<0.0001; HC Arneis, P<0.001; HC Croatina, P<0.0001; Fig. 4). Particularly interesting was the analysis of the MMP1/TIMP1 ratio, which the 2 PRPEs decreased at 48 h (Arneis LC, P<0.0001 and HC, P<0.01; Croatina LC, P<0.05 and HC, P<0.01; Table V and Fig. 6).

Furthermore, Runx2 analysis showed increased transcript levels in cells incubated with HC Arneis PRPE (9.5-fold; P<0.0001) and HC Croatina PRPE (5.7-fold; P<0.0001) at 7 days, as compared with control and osteogenic cells (P<0.0001; Fig. 5). Another analyzed gene was BMP2. Only Arneis PRPE induced an increase in the BMP2 expression at LC at 7 days (1.7-fold; P<0.001) and at HC at both time points (48 h, 2.3-fold; 7 days, 2.7-fold; P<0.0001), as compared with the control, with a significant difference also when compared with osteogenic cells, at both time points (48 h, P<0.01; 7 days, P<0.0001; Fig. 5).

IBSP expression analysis highlighted a tendency of cells incubated in LC PRPE Arneis and Croatina and in HC PRPE Croatina (both at 48 h) to maintain a high IBSP expression.
(P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively; Fig. 5), as compared with osteogenic cells. Finally, the gene expression of RANKL and its decoy receptor OPG was evaluated (Fig. 6). In particular, for RANKL levels, only Lc Arneis, at 48 h, induced a statistically significant decrease, as compared with the control (0.3-fold; P<0.01), whereas all PRPEs, at 7 days and at both concentrations, reduced RANKL levels, as compared with OGM cells (Lc Arneis, P<0.0001; Lc croatina, P<0.001; Hc Arneis, P<0.0001; Hc croatina, P<0.001). With regard to the OPG expression, Lc Arneis and croatina at both concentrations at 48 h, showed increased expression levels (Lc Arneis, 2.5-fold; Lc croatina, 1.9-fold; Hc croatina, 1.9-fold), as compared with the control (P<0.001 for all), whereas at 7 days, this enhancing effect was observed only in cells incubated with croatina at both concentrations (Lc, P<0.01 and Hc, P<0.0001; Fig. 6). Of note, as compared with OGM cells at both time points, these results are of particular significance (P<0.0001 for all, at both time points) and, by taking a look at the RANKL/OPG ratio, both concentrations of the 2 PRPEs significantly reduced the RANKL/OPG ratio, as compared with the control at 48 h (LC Arneis, P<0.0001; LC croatina, P<0.0001; HC Arneis, P<0.0001; HC croatina, P<0.01; 7 days, all PRPEs concentrations, P<0.0001) and osteogenic cells at both time points (P<0.0001; Table VI and Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Polyphenols have always been recognized as compounds with health benefits; their well-known anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties make them attractive therapeutic agents for different inflammatory conditions (1). Several studies have investigated their ability to improve and maintain bone health, with different results showing clear positive effects on osteoblast differentiation, bone mass regeneration through the enhancement of osteoblastogenesis and inhibition of osteoclastogenesis and mitigation of bone loss (59,60).

Direct osteoinductive effects of polyphenols on osteoblast differentiation, proliferation and protection have been well documented (21,22) and, as such, several bioactive polyphenols-coated biomaterials have been engineered (30-38), in order to improve osteogenesis and bone mineralization. Despite the different content of phenolic classes in the tested PRPEs, a common trend of hMSc stimulation has been observed, suggesting that widely available, easy-to-obtain PRPEs can play a role in the biochemical modification of dental materials and devices. The PRPEs used in the present study had different chromatograms, suggesting different molecular compositions. In particular, extracts from red grape pomace croatina are characterized by proanthocyanidins, flavonoids (flavonols and flavones) and hydroxycinnamic acids. By contrast, extracts from white grape pomace Arneis are mostly composed by phenolic and hydroxycinnamic acids and contain a lower number of anthocyanins, as compared with croatina PRPE. Proanthocyanidins were investigated *in vivo* by Kojima et al (61) and exhibited an increase of total and cortical bone mass in rat mandibular condyles, in which bone fragility had been induced.

The importance of polyphenols as bioactive molecules is represented by their ability to trigger different cell responses through the activation of diverse biological pathways that ultimately lead to the modulation of inflammation, oxidative stress and cell differentiation; thus their application in dental and oral health could contribute to preventing or treating chronic pathological conditions, such as periodontal disease. In particular, extracts from red grape pomace Croatina are characterized by proanthocyanidins, flavonoids (flavonols and flavones) and hydroxycinnamic acids. By contrast, extracts from white grape pomace Arneis are mostly composed by phenolic and hydroxycinnamic acids and contain a lower number of anthocyanins, as compared with Croatina PRPE. Proanthocyanidins were investigated *in vivo* by Kojima et al (61) and exhibited an increase of total and cortical bone mass in rat mandibular condyles, in which bone fragility had been induced.

The importance of polyphenols as bioactive molecules is represented by their ability to trigger different cell responses through the activation of diverse biological pathways that ultimately lead to the modulation of inflammation, oxidative stress and cell differentiation; thus their application in dental and oral health could contribute to preventing or treating chronic pathological conditions, such as periodontal disease. In particular, polyphenols could enhance periodontal MSC regeneration properties through both the modulation of the inflammatory periodontal environment and the stimulation of osteogenic genes.

In the present study, PRPE actions on hMSCs were investigated by RT-qPCR, in order to understand the effect of different groups of polyphenols on osteogenic differentiation; in fact, in the periodontium, MSCs reside in the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone and differentiate into bone-forming cells.
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Figure 3. Preliminary reverse transcription-quantitative PCR experiment. Gene expression analysis of hMSCs incubated with OGM or LC Arneis and Croatina PRPEs, at 5 and 12 days. Values are expressed as relative expression, compared with the control group. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001 and ****P<0.0001. hMSCs, human mesenchymal cells; OGM, osteogenic growth medium; LC, low concentration; PRPEs, polyphenol-rich pomace extracts; iBSP, integrin-binding sialoprotein; BMP2, bone morphogenetic protein 2; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2.

Figure 4. Gene expression analysis of Col1a1, SPARC, TIMP1 and MMP1 at the mRNA level. Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR data for Col1a1, SPARC, TIMP1 and MMP1 genes obtained after 48 h and 7 days for untreated and treated groups cultured in OGM or Arneis and Croatina PRPEs. Values are expressed as relative expression, compared with the control group. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 and ****P<0.0001. Col1a1, α1 type 1 collagen; SPARC, secreted protein acidic and cysteine rich; TIMP1, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1; MMP1, metalloproteinase 1; OGM, osteogenic growth medium; PRPEs, polyphenol-rich pomace extracts; LC, low concentration; HC, high concentration.
Gene expression analysis of hMSCs provided insight into the effects exerted by PRPEs on the osteogenic differentiation in hMSCs, with promising results that however need to be further explored from a mechanistic point of view.

The present results clearly indicated that polyphenols from Arneis and croatina extracts display interesting osteoinductive properties that result in the activation of BMP2 and Runx2 gene expression, in addition to the stimulation of hMScs towards an early osteoblast differentiation stage, as shown by an increase in the expression of the Col1a1 and SPARC genes [which are involved in the deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) that occurs at the first stages of bone formation] and by the low transcript levels of the mineralization-related genes alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin (OCN; which are expressed at more mature stages of osteoblast differentiation).

In particular, the BMP2 and Runx2 increase was shown to be induced by Arneis PRPE, which is particularly rich in the flavonoid glycoside rutin. Flavonoid glycosides have been shown to possess a high osteogenic potential related to the stimulation of BMP2 and Runx2 gene expression, in addition to the activation of BMP2 and Runx2 gene expression [which are involved in the deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) that occurs at the first stages of bone formation] and by the low transcript levels of the mineralization-related genes alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin (OCN; which are expressed at more mature stages of osteoblast differentiation).

In particular, the BMP2 and Runx2 increase was shown to be induced by Arneis PRPE, which is particularly rich in the flavonoid glycoside rutin. Flavonoid glycosides have been shown to possess a high osteogenic potential related to the stimulation of BMP2 and Runx2 expression (62-67). More generally, polyphenols are able to enhance osteoblast activity and differentiation, by targeting Runx2 through different signaling pathways (16,50,68-70) and by inducing the expression of bone-matrix related genes (71-74). The different extent of BMP2 expression induced by the 2 PRPEs suggested that their different phenolic composition might determine the activation of diverse signaling pathways implicated in the regulation of Runx2 expression. In particular, the higher BMP2 and Runx2 expression in Arneis PRPE, as compared with croatina PRPE, could be ascribed to the higher rutin content in Arneis PRPE, through a possible mechanism involving the modulation of the ER pathway (75). Indeed, rutin has been shown to downregulate the RUNX suppressor genes (76) and exert its osteogenic effect through an ER-mediated mechanism (77), thus providing the basis for hypothesizing that the increased BMP2 expression is induced by Arneis PRPE through the activation of the ER (78). Another factor to consider is the different antioxidant power exhibited by the two extracts. In fact, given the tendency of flavonoids to interact with each other when present in a mixture, the global antioxidant power is the result of synergistic or antagonistic effects between the various possible combinations of molecules (79). In light of this, as already shown by Galanakis et al (80), the lower concentration of anthocyanidins in Arneis PRPE could account for its higher antioxidant potential, as compared with croatina PRPE.

Thanks to their free-radical-scavenging effect, polyphenols from Arneis and, to a lesser extent, croatina PRPEs may exert a pro-osteogenic effect by also regulating mitochondrial stress; in fact during MSC osteogenic differentiation, mitochondria require an increased oxygen consumption rate (81).

To investigate the effect of PRPEs on the inflammation-derived bone resorption, the expression of the key differentiation factor for osteoclastogenesis, RANKL, was analyzed.
The present results showed that RANKL is downregulated by the presence of both PRPEs, with a RANKL/OPG ratio in favor of OPG. This ratio is decreased, as compared with cells in both basal medium and OGM. The greater RANKL/OPG...
ratio observed in cells with OGM, as compared with cells incubated with PRPEs, can be explained by both a time-dependent increase of RANKL expression, induced by different cell maturation stages (82) and by the anti-inflammatory action of polyphenols (83-86), which determined the RANKL down-regulation in cells treated with both PRPEs. Notwithstanding the evidence that RANKL is a member of the tumor necrosis factor family, which is not only involved in osteoclastogenesis but also in the regulation of the immune system (87), the absence of data on direct inflammation is a limitation of this study and needs to be further investigated.

This is of particular importance because osteoclastogenesis requires the signaling involving the mediator of the inflammatory response nuclear factor-κB, activated by RANKL cytokine, to occur (88).

In addition, both PRPEs exhibited a concentration-dependent effect on RANKL/OPG ratio, with a different trend suggesting the presence of multiple and competitive mechanisms, due to the specific phenolic composition. In particular, the higher antioxidant power of Arneis PRPE, due to the different flavonoid: Flavonoid interactions and the presence of rutin, which has been shown to downregulate the expression of RANKL cytokine (86), may also account for the higher RANKL down-regulation, as compared with Croatina PRPE. These results are in line with those present in the literature (89,90).

The investigation of MMP expression also elucidated PRPE anti-inflammatory properties; it was shown that they were involved in ECM remodeling in both normal (91-93) and pathological (94,95) processes. Along with their ECM-degrading activity, which gives them a crucial role in destructive periodontal disease (96), MMPs also display multifaceted properties involved in the regulation of inflammation; in fact they have been shown to be implicated in different inflammatory disorders (97). Furthermore, as the expression of MMPs and their counteracting inhibitors, TIMPs, are differentially modulated according to MSC fate commitment (98), the evaluation of the MMP/TIMP ratio further clarified PRPE action on hMSCs differentiation.

In line with previous studies (99-101), polyphenols from both Arneis and Croatina extracts were able to decrease the MMP/TIMP ratio in the present study and, in particular, as also shown by Gòmez-Florit et al (14), the MMP1/TIMP1 ratio, and to favor hMSCs osteogenic differentiation.

It is thus not surprising that phenolic compounds display a potential for their use in the treatment of periodontitis and, more generally, for restoring and maintaining a healthy oral bone architecture. In fact, thanks to all these positive properties, polyphenols are increasingly considered for use in bone therapeutics, from applications in food and beverages, to pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and biomedical engineering.

The choice of using a mixture of phenolic compounds derived from winery wastes aims to give them new life and valorization, thanks to their content of health-promoting phytochemicals with proved bioactivity in different physiological processes (102). In particular, the grape pomace from a specific grape variety represents a valuable source of polyphenols characterized by a distinctive profile and, possibly, by a specific bioactivity.

It is clear that the different composition of PRPEs determines different cellular responses in the field of bone regeneration and specifically, in the treatment of periodontal disease, with the higher osteogenic potential of Arneis PRPE also depending on concentration. The use of PRPEs is therefore promising in the development of biomedical devices which will be characterized by improved performance, conferred by the presence of such bioactive phenolic molecules and aimed at enhancing bone regeneration. Despite the encouraging results, there are some limitations to this study: First, the preliminary results obtained in terms of mRNA levels need to be confirmed and made consistent at in-depth levels through additional investigations, including the transcriptome and proteome analysis. Second, there is a need to perform in vivo studies in order to evaluate the biocompatibility, bioactivity and safety of PRPEs after a detailed characterization of their biological effects is carried out.

In conclusion, the obtained results showed that widely different PRPEs, heterogeneous molecular mixtures from Arneis and Croatia grape varieties, affect hMSC gene expression, by stimulating differentiation into the osteoblastic lineage. However, further investigations of the protein levels are needed to confirm these promising results. In view of the exploitation of these properties to enhance tissue response to dental implants and biomaterials, the present results highlighted the main challenges that will be faced by further studies, be they surface-immobilization or controlled release.
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