Introduction

Polo-like kinase-1 (Plk1) is a highly conserved kinase with multiple mitotic functions. Plk1 localizes to prometaphase kinetochores and is reduced at metaphase kinetochores, similar to many checkpoint signaling proteins, but Plk1 is not required for spindle checkpoint function. Plk1 is also implicated in stabilizing kinetochore–microtubule attachments, but these attachments are most stable when kinetochore Plk1 levels are low at metaphase. Therefore, it is unclear how Plk1 function at kinetochores can be understood in the context of its dynamic localization. In this paper, we show that Plk1 activity suppresses kinetochore–microtubule dynamics to stabilize initial attachments in prometaphase, and Plk1 removal from kinetochores is necessary to maintain dynamic microtubules in metaphase. Constitutively targeting Plk1 to kinetochores maintained high activity at metaphase, leading to reduced interkinetochore tension and intrakinetochore stretch, a checkpoint-dependent mitotic arrest, and accumulation of microtubule attachment errors. Together, our data show that Plk1 dynamics at kinetochores control two critical mitotic processes: initially establishing correct kinetochore–microtubule attachments and subsequently silencing the spindle checkpoint.

Results and discussion

To address the functional importance of dynamic Plk1 localization at kinetochores, we first tested whether the localization changes affect phosphorylation of a Plk1 substrate. We designed a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)–based phosphorylation sensor to track phosphorylation changes in live cells. A previously designed Plk1 phosphorylation sensor was specific for Plk1 in G2 but was phosphorylated by other kinases in mitosis (Fuller et al., 2008; Macůrek et al., 2008). Therefore, we tested several different substrate sequences from established Plk1 substrates (Johnson et al., 2007) in place of the Myt1 substrate previously used. Of multiple substrates tested, two were phosphorylated in mitosis in a Plk1-dependent manner (Figs. 1 A and S1 [A and B]), and we selected one of these from c-Jun for further experiments. To measure localized phosphorylation dynamics to stabilize initial attachments in prometaphase, and Plk1 removal from kinetochores is necessary to maintain dynamic microtubules in metaphase. Constitutively targeting Plk1 to kinetochores maintained high activity at metaphase, leading to reduced interkinetochore tension and intrakinetochore stretch, a checkpoint-dependent mitotic arrest, and accumulation of microtubule attachment errors. Together, our data show that Plk1 dynamics at kinetochores control two critical mitotic processes: initially establishing correct kinetochore–microtubule attachments and subsequently silencing the spindle checkpoint.
shown for the Aurora B sensor (Liu et al., 2010), which indicates that substrates of both Plk1 and Aurora B are dephosphorylated in a PP1-dependent manner as chromosomes align at metaphase. In addition, removal of Plk1 from kinetochores at metaphase depends on PP1 recruitment (Fig. S1, C and D), consistent with a previous finding that loss of PP2A phosphatase increases Plk1 targeting to prometaphase kinetochores (Foley et al., 2011). In both cases, phosphatase levels are inversely related with Plk1 recruitment, consistent with a phosphorylation-dependent mechanism to regulate Plk1 localization, likely through PBD binding to phosphorylated kinetochore proteins (Elia et al., 2003).

To test the significance of Plk1 substrate dephosphorylation at kinetochores, we designed a strategy to constitutively target Plk1 to kinetochores by fusing the kinase to Hec1 (Fig. 2A). We incorporated the activating Plk1-T210D mutation into this chimeric protein (Hec1-Plk1T210D) to ensure that the kinase would be active (Lee and Erikson, 1997). In cells expressing Hec1-Plk1T210D, KNL1 localized normally, indicating that the outer kinetochore is intact (Fig. S1 F). A kinetochore-targeted Plk1 sensor remained phosphorylated even on aligned chromosomes in these cells, indicating that maintaining Plk1 localization at kinetochores also maintains phosphorylation of Plk1 substrates (Fig. 2B). In contrast, phosphorylation of a kinetochore-targeted Aurora B sensor changes at kinetochores, we fused the sensor to the kinetochore protein Hec1. Cells were treated with nocodazole to depolymerize microtubules, which maintains high Plk1 levels on kinetochores, or analyzed at metaphase when kinetochore Plk1 levels are low. Dephosphorylation of the kinetochore-targeted sensor was high in nocodazole and low in metaphase cells (Fig. 1B), consistent with the differences in Plk1 localization. The dephosphorylation at metaphase likely reflects recruitment of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1; Liu et al., 2010) as well as reduced Plk1 levels.

We used the kinetochore-targeted Plk1 sensor to track phosphorylation dynamics as chromosomes align at metaphase, which is when kinetochore Plk1 levels decrease. For this assay, we arrested cells in mitosis with nocodazole and then removed the nocodazole to allow spindle formation. As kinetochores aligned at metaphase (Fig. 1C), the Plk1 sensor was dephosphorylated (Fig. 1D). For comparison, we also analyzed phosphorylation dynamics for a previously established kinetochore-targeted Aurora B sensor (Welburn et al., 2010), which was dephosphorylated with similar kinetics to the Plk1 sensor (Fig. 1D). We also analyzed both Plk1 localization and phosphorylation of the Plk1 sensor after depletion of the kinetochore protein KNL1 by siRNA, which prevents PP1 recruitment to kinetochores at metaphase (Liu et al., 2010). Dephosphorylation of the Plk1 sensor depends on PP1 recruitment (Fig. S1E), as previously shown for the Aurora B sensor (Liu et al., 2010), which indicates that substrates of both Plk1 and Aurora B are dephosphorylated in a PP1-dependent manner as chromosomes align at metaphase. In addition, removal of Plk1 from kinetochores at metaphase depends on PP1 recruitment (Fig. S1, C and D), consistent with a previous finding that loss of PP2A phosphatase increases Plk1 targeting to prometaphase kinetochores (Foley et al., 2011). In both cases, phosphatase levels are inversely correlated with Plk1 recruitment, consistent with a phosphorylation-dependent mechanism to regulate Plk1 localization, likely through PBD binding to phosphorylated kinetochore proteins (Elia et al., 2003).

To test the significance of Plk1 substrate dephosphorylation at kinetochores, we designed a strategy to constitutively target Plk1 to kinetochores by fusing the kinase to Hec1 (Fig. 2A). We incorporated the activating Plk1-T210D mutation into this chimeric protein (Hec1-Plk1T210D) to ensure that the kinase would be active (Lee and Erikson, 1997). In cells expressing Hec1-Plk1T210D, KNL1 localized normally, indicating that the outer kinetochore is intact (Fig. S1F). A kinetochore-targeted Plk1 sensor remained phosphorylated even on aligned chromosomes in these cells, indicating that maintaining Plk1 localization at kinetochores also maintains phosphorylation of Plk1 substrates (Fig. 2B).
To determine how increased Plk1 activity affects kinetochore function, we repeated the nocodazole washout assay with cells expressing Hec1-Plk1\textsuperscript{T210D} or wild type (wt)–Hec1 as a control. In both cases, kinetochores aligned at the metaphase plate within \( \leq 30 \) min of nocodazole washout, although alignment is more efficient in cells expressing wt-Hec1 compared to those expressing Hec1-Plk1\textsuperscript{T210D} (Fig. S2). Cells expressing either Hec1 or Hec1-Plk1\textsuperscript{T210D} were imaged live after nocodazole washout. Images (C) are maximal intensity projections of confocal z series. Insets are optical sections showing individual kinetochore pairs. Note that Hec1-Plk1\textsuperscript{T210D} localizes to both kinetochores and spindle poles. Metaphase alignment (D) and interkinetochore distance (E) were calculated at each time point (\( n \geq 40 \) kinetochores per time point from multiple cells). (F-I) Cells expressing CENP-T–GFP, together with either Hec1 or Hec1-Plk1\textsuperscript{T210D}, were imaged live at metaphase. Images (F and G) are single confocal planes, and insets show individual kinetochore pairs used for the line scans. Dashed lines indicate estimated Hec1 and CENP-T positions. Distances were calculated between sister kinetochores (H) or between Hec1 and CENP-T within a kinetochore (\( n \geq 80 \) kinetochore pairs from multiple cells; I). AU, arbitrary unit. (C, F, and G) Bars, 5 \( \mu m \).
in cells expressing Hec1-Plk1
T210D as in the nocodazole washout experiment (Fig. 2 E). In contrast, expression of Hec1-Plk1
K82R, a kinase-inactive mutant, did not decrease either interkinetochore tension or intrakinetochore stretch (Fig. S2, D–G). Both interkinetochore tension and intrakinetochore stretch decrease in the presence of either taxol or a low dose of nocodazole (Maresca and Salmon, 2009; Uchida et al., 2009), suggesting that both depend on dynamic microtubules (Khodjakov and Pines, 2010). Therefore, our findings suggest that Plk1 activity at kinetochores regulates microtubule dynamics.

To directly test whether maintaining Plk1 activity at metaphase kinetochores affects microtubule dynamics, we measured microtubule turnover using photoactivatable (PA) GFP-tubulin (PA-GFP-tubulin). After activating a spot within the spindle close to the metaphase plate at t = 0, images show PA-GFP-tubulin or Hec1 or Hec1-Plk1
T210D visualized with mCherry. [B] GFP intensity in the activated spot (white circles in A) was calculated at each time point as the intensity relative to the initial value after activation. The relative GFP intensities were corrected for photobleaching based on a taxol control, averaged over multiple cells [n ≥ 8], and fit with double-exponential decay curves. AU, arbitrary unit. [C] Curve-fitting parameters Kf and Ks represent the fast and slow time constants, respectively.

Figure 3. Persistent Plk1 activity at kinetochores suppresses microtubule dynamics. [A] Cells expressing PA-GFP-tubulin together with either Hec1 or Hec1-Plk1
T210D were imaged live before and after photoactivation of a spot near the metaphase plate at t = 0. Images show PA-GFP-tubulin or Hec1 or Hec1-Plk1
T210D visualized with mCherry. [B] GFP intensity in the activated spot (white circles in A) was calculated at each time point as the intensity relative to the initial value after activation. The relative GFP intensities were corrected for photobleaching based on a taxol control, averaged over multiple cells [n ≥ 8], and fit with double-exponential decay curves. AU, arbitrary unit. [C] Curve-fitting parameters Kf and Ks represent the fast and slow time constants, respectively.

with Hec1-Plk1
T210D (Fig. 2, C and D). Cells expressing wt-Hec1 established interkinetochore tension, measured as the distance between sister kinetochores (1.4 ± 0.1 µm), as the kinetochores aligned. In contrast, cells expressing Hec1-Plk1
T210D failed to establish tension (mean interkinetochore distance 0.9 ± 0.1 µm; Fig. 2 E), even though kinetochores were aligned at the metaphase plate. These results indicate that spindle microtubules fail to exert normal pulling forces on sister kinetochores when Plk1 activity remains high at metaphase kinetochores.

In addition to interkinetochore tension, deformations also occur within kinetochores and have been implicated in spindle checkpoint silencing (Maresca and Salmon, 2009; Uchida et al., 2009). We examined these deformations, referred to as intrakinetochore stretch, using CENP-T tagged at its C terminus with GFP and Hec1 tagged at its C terminus with mCherry to label the inner and outer kinetochore, respectively (Fig. 2, F and G). The positions of CENP-T and Hec1 were determined from the peak fluorescence intensities along a line between two sister kinetochores, and the intrakinetochore stretch was calculated as the distance between Hec1 and CENP-T within a kinetochore. The intrakinetochore stretch was dramatically reduced in cells expressing Hec1-Plk1
T210D (0.020 ± 0.006 µm) compared with control cells expressing wt-Hec1 (0.042 ± 0.008 µm; Fig. 2 I). The interkinetochore tension, calculated as the distance between Hec1 spots of sister kinetochores (Fig. 2 H), was also decreased in cells expressing Hec1-Plk1
T210D as in the nocodazole washout experiment (Fig. 2 E). In contrast, expression of Hec1-Plk1
K82R, a kinase-inactive mutant, did not decrease either interkinetochore tension or intrakinetochore stretch (Fig. S2, D–G). Both interkinetochore tension and intrakinetochore stretch decrease in the presence of either taxol or a low dose of nocodazole (Maresca and Salmon, 2009; Uchida et al., 2009), suggesting that both depend on dynamic microtubules (Khodjakov and Pines, 2010). Therefore, our findings suggest that Plk1 activity at kinetochores regulates microtubule dynamics.

To directly test whether maintaining Plk1 activity at metaphase kinetochores affects microtubule dynamics, we measured microtubule turnover using photoactivatable (PA) GFP-tubulin (PA-GFP-tubulin). After activating a spot within the spindle close to the metaphase plate, we followed the decrease of fluorescence in that spot over time (Fig. 3, A and B). Cells treated with taxol were used as controls to correct for photobleaching, as microtubules are stable in the presence of taxol. The decrease in fluorescence after photoactivation is well fit by a double-exponential curve, with a fast phase representing nonkinetochore microtubules and a slow phase representing the more stable kinetochore microtubules (Mitchison, 1989; Zhai et al., 1995). In cells expressing wt-Hec1, the half-life of kinetochore microtubules was increased in metaphase cells relative to prometaphase (661 vs. 244 s), as expected, and further increased...
containing Plk1 activity at metaphase kinetochores suppresses checkpoint silencing. (B) Bars, 5 µm.

To test whether the suppression of microtubule dynamics and intrakinetochore stretch affects spindle checkpoint silencing, we measured the time spent in metaphase for cells expressing either wt-Hec1 or Hec1-Plk1 T210D. Cells with chromosomes aligned at the metaphase plate were selected and tracked for 60 min to determine the time of anaphase onset (Videos 1 and 2). Only 30% of cells expressing Hec1-Plk1 T210D entered anaphase within 30 min compared with 80% of cells expressing wt-Hec1 (Fig. 4 A). To test whether the metaphase arrest is a result of activation of the spindle checkpoint, we treated cells with reversine, a chemical inhibitor of the Mps1 kinase (Santaguida et al., 2010). Inhibition of Mps1 accelerated progress through metaphase of cells expressing Hec1-Plk1 T210D, consistent with continued checkpoint activation (Fig. S2, H and I). Overall, the effects of increased Plk1 activity at kinetochores are similar in several respects to the effects of taxol: reduced microtubule dynamics, reduced interkinetochore tension and intrakinetochore stretch, and failure to silence the spindle checkpoint.

In addition to effects on the spindle checkpoint, microtubules must be dynamic to allow correction of kinetochore–microtubule attachment errors (Bakhoum et al., 2009a,b). To test whether high Plk1 activity at metaphase kinetochores promotes attachment errors, cells were fixed after brief treatment with calcium to destabilize nonkinetochore microtubules, leaving kinetochore microtubules intact. Cells expressing Hec1-Plk1 T210D contained large numbers of merotelic attachment errors (20.6 ± 1.1 per cell), in which a single kinetochore is attached to both spindle poles, whereas these errors were rare (2.3 ± 0.4 per cell) in cells expressing wt-Hec1 (Fig. 4, B and C). Merotelic errors are often associated with lagging chromosomes in anaphase because the kinetochore is pulled in both directions (Cimini et al., 2001). We examined anaphase segregation in cells that were treated with reversine at metaphase to bypass the checkpoint arrest. Cells expressing Hec1-Plk1 T210D frequently exhibited lagging chromosomes in anaphase (6.3 ± 1.6 per cell) compared with cells expressing wt-Hec1 (0.4 ± 0.2 per cell; Fig. 4 D–F) and Videos 3–5. Merotelic microtubule attachments were also observed in fixed anaphase cells (Fig. S3 A). Together, these findings indicate that maintaining Plk1 activity at metaphase kinetochores disrupts two essential processes in metaphase: spindle checkpoint silencing and correction of merotelic attachment errors.
Figure 5. Plk1 activity at kinetochores is required for efficient formation of stable kinetochore-microtubule attachments. (A–C) Cells expressing PBD-mCherry or untransfected controls were fixed at the indicated time points after nocodazole washout and analyzed for cold-stable microtubules. Images [A] are maximal intensity projections of confocal z series. Insets are optical sections showing individual kinetochores. The PBD-mCherry images are scaled differently in the insets to show kinetochores more clearly. The fraction of aligned kinetochores with cold-stable attachments (B) and the microtubule staining intensities adjacent to kinetochores (C) were determined at each time point (n ≥ 10 cells, n ≥ 30 kinetochores per cell). AU, arbitrary unit. (D) A model showing that Aurora B and Plk1 activities are both high in prometaphase and have opposite effects on kinetochore microtubules, with Aurora B destabilizing and Plk1 stabilizing. In metaphase, both Aurora B and Plk1 activities are reduced at kinetochores, whereas PP1 is recruited. The reduction of Plk1 activity is important for maintaining dynamic microtubules, establishing intrakinetochore stretch and interkinetochore tension, silencing the spindle checkpoint, and correcting attachment errors (which can also occur in prometaphase).

Our findings address the question of why Plk1 is removed from metaphase kinetochores to maintain dynamic microtubules but raise the question of why Plk1 levels are high at prometaphase kinetochores. We considered the possibility that Plk1 activity stabilizes microtubules to promote formation of the initial attachments. To test this idea, we disrupted Plk1 targeting to kinetochores by overexpressing the PBD, which competes with endogenous Plk1 for kinetochore binding (Hanisch et al., 2006). GFP-Plk1 localizes to centrosomes in cells expressing moderate levels of PBD-mCherry but fails to localize to kinetochores (Fig. S3 B), indicating the Plk1 function is specifically disrupted at kinetochores. Furthermore, staining with a phosphospecific antibody showed that phosphorylation of BubR1-S676, a known Plk1 substrate at kinetochores (Elowe et al., 2007), was severely reduced in cells expressing PBD-mCherry, whereas total kinetochore BubR1 levels were unchanged (Fig. S3, C–F). We used the nocodazole washout assay to determine how efficiently kinetochores establish stable microtubule attachments during spindle formation. At time points up to 70 min after nocodazole washout, we measured the fraction of kinetochores aligned at the metaphase plate with cold-stable microtubule fibers and the microtubule staining intensity adjacent to these kinetochores (Fig. 5, A–C). Cells expressing the PBD established cold-stable attachments much more slowly than control cells (Fig. 5 B), with severely reduced tubulin staining at those kinetochores that do have attachments (Fig. 5 C). A previous result showed that cells expressing the PBD contain a few unattached kinetochores (Hanisch et al., 2006), but because PBD overexpression leads to a mitotic arrest, the cells have a long time to establish stable attachments. The nocodazole washout assay provides information about how attachments are stabilized over time, starting from the early stages of spindle assembly. Our results show that stabilization of the initial kinetochore–microtubule interactions in prometaphase depends on Plk1 activity at kinetochores.

Conclusions

Current models for regulation of kinetochore–microtubule interactions focus on tension-dependent changes in Aurora B kinase activity at kinetochores (Kelly and Funabiki, 2009; Santaguida and Musacchio, 2009; Lampson and Cheeseman, 2011). Aurora B has a well-established function in destabilizing attachments, and a large body of work has shown that multiple
Aurora B substrates at kinetochores participate in this process (Kelly and Funabiki, 2009; Lampson and Cheeseman, 2011). Because Aurora B substrates are highly phosphorylated in the low-tension state (DeLuca et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009; Welburn et al., 2010; Salimian et al., 2011), it has been unclear how stable attachments initially form in prometaphase. Our findings provide a resolution to this question: Plk1 activity is high at prometaphase kinetochores and stabilizes kinetochore microtubules to balance the destabilizing activity of Aurora B. Another factor contributing to the initial stabilization is the recruitment of PP2A to prometaphase kinetochores, which can dephosphorylate Aurora B substrates (Foley et al., 2011). Many Plk1 substrates and interacting proteins have been identified at kinetochores (Petronczki et al., 2008; Archambault and Glover, 2009; Hegemann et al., 2011; Kettenbach et al., 2011; Hood et al., 2012), and unraveling their various contributions to regulating microtubule dynamics will be an important subject for future investigation.

Formation of stable attachments depends on Plk1 activity at kinetochores early in mitosis, but subsequent removal of this activity is critical for maintaining dynamic microtubules at bi-oriented kinetochores. Successful completion of mitosis depends on both correcting attachment errors and silencing the spindle checkpoint, and both processes fail if Plk1 activity remains high in metaphase. The increased microtubule stability and merotelic attachments (Figs. 3 and 4) are similar to defects observed in chromosomally unstable cancer cells (Bakhoun et al., 2009a,b) and suggest an explanation for the increased Plk1 expression in cells. Experiments were repeated multiple times (Fuller et al., 2008; Welburn et al., 2010). The amino acid sequence DDAINATPLESEG from c-Jun was used as a Plk1 substrate (Johnson et al., 2007), with Thr replacing Ser at position 17 (italicized) to match the requirement for FHA2 binding (Durocher et al., 2000), instead of the Aurora B substrate sequence in the previous sensors. The second sequence tested was PPSISSLVLVRN from BRCA2, with the Plk1 target Thr207 italicized and Thr203 mutated to Ser to prevent FHA2 binding to multiple sites. The kinetochore-targeted sensors, which contain mTIP1 (TIP) instead of GFP, as previously described (Liu et al., 2009), were fusions to either the N terminus of Hec1 or the C terminus of Mis12. The Hec1 fusion was used for all experiments, except that the Mis12 fusion was used in cells expressing Hec1-1 or Hec1-P1(71210) (Fig. 2 B). The untargeted and kinetochore-targeted fusion to the N terminus of Hec1) Aurora B sensors follow the same basic design but with Aurora B substrate sequences, as previously described (Fuller et al., 2008; Welburn et al., 2010).

Hec1-mCherry was created by replacing GFP with mCherry in vector pEGFP-N1 and inserting Hec1 at the N terminus of mCherry. Hec1-mCherry-P1(71210) (referred to as Hec1-P1(71210)) was created by inserting the human Plk1 T210D mutant (a gift from B.H. Kwok, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at the C terminus of mCherry and Hecl at the N terminus of mCherry in vector pCDNA3.1-GFP. GFP-Plk1 was a gift from D.L. Cheeseman, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA at the N terminus of GFP in the pEGFP-N1 vector. PBDM-cherry was created by inserting amino acids 326–603 of human Plk1 at the N terminus of mCherry in vector pEGFP-N1. PA-GFP-tubulin was a gift from J.M. Murray (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA).

**Live imaging and data analysis**

For live imaging, cells were plated on 22 × 22-mm glass coverslips (no. 1.5; Thermo Fisher Scientific) coated with poly-l-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich). Coverslips were mounted in custom-designed Rose chambers using L-15 medium without phenol red (Invitrogen). Temperature was maintained at ~35°C using either an air stream incubator (ASI 400; Nevtek) or an environmental chamber (Incubator BL; PeCon GmbH). Live imaging of the kinetochore-targeted phosphorylation sensors was performed with a spinning-disk confocal microscope (DM4000; Leica) equipped with a 100× 1.4 NA objective, an XY Piezo-Z stage (Applied Scientific Instrumentation), a scanhead (CU-4; Yokogawa Corporation of America), an electron multiplier charge-coupled device camera (ImageEM; Hamamatsu Photonics), and a laser merge module equipped with 440-, 488-, and 593-nm lasers (LMM5; Spectral Applied Research) controlled by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). TFP was excited at 440 nm, and TFP and YFP emissions were acquired simultaneously with a beam splitter (Dual-View; Optical Insights, LLC). Custom software written in MATLAB (MathWorks) was used for image analysis, as previously described (Fuller et al., 2008). In brief, individual kinetochores were defined automatically from confocal image stacks (five planes, 0.5-µm spacing), and the YFP/TFP emission ratio was calculated at each kinetochore. For single time point analyses, five z planes were acquired with 0.5-µm spacing. To measure dephosphorylation dynamics after nocodazole washout, a single image was taken at each time point to minimize photobleaching. The YFP/TFP emission ratios were calculated and averaged over multiple cells. Experiments were repeated multiple times (n ≥ 5 for Figs. 1 B and 2 B, and n = 2 for Fig. 1 D) with similar results.
Live imaging of untagged phosphorylation sensors was performed on a microscope (DM6000; Leica) with a 40× 1.25 NA objective and a charge-coupled device camera (ORCA-AG; Hamamatsu Photonics) controlled by Meta Morph software. CFP was excited with a CFP excitation filter, and CFP and YFP emissions were acquired sequentially by switching between CFP and YFP emission filters using a filter wheel (Ludl Electronic Products). The YFP/CFP emission ratio in each image was calculated after background subtraction and averaged over multiple cells. Experiments were repeated multiple times (n = 3 for Fig. 1A, and n = 2 for Fig. S1 [A and B]) with similar results.

For nocodazole washout assays, cells were incubated in a low concentration of nocodazole (30 ng/ml) in growth medium for 0.5–1 h to disrupt the spindle. Cells were washed four times with fresh L-15 medium to remove nocodazole at time 0 to allow spindle formation. Using the spinning-disk confocal described in this section, one image was acquired every 5 min for the phosphorylation sensors or one image stack (three planes, 0.5-μm spacing) for Hec1-mCherry or Hec1-mCherry-Plk1 (1:100). Kinetochoorentangle and interkinetochore distances (Fig. 2, D and E) were averaged over multiple cells from three independent experiments.

For interkinetochore distance and intrakinetochorespacing measurements (Fig. 2, F–I), images of CENP-T-GFP and Hec1-mCherry were acquired using the spinning-disk confocal described in this section. Fluorescence intensities of GFP and mCherry were measured along a line between the two sister kinetochores, and the position of peak intensity at each kinetochore was determined manually. The intrakinetochorespacing was calculated as the distance between the GFP peak and the mCherry peak within a single kinetochore. Results were averaged over multiple cells from four independent experiments.

To measure the time to anaphase and the frequency of lagging chromosomes (Fig. 4), cells were imaged every 2 min for 60 min (five z planes, 0.5-μm spacing at each time point) using the spinning-disk confocal described in this section. Lagging chromosomes were counted and averaged over multiple cells from three independent experiments.

Photoactivation assay

Photoactivation experiments were performed with the spinning-disk confocal described in the previous section, using a scanhead with a 405-nm laser (Coherent). Cells transfected with PA-GFP-tubulin together with either Hec1-mCherry-Plk1 or Hec1-mCherry were imaged once before activation to determine the position of the kinetochores followed by activation of spindle microtubules adjacent to the metaphase plate. After activation, one image was acquired every 10 s initially and then every 30 s to minimize photobleaching. Only cells in which the activated spot stayed in focus for the duration of the experiment were further analyzed. Fluorescence intensities were measured at each time point in a circle defined by the size of the activated region using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). Background was subtracted by measuring the same pixel area under a single kinetochore. Results were averaged over multiple cells from four independent experiments.

To measure phosphorylation of BubR1, cells were incubated with nocodazole (100 ng/ml for 30 min), fixed with 4% formaldehyde in 100 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, 10 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.2% Triton X-100, and stained with a phasesspecific antibody against BubR1 S676P (1:1,000; a gift from S. Elowe, Centre de recherche du CHUQ, Quebec, Canada) or an antibody against BubR1 (1:1,000; Abcam) together with CREST antiserum (1:10,000). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 488 and 593 (1:1,000; Invitrogen). KNK1 staining was performed with a rabbit pAb (a gift from J.M. Cheeseman).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows characterization of Plk1 phosphorylation sensors and Hec1-Plk1 (1:100). Fig. S2 shows effects of Hec1-Plk1 (1:120) expression. Fig. S3 shows effects of Hec1-Plk1 (1:120) or PBD-mCherry expression. Video 1 shows a control cell expressing Hec1-mCherry, imaged from metaphase to anaphase. Video 2 shows a cell expressing Hec1-mCherry-Plk1 (1:120), imaged from metaphase for 60 min with no anaphase onset. Video 3 shows a control cell expressing Hec1-mCherry, imaged from metaphase to anaphase with reversine added at t = 0. Video 4 shows a cell expressing Hec1-mCherry-Plk1 (1:120), imaged from metaphase to anaphase with reversine added at t = 0, corresponding to the images shown in Fig. 4. Video 5 shows another example of a cell expressing Hec1-mCherry-Plk1 (1:120), imaged from metaphase to anaphase with reversine added at t = 0. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201205090/DC1.
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