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Abstract

Peripheral veins often contain tortuosities and valves that hinder the effective passage of intravenous catheters to the full extent of catheter length. This report describes a methodology termed flick-spin that has proven efficacious for venous catheter passage in tortuous and valve-rich peripheral veins. The method relies on (1) applying longitudinal tension to the vessel in an attempt to straighten it, (2) rotating or spinning the catheter along its longitudinal axis, and (3) flicking the skin or visible vein just beyond the catheter tip, all during catheter advancement. Additionally, lateral pressure may also be applied to the vessel—ie, proximal to the catheter tip and during catheter advancement—to fine-tune catheter tip direction. The report contains multiple illustrations to communicate the anatomic, physiologic, and technical underpinnings of the technique, as well as instructions for troubleshooting common problems.
within the vein before the catheter enters the valve os, should direct the catheter tip toward the center of the valve os. This should be true whether the situation involves a relatively small-bore catheter in a large-bore vein (in which contact between the catheter and vessel wall are minimal) or a relatively large-bore catheter in a smaller vein (in which contact between the catheter and vessel wall are considerable). In contrast, tortuositities just proximal to the valve may tend to direct the catheter tip toward the junction of the vein wall and valve leafl et attachment, ie, an ideal setup for obstruction to catheter advancement (Figure 1, B). Inasmuch as the location of the first valve encountered can be difficult to identify preemptively, success of catheter advancement intraluminally will be enhanced if we begin by selecting longer, straighter veins or, alternatively, we introduce maneuvers in tortuous veins that will enhance the orientation among the vein, catheter, and valve.

Fortunately, ideal vein selection is the same for the flick-spin technique as for other techniques: it is most desirable to start with a more distal vein and choose one that is more visible, is relatively straighter, has fewer visible valves, and, if possible, has tributary veins feeding into the main vein (to facilitate tethering of the vessel and lessen the chance that the vessel will be displaced or “roll” within soft surrounding tissues). Thereafter, linear traction should be placed on the vein to partially straighten and add tension to it so that its geography remains more stable during cannulation. This tension (if applied in moderation) will also will tend to diminish the lumen diameter so that the catheter will be directed more centrally up the vessel lumen as a result of multiple (or more extensive) contact points with the vessel wall (Figure 1, A), in contrast to intermittently contacting the vessel walls at curve points, which, in turn, will direct the catheter tip toward a vessel wall (Figure 1, B). Tension on the vein can be accomplished by flexing the wrist to add tension to vessels on the dorsum of the hand or by using the thumb of the operator’s nondominant hand to apply retraction to the skin (Figure 2, A-C), beginning distal and lateral to the point where the catheter and its obturator are to enter the skin. Skin traction, in turn, will straighten the vein (Figure 2, C). In conducting this step, it is important to not place the tension-generating thumb in direct line with the vessel because this will increase temptation to use the thumb (typically clad in a nonsterile glove) as a fulcrum for advancing the catheter into the patient’s tissues.

The catheter is then advanced off the obturator and into the vessel lumen using ordinary techniques. If during this maneuver catheter advancement is hindered by vessel tortuosity (Figure 3, A), catheter advancement can be coax ed along by either adjusting the aforementioned linear tension on the vessel or, alternatively, by applying gentle lateral pressure to the concavity of the vein’s curved course.
This latter intervention will tend to realign the catheter’s axis and course with that of the vessel lumen’s course or, alternatively, reinforce the vessel wall so that perforation of the wall by the catheter tip is less likely (Figure 3, B).
The flick-spin maneuver can be introduced either before the catheter tip has actually encountered an area of valve-rich vein or after empirical determination that a valve has interfered with further passage of the catheter within the vessel lumen. If the latter, the catheter (or catheter and obturator as a unit) should be pulled back approximately 1 to 2 mm or until external visual cues suggest that the catheter tip is no longer engaged with the valve. Thereafter, to institute the flick-spin maneuver, the catheter alone, or the catheter sliding along an incompletely removed obturator (which will provide structural support to prevent catheter kinking), can slowly be advanced along its axis and up the vessel lumen, provided distal tension on the vessel is maintained throughout the procedure (Figure 2, C and Figure 4, A). Simultaneously with advancement, the catheter is either spun around its axis or rotated back and forth on its axis (Figure 4, A). Additionally, the index finger of the operator’s nondominant hand is used to flick the skin back and forth near the point overlying the assumed tip of the catheter. Used in concert, vessel tension (with or without intermittent lateral pressure to curves...
in the vessel’s course) along with catheter spinning and flicking the vein and skin (and hence the tip of the catheter within the vein) improve successful negotiation of the catheter through the valve without fracturing or rupturing the vessel wall (Figure 4, B and C). When successful, the catheter can then be advanced to its maximal length within the vessel (Figure 4, C), as would be the case with any other vessel.

A word of caution is in order regarding assessment of whether venous cannulation has been successful. In the most valve-dense peripheral veins, it is possible to have the tip of the catheter pass through one or more valves, only to come to rest beneath the leaflet of yet another valve (Figure 1, B). As such, there may be minimal blood return and no free flow of blood from a recently placed catheter. The latter can often be resolved simply by retracting the catheter by 1 to 2 mm or more, which may ensure either free return of blood or the free passage of fluids under minimal (eg, gravitational) pressure. Another possibility is that the catheter tip has come to rest in a small “lock” involving 2 valves minimally proximal and distal to the catheter tip (Figure 5, A). If this is the case, the first valve encountered will be partially obstructed by the catheter, and any negative pressure applied to the catheter lumen (eg, involving syringe aspiration) will simply serve to seal the downstream valve and collapse the vein (Figure 5, A). Thus, blood flow into the intervalvular vein lumen will cease, and no position-confirming blood will flow into the catheter. In contrast, it is often possible to test the assumption that a lock situation has occurred, and simultaneously open flow through the downstream valve, simply by injecting a small amount of physiologic salt solution under gravitational (from an intravenous line) or gentle supergravitational (from a syringe) pressure to flush open the valve (Figure 5, B). Thereafter, the obstructing valve will typically remain open as long as there is flow (eg, from intravenous fluids) through it.

In my experience, the described flip-spin technique has been successful during hundreds of otherwise failed vein cannulation attempts, typically to the surprise and amusement of patients, students, and seasoned clinicians alike.
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