Oncostatin M and Kit-Ligand Control Hematopoietic Stem Cell Fate during Zebrafish Embryogenesis
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SUMMARY

Understanding the molecular pathways controlling hematopoietic stem cell specification and expansion is a necessary milestone to perform regenerative medicine. Here, we used the zebrafish model to study the role of the ckit signaling pathway in this process. We show the importance of kitb/kitlgb signaling in the specification and expansion of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), in the hemogenic endothelium and caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT), respectively. Moreover, we identified the zebrafish ortholog of Oncostatin M (osm) in the zebrafish genome. We show that the osm/osmr pathway acts upstream of kitb during specification of the hemogenic endothelium, while both pathways act synergistically to expand HSCs in the CHT. Moreover, we found that osm, in addition to its role in promoting HSC proliferation, inhibits HSC commitment to the lymphoid fate. Altogether, our data identified two cytokines, kitlgb and osm, secreted by the vascular niche, that control HSCs during early embryonic development.

INTRODUCTION

Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are multipotent progenitors that sustain blood production from embryonic development to adulthood. Currently, ex vivo HSC expansion and HSC generation from induced pluripotent stem cells are the limiting factors toward achieving personalized cell-based regenerative medicine, creating a need to fully understand the signaling pathways involved in these processes. Definitive hematopoiesis development is very conserved in vertebrates. In both zebrafish and mammals, after primitive hematopoiesis has emerged, definitive hematopoiesis occurs in two waves: first, transient erythro-myeloid precursors (EMPs) arise in the yolk sac and posterior blood island, from mice (Bertrand et al., 2005) and zebrafish (Bertrand et al., 2007), respectively. Then, HSCs are specified from the aortic hemogenic endothelium. In the mouse embryo, HSC specification occurs along the ventral aspect of large arteries, predominantly in the aorta-gonads-mesonephros (AGM) region (Boisset et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2009; Zovein et al., 2008) between embryonic (E) day 9.5 and 11.5. In the zebrafish, HSCs are born at 32–60 hr post fertilization (hpf), from the hemogenic endothelium located in the dorsal aorta (Bertrand et al., 2010; Kiss a and Herbomel, 2010). As the embryo makes a limited number of HSCs, they migrate to a first niche that will allow their expansion. In mouse, this initial expansion occurs in the fetal liver (Ema and Nakauchi, 2000), whereas zebrafish HSCs colonize the caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT) (Tamplin et al., 2015). In both models, HSCs interact with endothelial cells (ECs) that promote their proliferation (Khan et al., 2016; Tamplin et al., 2015). We, and others, have shown that this expansion depends on several cytokines produced by stromal cells and caudal ECs (cECs) (Mahony et al., 2016; Tamplin et al., 2015).

Many studies performed in the mouse model demonstrated the importance of the cKit/KitL pathway in HSC maintenance in vivo, or HSC expansion ex vivo (Ding et al., 2012; Oostendorp et al., 2008). However, little is known about the role of Kit signaling during the emergence of definitive hematopoiesis in the mouse embryo. In zebrafish, the role of kit in hematopoiesis still remains unclear. The zebrafish genome encodes two paralogs, kita and kitb, following genome duplication and possible sub-functionalization (Melgren and Johnson, 2005). Kita, but not kitb, is important for pigmentation and melanocyte development (Hultman et al., 2007) and appears not to be required for hematopoiesis, as adult sparse mutants (kitar−/−) show normal adult hematopoiesis (Parichy et al., 1999). Similarly, there are two paralogs for the stem cell factor (kit-ligand): kitlga is important for melanocyte normal development and, interestingly, kitlgb is expressed in the posterior blood island at 24 hpf (Hultman et al., 2007), strongly suggesting a role for this gene in zebrafish hematopoiesis. Furthermore, we recently showed that kitlgb could rescue the loss of HSCs observed in tfec mutants (Mahony et al., 2016). Kitl also plays a major role in the expansion of human HSCs ex vivo but always in conjunction with other cytokines. Current clinical protocols targeting human HSCs for gene therapies usually use a cytokine cocktail composed of KITL, FLT3L, and TPO (Cavazzana-Calvo et al., 2000; Petzer et al., 1996; Ribeil et al., 2017). However, other cytokines seem to be potent to expand HSCs, such as human Oncostatin M (OSM). Oostendorp and colleagues showed that Kitl together with OSM, but not by itself, could promote the expansion of immature human cord-
blood HSPCs and improve repopulating activity in NOD/SCID mice (Oostendorp et al., 2008). OSM mediated these effects by downregulating the KITL-induced MAPK/ERK pathway to preserve high CD34 expression (Oostendorp et al., 2008). This was further corroborated by studies showing that AGM hematopoiesis was enhanced in vitro by media containing a mix of KIT, OSM, LIF, and FGF (Nishikawa et al., 2001).

OSM is a cytokine belonging to the IL-6 family and has pleiotropic actions in hematopoiesis, inflammation, and neural development (Mukoyama et al., 1998; Rose et al., 1994; Tanaka and Miyajima, 2003). Osm binds a heterodimer that combines the OSM receptor chain (OSMR) and the signaling gp130 chain, which induces the intracellular activation of the JAK/STAT and MAPK pathways (Dey et al., 2013). OSM is expressed in a range of hematopoietic tissues, including thymus, bone marrow (BM), and spleen, and plays a role in the maintenance of hematopoietic progenitors through cell and non-cell autonomous mechanisms (Sato et al., 2014; Tamura et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2003). BM transplantation studies have shown that BM from wild-type (WT) mice transplanted into Osmr-deficient mice results in reduced erythroid/megakaryocytic progenitors. The same effect is observed when BM from Osmr-deficient mice is transplanted into WT mice (Tanaka et al., 2003). In contrast, ectopic overexpression of Osm resulted in enhanced extra-medullary hematopoiesis and increased megakaryocyte and platelet production (Wallace et al., 1995). Moreover, Osmr-deficient mice show a reduced thymus size as well as an accumulation of apoptotic thymocytes, suggesting that a fine balance of Osm signaling is required for correct thymocyte development (Esashi et al., 2009).

In this study, we show that kitb/kitlgb, but not kita/kitlga, is required for HSC specification, emergence, and expansion in the CHT. We also identified the zebrafish ortholog osm, and characterized the function of this cytokine in zebrafish hematopoiesis. By combining different approaches, we show that zebrafish osm retains similar function to its mammalian ortholog and can expand HSCs, but also inhibits lymphoid commitment. We also show that interfering with osmr results in an early block in the specification of the hemogenic endothelium. Finally, we show that osm and kitlgb synergistically enhance HSC proliferation and decrease HSC differentiation.

RESULTS

Kith, but Not kita, Signaling Is Necessary for HSC Specification

To understand the roles of kita and kitb in zebrafish HSC biology, we examined their expression during definitive hematopoiesis. In fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-sorted hematopoietic progenitors (EMPs and HSCs), we found that kita was mildly enriched in HSCs but found a much higher enrichment of kitb in both EMPs and HSCs (Figure 1A), although HSC enrichment was not significant compared with the whole embryo (Figure 1A). To further study these signaling pathways, we injected full-length mRNA for kitlga or kitlgb and knocked down the expression of kita and kitb using morpholinos (Figures S1A–S1D). The injection of kitlga and kitlgb mRNA did not alter cmyb expression at 4 days post fertilization (dpf) (Figure 1B). However, kitb morphants showed reduced cmyb expression at 4 dpf (Figure 1B) and reduced rag1 expression at 4.5 dpf (Figure S1G). This loss of cmyb signal (i.e., loss of HSPCs in the CHT) likely resulted from a defect in HSC specification in kitb morphants, as shown by reduced levels of runx1 and cmyb along the aorta at 28 and 36 hpf, respectively (Figure 1C). However, gata2b expression at 22 hpf was maintained in kitb morphants, indicating that specification of the hemogenic endothelium is not dependent on kitb signaling (Figure 1C). In contrast, the expression of gata2b at 22 hpf, runx1 at 28 hpf, and cmyb at 36 hpf was normal in kita morphants (Figure 1C). The hematopoietic phenotype observed in kitb morphants was identical in kitlgb morphants (Figures 1D, S1E, and S1F). Both kita and kitb morphants displayed normal primitive myelopoiesis as detected by mfap4 (primitive macrophages) and mpx (primitive neutrophils) expression (Figure S1H). Some kitb morphants had a modest reduction in primitive erythrocytes (Figure S1H) and some showed disrupted vasculature development (n = 5/12, data not shown). We also confirmed that kitlga mRNA injection resulted in hyper-pigmentation, while kita morphants had a reduction in the number of melanocytes over the yolk ball (Figures S1I–S1K), in agreement with previous studies (Hultman et al., 2007). In contrast, embryos injected with either kitlgb mRNA or the kitb morpholino showed no alteration of their pigmentation pattern (Figures S1I–S1K). We therefore conclude that kita/kitlga signaling is not required for definitive hematopoiesis but only for melanocyte development as previously suggested. We also conclude that kitb/kitlgb signaling is required for proper HSC specification, likely at the cell autonomous level, but one cannot exclude a non-cell autonomous mechanism, as a consequence of our qPCR data. Moreover, kitlgb gain of function by itself cannot increase HSC expansion, as we previously observed (Mahony et al., 2016). Therefore, we looked for a cytokine that could interact with kitlgb to promote HSC expansion.

Identification of Zebrafish osm

Based on previous human studies, we wanted to investigate the synergy between osm and kitlgb (Oostendorp et al., 2008).
Figure 1. *kitb*, but Not *kita*, Signaling Is Necessary for Correct HSC Specification

(A) qPCR expression of *kita* and *kitb* in FACS-sorted hematopoietic progenitors. *Kita* whole and EMPS, *p* = 0.14. *kita* whole and HSCs, *p* < 0.0001. *kitb* whole and EMPS, *p* = 0.023. *kitb* whole and HSCs, *p* = 0.14. EMPS: double-positive *lmo2:eGFP, gata1:DsRed* cells (28 hpf) (Bertrand et al., 2007). HSCs: double-positive *flk1:mCherry, cmyb:eGFP* cells (36 hpf) (Bertrand et al., 2010). Data are from biological triplicates. Data are means ± SD.

(B) *cmyb* ISH at 4dpf in non-injected control (NI), *kitlga* (injected at 500 pg throughout, unless stated) or *kitlgb* (injected at 200 pg throughout, unless stated) mRNA injected embryos. *cmyb* was scored at 4dpf following ctrl-MO, *kita*-MO (injected at 3 ng throughout, unless stated) or *kitb*-MO (injected at 8 ng throughout, unless stated) injection. NI, non-injected control. +*kitlga/kitlgb*, *kitlga/kitlgb* full length mRNA injected embryos.

(C) Control-MO, *kita*-MO and *kitb*-MO injected embryos were scored for the expression of *gata2b, runx1* and *cmyb* at 22 hpf, 28 hpf and 36 hpf, respectively. (D) *kitlgb* morphants were scored for *gata2b, runx1* and *cmyb* at 22 hpf, 28 hpf and 4 dpf, respectively. Analysis was completed using ordinary one way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. **** *p* < 0.0001; *p* < 0.05; NS, *p* > 0.05. All scale bars, 100 μm.
Figure 2. *osm* Expands HSCs within the CHT Niche

(A and A’) Experimental outline and qPCR expression of *osm* from whole zebrafish or FACS-sorted ECs. Data are biological triplicates plated in technical duplicates.
osm Signaling Is Sufficient to Expand HSCs

Previous studies have reported that human OSM is capable of expanding human HSCs while inhibiting lymphoid differentiation (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Oostendorp et al., 2008). When we overexpressed osm by mRNA injection, we found a consistent increase in cmyb expression in the CHT compared with non-injected controls at 4 dpf (Figure 2D). osm mRNA injection did not change runx1 or flk1 expression at 26 hpf, and therefore did not increase HSC specification (Figure 2E). We then focused on HSCs within the niche using flk1:mCherry; cmyb:eGFP double transgenic embryos (Mahony et al., 2016; Tamplin et al., 2015) and found an increase in the number of HSCs within the niche at 3 dpf after osm gain of function compared with non-injected control embryos (Figures 2F–2G'). By 4 dpf, this increase was minimal and was close to control levels (Figures 2F–2G'). We then used cmyb:eGFP embrios and stained for GFP and phospho-histone 3 (pH3), a marker of cell division, by immunofluorescence. Embryos injected with osm mRNA exhibited a significant increase in the number of proliferating HSCs within the CHT at 3 dpf (Figure 2H–2I). Therefore, we conclude that the osm signaling pathway expands HSCs within the CHT by increasing their proliferation, a result that was very similar to our previous work where we overexpressed tfec mRNA (Mahony et al., 2016). Accordingly, we found that osm expression was augmented in cECs after tfec overexpression (Figure S3A). Furthermore, osm overexpression could rescue the loss of cmyb expression observed in tfec mutants (Figures S3B and S3B'), supporting our conclusion that osm plays a role in expanding HSCs within the niche. We next examined the effect of osm on HSC differentiation.

osm Inhibits Lymphocyte Differentiation by Reducing Lymphoid Gene Expression

Previous studies showed that OSM suppresses commitment to the lymphoid fate (Kinoshita et al., 2001). We observed that osm mRNA injection decreased the thymus size at 4.5 dpf, indicating a decrease in HSC differentiation to
the T cell lineage (Figure 3A). To further examine this, we took advantage of the *ikaros:eGFP* transgenic line that marks lymphoid precursors (Hess and Boehm, 2012). First, we found a decreased number of lymphoid progenitors in the thymus in *osm*-injected embryos at 4.5 dpf (Figure S4A), suggesting a defect in thymic colonization. To understand this decrease, we examined the CHT of embryos at 48 hpf when lymphoid precursors are just beginning to seed the thymus (Murayama et al., 2006). At this stage, there is a distinct GFP<sup>high</sup> population and many, more difficult to define GFPlow cells within the CHT. Injection of *osm* mRNA resulted in a severe decrease in the number of GFP<sup>high</sup> cells at 48 hpf in the CHT (Figures 3B and 3C) probably resulting in the defect of thymic colonization observed at 4.5 dpf (Figure S4A). To further characterize the *ikaros:GFPhigh* and GFPlow populations, we sorted these subsets from isolated tails dissected from control *ikaros:eGFP* embryos. We then investigated their gene expression pattern by qPCR (Figures S4B). *Ikaros-GFP<sup>high</sup>* cells expressed high levels of *gata3*, *cmyb*, *ccr9a*, and *irf4a*. We therefore defined these cells as lymphoid-primed or lymphoid-committed HSCs (Figures S4C–S4P), according to previous results showing that *irf4a* is required for lymphoid commitment (Wang et al., 2015). Interestingly, both populations expressed high levels of *kitb* and *osmr* (Figures S4O and S4P).

To understand the defect in thymic hematopoiesis, we FACS sorted GFPhigh cells from non-injected or *osm* mRNA-injected *ikaros:eGFP* embryos and measured, by qPCR, the expression levels of lymphoid genes (Figure 3D). First, in embryos injected with *osm* mRNA, we found a decrease in the percentage of GFPhigh cells suggesting that *osm* inhibits HSC differentiation toward the lymphoid lineage (Figure 3E), concordant with our microscopy observations (Figure 3B). Second, we saw a decrease in the expression of *Ili7r*, *ccr9a*, and *irf4a* (although the decrease in *ccr9a* was not significant) (Figures 3F–3I), three important transcripts for T lymphopoiesis, but no change in *gata3*, *cmyb*, or *runx1*, three genes normally expressed in HSCs (Figures S3C and S3D). Therefore, *osm* not only expands HSCs but also inhibits their differentiation toward the lymphoid lineage by reducing the expression of several lymphoid receptors and transcription factors necessary for migration to and maturation in the thymus. Of note, the decrease in the number of *ikaros<sup>high</sup>* cells in the CHT (Figures 3B and 3C) might have an additional explanation as it is also possible that *osm* acts directly upon the lymphoid-committed T cell progenitors that arise directly from the hemogenic endothelium (Tian et al., 2017). However, *osm* overexpression did not alter definitive erythropoiesis and granulopoiesis (Figure S3E–S3G), but the number of macrophages was slightly reduced (Figure S3F) in the CHT. We next inhibited *osmr* signaling to fully define the role of this signaling pathway in zebrafish hematopoiesis.

**osmr Signaling Is Necessary for Early HSC Specification**

During mouse embryogenesis, *Osm* is important for HSC emergence and may act at the level of the hemogenic endothelium (Mukouyama et al., 1998). *Osm* is also required for adult erythrocyte and megakaryocyte differentiation (Tanaka et al., 2003). We targeted *osmr* signaling by morpholino injection (Figures S5A–S5D). Compared with control embryos, *osmr* morphants exhibited an almost complete loss of aortic *gata2b*, *runx1*, and *cmyb* at 22 hpf, 28 hpf, and 36 hpf, respectively (Figure 4A). As a consequence, *cmyb* expression was completely lost in the CHT at 4 dpf and *rgfl* was either severely reduced or completely lost at 4.5 dpf (Figures 4B and 4C). *Flk1*, *file3*, and *dlc* expression was, however, normal in the aorta in *osmr* morphants at 28 hpf, indicating normal vessel specification (Figure S6A). *Osmr* morphants also showed reduced red blood cell circulation at 48 hpf (n = 16/20, Video S2) compared with control morphants (n = 10/10, Video S1). This correlated with a small decrease in *gata1* expression (Figure S6B) and a large decrease in o-dianisidine staining at 48 hpf in *osmr* morphants (Figure S6C). Furthermore, double transgenic *flk1:eGFP;gata1:DsRed* *osmr* morphants showed abnormal tail vasculature and reduced circulating red cells.
blood cells compared with control embryos at 48 hpf (Figure S6D). However, primitive myelopoiesis was completely normal (Figure S6E). We directly targeted osm expression by morpholino (Figures S5E and S5F) and recapitulated the hematopoietic phenotypes observed in osmr morphants (Figure 4D). However, we did observe that the majority of osm morphants display normal cmyb expression at 36 hpf, indicating a recover from the reduction of gata2b/runx1 expression (Figure 4D). We conclude that osm overexpression is sufficient to expand HSCs and that osm signaling is necessary for HSC specification and primitive erythropoiesis, but not for primitive myelopoiesis. We next examined the synergistic effects of osm and kitlgb on HSC expansion and HSC emergence.

**Figure 4. osmr Signaling Is Necessary for HSC Specification**

(A) gata2b, runx1, and cmyb ISH in control MO, or osmr MO-injected embryos (injected at 4 ng throughout, unless stated). Scale bar, 100 μm. ctl, control.

(B) ISH expression of cmyb, in control MO, or osmr MO-injected embryos. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(C) rag1 expression in the thymus after control MO or osmr MO injection, along with quantification of thymus area. Data are box and whiskers, min to max. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(D) gata2b, runx1, and cmyb ISH in control MO, or osm MO (injected at 7 ng throughout, unless stated)-injected embryos. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are boxes and whiskers, min to max. Statistical analysis was completed using an unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t test. ****p < 0.0001.

**osm and kitlgb Synergistically Expand HSCs and Increase HSC Proliferation**

As we, and others, have found that kita plays a major role in pigmentation but not hematopoiesis (Hultman et al., 2007; Parichy et al., 1999), we investigated any possible synergy between the kitlgb/kitb and osm/osmr pathways. The injection of subliminal doses of kitlgb and osm mRNA alone resulted in no change in runx1 (28 hpf) or cmyb (4 dpf) expression (Figures 5A–B’). However, injecting both mRNAs into the same embryo resulted in a strong increase of cmyb (4 dpf) in the CHT, although runx1 was not affected at 28 hpf (Figures 5A–5B’), which likely resulted from increased HSC proliferation in the CHT, as measured by pH3 staining (Figures 5C–5D’). We therefore conclude...
Figure 5. *osm* and *kitlgb* Signal Synergistically to Expand HSCs in the CHT

(A) *runx1* ISH at 28 hpf in NI embryos and *kitlgb* or *osm* mRNA-injected embryos (injected separately and together) at subliminal doses. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(B) *cmyb* ISH at 4 dpf in NI embryos and *kitlgb* or *osm* mRNA-injected embryos (injected separately and together) at subliminal doses. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(B') *cmyb* expression analysis. Analysis is Fisher’s exact test. NI versus *kitlgb*, *p* = 0.29; NI versus *osm*, *p* = 0.48; NI versus *kitlgb* + *osm*, *p* = 0.0001.

(C) Imaging schematic.

(D) Immunofluorescence for GFP and pH3. Arrows represent double-positive, proliferating cells. Scale, 25 μm.

(D') Quantification of double-positive cells. (D') Data are means ± SD and analysis is an ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ANOVA p value = 0.0082. ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; NS, p > 0.05.
**Figure 6. Inhibition of **osmr** and **kitb** Signaling Synergistically Inhibits HSC Specification**

(A) *runx1* (28 hpf) ISH following control MO, *osmr* MO, or *kitb* MO injection (separately and together) at subliminal doses (half doses). ctl, control.

(B) *cmyb* ISH at 4 dpf following control MO, *osmr* MO, or *kitb* MO injection (separately and together) at subliminal doses (half doses). (B’) Quantification of the results observed in panel (B). High cmyb signal (black), medium cmyb signal (grey) and low cmyb signal (white). Analysis was generated by Fisher’s exact test. NI versus *kitb*-MO; p = 0.082, NI versus *osmr*-MO; p = 0.0089, NI versus *kitb*+*osmr*-MOS; p = 0.0000009.

(C) Summary. Aortic ECs transition to “HE/pro HSC” by expressing *gata2b*, a process that requires *osm/osmr* signaling. HSCs then begin to bud from the aorta and begin to express *runx1* to become “pre HSCs”, a process that requires *kitlgb*/*kitb* signaling. HSC then become “HSCs”

(legend continued on next page)
that these cytokines act synergistically to expand HSCs after they arrive in the CHT.

To further examine this synergistic effect, we co-injected morpholinos against kitb or osmr, at subliminal doses. Injections of each morpholino alone resulted in normal runx1 at 28 hpf and cmyb expression at 4 dpf (Figure 6A–6B). However, when injected together, a robust decrease in runx1 at 28 hpf and cmyb at 4 dpf was observed (Figures 6A and 6B). Altogether, these experiments show that both kitb and osmr signaling pathways act together to specify, and then expand, HSCs in the CHT (Figure 6C).

**DISCUSSION**

We have investigated kit signaling in zebrafish hematopoiesis and shown interesting functional differences between the kit and kitlg paralogs, despite their relative similarities. We found that kita/kiltga signaling is important for pigmentation but not for hematopoiesis, concordant with previous reports (Hultman et al., 2007; Parichy et al., 1999). Furthermore, kita is expressed in neural crests and sparse (kita) mutants survive, are healthy, and only have reduced melanocyte numbers (Parichy et al., 1999). We also showed that kitlg/kitb signaling is required for zebrafish hematopoiesis. Our data indicate that kitlg preferentially signals through kitb, while kitlga signals through kita, but we cannot exclude any cross-activation of these ligands with the two receptors, as low levels of kita were detected in HSCs. A previous report showed that kitlgb is expressed within the posterior blood island, the tissue that will give rise to the CHT at 24 hpf (Hultman et al., 2007). As EMPs are born in this area, we also checked their numbers in kitb morphants but could not find any difference at 30 hpf (data not shown). However, kitb but not kita morphants showed a strong decrease of runx1 along the aorta. Work in the mouse embryo has indicated that Kit-L was required, not for the initial specification of the hemogenic endothelium into pro-HSCs, but rather for the maturation of HSCs in mouse AGM cultures (Rybtsov et al., 2014). Further studies have shown that cells at the base of aortic HSC clusters are highly proliferative and express higher levels of c-Kit (Batsivari et al., 2017). As we found that gata2b is maintained, but runx1 is lost, in kitb morphants, we conclude that, as in mammals, kitb signaling is not required for the initial specification of the hemogenic endothelium but rather for the maturation of pro-HSCs into runx1/cmyb-positive pre-HSCs, to follow the mouse nomenclature that has been proposed (Rybtsov et al., 2014). Moreover, kitb signaling seems to be also required for HSC expansion at later stages (Figure 6C).

However, as we previously described, the overexpression of kitlgb by itself cannot expand HSCs in the CHT (Mahony et al., 2016). Therefore, we started to investigate for potential cytokines that could act together with kitlgb. As mouse and human oncostatin M showed promising results (Nishikawa et al., 2001; Oostendorp et al., 2008), we undertook to find the ortholog of this cytokine in the zebrafish genome. Based on synteny and sequence similarities, we established that sic73-47f2.1 was the most likely candidate. Moreover, our data indicate that targeting sic73-47f2.1 by morpholino induces the exact same phenotypes observed in osmr morphants. Altogether, our data suggest that sic73-47f2.1 is the zebrafish ortholog of Oncostatin M. However, we were unable to find orthologs of sic73-47f2.1 in many other closely related fish species (such as Sinocyclocheilus rhinoceros and medaka), although in many cases an ortholog for osmr is listed. This, coupled with the low amino acid similarity, raises the possibility that sic73-47f2.1 could encode a novel, zebrafish-specific regulator of hematopoiesis that would be related to, but distinct from, osm. We show that osm is expressed in the CHT vascular niche under the control of tfec, a transcription factor that also controls kitlgb expression (Mahony et al., 2016). Indeed, osm could rescue the HSC defect observed in tfec mutants and, in contrast to kitlgb, osm could increase the number of HSCs in the CHT, but we did not observe any increase of HSCs in the aorta, as was observed for mouse AGM-HSCs (Mukouyama et al., 1998). In the CHT, osm acted by increasing HSC proliferation, similarly to tfec gain of function, and we observed that this HSC expansion was accompanied by a reduction of lymphoid development. Indeed, we show here that osm downregulates irf4a, a transcription factor required for lymphopoiesis that also controls ccr9a expression (Wang et al., 2015). Our results suggest that osm negatively regulates the expression of several lymphoid genes within lymphoid-primed progenitors. Our results corroborate a previous report showing that mouse OSM can inhibit the differentiation of HSCs toward the lymphoid lineage in the mouse fetal liver (Kinoshita et al., 2001). However, this study mainly focused on embryonic B cell lymphopoiesis (Kinoshita et al., 2001), whereas our results point to the effect of osm on T cell commitment. It is possible that osm mediates a similar effect upon B cell differentiation but, due to the late emergence of B lymphopoiesis in zebrafish (around 20 dpf) (Page et al., 2013), we could not test this
hypothesis in our experiments. Furthermore, Esashi et al. (2009) described that OSM deficiency led to a decreased thymic size and the accumulation of apoptotic thymocytes in the adult mouse. Zebrafish osm may also be required for thymic maintenance in a similar manner, as we show that the deficiency in the osm pathway decreases definitive hematopoiesis, therefore resulting in a smaller thymus.

We indeed found that osm signaling is necessary for early HSC specification, as indicated by the loss of gata2b/ runx1 in osm morphants. This role of osm might have been conserved throughout evolution. Indeed, Osm is expressed in the mouse AGM region, in the genital ridges and the sub-aortic mesenchyme (Mukouyama et al., 1998). Moreover, OsMr is highly enriched in ECs and newly formed hematopoietic progenitors from the human AGM but downregulated in fetal liver hematopoietic progenitors (Ng et al., 2016). We therefore conclude that the osm signaling pathway is important for the emergence of HSCs (Figure 6C).

Finally, we tested a possible synergistic effect between both osm/osmr and kitlgb/kitb pathways, as it was previously demonstrated in the expansion of human HSCs (Oostendorp et al., 2008). We first showed that subliminal inhibition of both osm and kitb receptors leads to a complete loss of HSC specification, whereas each morpholino on its own had no effect on HSC specification. As we show that the block induced in osm morphants occurs at an earlier stage than the one induced by the kitb morpholino, we conclude that both signals are required sequentially for normal HSC specification. Concerning HSC expansion in the CHT, we have shown that osm and kitlgb overexpression is sufficient to expand hematopoiesis in vivo, which corroborates results gained from in vitro analysis on human HSCs (Oostendorp et al., 2008). Altogether, our data convincingly show that the kit pathway has been conserved in the zebrafish model to allow normal HSC development. Moreover, we show that it acts together with the osm/osmr pathway during HSC specification from the hemogenic endothelium and for the expansion phase in the CHT. Moreover, by modulating these two pathways, we could unravel discrete stages of HSC specification from the hemogenic endothelium. Further studies will be required to fully understand the precise sequence of events, as well as the source of the signals.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Zebrafish Strains and Husbandry
AB* (WT), along with transgenic and mutant strains, were kept in a 14/10 hr light/dark cycle at 28°C (Westerfield, 1994). We used the following transgenic animals: imo2:eGFPES771 (Zhu et al., 2005), gata1:DsRedm2 (Traver et al., 2003), flk1:eGFPES844 (Jin et al., 2005), fkl1:Hsa.HRAS-mCherryES896 (Chi et al., 2008), cmyb:GFPm716 (North et al., 2007), ikaros:eGFPES101 (Hess and Boehm, 2012), tfecGm1O3 mutants (Mahony et al., 2016).

Whole-Mount In Situ Hybridization Staining and Analysis
Whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) was performed on 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)-fixed embryos at the developmental time points indicated. Digoxigenin labeled probes were synthesized using an RNA labeling kit (SP6/T7; Roche). RNA probes were generated by linearization of TOPO-TA or ZeroBlunt vectors (Invitrogen) containing the PCR-amplified cDNA sequence. WISH was performed as previously described (Thise et al., 1993). Phenotypes were scored by comparing expression with siblings. All injections were repeated three independent times. Analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software. Embryos were imaged in 100% glycerol using an Olympus MVX10 microscope. Oligonucleotide primers used for the production of ISH probes are listed in Table S1.

O-Dianisidine Staining
Embryos at 48 hpf were stained in 0.9 mg/mL o-dianisidine (Sigma), 10 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5), 0.65% hydrogen peroxide, 60% ethanol for 25 min in the dark, and then fixed in 4% PFA for 1 hr at 4°C and imaged in 70% glycerol.

Cell Sorting and Flow Cytometry
Zebrafish transgenic embryos (15–20 per biological replicate) were incubated in 0.5 mg/mL Liberase (Roche) solution and shaken for 90 min at 33°C, then dissociated, filtered, and resuspended in 0.9× PBS and 1% FCS. Dead cells were labeled and excluded by staining with 5 nM SYTOX red (Life Technologies) or 300 nM DRAQ7 (Biotestatus). Cell sorting was performed using an Aria II (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo and statistical analysis completed using Microsoft Excel or GraphPad Prism.

Real-Time qPCR and qPCR Analysis
RNA was extracted using an RNase minikit (QIAGEN) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using a Superscript III kit (Invitrogen) or qScript (Quanta Biosciences). qPCR was performed using KAPA SYBR FAST Universal qPCR Kit (Kapa Biosystems) and run on a CFX Connect real-time system (Bio-Rad). All primers are listed in Table S2. Analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel or Prism.

Synthesis of Full-Length mRNA and Microinjection
PCR primers to amplify cDNA of interest are listed in Table S1. Tfec mRNA was synthesized and injected as previously described (Mahony et al., 2016). mRNA was reverse transcribed using mMessage mMachine kit SP6 (Ambion) from a linearized pCS2+ vector containing PCR products. Following transcription, RNA was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction. osm mRNA was injected at 300 pg, unless otherwise stated.
Imaging
WISH was imaged using an Olympus MVX10 microscope in 100% glycerol. Fluorescent images were taken with an Olympus IX83 microscope and processed using cellSens Dimension software. All images were processed using Adobe Photoshop.

Immunofluorescence Staining
Images were obtained with a Nikon SMZ1500 microscope or a Nikon inverted A1r spectral confocal microscope. Z-stacks were made through the entire CHT during confocal imaging in multiple fluorescent channels. Immunofluorescence double staining was carried out and analyzed as described previously (Gao et al., 2015), with chicken anti-GFP (1:400, Life Technologies, catalog no. A10262) and rabbit anti-phospho-histone 3 (pH3) antibodies (1:250, abcam, catalog no. ab8176). AlexaFluor488-conjugated anti-chicken secondary antibody (1:1,000, Life Technologies, catalog no A11039) and AlexaFluor594-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1,000, Life Technologies, catalog no. A11012) were used to reveal primary antibodies.

Morpholinos
All morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) were purchased from Gene Tools and are listed in Table S3. MO efficiency was tested using qPCR from total RNA extracted from 10 embryos at 24 hpf. qPCR primers are listed in Table S2. *osmr, kita, kitb* morpholinos were injected at 4 ng, 3 ng, and 8 ng, respectively, unless otherwise stated. *Kitlb* and *osm* MOs were injected at 5 ng and 7 ng, respectively, unless otherwise stated. *Kitlb* MO efficiency was tested using full-length primers (Table S1). *Osm* MO efficiency was tested using qPCR primers (Table S2). All MOs used in this study are splice blocking MOs.
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