Thyroid function parameters in normal pregnancies in an iodine sufficient population
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A B S T R A C T

Background: The aim of this retrospective observational study was to describe thyroid function parameters (fT3, fT4 and TSH) in the course of normal pregnancies.

Methods: Data were obtained between 2006 and 2007 at the University Hospital in Innsbruck, Austria. The starting point was the identification of women who had had a normal birth as recorded in the birth registry of Tyrol. Thyroid function parameters were determined using methods implemented at the Department of Nuclear Medicine in Innsbruck.

Results: The fT3 and fT4 values were normally distributed. Grouping the results by trimester revealed the following values: 4.93 ± 0.59, 4.54 ± 0.48, and 4.27 ± 0.45 pmol/l for fT3; and 15.23 ± 2.43, 13.79 ± 1.99, and 13.32 ± 0.201 pmol/l for fT4, respectively. The values corresponding to the 10th-percentile were 3.9 pmol/l for fT3 and 11.3 pmol/l for fT4, respectively. TSH values showed a typical left skewed distribution, thus the mean values were calculated after log transformation of the data. The corresponding mean trimestral values for TSH were 1.46 ± 1.29, 1.68 ± 1.23, and 1.70 ± 2.22 mIU/l, respectively.

Conclusion: In an iodine sufficient population, thyroid function parameters in normal pregnancies do not differ from those in non–pregnant women. Our previously defined reference range for TSH of 0.3 to 3.5 mIU/l is equally valid for normal pregnancies.

General significance: The question of cognition and IQ development of children has been proposed to be associated with thyroid function. The addition of data regarding normal thyroid function during pregnancy will contribute to this research.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The role of thyroid function during pregnancy has been observed and described since many centuries [1]. Modern studies describe thyroid disease as the second most frequent endocrine disorder that can affect women in their reproductive age. When thyroid disease remains untreated in a pregnant woman some disorders can appear. These include risk of miscarriage, hypertension, growth restriction, and placental abruption [2]. One fundamental cause of thyroid dysfunction is iodine deficiency which will lead to the development of goiter [3].

When iodine deficiency is present together with obstetrical pathology the cognitive development of the offspring can be endangered [4]. Fierro-Benítez et al. added the factor of protein–caloric malnutrition in regulating mental development [5]. The putative negative effect of thyroid function on cognitive development, however, has not been confirmed in a recent study [6].

The regulation of thyroid function has been analyzed from a historical point of view by Toni [7]. Current descriptions place thyroid hormone action and regulation in the context of regulation of metabolism [8]. The cellular processes leading to thyroid hormone action require selenoproteins, the deiodinases [9], in order to convert the pro-hormone T4 to the active form T3 [10].

In the clinical setting the evaluation of thyroid function relies on morphological and laboratory methods. In the past years we have been involved in applying improved thyroid ultrasound techniques [11] as well as in evaluating thyroid hormone reference levels in children and adults [12,13] under conditions of sufficient iodine supply.
The aim of the present study was to analyze thyroid function parameters in normal pregnancies.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

This study was carried out at the University Hospital in Innsbruck, Austria between 2006 and 2007. The study was conceived by KH who had previously designed and implemented the Tyrolean Birth Registry (Registry). The investigation was conducted as a retrospective case study of women that had a normal pregnancy. The data regarding the uneventful course of the pregnancy were obtained from the Registry. Inclusion criteria were that all babies born were healthy with no obstetrical pathology (data not shown). After identifying the subjects, thyroid function parameters as well as pregnancy variables were retrieved from the hospital clinical database. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

2.2. Laboratory methods

Thyroid function parameters were determined using routine methods implemented at the Department of Nuclear Medicine as described previously [14]. Reference values for TSH have been described by us before [12] and served as a control group. A total of 2028 data sets were available for TSH evaluation and 1822 for fT3 and fT4. Laboratory determinations were performed on an ADVIA Centaur XP Immunoassay System (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Assay performance characteristics are similar to those reported by Reix et al. [15].

2.3. Statistical methods

The same subject was studied up to 3 times during pregnancy. Data was grouped according to the week of pregnancy. At each week of pregnancy a descriptive data analysis for fT3, fT4, and TSH was done. Presentation of the results for fT3 and fT4 was done by depicting the normal distribution of the parameters in normal pregnancy and delivery.

The mean age of the subjects was 27.6 ± 6.2 years. Among the subjects studied, we observed 5 cases of hypothyroidism, 10 cases of hyperthyroidism, and 159 cases of latent hyperthyroidism. In 83 cases a latent hypothyroidism was detected having 95% CI values of TSH between 4.5 and 5.3 mIU/l. These cases were subsequently excluded from the analysis. In spite of thyroid dysfunction these women had a normal pregnancy and delivery.

The results of the study are presented in Table 1 showing the mean and S.D. for each parameter according to pregnancy week. These results are extracted giving the mean values for 3 periods of time: up to week 6, 7-12, and 13-24. The corresponding trimestral values for fT3 were 4.93 ± 0.59, 4.54 ± 0.48, and 4.27 ± 0.45 pmol/l, respectively. The corresponding trimestral values for fT4 were 15.23 ± 2.43, 13.79 ± 1.99, and 13.32 ± 2.01 pmol/l, respectively. Both parameters showed a continuous decline. The fT3 to fT4 ratio had a mean value of 0.32. The values corresponding to the 2.5 and 5.0 percentiles of 2.5, 5.0, 50.0, 95, and 97.5 across pregnancy. While TSH levels showed little variation, only those corresponding to the 2.5 and 5.0 percentiles decreased between weeks 6 and 8 of pregnancy.

4. Discussion

This clinical observational study demonstrates that under conditions of sufficient iodine supply women that have a normal pregnancy show little variation of TSH levels during normal pregnancy.
present thyroid function parameters similar to those found in a normal adult population. The key message of our study is that TSH levels range between 0.3 and 3.5 mIU/l. These results indicate that it is not necessary to rely on so-called pregnancy-specific evaluations, nor on consensus recommendations.

4.1. Questioning the use of recommended reference values

The negative impact of relying on “recommendations” for the interpretation of thyroid laboratory results has been recently commented by us as: “lack of clinical congruence” [16]. By this we mean a misclassification of subjects by using an arbitrary cut-off value. This concern appears to be shared by other authors. Vila et al. discussed the impact of using an upper reference value for TSH of 2.5 mIU/ml and added that such a measure has: “…raised concern among clinicians and it has been argued that it would result in classifying healthy pregnant women as having a pathological disease” (page R25 in [17]). Moreover, a recent publication failed to show differences in the frequency of thyroid pathology found in pregnant women when these recommended values are used (Tables 3 and 4 in [18]). In relation to outcome of pregnancy, Hirsh et al. have recently shown that TSH values much higher than 2.5 mIU/ml do not appear to be related to altered outcome of pregnancies [19]. Similarly, Taylor et al. reported recently that TSH levels up to 4.5 mIU/l did not lead to the identification of patients with elevated miscarriage risk [20]. Similar observations have also been made within the field of in vitro fertilization. Reh and coworkers have also looked critically at this “desirable” TSH level [21]. They concluded that an increasing number of subjects would be falsely classified as being hypothyroid. In 2007 Spandorfer et al. reported a lack of difference in IVF outcome when TSH levels lower and higher than 4 mIU/l were taken as discriminators. The same result was observed when TSH levels lower or higher than 2.5 mIU/l were considered [22].

In daily practice, we (HM and RM) have had repeated referrals of pregnant and non-pregnant women who supposedly have high TSH values. This misinterpretation of thyroid function parameters originates from a publication in 2007 [23]. It is of utmost importance to note that the authors of the publication in question admitted that there is a poor level of evidence for their recommendation to consider an upper value of TSH of 2.5 mIU/l as a “desirable level”. In order to increase the level of evidence, the recommendations given by the Grading of Recommendation, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group [24] should be observed. One can read in this publication the following statement: “Recommendations must apply to specific settings and particular groups of patients whenever the benefits and harms differ across settings or patient groups” (page 1491 in [24]). It follows that in the field of thyroid diseases reference values have to be put first into the context of iodine supply, i.e. iodine deficient or iodine sufficient population, and then into the clinical context (age, sex, pregnancy, obstetrical findings).

4.2. Confounding factors related to thyroid function in pregnancy

Investigations performed at the end of the previous century did not meet the abovementioned criteria. Work which began in 1988 by Belgian researchers on thyroid function in pregnancy introduced bias.
by studying a population with iodine deficiency as well as subjects with obstetrical pathology [25]. While iodine supplementation programs have been initiated worldwide for many decades, the data presented by Vitti in 2003 demonstrated that iodine deficiency has still not been eliminated in Europe [26]. Even mild iodine deficiency led to thyroid disorders in 2012–2013 in countries including Belgium, France, and the U.K. [27–29]. Irrespective of historical descriptions that relate hypothyroidism during pregnancy to altered IQ of the offspring [30], investigation of thyroid function in pregnancy remains a matter of interest. In a study published in 2012 that investigated the cognitive development of the offspring, iodine deficiency was still a confounding variable [6,31]. However, the authors were unable to demonstrate that treatment of hypothyroidism with thyroxine improved cognitive function of the children at age 3 years. Other investigators have proposed that additional mechanisms, i.e. prematurity, could play a role as determinants of intelligence [32]. Not only reports on TSH levels can be conflicting but also the evaluation of the percentile distribution of fT4 can show different results. In 1999 Pop et al. investigated an iodine sufficient population and calculated the 10th percentile for fT4 [33]. Their values are much lower than those obtained in the study herein. This difference implies that other factors influence thyroid economy. A similar observation was made when the ratio between fT3 and fT4 was evaluated. While the data obtained in the present study revealed quite constant values (mean 0.32) the results presented by Bassols et al. are quite different [34].

Another issue that is related to pregnancy and iodine deficiency is that of an apparent decrease in TSH levels during early pregnancy. This was originally described in a series of 8 women with iodine deficiency [35]. A similar finding was subsequently reported in the Belgian studies [25]. Some years later this hypothesis was published again and presented in a graphical form that depicted a decrease in TSH concentration whereas hCG values were high (Fig. 1 in [36]). This publication also reported an increase in fT4 during early pregnancy. A modern evaluation of the association between hCG and TSH concluded that in most pregnant women this relationship is weak [37]. However, the authors could identify a stronger relationship between hCG and TSH for TSH values in the lower centiles. Our results show a similar situation. Thus, the data indicate that a decrease in TSH levels during pregnancy is not a general phenomenon. In addition, the data presented herein do not support the notion that fT4 levels increase during early pregnancy as reported by Burrow [36]. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the results and methods of studies that have evaluated thyroid function in pregnant women.

Recently (2014) we have observed a negative interaction arising from multivitamin preparations prescribed for pregnant women (n = 25). These preparations contain 200 to 220 μg iodine per tablet or capsule. The patients presented latent to overt hyperthyroidism although ultrasound thyroid morphology was normal. We interpret this situation as an iodine-induced hyperthyroidism. After stopping the intake of these preparations thyroid function has returned to normal.

In summary, the lack of a validated system for laboratory results based on specific clinical traits damages the principles of evidence-based diagnostics [38]. Declaring that a euthyroid woman has thyroid disease or advocating treatment for a condition that most likely does not exist is not in keeping with good medical approach. An equivocal diagnosis only makes patients feel insecure, thus adding to psychological stress, which is already frequently observed in hypothyroidism [39,40]. In times when unduly conduct is being reported every day in the media, we should remember that: “By not reporting poor care .... individuals clearly failed in their professional responsibility to protect patients” [41]. In view of faulty clinical work found in the scientific literature, Yong, Ledford, and Van have published a stimulating paper entitled: “Research ethics: 3 ways to blow the whistle” [42]. Considering that clinical work should be as accurate as possible, these recommendations are inspiring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>fT3 1</th>
<th>fT41</th>
<th>TSH1</th>
<th>fT3 2</th>
<th>fT42</th>
<th>TSH2</th>
<th>fT3 3</th>
<th>fT43</th>
<th>TSH3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cotzias [43] 2007 U.K.</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldin [44] 2007 USA</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaha [45] 2008 India</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsarou [46] 2011 Greece</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bircici [47] 2013 Turkey</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azizi [49] 2013 Iran</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreno-Reyes [28] 2013 Belgium</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

We conclude that the use of thyroid function reference values based on studies using different populations and different backgrounds can introduce bias in the evaluation of a local cohort. Three main factors should be considered: iodine supply, obstetrical pathology and the laboratory methodology. In our population, TSH values are within a range comparable to TSH values of normal adults determined using the same methodology [12–14]. We believe that our data are of direct relevance for clinicians working in a similar environment, i.e. iodine sufficiency and with a similar laboratory system.
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