A case report of parotid mammary analogue secretory carcinoma and reviews
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

\textit{INTRODUCTION:} Mammary analogue secretory carcinoma (MASC) is a new diagnosis of head and neck tumors first reported in 2010. It was often misdiagnosed as salivary acinic cell carcinoma (AcICC). We present a patient with an asymptomatic parotid tumor that underwent deep lobe parotidectomy and postoperative radiation therapy. The final pathology showed MASC.

\textit{CASE PRESENTATION:} A 57-year-old male presented with an asymptomatic enlarging right parotid mass. A CT neck with IV contrast showed a 1.2 cm heterogeneously enhancing mass in the center of the right parotid gland without extraparotid extension. An FNA showed an epithelial neoplasm with papillary features. The patient underwent a right deep lobe parotidectomy with facial nerve dissection. The final pathology confirmed the diagnosis of MASC. He subsequently completed a 6-week course of radiation therapy and remained asymptomatic at his 30-month follow up.

\textit{DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION:} Although MASC is considered a low-grade tumor in most cases, recurrence and disseminated disease are not uncommon. No standard treatment protocol has been established. This report aims to enhance the awareness of this diagnosis and provide a review of current treatments for head and neck oncology care providers.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Mammary analog secretory carcinoma (MASC) is a new entity in the differential diagnosis of salivary tumors originally reported by Skálová et al. [1]. MASC presents almost equally in both genders; some studies show a slight male predominance. The average age of presentation is in the mid-40s. While most tumors are reported in parotid glands, some may present in other parts of the oral cavity. The most common symptom is a slow-growing painless mass with the duration of months to years prior to presentation [1–8]. MASC is often misdiagnosed as other tumors such as salivary acinic cell carcinoma (AcICC). MASC was shown that translocation t(12;15)(p13;q25) resulted in ETV6-NTRK3 gene fusion, which was not present in AcICC [1,2]. We present a patient with an asymptomatic parotid tumor who underwent deep lobe parotidectomy and postoperative radiation therapy, and the final pathology showed MASC. Current case report is in line with the SCARE criteria [9].

2. Case presentation

A 57-year-old male presented with an asymptomatic enlarging right parotid mass. He had no prior medical or surgical history and took no medications. He was an active smoker with 1.5 packs for 45 years with no alcohol or drug use. A CT neck with IV contrast showed a 1.2 cm heterogeneously enhancing mass in the center of the right parotid gland without extraparotid extension (Fig. 1). No lymph node abnormality was observed. An FNA was performed and the cytology report suggested an epithelial neoplasm with papillary features. The patient underwent a right deep lobe parotidectomy with facial nerve dissection and facial nerve monitoring. He tolerated the procedure well and was discharged home the same day. He recovered without incident, noting only mild right-sided earlobe numbness. A final pathology showed a well differentiated 1.6 cm tumor with no extraparenchymal extension, however, with focal positive margin adjacent to the facial nerve. The tumor cells were positive for alpha-1-anti-trypsin, Mammaglobin and S100. PISH
study indicated a rearrangement of ETV 6 gene at 12p13.2, which had been reported in MASC. The invasive cystic morphology of the tumor, immunostaining profile, and FISH study were diagnostic of salivary gland mammary analog secretory carcinoma (MASC). He was referred to hematolology/oncology and began radiation therapy 3 weeks postoperatively. He completed a 6-week course of radiation treatment and remained asymptomatic 30 months post surgery.

3. Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnoses include acinic cell carcinoma, low-grade cribriform cystadenocarcinoma, low-grade mucoepidermal carcinoma, polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma, and cystadenocarcinoma or adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, malignant lymphoepithelial lesions of parotid gland. The most common tumor in the parotid gland that is easily misdiagnosed with this entity is acinic cell carcinoma.

4. Discussion

Since the study by Skálová et al. MASC was recognized as a new diagnosis in head and neck oncology with the histologic features resembling secretory carcinoma of the breast. Numerous subsequent cases and retrospective studies reassigned previous diagnoses to this new entity. Histopathologic features, immunohistochemistry, demographic distributions and clinical behaviors were described [2–5]. Currently, the guidelines for surgical treatment, chemoradiation, and follow up have not been standardized due to the small number of cases. With the exception of a meta-analysis, the maximum number of patients in a case series that has been reported was 16 in Skálová et al. [1–8,10–14].

Histologically, these tumors are lobulated in an eosinophilic vacuolated background with an abundant extracellular secretory material which stains positive for periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) and alcin blue. Mitotic figures and necrosis are rare. Lack of zymogen granules is often noted. Microvavision can be observed but usually, the tumor is well-circumscribed with seipas in cystic and papillary patterns. These tumors can demonstrate different morphologies including microcystic, macrocystic, papillary, solid, and tubular structures, and they are characterized by a proliferation of small to medium-sized cells with eosinophilic and vacuolated cytoplasm and small nuclei. In our case, the tumor showed predominantly microcystic (Fig. 2B) and papillary growth patterns (Fig. 2C). These tumors may also show strong mammaglobin, vimentin, and S–100 protein positivity. These pathologic features resemble AciCC, which also has a microcystic growth pattern. However, AciCC has the distinctive feature of having zymogen vacuoles which is not present in MASC, while MASC has strong S–100 positivity which is absent in AciCC. Other differential diagnostic tools include evaluating for the ETV6-NTRK3 gene fusion (12;15)(p13;q25) translocation via Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) analysis. With this recognition and criteria, multiple cases that were previously diagnosed as AciCC were re-classified as MASC [1,3–6,8,11]. Most recently, new diagnostic features were also reported. Irregularity of nuclear membrane in addition to the solid/cystic component of the tumor was found in MASC cases [11].

Various imaging modalities have been used in different case reports including ultrasound (US), CT and MRI [4,6,11,14]. MASC appears to be hypoechoic on US and hyperintense on the T1 phase of MRI. Most reported cases included FNA, which can be supplemented with immunohistochemical evaluation for mammaglobin, S–100, and vimentin. Hormonal receptor status has been mentioned in the report by Balanza et al., as “triple negative” (ER/PR/HER-2 negative) [6]. Not all cases reported had been investigating hormonal receptors and the indication for checking hormonal receptor status is not clear. In our case, the tumor tested negative for androgen receptors. In the final pathology, the tumor was positive for alpha1-anti-trypsin (AAT), CK-7, EMA, HMCK (34BE12), Lysosome, S100 (Fig. 3A), Mammaglobin (Fig. 3B), GATA-3 (Fig. 3C), and Vimentin, while negative for androgen receptor (AR), BRST-2, CK-20, P63, and SMA. PAS, D-PAS, and Mucicarmine were equivocal. The proliferation index as assessed by Ki-67 was approximately 5–10%. FISH analysis indicated the tumor cells had a rearrangement of the ETV6 locus.

Although MASC is considered a low-grade malignant tumor in most cases, recurrence and disseminated disease are not uncommon. In studies by Skálová et al., Bishop et al., Majewska et al., and Serrano–Arévalo et al., recurrence, disseminated disease and mortality were reported [1–3,6,7]. In some studies, MASC is considered as a more aggressive disease compared to AciCC due to the increased number of positive lymph nodes on dissection and a higher rate of recurrence. However, this has not been proven with statistical significance due to the small number of cases. As a newly introduced neoplasm, MASC does not have an official grading system for pathology [4–6,10]. Ki-67 was reported in our case but has not been routinely checked in other case reports.

Currently, management of MASC follows the treatment of low-grade malignant salivary gland neoplasms, which includes complete surgical excision for local, less aggressive disease. Adjunctive neck dissection, radiation, and chemotherapy have been reserved for more invasive or metastatic disease or for positive margins. However, no standard protocol for multidisciplinary
treatment has been established due to the rarity of this disease [4–8,10–14]. In the cases reported, almost all patients underwent surgical excision, with either a total or superficial parotidectomy. Neck dissections were performed in a subset of patients considered to have high-grade transformation or lymph nodes at presentation. No standard clinical indications for neck dissection have been documented [1–4,6,5–8]. A rare presentation of MASC was also reported with an individual institution’s experience of treatment. In the report by Suzuki, a MASC tumor presented as a metastatic lymph node with unknown primary origin. The patient underwent radical neck dissection without adjuvant treatment and remained asymptomatic for 9 months follow up [14].

Genetic targeted therapy has been showing potential in MASC. A promising oral agent, Entrectinib, is a tyrosine kinases inhibitor of TRKA/B/C. The most well-known case study by Drilon et al. reported a female patient with MASC with an NTRK3-rearrangement previously diagnosed as AcicCC. She received pan-Trk inhibitor entrectinib. The patient initially responded with symptom resolution and tumor bulk resolution on imaging surveillance. However, she developed resistance to TrkC inhibition due to the NTRK3 G623R mutation. Despite the mutation mediated drug resistance, this study introduced a targeted pharmacological intervention for this rare malignancy [5,10,15,16]. Following this initial study, Drilon et al. carried out two Phase 1 protocols, ALKA-372-001 and STARTTRK-1, evaluating entrectinib and its treatment response in MASC, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC), colorectal cancer, melanoma, and renal cell carcinoma with TRKA/B/C, ROS1, or ALK mutations. Currently, STARTTRK (Studies of Tumor Alterations Responsive to Targeting Receptor Kinases)-2 is an ongoing Phase 2 study [16].

5. Conclusion

We have presented a patient with an asymptomatic parotid tumor who underwent deep lobe parotidectomy and postoperative radiation therapy with the final diagnosis of MASC. No chemotherapy or genetic targeted therapy has been administered. The patient has been disease-free for 30 months. MASC has been underdiagnosed due to its rarity and confusion with AcicCC in past decades. In the past two years, new and rare presentations of MASC had been reported clinically and histologically. This report aims to enhance the awareness of the diagnosis and reviews the current treatments for head and neck oncologic care providers.
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