A polyanion complex sensor array for markerless and noninvasive identification of differentiated mesenchymal stem cells from human adipose tissue†
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Currently available methods for stem cell evaluation require both prior knowledge of specific markers and invasive cell lysis or staining, hampering the development of stem cell products with assured safety and quality. Here, we present a strategy using optical cross-reactive sensor arrays for markerless and noninvasive identification of differentiated stem cell lineages with common laboratory equipment. The sensor array consists of a library of polyanion complexes (PICs) between anionic enzymes and synthetic poly(ethylene glycol)-modified polyamines, which can recognize "secretomic signatures" in cell culture supernatants. Due to the reversible nature of PIC formation, the incubation of diluted culture supernatants with PICs caused enzyme release through competitive interactions between the secreted molecules and the PICs, generating unique patterns of recovery in enzyme activity for individual cell types or lineages. Linear discriminant analysis of the patterns allowed not only normal/cancer cell discrimination but also lineage identification of osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells, therefore providing an effective way to characterize cultured cells in the fields of regenerative medicine, tissue engineering and cell biology.

Introduction

There have been many recent attempts to exploit human stem cells in regenerative medicine, drug discovery, and disease modeling.1 Every step in the process of stem cell product development must be continuously evaluated for potential safety and quality concerns, from the origin of the cells used, through expansion, manipulation, and in some cases preclinical evaluation of the cells, to their eventual engraftment in the host.2

For the evaluation of cultured stem cells, genetic and phenotypic analyses based on detecting markers for the specific cell state of interest are currently available, such as histocchemistry, quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay (qPCR), and flow cytometry, used singly or in combination.3 However, these methods require (i) prior knowledge of pairs of specific markers and the corresponding antibodies, which are not identified in many situations, and (ii) invasive cell lysis or staining, hampering continuous evaluation of the cells during the manufacturing process. It will therefore be critical for progress in stem cell research and application to develop a general solution for constructing systems that can identify cell states by a noninvasive and simple assay at a desired timing without any information about the markers.

Optical cross-reactive sensor array technology has been employed as an alternative to analytical methods using specific binding pairs, such as antibody/antigen.4 This approach is based on the pattern recognition of unique optical responses, i.e. sample signatures, for individual analytes obtained through cross-reactive, rather than specific, interactions between a library of cross-reactive receptors and analytes. Examples of their use for biological molecules include sensor arrays that can discriminate phosphates,5 saccharides,6 peptides,7 and proteins.8 We have also recently developed sensor arrays consisting of cross-reactive polyanion complexes (PICs) for the discrimination of human plasma proteins9 and structurally similar homologous albumins.10 To date, optical cross-reactive sensor arrays have been applied to markerless but invasive discrimination of normal/cancer cells9c,11 and stimulated cell
Various molecules are secreted into the external medium from cultured cells. The entire set of secreted proteins is referred to as “the secretome”, which reflects the functionality and state of a cell in a given environment and at a given time, and is regarded as a rich source for the discovery of cancer biomarkers in the biomedical field. Secretome analyses have recently been used in the study of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to identify the autocrine/paracrine factors applicable in regenerative medicine. MSCs are natural multipotent cells present in bone marrow, adipose, placental, and umbilical tissues, and have important roles in immunomodulation and tissue regeneration. Secretome analysis of MSCs using mass spectrometry and gel electrophoresis enabled the detection of 100–300 proteins or more in the culture supernatants of cells, and the components of secreted proteins were modulated by a variety of stimuli, including differentiation induction.

Inspired by recent progress in both optical cross-reactive sensor arrays and secretome analysis, we have applied a PIC sensor array to recognize the “secretomic signatures” of culture supernatants for markerless and noninvasive identification of differentiated MSCs using only a standard microplate reader.

Results and discussion

In this study, an optical sensor array-based system was proposed, as shown in Fig. 1. A library of PICs between anionic enzymes and poly(ethylene glycol)-modified (PEGylated) polyamines was used as a source of cross-reactive receptors with the ability to translate the interactions between secreted molecules and PICs into a readable signal. The sensing strategy was based on our recent findings, where reversible electrostatic-driven PIC formation between enzymes and PEGylated polyamines was accompanied by decreases in enzyme activity (Fig. 1A). PICs may possess different affinities for secreted molecules in culture supernatants, and therefore incubation of the culture supernatants with PICs would cause enzyme release through competitive interactions (Fig. 1A). Consequently, unique patterns of recovery in enzyme activity for individual cell types or lineages would be generated. A PIC-based library is suited for tuning cross-reactivity to recognize signatures of complex biofluids, as reversible enzyme inhibition generally occurs through PIC formation with counter-charged polymers. Enzyme activity is determined from the rate of increase in the concentration of fluorogenic 4-methylumbelliferone, which is catalytically cleaved from the substrates (see Fig. S1†). Therefore, background intensity from biofluids can be neglected. For sample preparation, the total protein concentration of the collected culture supernatants was first determined by the Bradford assay. The supernatants were then diluted for normalization, followed by the addition of PICs to generate activity patterns reflecting the secretomic signatures for given cell types or lineages. The patterns were then interpreted using a chemometric method.

Fig. 1 (A) Decrease in the catalytic activity of anionic enzymes through reversible PIC formation with PEGylated polyamines, and the subsequent partial recovery of activity through competitive interaction with secreted molecules. (B) A PIC sensor array for markerless and noninvasive identification of cell types and lineages. The culture supernatants collected from cells with varying seeding densities were diluted for normalization, followed by the addition of PICs to generate activity patterns reflecting the secretomic signatures for given cell types or lineages. The patterns were then interpreted using a chemometric method.

To achieve recognition of the secretomic signatures, we considered that potential candidate PICs possessing a variety of cross-reactivities toward secretomic molecules were required, and therefore we combined our previous strategies for the construction of PICs—using the naturally occurring structural diversity of enzymes and the artificial structural diversity of PEGylated polyamines—in the preparation of six PICs between three anionic enzymes (GAO, GEC, and LAN; Fig. 2A) and two synthesized structurally different PEGylated polyamines based on quaternized poly(ethylene glycol)-block-polyy(N,N-dimethylethano methyl acrylate) (PEG-b-QPAMA) (P1: hydrophilic and P2: hydrophobic and aromatic; Fig. 2B). As previously constructed PIC libraries discriminated proteins based predominantly on the electrostatic signatures of the proteins, LAN was selected for the PIC library to provide binding affinity toward hydrophobic molecules, which is expected from its peculiar capacity to adsorb on any hydrophobic interface. By the titration of PEGylated polyamines with enzymes (Fig. S1† and 3), a PIC library for the analysis of culture supernatants was newly prepared. Note that higher hydrophobicity of the R groups in the PEGylated polyamines had a greater effect on the decrease in activity of all the enzymes, but differences in the inhibitory effect between P1 and P2 differed depending on the enzymes, indicating that the use of both enzymes and PEGylated polyamines with different hydrophobicity is effective to increase the cross-reactivity in PIC libraries.

As a proof-of-concept study, we first chose three different human cancer cell lines (Fig. 2C): A549 (lung), MG63 (bone), and HuH7 (liver). After a 16 hour incubation of each cell line seeded at 2.25 x 10^4 cells per cm^2 in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, the medium was changed to a
chemically defined serum-free CDCHO medium. The culture supernatants were collected after 48 hours of incubation. These supernatants contained the following concentrations of proteins: 13.0 ± 3.0 μg mL⁻¹ for A549, 22.7 ± 1.5 μg mL⁻¹ for MG63, and 24.8 ± 7.2 μg mL⁻¹ for HuH7 (the values are the averages of six parallel measurements with ±1 S.D.). Diluted culture supernatants of 5.0 μg mL⁻¹ proteins were then mixed with a PIC library in 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.0), providing increases in the enzyme activities (Fig. 4A, the raw data of all the PICs are shown in Table S1†). The enzyme activity patterns were found to be reproducible and were likely to be characteristic of each cell type.

The generated data points (6 PICs × 3 cancer cell lines × 6 replicates) were subjected to linear discriminant analysis (LDA), which is a routinely used chemometric method for dimensional reduction to construct a set of orthogonal dimensions for describing the data, providing information on classification ability and a graphical output, which is useful for gaining insight into the clustering of the response data. The classification accuracy was initially calculated with the Jackknife classification procedure to evaluate the discriminant capability of each PIC set as shown in Table S2† and investigate the mechanisms for sample discrimination. Accuracies of 56–89% using only one PIC were observed, whereas 100% accuracy was achieved using a combination of three PICs (GAO/P1, LAN/P1, and LAN/P2) (Table S2†). The first two discriminant scores, Z₁ and Z₂, were plotted to visualize how LDA clustered the patterns generated by the three PICs (Fig. 4B). The discriminant scores were calculated using the discriminant function Z_k, which is a linear combination of the descriptor variables with the greatest discriminating ability:

\[ Z_k = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \ldots + a_nx_n + C \]

where \( x_i \) are discriminating variables (enzyme activities in our case), \( a_i \) are discriminant weights, and \( C \) is a constant. A discriminant score plot showed that the different types of cancer cells are clearly clustered into three nonoverlapping groups. The order of the first discriminant scores, accounting for 96.7% of the total variance, indicated that the value of the activity recovery was critical for identification of the cancer cell lines.

As secreted molecules are partly responsible for intercellular communication, it can be assumed that the seeding density...
of the cells affects pattern generation. Therefore, we examined whether our strategy could be used to identify cancer cell lines even when they were seeded at different densities. The total protein concentrations of the culture supernatants of cancer cells seeded at densities of 0.75 to 3.00 × 10^4 cells per cm² were fitted by nonlinear least-squares, as the linear approximations were slightly deviated with increasing seeding density of the cells (Fig. 4C), suggesting the influence of intercellular communications on the secretome. Newly obtained test data for the diluted culture supernatants collected from three kinds of cancer cells with different seeding densities were classified based on the shortest Mahalanobis distances to the aforementioned training data of three groups seeded at 2.25 × 10^4 cells per cm². Of the 33 diluted culture supernatants, only two samples were incorrectly identified (MG63 at 3.00 × 10^4 cells per cm² and HuH7 at 0.75 × 10^4 cells per cm²), affording an identification accuracy of 94% (Table S3†). To visualize the differences between the test and training data, the test data were transformed into discriminant scores according to the first two discriminant functions, and plotted on the same 2D space as in Fig. 4B (Fig. 4D). Interestingly, no systematic trend was found when changing the seeding density of the cancer cell lines. These results indicated that the ability of the PIC sensor array to discriminate cell types did not depend on the cell seeding density. Therefore, analysis using a PIC sensor array can be carried out at any arbitrary time even if the cells proliferate and change their density in a culture experiment. Taken together, our strategy allowed markerless and noninvasive classification of cancer cell lines with a broad range of densities in the culture by recognizing the unique secretomic signatures of the culture supernatants. In addition, by use of the standard curves shown in Fig. 4C, the cell densities at the time of seeding could be estimated (Table S3†).

Neoplastic cell transformation and contamination by cancerous cells are important issues in cell culture. Our strategy also addressed this issue; only two PICs successfully discriminated culture supernatants from lung-derived normal (NHLF and WI38) and cancerous cells (A549) with different seeding densities (see ESI Section 3†).

Building upon the noninvasive discrimination of normal/cancer cells using the PIC sensor array, we focused on the differentiation of human MSCs, which have recently attracted attention for regenerative medicine due to their availability and potentially beneficial characteristics, including the ability to differentiate into a variety of different cell types. At present, the guided differentiation of MSCs is limited because the mechanisms governing the transition from uncommitted MSCs to differentiated cells have yet to be characterized in sufficient detail. In addition, traditional invasive assays can evaluate cultured cells only once, making it difficult to continue further differentiation induction even if stem cells are not yet differentiated. Therefore, the efficacy and cost of developing MSC-based products would be improved if the differentiation of cells could be identified using culture supernatants. In this study, human adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) were selected as target MSCs. After osteogenic and adipogenic induction of ADSCs for 21 days (Fig. 5A), culture supernatants prepared in a CDCHO medium after 48 hours of incubation were collected. The culture supernatants of the ADSC-derived cells were diluted to a concentration of 5.0 μg mL⁻¹ protein, and then analyzed (Fig. 5B). The combination of four PICs (GAO/P1, GEC/P2, LAN/P1, LAN/P2) showed 100% accuracy via Jackknife classification (Fig. 5C and Table S4†), and 83% accuracy was observed in a blind test (15 of 18) (Table S5†).

It should be noted that PIC libraries consisting of only one type of enzyme (e.g., GAO/P1 and GAO/P2) or only one type of PEG-b-QPAMA (e.g., GAO/P1, GEC/P1, and LAN/P1) were not capable of both discriminating the cancer cell lines (Table S2†) and identifying ADSC differentiation (Table S4†), suggesting the effectiveness of the combined use of the naturally occurring structural diversity of enzymes and the artificial structural diversity of PEGylated polyamines. In addition, LAN-containing PICs played a significant role in discriminating the secretomic signatures of the culture supernatants in both cases (Fig. 4 and 5). We have recently reported that electrostatic interaction was the main driving force for generating response patterns for plasma proteins when hydrophilic anionic enzymes were used to construct PIC libraries. To obtain more diverse response patterns, we have next used synthetic PEGylated polyamines with different hydrophobicities as PIC sources, enabling the discrimination of homologous albums with very close

**Fig. 5** Sensing of ADSC differentiation. (A) Brightfield micrographs of ADSC cultured in control and differentiation media for 21 days. Cells were stained with Alizarin Red S (osteogenic differentiation) and Oil Red O (adipogenic differentiation). (B) Enzyme activity patterns for the culture supernatants from three ADSC-derived lineages. Each normalized value represents the average of six parallel measurements with 1 S.D. (C) Discriminant score plot of the first two discriminant functions. The ellipses represent confidence intervals (±1 S.D.) for the individual ADSC-derived lineages.
responses that are diagnostants, presumably resulting in the generation of unique cell types. However, LAN are expected to interact particularly with secreted proteins and their physicochemical properties between the PIC sources. Despite numerous secretome studies, very little has been reported on the diVersity in the PIC sources. In this study, we added highly hydrophobic LAN to cancer cells, fibroblasts, and ADSC-derived cells. Meta-analysis of this study showed that cancer cells, fibroblasts, and ADSC-derived cells were clustered separately with some overlap between cancer cells and fibroblasts (Fig. 6 and S2†), indicating a potential correlation between the cellular categories and the activity patterns reflecting the secretomic molecules. Furthermore, ADSCs and fibroblasts were successfully discriminated with 100% accuracy using a combination of only two PICs (GAO/P1 and LAN/P2) (Fig. S4A and B†), while discrimination of these cells based on morphological properties is not trivial due to the spindle-shaped fibroblast-like morphology of ADSCs. We also identified cancer cells and ADSC-derived cells with an accuracy of 100% using LAN/P1 and LAN/P2 in combination (Fig. S4C and D†), which is an indication of the applicability of our system for identifying neoplastic transformation of stem cells.

Conclusions

We have applied a PIC sensor array for markerless and noninvasive discrimination of human cell types and lineage identiﬁcation of differentiated stem cells. PIC sensor arrays for the analysis of complex culture supernatants were newly constructed based on both the naturally occurring structural diversity of enzymes and the artiﬁcial structural diversity of PEGylated polyamines. PIC sensor arrays successfully recognized the phenotypic differences within the secretomic signatures in culture supernatants of the respective cells regardless of seeding density. The proposed array sensing system is the ﬁrst secretome-based approach that enables markerless and noninvasive identiﬁcation of mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. Markerless identiﬁcation with non-invasiveness is the most signiﬁcant feature of our PIC sensor array. Traditional biomarker-based methods for endpoint cell evaluation require prior knowledge of speciﬁc markers and the corresponding antibodies, which have not been identiﬁed in many situations. In addition, as our PIC sensor array does not need cell lysis or staining, evaluated cells can be used for other purposes or cell culture can be continued without damaging the cells. The statistical processing can be automated by the use of analytical software, and therefore this approach will provide an effective way to characterize cultured cells with common laboratory equipment, such as in the stepwise evaluation of the degree of stem cell differentiation and the prediction of lineage fates.
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