High-resolution proteomic and lipidomic analysis of exosomes and microvesicles from different cell sources
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Extracellular vesicles (EVs), including exosomes and microvesicles (MVs), are explored for use in diagnostics, therapeutics and drug delivery. However, little is known about the relationship of protein and lipid composition of EVs and their source cells. Here, we report high-resolution lipidomic and proteomic analyses of exosomes and MVs derived by differential ultracentrifugation from 3 different cell types: U87 glioblastoma cells, Huh7 hepatocellular carcinoma cells and human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). We identified 3,532 proteins and 1,961 lipid species in the screen. Exosomes differed from MVs in several different areas: (a) The protein patterns of exosomes were more likely different from their cells of origin than were the protein patterns of MVs; (b) The proteomes of U87 and Huh7 exosomes were similar to each other but different from the proteomes of MSC exosomes, whereas the lipidomes of Huh7 and MSC exosomes were similar to each other but different from the lipidomes of U87 exosomes; (c) exosomes exhibited proteins of extracellular matrix, heparin-binding, receptors, immune response and cell adhesion functions, whereas MVs were enriched in endoplasmic reticulum, proteasome and mitochondrial proteins. Exosomes and MVs also differed in their types of lipid contents. Enrichment in glycolipids and free fatty acids characterized exosomes, whereas enrichment in ceramides and sphingomyelins characterized MVs. Furthermore, Huh7 and MSC exosomes were specifically enriched in cardiolipins; U87 exosomes were enriched in sphingomyelins. This study comprehensively analyses the protein and lipid composition of exosomes, MVs and source cells in 3 different cell types.
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Two EV subgroups in particular, exosomes and microvesicles (MVs), have the ability to carry biomarkers or therapeutic RNA. Exosomes are small vesicles (traditionally considered 50–150 nm) that originate from endocytic compartments within the cell. During endosome maturation, intraluminal vesicles are formed by endosomal membrane budding inside multivesicular bodies, and intraluminal vesicles become exosomes upon the exocytosis of multivesicular bodies (12). Compared with exosomes, MVs are larger vesicles (traditionally considered 200–1,000 nm) and are formed by budding directly from the plasma membrane (13). Available purification methods include separation based on size [differential ultracentrifugation (14) and ultrafiltration (15)], density [OptiPrep™ (16) and sucrose (17)], floatation velocity (18), immunofinity (19) and polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based precipitation (15). These strategies enrich EVs within certain size ranges but are not able to fully separate EV subgroups, resulting in mixed EV populations in the isolates (20). The purification strategy defines the nature of EV subgroup mixture in the isolate, which in turn will determine its biological function and biochemical properties. Furthermore, EV purification strategies can co-isolate nonvesicular extracellular proteins and lipoprotein particles with EVs.

The protein and lipid compositions of EVs from various sources have been studied via biochemical assays and mass spectrometry (21–34) and provide a robust basis for protein biomarker identification in EVs for research quality control purposes. Exosomal isolates commonly contain membrane proteins, specifically tetraspanins, as well as various amounts of extracellular matrix proteins; they are devoid of nuclear proteins (16). Microvesicular isolates may contain proteins of mitochondrial or endoplasmic reticulum origin (16). A detailed understanding of the biochemical (protein and lipid) composition of EV subgroups and the extent to which EV composition reflects source cell composition is necessary for further development into diagnostics and therapeutics. To address this question, we performed a comparative analysis of the protein and lipid composition of 2 EV subgroups and their source cells. We chose a glioblastoma (U87) and a hepatocellular carcinoma (Huh7) cell line, since the EVs of these tumour types are in the focus of interest for diagnostic biomarker development (6,35). We further chose bone marrow-derived MSCs, since this cell type is frequently used for therapeutic vesicle production (10). For EV purification, we sought a strategy that would not introduce bias into the composition of EV isolates (unlike immunoaffinity-based purification), would not co-enrich non-vesicular extracellular proteins (unlike PEG precipitation) (15) and would provide sufficient yield for mass spectrometric measurement. Differential ultracentrifugation is considered the gold standard of EV purification and met the above requirements.

Materials and methods

Preparation of EVs

U87 glioblastoma and Huh7 hepatocellular carcinoma cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM) and human bone marrow-derived MSCs (Lonza) in MSC basal medium (Lonza). Before EV purifications, cells were incubated with EV-depleted medium for 3–4 days (medium was centrifuged overnight at 100,000 g to pellet out vesicles). A volume of 360-mL conditioned medium was collected from the culture of approximately 80% confluency and EVs prepared by differential ultracentrifugation (14). Briefly, cell debris was pelleted at 500 g. Then, MVs were pelleted at 10,000 g (30 min), supernatant filtered through a 0.2-μm membrane (Nalgene® aPES, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and exosomes pelleted at 100,000 g (90 min). Concentrations and size distribution were measured by Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NanoSight NS300, Malvern). Briefly, samples were diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 1:100–1:1,000, manually injected into the instrument and videos acquired at ambient temperature at camera level 9 for 1 min per sample, and videos processed at threshold level 10. We purified EVs from all 3 source cell types on 6 different days, and used 3 isolates for proteomics and the other 3 isolates for lipidomic analysis. All 6 isolates underwent Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis before being stored at −80°C until further processing for proteomics or lipidomics.

Electron microscopy

The samples and grids for electron microscopy were prepared at room temperature. An equal volume of 4% paraformaldehyde was added to the exosome sample and incubated for 2 h. Three-microlitre aliquots of exosomes were dropped onto grids and incubated in 2% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. The grids were transferred to a wax strip and washed with 100 μl PBS. The grids were incubated in 50 mM glycine/PBS for 5 min and blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS for 10 min and washed with 3 × PBS followed by incubation in 1% glutaraldehyde for 5 min. Following 8 washes of 2 min with H2O, the grids were incubated for 5 min in uranyl oxalate and in 1% methyl cellulose; 4% uranyl acetate (9:1) for 10 min on ice. Excess liquid was removed with a filter paper, and the grids were air-dried for 5–10 min. Exosomes were examined in a JEOL 1100 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Peabody, MA) at 60 kV, and images were obtained with an AMT digital camera (Advanced Microscopy Techniques, Woburn, MA).

Western blotting

EV pellets or cell pellets were suspended in radioimmuno-precipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Pierce® 899000, Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing phenylmethysulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (36978, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and
protease inhibitor cocktail (eOmplete Mini, 11836153001, Roche), and samples were sonicated for 15 min. Insoluble material was pelleted by centrifugation for 15 min at 13,000 rpm at 4°C. Supernatants were transferred to a new tube, and protein concentrations measured by Bradford assay. Proteins (50 μg) were loaded and simultaneously analysed on NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen). After transfer to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) (BioRad) membranes, antibody incubation and development was performed using Odyssey® system (Li-Cor) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Primary antibodies used were calnexin (Cell Signaling, C5C9), CD9 (Santa Cruz, C4), CD63 (BD Biosciences, H5C6), CD81 (Santa Cruz, B11) and Tsg101 (Abcam, 4A10).

Proteomics
Protein extraction followed the same protocol as for Western blotting. Total protein (100 μg) was applied to an SDS–PAGE. Once the entire protein sample entered the stacking gel, electrophoresis was stopped and the portion of gel containing proteins was excised and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. The fixed gel fragments were processed by University of Massachusetts Medical School Mass Spectrometry Core. Gel slices were cut into 1 × 1 mm pieces, placed in 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes containing 1-ml water and incubated for 30 min. The water was replaced with 200 μl of 250 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 25 μl of 45 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and the samples were incubated for 30 min at 50°C. Samples were then cooled to room temperature, and alkylation was performed by adding 25 μl of 100 mM iodoacetamide and incubating for 30 min. The gel slices were washed twice in water, and then incubated in 1 ml of a 50:50 solution of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate/ acetonitrile for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was replaced with 200 μl acetonitrile and incubated until the gel slices turned opaque white. The acetonitrile was removed, and gel slices were further dried in a Speed Vac. Gel slices were rehydrated in a 100-μl solution of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 0.01% ProteaseMAX Surfactant (Promega) and 2 ng/μl trypsin (Sigma). Additional bicarbonate buffer was added to ensure complete submersion of the gel slices. Samples were incubated for 21 h at 37°C. Supernatants were transferred to a fresh 1.5-ml tube. Gel slices were further dehydrated with 200 μl of an 80:20 solution of acetonitrile/1% formic acid. The extract was combined with the supernatants of each sample. The combined supernatants containing digested proteins were then dried in a Speed Vac, and pellets were redissolved with 25 μl of 5% acetonitrile in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. A 3.5-μl aliquot was directly injected onto a custom-packed 2 cm × 100 μm C18 Magic 5 μm particle trap column. Peptides were then eluted and sprayed from a custom-packed emitter (75 μm × 25 cm C18 Magic 3-μm particle) with a linear gradient from 95% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) to 35% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 300 nl per minute for 120 min on a Waters Nano Acuity UPLC system. Data-dependent acquisitions were performed on a Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) according to an experiment where full MS scans from 300 to 1,750 m/z were acquired at a resolution of 70,000 followed by 10 MS/MS scans acquired under higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmentation at a resolution of 17,500 and an isolation width of 1.6 Da. Raw data files were processed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4) before using Mascot Server (version 2.5) to search against the Uniprot_Human protein database. Applied search parameters were fully tryptic with 2 missed cleavages, parent mass tolerances of 10 ppm and fragment mass tolerances of 0.05 Da, and allowed for fixed modification of carbamidomethyl cysteine and variable acetyl-group modifications at the N-termini, for example, pyroglutamate for N-term glutamine and oxidation of methionine. Search results were loaded into the Scaffold Viewer (Proteome Software, Portland, OR) to validate and quantify peptides.

Lipidomics
MV and exosome samples were pelleted at 10,000 and 100,000g, respectively. Pellets were frozen at −80°C and transferred to Berg LLC (Framingham, MA) on dry ice for lipid composition analysis. Aliquots of each sample were combined with a cocktail of deuterium-labelled and odd chain fatty acid standards. Standards were chosen that represent each lipid class and were at designated concentrations expected to provide the most accurate quantitation of each lipid species. Lipids were extracted with 4 ml of a 1:1 (v/v) solution of chloroform/methanol as previously described (36), using an automated custom sequence routine on a Star Hamilton Robotics system (Hamilton, Reno, NV). Lipid extracts were dried under nitrogen, and pellets were dissolved in 300 μl of a 1:1 (v/v) solution of chloroform/methanol per mg of protein. Samples were flushed with nitrogen and stored at −20°C. For MS analysis, samples were diluted 50-fold in 3:3:3:1 (v/v/v/v) isopropanol/methanol/acetonitrile/water containing 2 mM ammonium acetate to enhance ionization efficiency in positive and negative modes. Electrospray ionization MS was performed on a SCIEX TripleTOF® 5600+ (SCIEX) coupled to a customized direct injection loop system on an Ekspekt microLC200 system. Fifty microlitres of sample was injected at a flow rate of 6 μl/min. Lipids were analysed using a customized data independent analysis strategy on the TripleTOF® 5,600+ allowing for MS/MS ALL high-resolution and high mass accuracy analysis as previously described (37). Lipids were quantified using an in-house library on MultiQuant™ software.
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**Analysis**

EVs were purified from source cells on 6 independent days, and 3 replicate samples of cells, MVs and exosomes of each source cell type were used for proteomics analysis and the second set of 3 biological replicates of each sample type for lipidomics analysis. Lipidomic analysis additionally included 2 technical replicates of each biological replicate. Label-free quantification of proteins was performed via the iBAQ [intensity-based absolute quantification (38)] method in Scaffold Viewer (Proteome Software). Briefly, precursor ion intensities of peptides matching to each particular protein were divided by the theoretical number of peptides that could be derived from each particular protein by trypsin digestion. Lipidomic quantitation of molecular species and lipid classes were normalized to the protein content of samples.

Since we collected a large data set without any a priori hypothesis, statistical analysis involved several hypothesis-generating methods. First, we compared total protein profiles (individual iBAQ values) and lipid profiles (nmol of lipid species normalized to total protein content) of exosomes, MVs and source cells by pairwise Pearson’s correlation in Microsoft Excel and used R^2 to characterize the level of similarity between samples. Another statistical method to explore data derived from several sample types is principal component analysis (PCA). We normalized protein (individual iBAQ values) and lipid (nmol of lipid species normalized to total protein content) profiles of exosomes and MVs to the protein and lipid profile of their source cells and ran PCA in R (“prcomp” command). We also visualized the normalized protein and lipid profiles of exosomes and MVs on heatmaps, which we generated by the “pheatmap” and “heatmap3” packages in R. We also used gene ontology (GO) analysis to annotate biological function to proteins enriched in EVs [DAVID version 6.7 (39,40), NIH].

**Results**

We sought to characterize the protein and lipid composition of exosomes and MVs and to determine whether the protein and lipid content depends on source cell type. Although it does not provide full separation of MVs and exosomes, differential ultracentrifugation is currently the gold standard method of EV purification (14). Hence, for the purposes of this publication, we will refer to the 10,000 g pellet as MVs, and to the 100,000 g pellet as exosomes (Fig. 1). We purified exosomes and MVs from the conditioned cell media of U87, Huh7 and MSC (Fig. 1). As expected, exosomes were relatively homogeneous with an average diameter of approximately 135 nm (50–200 nm; Fig. 2a). MVs, however, were more heterogeneous in size (30–600 nm). We then analysed the protein content of EVs and source cells by liquid chromatography followed by tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) and the lipid content using an information-independent acquisition method known as MS/MS^{ALL} (37). Protein and lipid contents of EVs from each cell type were compared with the total protein and lipid contents of the respective source cell type in downstream analyses (Fig. 1).

**Exosomes differ from microvesicles in protein composition**

To assure that we purified bona fide EVs, we confirmed membrane-surrounded vesicular structures in all the EV isolates on EM (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and investigated the presence of known exosomal marker proteins and absence of non-vesicular proteins in our EV preparations. As expected, nuclear and Golgi-resident proteins were few or absent from MVs and exosomes (Fig. 2b), while the ER marker calnexin was absent from exosomes but present in MVs (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Enrichment of EV marker proteins showed significant source cell type dependence. Traditional exosome markers CD81 and CD9 (41) were enriched in both exosomes and MVs, with level of enrichment being higher in exosomes (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 1b). CD63 enrichment was specific to U87 and Huh7 exosomes, while Tsg101, PDCD6IP (Alix) and CD82 were only enriched in U87 exosomes (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 1b). Flotillin-1 and tetratraspanin-4 were highly enriched in all U87 and Huh7 EVs, while PLP2 enrichment was unique to MSC MVs (Fig. 2c). The immunoblot of individual protein markers corresponded well with label-free quantification of proteomics. Generally, 91% of top EV marker proteins [n = 100; ExoCarta (42)] were present in our EV samples (Supplementary Table I).

Pairwise Pearson’s correlation of protein levels (iBAQ scores) revealed that exosomes were more different from source cells (R^2 < 0.1) than MVs were (R^2 = 0.28–0.66). Exosomes also differed from MVs (R^2 < 0.2) (Fig. 3a). Hence, exosomes and MVs displayed a very different protein profile, despite overlap in their size range (Fig. 2a).

**Exosomal proteomes effectively distinguish between cancer origin and MSC origin**

Huh7 and U87 exosomes had similar protein compositions (R^2 = 0.8), despite poor correlations between MV (R^2 = 0.23) and source cell (R^2 = 0.37) protein levels (Fig. 3b). These data suggested that exosomal proteome was similar between source cell types, whereas MV proteome differed between source cell types. However, MSC exosomes markedly differed from U87 and Huh7 exosomes (R^2 = 0.035 and 0.004). Furthermore, this contrast could not be explained by the difference in source cell protein compositions (MSC to U87, R^2 = 0.57 and MSC to Huh7, R^2 = 0.28). To look at cell type-specific protein enrichment in EVs, we normalized EV protein levels (iBAQ scores) to their respective source cell type’s protein levels. Then, we used PCA to determine whether source cell type affected, which proteins were enriched in EVs. Indeed,
MSC-derived exosomes and MVs clustered very close together and clearly segregated from U87 and Huh7 vesicles (Fig. 3c).

**Over-represented protein pathways depend on vesicle type**

To compare functional content of exosomes and MVs, we conducted GO analysis on the list of 719 proteins present in exosomes from all 3 cell types and 1,357 proteins present in MVs from all 3 cell types using DAVID version 6.7 (NIH) (Fig. 4a). GO is a knowledgebase, where genes are assigned to molecular functions, cellular components or biological processes (GO terms). GO analysis tests whether the representation of GO terms in a specific set of genes could be explained by random chance or does it enrich for certain GO terms. We found that exosomes and MVs were both enriched in vesicle proteins, membrane-associated proteins and GTPases (20) (Fig. 4b). Both exosomes and MVs were also enriched for translation and glycolysis pathways.

Meanwhile, certain GO terms were differentially enriched in exosomes and MVs. Extracellular matrix, receptors, heparin-binding, phospholipid-binding, integrin, immune response and cell adhesion functions were characteristic for exosomes, whereas mitochondrial, endoplasmic reticulum and proteasomal functions were exclusive to MVs (Fig. 4b).

To examine how cell type influences protein enrichment in EVs, we normalized EV protein content (iBAQ values) to the respective source cell protein content and performed unsupervised cluster analysis, which revealed 9 clusters described in Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table II.

**Clusters 1, 7 and 8**

Proteins in clusters 1, 7 and 8 were depleted from vesicles of U87 cells, Huh7 cells, or both, and absent in all MSC samples. These clusters comprised nuclear proteins, consistent with...
quality control experiments showing the depletion of nuclear proteins (Fig. 2b).

Cluster 2
Proteins in cluster 2 were depleted from exosomes but not from MVs. This cluster consisted of proteins that function in mitochondria or endoplasmic reticulum, consistent with the MV-specific GO terms we identified in Fig. 4 and with an analysis of the relative abundance of endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondrial marker proteins (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Clusters 3 and 9
Proteins in clusters 3 and 9 were enriched or unchanged in EVs regardless of cell type or vesicle type. These clusters consisted of membrane proteins, vesicular proteins, extracellular matrix, heparin-binding, cell adhesion pathways. Furthermore, GO analysis detected enrichment in certain protein motifs (e.g. epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like domain) and post-translational modifications (e.g. disulphide bonds and glycosylation), consistent with the enrichment of membrane proteins. We did not observe an enrichment of proteins known to be palmitoylated, a posttranscriptional modification that has been shown to direct protein accumulation in exosomes (43).

Clusters 5 and 6
Proteins in clusters 5 and 6 were enriched in vesicles of Huh7 (cluster 5) or U87 (cluster 6) cells. Huh7-specific cluster 5 consisted of proteins involved in exocytosis,
whereas U87-specific cluster 6 included endocytosis pathway proteins. These observations show source cell-dependent EV content, which may suggest source cell-dependent exosome production and maturation mechanisms. When focusing on 2 protein classes, vesicular trafficking regulator Rabs (Supplementary Fig. 3) and EV organotropism.

**Fig. 3.** Protein and lipid sorting into EVs are not linked. Pairwise Pearson’s correlations of protein and lipid levels in cells, microvesicles and exosomes derived from 3 source cell types. Numbers represent R². (a) Microvesicular proteome was more similar to the source cell than the exosomal proteome was in all 3 cell types investigated. (b) Cancer cell-derived proteomes (U87 and Huh7) were increasingly similar to each other and increasingly different from stem cell proteome (MSC) while moving from cells towards microvesicles and exosomes. (c) Principal component analysis of protein levels in exosomes (round) and microvesicles (square) normalized to their respective source cell. MSC-derived exosomes and microvesicles segregate from cancer cell EVs. (d) Lipidomes of exosomes, microvesicles and source cells are more similar to each other than their proteomes are. (e) Huh7 and MSC lipidomes showed increasing levels of similarity moving from source cells to microvesicles to exosomes. (f) Principal component analysis of lipid levels in exosomes (round) and microvesicles (square) normalized to their respective source cell. MSC- and Huh7-derived EVs increasingly cluster together and segregate from U87 moving from microvesicles to exosomes.
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regulator integrins (44) (Supplementary Fig. 4), source cell influence on EV content was particularly clear. Endocytic Rab34 and Rab23 were highly enriched in U87 exosomes, retrograde transport Rab9 and Rab6 were enriched in Huh7 exosomes, and exocytotic Rab27 was enriched in MSC exosomes (Supplementary Fig. 3). While integrin β3 was enriched in all EVs except Huh7 MVs, integrins α2β [indicated in lung-tropism (44)] and α6 were specific to MSC EVs, integrins α1, α2 and α5 were characteristic to Huh7 EVs, and integrins α3, α7, αV [liver-tropic (44)], β1 [lung-tropic (44)] and β5 [liver-tropic (44)] were characteristic to U87 EVs (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Lipid and protein enrichment in EVs are not linked MS/MSALL analysis identified 22 lipid classes (defined by head group) and almost 2,000 lipid species (defined by head group, fatty acid tail length and saturation) across all samples. Lipid content was more similar between samples (R² = 0.41–0.93) than was protein content (R² = 0.04–0.66) (Fig. 3d and e). Surprisingly, lipid enrichment in EVs did not follow the pattern of protein enrichment we observed earlier (Fig. 3a–c): lipid content of U87 and Huh7 exosomes was different (R² = 0.47), despite their protein content being very similar (R² = 0.8) (Fig. 3b and e). Instead, lipid composition of Huh7 and MSC exosomes showed striking similarity (R² = 0.93). This similarity was present, although less pronounced, between Huh7 and MSC MVs as well (R² = 0.73; Fig. 3e). Again, similarity in lipid profiles did not correlate with difference in protein profiles of Huh7 and MSC exosomes (R² = 0.004), or MVs (R² = 0.13) (Fig. 3b). High degree of similarity between Huh7 and MSC EVs could not be explained by source cell lipid profiles either (Fig. 3e; R² = 0.5). When lipid levels in EVs were normalized to their respective source cells and underwent PCA, Huh7 and MSC EVs clustered very close to each other and segregated from U87 vesicles (Fig. 3f). Huh7 and MSC MVs showed a less clear segregation from U87 EVs. Taken together, certain source cells differing in protein and lipid composition enriched the same proteins but not the same lipids (U87 and Huh7), and yet other source cells enriched the same lipids but not the same proteins (Huh7 and MSC) in their EVs. These data suggested that protein and lipid enrichment mechanisms were not linked.

Fig. 4. Over-represented protein pathways depend on vesicle type. (a) Venn diagrams of detected proteins in exosomes and microvesicles of 3 different source cell types. MSC EVs had a lower diversity of proteins. (b) Proteins shared among exosomes or microvesicles derived from all 3 source cell types (middle section in the Venn diagrams) underwent gene ontology analysis. The negative logarithm of p-values is shown for each GO term, colourful lines represent significance threshold (p = 0.05). Common (depicted in black), as well as distinct (depicted in colour) pathways emerged in exosomes versus microvesicles.
Next we asked, whether Huh7 and MSC shared characteristics that could possibly relate to their similar exosomal lipid composition. We observed that Huh7 and MSC yielded significantly fewer and smaller exosomes (73 /cell and 36 /cell, 129 ± 14 and 131 ± 12 nm, respectively) than U87 cells (1,382 /cell, 148 ± 8 nm).

**Lipid enrichment in EVs correlates with head group charge, tail length and saturation**

The concentration of the 1,961 detected lipid species (defined by head group, number, length and saturation of fatty acid tails) in EVs was normalized to respective source cell lipidome. Colour coding of enrichment (red) and depletion (blue) of lipids (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table III) in EVs versus source cells showed a good concordance between triplicate measurements and confirmed that MSC and Huh7 exosomes displayed a very similar lipid composition. Next, we were interested whether enrichment or depletion in EVs versus source cells depended on head group or fatty acid tail characteristics. Hence, we created a sidebar on the left, which colour-coded 3 characteristics of each lipid species: charge of its head group [negative (green), neutral (navy) or zwitterionic (magenta)], average length (the darker, the longer) and average saturation (the darker, the more double bonds) of its fatty acid tails. The colours and grey shades partially followed unsupervised clustering of lipids indicating that head group charge as well as tail length and saturation correlated lipid enrichment in EVs. Specifically, MSC MVs (p = 0.007), U87 exosomes (p = 0.004) and U87 MVs (p = 0.006) were enriched in zwitterionic lipid head groups (phosphatidylcholines and/or phosphatidylethanolamines) and depleted in other head groups. MSC exosomes, MSC MVs and Huh7 exosomes were enriched in long lipid species (more than 60 carbons, p < 0.001, p = 0.041 and p < 0.001, respectively) and polyunsaturated lipid species (more than 10 double bonds, p = 0.006, 0.038 and 0.001, respectively).

**Lipid class enrichment in EVs depends on vesicle type and source cell type**

The 22 lipid classes detected could be sorted into groups marking enrichment in MVs or exosomes, depletion in most EVs or no change in EVs relative to source cells (Fig. 7). Ceramides and sphingomyelins were consistently enriched in all MVs, whereas cholesterol esters showed enrichment only in MSC and Huh7 MVs and acyl carnitines and lysophosphatidylcholines only in MSC MVs (Fig. 7, upper panel). These lipid classes were depleted from or unchanged in exosomes, with the exception of marked sphingomyelin enrichment in U87 exosomes.

Glycolipid, free fatty acid and phosphatidylserine enrichment characterized all exosomes and were depleted from or unchanged in MVs, except for phosphatidylserine enrich-
ment in U87 MVs (Fig. 7, upper middle panel). The free fatty acids most enriched in MSC and Huh7 exosomes were fully saturated (data not shown). Cardiolipins were markedly enriched in MSC and Huh7 exosomes only. Lyso derivatives (where 1 fatty acid tail is removed by hydrolysis) of phosphatidylserines, phosphatidylglycerols and phosphatidylinositol showed enrichment in MSC and Huh7 exosomes, whereas lyso-phosphatidylethanolamines were rather enriched in U87 exosomes. These lysoderivatives were also enriched in MSC MVs but depleted from U87 and Huh7 MVs.

Structural membrane lipids, including phosphatidylglycerols, phosphatidylinositol and phosphatidylethanolamines, showed depletion from all exosomes and most MVs (Fig. 7, lower middle panel). Phosphatidylcholines were depleted in exosomes but unchanged or enriched in MVs. Depletion in diacyl and triacylglycerols in EVs was most pronounced in Huh7 cells, which had a high baseline level of these lipid classes.

The concentration of phosphatidic acids and their lysophosphatidyl derivatives did not differ between source cells and EVs (Fig. 7, lower panel). Taken together, both vesicle type and source cell type affected the lipid composition of EVs.

**Discussion**

EVs consist of heterogeneous subgroups, which are difficult to fully distinguish by current purification methods. Here, we showed that (a) exosomes and MVs could...
Fig. 7. Lipid class enrichment in EVs depends on vesicle type and source cell type. Percentage of each lipid class within a sample is depicted on slope charts and lipid class grouped according to their enrichment in microvesicles (upper panel), enrichment in exosomes (upper middle panel), depletion in most EVs (lower middle panel) or no difference between EVs and source cells (lower panel). Source cells are depicted in black, exosomes in red and microvesicles in blue. Since different lipid classes represent vastly different percentage of cells' or vesicles' lipid composition, the scale of the y-axes shows a corresponding variability.
be well distinguished on the proteome level but did not display source cell-independent, vesicle type-specific protein markers, (b) proteome but not lipidome of EVs distinguished cancerous source cells from stem source cells, (c) protein and lipid enrichment in EVs compared to source cells were not linked. Furthermore, the current study provides the largest data set of EV lipid content to date.

We observed cell-type-specific enrichment of proteins and lipids in EVs. The clear difference between composition of source cells and EVs indicated that lipids and proteins are likely actively sorted into EVs. However, the enrichment of commonly used exosome markers was source-cell-type dependent, and although to a different extent, all exosomal markers were enriched in MVs as well. Enrichment of Rab proteins in EVs, a protein family indicated in exosomal biogenesis (45), showed marked source cell dependency, suggesting that protein sorting mechanisms into EVs may depend on source cell type. Commonly enriched pathways in EVs correlated well with previously described behaviours of EVs (such as heparin-binding (46), immune response stimulation (32,47), integrin content (44) and antiphagocytic CD47 (48) of exosomes and mitochondrial, proteasomal and ER content of MVs (16,49)]. GO analysis found enrichment of certain post-translational modification motifs (e.g. glycosylation and prenylation) common to all EVs, although the exosome targeting palmitoyl modification (43) was not detected. We were not able to detect any protein biomarkers that were enriched in exosomes of all source cells and at the same time depleted in MVs of all source cells. Nevertheless, we showed that exosomal and MV content on the proteome level differed significantly from each other independent of source cell type. Therefore, we suggest that the correlation between exosome and MV content could be explored as an additional biomarker for “vesiculome” (mass spectrometry and RNASeq) studies.

Protein enrichment in exosomes distinguished cancer cells from the stem cells used in this study. If further studies on EVs derived from multiple malignant and benign cell types will confirm this observation, then protein enrichment in exosomes might become a particularly useful general cancer marker. Furthermore, this phenomenon suggests that stem cells and cancer cells may use different mechanisms to sort proteins into EVs. Hence, the biomarker value of exosomes might lie in indicating sorting dysregulation in their source cells, whereas MVs are valuable for reflecting the content of their source cells.

Protein and lipid sorting into EVs did not appear to be linked: U87 and Huh7 (cancerous) cells enriched similar proteins but different lipids in their EVs, while Huh7 and MSC (yielding few and smaller exosomes) cells enriched similar lipids but different proteins in their EVs. Hence, protein sorting into EVs associated best with stem or cancer cell origin in this study, whereas lipid sorting associated best with yield and size of exosomes. Further studies are needed to confirm whether these associations explain EV biogenesis mechanisms.

The current study mapped EV lipidome to a great depth, identifying almost 2,000 lipid species. Since lipids are not coded in the genetic code and biological functions of most lipids detectable by mass spectrometry are unknown, data interpretation may follow biochemical/structural principles. Here, we showed that not only head group identity but also head group charge, fatty acid tail length and saturation contributed to lipid enrichment in EVs. These parameters modify the head group-to-tail size ratio, which, in turn, defines the spontaneous curvature of a lipid monolayer. Since EVs are small vesicles, their limiting bilayer membranes are highly curved. We found exosomes to be enriched in positive curvature promoting (free fatty acids and lysophosphatidyl derivatives, both having 1 tail only and favouring outer membranes) as well as negative curvature promoting (cardiolipins having 4 tails and favouring inner membranes) lipids. While cardiolipin is believed to exclusively reside in the inner mitochondrial membrane (a highly curved membrane itself), other mitochondrial contents (proteins) were specifically depleted from the same Huh7 and MSC exosomes. These data suggest that cardiolipin must be actively sorted into exosomes of Huh7 cells and MSCs, and it might function to stabilize these unusually small vesicles. However, a wider screen of source cell types is necessary to establish a firm correlation between cardiolipin content and exosome size. Furthermore, it is intriguing to speculate that anti-cardiolipin antibodies present in several autoimmune diseases (50) might partially be generated due to the presence of cardiolipin on circulating exosomes.

Sphingomyelins and ceramides have been implicated in exosomal biogenesis in brain cells [oligodendrocytes (51), neurons (52), neuroblastoma (53)] but not in in PC-3 cells (54). We found enrichment of sphingomyelins in U87 glioblastoma (a brain cell type) exosomes only, whereas both sphingomyelins and ceramides were characteristically enriched in all MVs. Ceramide-triggered exosome formation pathway is thought to be independent of the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT)-mediated exosome formation pathway (51) and controls the packaging of only a subset of proteins into exosomes (52). Hence, source cell type may influence, which pathway is predominantly involved in exosome formation and which lipid sorting mechanism is applied. Different exosome formation pathways may overlap with MV formation pathways in a source-cell-type-dependent manner.

We found that structural plasma membrane lipids, including phosphatidylcholines, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycols and phosphatidylethanolamines, were depleted, whereas phosphatidylserines showed a mild enrichment in exosomes but not in MVs. These data are
consistent with previous findings showing depletion of phosphatidylethanolamines and enrichment of phosphatidylyserines in exosomes (28). These lipids comprise the majority of membranes, and their levels in MVs were more similar to source cells than their levels in exosomes.
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