Substrate stiffness regulates solubility of cellular vimentin
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ABSTRACT The intermediate filament protein vimentin is involved in the regulation of cell behavior, morphology, and mechanical properties. Previous studies using cells cultured on glass or plastic substrates showed that vimentin is largely insoluble. Although substrate stiffness was shown to alter many aspects of cell behavior, changes in vimentin organization were not reported. Our results show for the first time that mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs), endothelial cells, and fibroblasts cultured on different-stiffness substrates exhibit biphasic changes in vimentin detergent solubility, which increases from nearly 0 to 67% in hMSCs coincident with increases in cell spreading and membrane ruffling. When imaged, the detergent-soluble vimentin appears to consist of small fragments the length of one or several unit-length filaments. Vimentin detergent solubility decreases when these cells are subjected to serum starvation, allowed to form cell–cell contacts, after microtubule disruption, or inhibition of Rac1, Rho-activated kinase, or p21-activated kinase. Inhibiting myosin or actin assembly increases vimentin solubility on rigid substrates. These data suggest that in the mechanical environment in vivo, vimentin is more dynamic than previously reported and its assembly state is sensitive to stimuli that alter cellular tension and morphology.

INTRODUCTION

The responses of cells to the mechanical properties of the substrate to which they adhere varies as widely as their response to chemical signals and include modulation of cell spreading (Pelham and Wang, 1997), motility (Pelham and Wang, 1997; Raab et al., 2012), transcription (Dupont et al., 2011), proliferation (Klein et al., 2009), and differentiation (Engler et al., 2004b, 2006). These changes are especially well-characterized in human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). Control of substrate stiffness alone is sufficient to drive hMSCs to differentiate into mature adipocytes or osteocytes in differentiation-permissive medium (Engler et al., 2006) or to induce quiescence in cells maintained in growth medium on soft substrates (Winer et al., 2009a).

Studies of cell responses to substrate mechanical properties often focus on actin-related cytoskeletal components (Rotsch and Radmacher, 2000). Actin polymerization and stress fiber formation are generally enhanced by stiffer substrates, and these features contribute to the cortical stiffening that accompanies cell spreading on stiff substrates (Solon et al., 2007; Byfield et al., 2009; Tee et al., 2011). Vimentin networks also have physical properties likely to contribute to cell mechanics, characterized by a high degree of strain stiffening and ability to withstand large strains without breakage (Kreplak et al., 2005; Qin et al., 2009), in contrast to microtubules and microfilaments, which rupture at more moderate strains (Janmey et al., 1991). The relative stability and compliance of intermediate filament (IF) networks to large strains suggest that the vimentin network of mesenchymal cells might be important for the mechanical integrity of these cells in vivo.

Unlike the soluble pools of G-actin and tubulin dimers necessary to maintain the ongoing exchange of subunits with F-actin or microtubules, respectively, IF in cultured cells maintain only a tiny (∼1–3%) soluble fraction, as determined by ultracentrifugation followed by chromatography (Soellner et al., 1985) or by detergent fractionation (Osborn and Weber, 1977; Blikstad and Lazarides, 1983; Gilbert and Fulton, 1985). The soluble fraction of vimentin after ultracentrifugation contains solutes of the size of vimentin tetramers or smaller...
oligomers, but larger vimentin assemblies such as the unit length filament (ULF; Strelkov et al., 2003) proposed to be an essential intermediate of IF assembly would also be released into a detergent-soluble fraction before ultracentrifugation.

When cells containing IF are cultured on glass and subjected to detergent lysis, the detergent-soluble IF pool is small compared with the fraction that remains within the insoluble cytoskeletal fraction (Blikstad and Lazarides, 1983; Hinz et al., 2003), consistent with the results generated by solubility data from sedimentation studies (Soellner et al., 1985). A condition of all of the experiments used to generate previous data, however, was the use of glass or tissue culture plastic substrates, the stiffnesses of which are orders of magnitude greater than those of tissues in vivo (Levental et al., 2007).

Here we examine vimentin assembly in cells grown on substrates of physiologically relevant elastic moduli. On these substrates, a large fraction of vimentin becomes Triton soluble, and the balance between soluble and insoluble vimentin shifts in a substrate stiffness-dependent manner. This balance is sensitive to perturbations of actin, microtubules, and signaling intermediates that alter intracellular tension.

RESULTS
Vimentin organization in cells on soft substrates
To determine whether substrate mechanics alter vimentin organization, we examined vimentin networks in hMSCs cultured on different stiffness fibronectin-coated polyacrylamide gels by fluorescence microscopy. On 0.2-kPa gels, similar to the stiffness of bone marrow and adipose tissue, vimentin networks surround the nucleus, as is characteristic (Figure 1, I and M). Actin stress fibers are not evident (Figure 1E). On 5-kPa gels, vimentin networks fill 63% of the cytoplasmic region, extending from the nucleus but not reaching the cell periphery (Figure 2D). These cells are elongated (Figure 1B), and 70% display actin-containing ruffles (Figure 2E; arrow in inset indicates ruffle). The vimentin network in cells cultured on 30-kPa gels fills 78% of the cytoplasmic region, and fewer cells (21%) exhibit ruffling edges (Figure 2E, inset). Under these conditions, actin fibers are evident (Figure 1, G and H).

The substrate stiffness dependence of cell spread area and aspect ratio has been shown for a variety of cell types (Pelham and Wang, 1997; Engler et al., 2004a; Winer et al., 2009a). In hMSCs, spread area increases with substrate stiffness, whereas aspect ratio increases to a maximum at 10 kPa and then decreases (Figure 2A). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) also increase in spread area as the substrate stiffness increases (Figure 2B), and the aspect ratio peaks at 30 kPa before decreasing slightly (Figure 2B). 3T3 cells increase both spread area and aspect ratio monotonically with substrate stiffness (Figure 2C).

Substrate stiffness regulates vimentin organization
Vimentin networks are apparent in cells on substrates of all stiffnesses, and the organization of these networks varies with substrate stiffness (Figures 1 and 2). To determine whether this results from changes in vimentin protein levels, we prepared immunoblots of whole-cell lysates. The amount of vimentin is consistent across all conditions (Figure 2, F and G).

To further quantify substrate stiffness–dependent changes in vimentin organization or assembly, we prepared Triton-soluble and -insoluble fractions. Fractionation by Triton extraction revealed striking, biphasic changes in the proportions of the detergent-soluble and -insoluble fractions. In hMSCs (Figure 3, A and B) and HUVECs (Figure 3, C and D), little vimentin partitions into the detergent-soluble fraction when cells are grown on the softest gel (0.2 kPa; hMSCs, 3 ±1%; HUVECs, 29 ±21%). This fraction increases significantly in cells grown on 5-kPa substrates (hMSCs, 67 ±6%; HUVECs, 68 ±15%). On stiffer substrates, the proportion of detergent-soluble vimentin decreases, and cells grown on fibronectin-coated silanized glass contain detergent-soluble fractions consistent with those demonstrated by previous studies (hMSCs, 2 ±1%; HUVECs, 19 ±10%). The vimentin in NIH-3T3 cells partitions similarly and shows the greatest detergent-soluble pool at 30 kPa (Figure 3, E and F; 30 kPa, 46 ±10%).

Assembly state of detergent-soluble vimentin
To assess the assembly state of the soluble vimentin pool in cells cultured on soft substrates, we centrifuged Triton-soluble fractions at low speed (3000 × g, 10 min; Eriksson et al., 2004). The bulk of vimentin detectable by Western blotting partitioned into the low-speed pellet (Figure 4A). This result shows that the Triton-soluble vimentin fraction is composed of small filaments or other aggregates rather than vimentin dimers or tetramers.

Detergent-soluble vimentin was imaged using atomic force microscopy (AFM) in order to determine the length of the detergent-soluble filaments (Figure 4). The detergent-soluble fraction that sedimented after centrifugation was resuspended in sodium phosphate buffer and adsorbed to a mica support, and the dried sample was imaged. No structures resembling long IFs or any other cytoskeletal filament were observed in these preparations. The largest structures that were evident were short filaments with an apparent diameter of 30–40 nm, similar to the size of purified vimentin filaments prepared and imaged under similar conditions (Ando et al., 2004; Mücke et al., 2005). The average filament length was 118 ± 43 nm (SD), with a range from 60 to 230 nm (Figure 4B). The filaments also have a characteristic beaded appearance with a period of 28 ± 5 nm (SD; Figure 4, C–K), which has also been reported for purified vimentin IFs imaged by AFM but is not observed with F-actin (Weisenhorn et al., 1990) or microtubules (Kacher et al., 2000). These filament lengths are on the order of one to several unit-length filaments, which were previously measured as 60–80 nm (Mücke et al., 2005) or 78 nm in length (Ando et al., 2004) using purified vimentin.

Vimentin detergent solubility and cytoskeletal cross-talk
Intermediate filament networks interact with the actin and microtubule networks to regulate cell behavior (Chang and Goldman, 2004). To determine whether cytoskeletal contractility or different cytoskeletal components affect vimentin organization on soft substrates, we perturbed these systems and monitored cells for changes in vimentin organization (Figure 5; summarized in Table 1).

Because vimentin interacts with microtubules (MTs) directly (Bocquet et al., 2009) and in both a kinesin-dependent (Gyoeyva and Gelfand, 1991) and dynein-dependent (Helfand et al., 2002) manner, we examined whether the perturbation of MTs would alter the detergent-soluble vimentin fraction. In hMSCs plated on 5-kPa gels, either nocodazole or Taxol treatment decreased the fraction of detergent-soluble vimentin (Figure 5). On the 30-kPa gels, however, perturbation of MTs did not change vimentin detergent solubility. Following immunofluorescence staining and imaging, the vimentin and microtubule networks appear in the control cells on both soft and stiff substrates (Supplemental Figure S1, A–D). When treated with nocodazole, the microtubule network disassembles (Figure 6, F and H), whereas the vimentin network appears unchanged (Supplemental Figure S1, E and G). The negative spaces in the 30-kPa nocodazole-treated vimentin image reflect the presence of actin stress fibers (Supplemental Figure S1G). After Taxol treatment, microtubules are
Actomyosin contractility changes in cells grown on different substrates in a myosin II–dependent manner (Even-Ram et al., 2007). To determine whether actomyosin contractility contributes to the changes in vimentin organization evident in cells on gel substrates, we treated cells with either the myosin II inhibitor blebbistatin or the actin polymerization inhibitor cytochalasin D. In cells cultured on 5-kPa substrates, neither blebbistatin nor cytochalasin D alters vimentin detergent solubility. In cells cultured on 30-kPa gels, however, the proportion of detergent-soluble vimentin increases after blebbistatin treatment, actin stress fibers disappear, retraction fibers are seen (Supplemental Figure S2, F and H), and the vimentin network does not look different (Supplemental Figure S2, E and G).

Finally, serum starvation is another way to decrease migration and cytoskeletal dynamics. In fibroblasts, serum starvation causes the vimentin network to extend to the edge of the cell coincident with the loss of ruffling (Helfand et al., 2011). To determine whether

FIGURE 1: Vimentin in hMSCs on different-stiffness gels. Vimentin networks are evident in cells on gels over a wide range of stiffnesses (A–D; actin, red; vimentin, green; and nuclei, blue). On 0.2-kPa gels hMSCs are small and round (Figure 2, A, E, I, and M). Cells elongate on 5-kPa gels (B, F, J, N). On 30-kPa gels and silanized glass, cells spread similarly and contain stress fibers (G, H), and the vimentin network extends to the cell edge (O, P). Bars, 10 μm.
changes in vimentin detergent solubility accompany these changes, we serum starved cells on 5- and 30-kPa gels for 5 d. Consistent with previous results, the removal of serum causes the vimentin network to reorganize toward the cell edge as ruffling is abrogated (Supplementary Figure S2, U–X). Under this condition, the Triton-soluble fraction of vimentin decreases in cells grown on 5-kPa, but not 30-kPa, gels (Figure 5).

Substrate-dependent effect of Rho-family GTPases

The effects of actomyosin inhibition described here implicate kinases involved in the RhoA and Rac1 signal transduction cascades (Figure 6A). Kinases that are activated by these pathways can phosphorylate vimentin and have known roles in mechanotransduction. In cells on 5-kPa gels, inhibition of Rho-activated kinase (ROCK) or Rac activation decreases vimentin detergent solubility (Figure 6; summarized in Table 1). In contrast, ROCK or Rac inhibition increases vimentin solubility in cells grown on 30-kPa gels. Inhibition of p21-activated kinase (PAK; by IPA-3), which is downstream of Rac1, decreases vimentin solubility in cells cultured on 5-kPa gels but not in cells grown on 30-kPa gels.

**DISCUSSION**

In contrast to the results of previous studies showing that nearly all cellular vimentin exists in an insoluble filamentous form, the results here show that on substrates with stiffnesses in the physiological range, as much as 67% of the vimentin is released upon detergent lysis. The size of this pool varies with substrate stiffness and is further modulated by intracellular signals that affect actin and microtubule assembly and internal tension. It is unlikely that this pool consists of vimentin dimers or tetramers because the detergent-soluble fraction sediments at low centrifugal forces. AFM reveals that the vimentin-detergent soluble pool consists of filaments that are the length of one or several unit-length filaments. Although ULFs have been formed in experiments with purified vimentin, their involvement in IF assembly in vivo is not yet established. However, the data of Figure 4 suggest that structures similar to those predicted for ULFs can be isolated from cells.

These studies show that the assembly state of vimentin, like that of actin, is strongly dependent on the mechanical environment of the cell and responds to changes in substrate stiffness. Cytoskeletal-dependent cell characteristics such as motility (Peyton and Putnam, 2005), shape (Yeung et al., 2005), and contractility (Califano and Reinhart-King, 2010) that have been shown to depend on substrate stiffness might therefore be affected by changes in IF assembly state, as well as by changes in other cytoskeletal elements. Because substrate stiffness affects Rho-family GTPase signaling (Bhadiraju et al., 2007; Klein et al., 2009) and inhibition of ROCK or Rac activation alters cellular vimentin assembly, kinases that are regulated by GTPases and that phosphorylate vimentin (Hyder et al., 2008) might be essential for the greater solubility of vimentin on substrates with physically relevant stiffness.

The two main signaling pathways implicated in both mechanosensing and the regulation of the vimentin network are the RhoA and Rac pathways. RhoA activity decreases with decreasing substrate stiffness (Kim et al., 2009), which in turn diminishes ROCK activity (Bhadiraju et al., 2007). Phosphorylation of vimentin at S38 and S72 by ROCK prevents vimentin polymerization in vitro (Goto et al., 1998) and depolymerizes vimentin in cells (Eriksson et al., 2004). Therefore it is plausible that the reduction in RhoA activity caused by cell growth on a substrate with physiological-range
phosphorylation of vimentin (Goto et al., 2002), and PAK phosphorylation of vimentin causes network disassembly

Conclusions
By controlling the mechanical environment of the cell and perturbing specific signals that alter cell morphology, the work reported here shows that, in contrast to results from earlier studies on rigid substrates, a large fraction of the vimentin intermediate filament protein in hMSCs, HUVECs, and 3T3 cells becomes detergent

Detergent-soluble vimentin varies with substrate stiffness. The soluble and insoluble fractions of vimentin in hMSCs, HUVECs, and NIH-3T3 cells vary with substrate stiffness. In all cell types tested, vimentin solubility exhibits a biphasic response characterized by a small soluble pool on the softest substrates, which increases with substrate stiffness until peaking at 5 kPa (A, B, hMSCs; C, D, HUVECs) or 30 kPa (E, F; NIH-3T3 cells).

Conclusions
By controlling the mechanical environment of the cell and perturbing specific signals that alter cell morphology, the work reported here shows that, in contrast to results from earlier studies on rigid substrates, a large fraction of the vimentin intermediate filament protein in hMSCs, HUVECs, and 3T3 cells becomes detergent

FIGURE 3: Detergent-soluble vimentin varies with substrate stiffness. The soluble and insoluble fractions of vimentin in hMSCs, HUVECs, and NIH-3T3 cells vary with substrate stiffness. In all cell types tested, vimentin solubility exhibits a biphasic response characterized by a small soluble pool on the softest substrates, which increases with substrate stiffness until peaking at 5 kPa (A, B, hMSCs; C, D, HUVECs) or 30 kPa (E, F; NIH-3T3 cells).

FIGURE 4: Detergent solubility and vimentin assembly state. To understand the differences between detergent-soluble vimentin and soluble vimentin as determined by centrifugation, the detergent-soluble vimentin pool was centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min. Detergent-soluble vimentin pellets at low speeds when subjected to low-speed centrifugation (A). Quantification showed (n = 3) that 65% of the vimentin was present in the low-detergent pellet, with 3% of the vimentin in the low-detergent supernatant and the majority of the protein in the soluble fraction. The length of the filaments in the detergent-soluble pool was determined by imaging the filaments with AFM (B). Filaments demonstrate a beaded morphology and a diameter similar to previously observed results for vimentin (C–K).

TABLE 1: Percentage of detergent-soluble vimentin under different treatment conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>hMSCs (%)</th>
<th>HUVECs (%)</th>
<th>NIH-3T3 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>67 ± 6</td>
<td>32 ± 7</td>
<td>27 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blebbistatin</td>
<td>72 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>32 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochalasin D</td>
<td>71 ± 13</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocodazole</td>
<td>31 ± 7</td>
<td>9 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxol</td>
<td>24 ± 12</td>
<td>9 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocodazole + blebbistatin</td>
<td>24 ± 4</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confluent monolayer</td>
<td>3 ± 3</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum starvation</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>10 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-27632 (ROCK inhibition)</td>
<td>39 ± 6</td>
<td>35 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC23766 (Rac1 inhibition)</td>
<td>45 ± 4</td>
<td>36 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA-3 (PAK inhibition)</td>
<td>24 ± 9</td>
<td>25 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red-shaded boxes indicate significant decrease from control of same stiffness, and green-shaded boxes indicate significant increase from control gel of same stiffness.
soluble, but the total protein amount remains constant. The detergent-soluble vimentin pool does not consist primarily of vimentin dimers or tetramers, and AFM imaging of this fraction reveals short filaments with width and longitudinal spacing consistent with those of purified vimentin polymers. The length distribution of these structures suggests that they consist of one or several ULFs. The detergent-soluble fraction is maximal in cells grown on 5-kPa gels for hMSCs and HUVECs and 30-kPa gels in 3T3 cells and correlates strongly with the extent of cell ruffling and at least partially with axial ratio (Figure 2). Microtubules and serum are necessary to maintain vimentin solubility, whereas actin disruption has no effect on a 5-kPa substrate. When cells are grown on 30-kPa substrates, perturbation of cell contractility increases the detergent-soluble pool, whereas perturbing microtubules or removing serum has no effect. Culturing hMSCs in a confluent monolayer decreases the detergent-soluble pool on both soft and stiff substrates. Inhibiting ROCK or Rac decreases vimentin detergent solubility on 5-kPa gels but increases detergent solubility on 30-kPa gels, whereas PAK inhibition decreases vimentin solubility on 5-kPa gels. The differences in vimentin modulation by cells on 5- and 30-kPa gels suggest that this regulation is sensitive to stiffnesses within the physiological range and therefore might be essential to maintain mechanical homeostasis in cells over the large range of forces they encounter in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human mesenchymal stem cells were obtained from Lonza (Walkersville, MD) and cultured in low-glucose DMEM with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) as previously described (Winer et al., 2009a). NIH-3T3 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated bovine calf serum (BCS). Human umbilical vein epithelial cells (Lonza) were cultured in EGM-2 Bullet Kit medium (Lonza). Cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂. For most experiments, cells were subcultured so as to be ~20% confluent after 24 h. For experiments of monolayer conditions, cells were plated at high density and incubated for 48 h. In the serum-starved condition, cells were cultured for 5 d on the substrate without serum.
Substrate fabrication

Cells were cultured on polyacrylamide gel substrates as described (Pelham and Wang, 1997; Yeung et al., 2005). Briefly, solutions of 3.0% acrylamide and 0.06% bisacrylamide (0.2-kPa gel), 5.5% acrylamide and 0.1% bisacrylamide (1-kPa gel), 7.5% acrylamide and 0.2% bisacrylamide (5-kPa gel), 7.5% acrylamide and 0.3% bisacrylamide (10-kPa gel), or 12% acrylamide and 0.5% bisacrylamide (30-kPa gel) were prepared in 50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer, pH 8, to a total volume of 500 ml. Gel stiffness is given as shear modulus (G). Polymerization was initiated with N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine and ammonium persulfate. A 50-μl droplet was deposited on a 22-mm glass coverslip previously modified with 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane and glutaraldehyde. A 22-mm chlorosilanized coverslip was placed on top of the droplet and removed after polymerization was complete. The surface of the gels was functionalized by an ultraviolet-activating Sulfo-SANPAH (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL) cross-linker, and then the gels were submersed in fibronectin ligand (purified from human blood, 0.1 mg/ml in 50 mM HEPES) for a minimum of 2 h. Gels were then washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4. Cells were plated on gels within 24 h of gel fabrication.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed for immunofluorescence using 4% paraformaldehyde (room temperature, 10 min), permeabilized with 0.15% Triton X-100 for 5 min, and stained with primary antibody for 1 h. Cells were washed and stained with secondary antibody for 30 min. Stained preparations were imaged with a Hamamatsu (Hamamatsu, Japan) camera on a Leica DMIRE2 (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL). Spread area and aspect ratio (ratio of the short axis to the long axis of the cell) were determined after tracing cell outlines (ImageJ, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). To determine the extent to which a vimentin network extended throughout a cell, we imaged the vimentin and actin networks and delineated the areas by comparing actin immunofluorescence (representative of the cell periphery) and vimentin immunofluorescence. Cells with ruffling membranes were defined as cells with areas of lobular membranes at the cell periphery.

Triton X-100–soluble extraction

Triton X-100 extraction of cells was performed as previously described, with minor modifications (Herrmann et al., 2004). Briefly, gels were washed in PBS and inverted into a low-detergent buffer on ice (0.5x PBS, 50 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, and 0.15% Triton X-100 with 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride, 50 mM sodium fluoride, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate [all reagents from Sigma]) to permeabilize the cell membrane and release soluble protein. Insoluble protein was then extracted with 4x boiling Laemmli buffer. In one experiment, the soluble pool was spun down at low speed (3000 × g) in an Eppendorf centrifuge. The pellet was then resuspended in 4x boiling Laemmli buffer. Equal amounts were loaded on the SDS–PAGE gel.

SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting

For whole-cell lysis, cells were plated at low density and grown overnight. Before lysis, a minimum of 15 fields were imaged at low magnification and cells counted to allow loading of equal number of cells per lane. Cells were washed for 5 min in PBS and then inverted on Parafilm in a 50-μl droplet of 4x boiling Laemmli buffer for 5 min. Samples were then collected and boiled for 5 min before separation by SDS–PAGE and transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were probed overnight in primary antibody in 5% milk before immunoblotting with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies. Gels were imaged on a LAS-3000 (FujiFilm, Tokyo, Japan) using Amersham ECL Plus chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). The protein band intensities were quantified using the Gel Analyzer module of ImageJ, and data were analyzed in Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Values of band intensity were normalized for each experiment to the intensity of the glass substrate band.

Antibodies and drug treatments

Antibodies included mouse monoclonal anti–vimentin V9 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), chicken polyclonal anti–vimentin (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), and mouse monoclonal anti–glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Chemicon, Billerica, MA). Fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies were used for immunofluorescence (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). Fluorophore-conjugated phalloidin was used to label polymerized actin (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Cell nuclei were labeled with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich). For immunoblotting, peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, United Kingdom). Cells were treated for 1 h with one or a combination of the following reagents (all from Sigma-Aldrich): blebbistatin (50 μM), cytochalasin D (1 μg/ml), nocodazole (1 μg/ml), Taxol (10 nM; Polioudaki et al., 2009), Y-27632 (10 μM), NSEC23766 (50 μM), and IPA-3 (in 10% dimethylsulfoxide, 10 μM). Controls were performed alongside each treatment and are presented in aggregate.

Atomic force microscopy

Atomic force microscopy was performed as previously described, with modifications (Ando et al., 2004; Mücke et al., 2005). Triton X-100 detergent–soluble fractions were collected from a 5-kPa gel and centrifuged in an Eppendorf tabletop centrifuge for 10 min at 3000 × g. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 2 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5. The sample was then adsorbed to a freshly cleaved mica support for 10 min. The mica support was washed three times in deionized water and then dried. Samples were imaged on a NanoScope III atomic force microscope (Digital Instruments, Tonawanda, NY) in tapping mode with Au-coated silicon tip (Multi75GB; Ted Pella, Redding, CA).

Statistics

Results were tested for significant differences using two-tailed unpaired Student’s t tests with equal variance. p < 0.05 were considered significant. In all figures, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. All errors are given as SE of the results of at least three experimental repetitions, including a minimum of 45 cells/repetition.
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