Downregulation of CXCR4 Expression and Functionality After Zoledronate Exposure in Canine Osteosarcoma


Background: The establishment and progression of metastases remains the life-limiting factor for dogs diagnosed with osteosarcoma (OS). The pattern of metastases is likely regulated through interactions between chemokine receptors and chemokines, and perturbations in these signaling cascades responsible for cytoskeletal organization and directional migration have the potential to alter metastatic cell trafficking behaviors.

Hypothesis: Zoledronate will impair directional migration of OS cells through downregulation of chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4 (CXCR4) expression and functionality.

Samples: Nineteen archived tumor specimens and plasma from 20 dogs with OS.

Methods: Prospective, the expressions of CXCR4 were studied in OS cell lines and spontaneous tumor samples. The effect of zoledronate on CXCR4 expression and functionality was investigated by characterizing responses in 3 OS cell lines. In 19 OS specimens and 20 dogs with OS, changes in CXCR4 expression and circulating CXCR4 concentrations were characterized in response to zoledronate therapy respectively.

Results: All canine OS cells express CXCR4, and zoledronate reduces CXCR4 expression and functionality by 27.7% (P < .0001), through augmented proteasome degradation and reduced prenylation of heterotrimeric G-proteins in 33% of tumor cell lines evaluated. In OS-bearing dogs, zoledronate reduces CXCR4 expressions by 40% within the primary tumor compared to untreated controls (P = .03) and also decreases the circulating concentrations of CXCR4 in 18 of 20 dogs with OS.

Conclusions and clinical importance: Zoledronate can alter CXCR4 expression and functionality in OS cells, and consequent perturbations in CXCR4 intracellular signaling cascades might influence patterns of metastases.
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Introduction

The establishment of metastases is an expected clinical sequela in the majority of dogs diagnosed with osteosarcoma (OS), and consequently remains the major cause of death in this affected population of animals. Distinct patterns of metastases are associated with differing tumor histologies, and the pulmonary parenchyma serves as the preferential site for successful metastatic colonization in dogs with OS treated with amputation alone or receiving adjuvant chemotherapy.1–3 The tropism of OS cells for the lung microenvironment is likely attributed to multiple, nonexclusive biologic processes including the provision of a suitable microenvironment conducive for colonization, mechanical entrapment due to restrictive microvasculature diameters, and host-tumor specific interactions reliant upon active receptor and ligand signaling.4–6 In particular, leukocyte trafficking mechanisms mediated through chemokine receptor signaling have received considerable attention as an active strategy subverted by metastatic tumor cells in mediating directional migration toward distant organs.6

Chemokine receptors are serpentine transmembrane receptors that signal through heterotrimeric G-proteins consisting of α and β subunits adhered to the inner plasma membrane leaflet by fatty acid acylation and prenylation respectively.7,8 Upon binding with cognate chemokine ligands, activated heterotrimeric G-proteins mediate intracellular signaling through the generation of secondary messengers such as cAMP and calcium.9 Although over 20 different chemokine receptor/ligand pairs have been characterized and demonstrated to participate in directional cell migration, the CXCR4/SDF-1α axis has been explored most extensively as a druggable pathway in the context of solid tumor metastases.10 Although small molecule inhibitors of CXCR4 signaling have shown considerable promise in preclinical models,11,12 in addition to having been evaluated in patients diagnosed with advanced solid tumor malignancies including breast cancer,13 the tolerability and clinical benefit of such inhibitors in combination with standard-of-care therapeutics has yet to be reported.
Zoledronate is a third generation aminobisphosphonate that potently inhibits osteoclastogenesis, and is used as a first line agent in combination with conventional treatment options to attenuate the development of skeletal metastases associated with diverse solid tumor malignancies, in particular breast cancer. Mechanistically, zoledronate's capacity to impede malignant colonization of bone by cancer cells is attributed to both microenvironmental and cell specific effects. Given its potent anti-angiogenic properties, zoledronate creates an inhospitable tumor microenvironment within osseous tissues, and reduces the success of metastatic colonization by attenuating pathologic osteoclastogenesis. Additionally, zoledronate inhibits prenylation-dependent signaling pathways responsible for key cytoskeletal processes, which if perturbed could impair the establishment of distant solid tumor metastases. The role of zoledronate in suppressing cancer cell dissemination remains controversial; however, specific to breast cancer, evidence supporting the antimetastatic effects of zoledronate includes in vitro studies demonstrating the reduction of CXCR4 expression in breast cancer cells with consequent impaired cell motility and invasion. Concordant with these in vitro findings, a subset of women with breast cancer receiving adjuvant zoledronate combined with standard-of-care therapy have reduced risk of disease recurrence, which include metastases to skeletal and nonskeletal tissues. Collectively, these intriguing findings suggest that zoledronate might exert some effect on cancer cell metastases through alterations in CXCR4 signaling and consequent motility.

The contribution of chemokine receptors in the behavior of companion animal tumors remains poorly defined; however, emerging evidence suggests their potential role in the biology of aggressive sarcomas, such as hemangiosarcoma and osteosarcoma. Given the metastatic phenotype associated with these particularly high grade sarcomas, strategies that disrupt CXCR4 signaling could alter natural disease progression and potentially improve survival time in this population of dogs. Based upon prior studies demonstrating zoledronate's inhibitory effect on the metastatic properties of breast cancer cells, the purposes of this investigation were to (1) annotate altered expressions of CXCR4 as a consequence of zoledronate exposure in a limited panel of canine OS cell lines; (2) explore potential molecular mechanisms induced by zoledronate in altering CXCR4 expression and functionality; (3) compare CXCR4 expressions at the level of primary tumor and systemic circulation in dogs with OS receiving or not receiving zoledronate; and (4) describe the pattern of metastases observed in a small cohort of dogs treated with zoledronate therapy in the absence of systemic cytotoxic treatment.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and Antibodies

Zoledronate was generously provided by Novartis Pharma AG. Anti-human CXCR4 antibody (ab2074), anti-human farnesyl pyrophosphate synthetase (FPPS) antibody (ab153805), anti-β actin antibody (AC-15), and anti-human γ actin antibody were purchased from commercial vendors. Reagents AMD3100, IBMX, geranylgeraniol (GGOH), MG132, and human recombinant SDF-1α (13511-H07E-10) were purchased from commercial vendors. Image IT Fx Signal Enhancer (R37107), ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (P10144), 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 secondary antibody (A-11034) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Cell Protein Collection

Cells were grown to 80–100% confluence with zoledronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 48 hours continuously, and then cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). In some studies with proteasome inhibition, K003 cells were pre-incubated with 1 μM MG132 for 60 minutes, and then exposed to zoledronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 48 hours. After exposure to experimental conditions, cells were trypsinized and centrifuged at 450 g for 5 minutes at 4°C. Cell pellets were homogenized in 1 mL PBS, centrifuged at 1,100 g for 5 minutes at 4°C, resuspended with 100 μL of Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent, mixed with protease inhibitor cocktail solution for 15 minutes, and then centrifuged at 1,100 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Protein concentrations of the resultant supernatants were assessed for protein concentrations using a standard assay kit.

Western Blot Analysis

For investigated protein, 50 μg samples were electrophoresed on 12% polyacrylamide gel, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and block with tris buffered saline-tween 20 (TBST) with 5% milk for 1 hour at room temperature. Western blot analysis was performed using anti-human CXCR4 or anti-human FPPS antibody at a concentration of 1:1000 in TBST with 5% milk, incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. The membrane was then washed 3 times with TBST, probed with the secondary antibody diluted 1:5000 in TBST with 5% milk, and developed using ChemiDoc XRS+ molecular imager system. Band volume analysis was done using Image Lab software. Relative protein expressions were adjusted against β-actin using anti-human β-actin antibody at a concentration of 1:5000 in TBST with 5% milk, incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Results reported were derived from at least 2 independent experiments.

Confocal Fluorescent Microscopy

Cells were seeded at 10⁴ cells per well and exposed to zoledronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 48 hours in phenol red-free DMEM in chamber well slides. In some studies with proteasome inhibition, K003 cells were pre-incubated with 1 μM MG132 for 60 minutes, rinsed with PBS, and then exposed to zoledronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 48 hours. In prenylation rescue studies with exogenous GGOH, K003 cells were pre-incubated with 20 μM GGOH for 48 hours with zoledronate 5 μM. Following exposure to different conditions, cells were washed with phenol red-free DMEM, and fixed with 4% methanol-free paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature. Cells were permeabilized for 5 minutes at room temperature using 0.1% Triton X-100, and preblocked with 5 drops of IT signal FX solution for 30 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed with PBS, blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature and then rinsed with PBS. Either anti-human CXCR4 or γ actin antibody (1:100 in 3% BSA in PBS) was incubate with fixed cells for 24 hours at 4°C, then counterstained with DAPI (1:100 in 3% BSA in PBS) for 15 minutes. Cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor® 488 goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:100 in 3% BSA in PBS) for
60 minutes at room temperature while protected from light. Cells were washed with PBS and mounted with 5 drops of ProLong Gold solution, and left to dry at room temperature for 24 hours protected from light. Cells were imaged using a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal laser scanning microscope, and image analysis performed with ImageJ software. CXCR4 or γδ stain intensity was derived from 50 individual cell counts/well and expressed as fluorescence intensity per surface area (RFU/μm²). A total of 3 independent experiments were conducted.

**Cyclic AMP Assay**

Intracellular concentrations of cAMP were measured with a commercial assay. K003 cells were seeded at 10⁴ cells per well with zolendronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 24 hours in a 96-well plate. After preincubation with or without zolendronate, K003 cells were incubated in the absence of serum for 1 hour. Subsequently, cells were incubated with 500 μM of IBMX, a phosphodiesterase inhibitor, for 30 minutes to prevent the degradation of cAMP following either stimulatory (SDF-1α) or inhibitory (AMD3100) conditions. K003 cells were exposed to various SDF-1α concentrations (0–300 ng/mL) for 15 minutes with or without concurrent AMD3100 (1 μg/mL). After 15 minutes, stimulatory and inhibitory conditions were removed, cells were lysed, and intracellular cAMP concentrations measured using manufacturer instructions.

**Chemotaxis and Migration Assay**

K003 cells were pretreated with zolendronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 24 hours in complete media, and then grown under serum-free conditions for an additional 24 hours. Cells were harvested and rinsed with PBS. Cells were seeded at 5 × 10⁴ cells per 100 μL and loaded into the upper chamber of a 96-well migration plate. In some wells, K003 cells were co-incubated with AMD3100 (1 μg/mL). To the lower feeder tray, serum free media with or without SDF-1α (100 ng/mL) was added. Cells were left undisturbed and allowed to migrate for 6 hours at 37°C. Migrant cells trapped within the intermembranous filter between upper and lower trays were incubated with a fluorescent reporter and subsequently lysed, and fluorescence was measured using manufacturer’s kit instructions.

**In Vitro Zoledronate Cytotoxicity Analysis**

For cytotoxicity analysis, an apoptosis detection kit was used according to the manufacturer’s directions. K003 OS cells were incubated with zolendronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 48 hours. Adherent and nonadherent cells were collected and centrifuged at 450 g for 5 minutes. Cells were resuspended in 100 μL chilled binding buffer and incubated with 5 μL of Annexin-V FITC and 5 μL of propidium iodide for 15 minutes protected from light. Following incubation, an additional 400 μL binding buffer was added and samples analyzed by using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer.

**Caspase-3 Colorimetric Assay**

Enzymatic activities of caspase-3 were determined by a colorimetric assay according to the manufacturer’s protocol. K003 OS cells were incubated with zolendronate 1 μM or 5 μM for 48 hours. Exposure to staurosporine 1 mM for 4 hours served as a positive caspase activating control. Cells were collected, centrifuged into a pellet, and lysed with 25 μL of lysis buffer. Lysates were centrifuged at 1,100 g for 1 minute, then 100 μL lysates per well were transferred into a 96 well plate, and 5 μL of caspase-3 colorimetric substrate (DEVD-pNA) was then added for 12 hours at 37°C. Colorimetric changes were measured using a microplate reader and normalized against protein concentration.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Nineteen archived tissue blocks containing OS primary tumors derived from the distal radius (8), proximal humerus (4), distal tibia (3), proximal tibia (2), proximal femur (1), and distal ulna (1) were retrieved from the University of Illinois Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory for immunohistochemical assessment. Nine specimens were from dogs receiving a standardized palliative protocol inclusive of 20 gray ionizing radiation, oral analgesics (carprofen, tramadol, and gabapentin), and serial zolendronate treatments (median 11 treatments, range 4–16) every 4 weeks prior to limb amputation, while 10 specimens originated from dogs naïve to zolendronate exposure and had received variable therapeutic management prior to amputation. Slides were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in ethanol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 15 minutes. Slides were incubated with preheated 0.1% protease at 37°C for 20 minutes, and then rinsed in wash buffer for 2 minutes. Non-specific staining was minimized with incubation for 10 minutes with Power Block, and then blocked for 15 minutes with avidin and biotin block. Slides were incubated with anti-human CXCR4 antibody (1:100) for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody for 20 minutes at room temperature; then washed in buffer before incubation for 20 minutes with a streptavidin–biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex, and developed with DAB substrate for 5 minutes. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin and evaluated by a single investigator (KWL). Negative controls for the samples were processed identically in the absence of the primary antibody. Using ImageJ software, immunohistochemical staining positivity was expressed as normalized pixel intensity per positive cell.

**Circulating CXCR4 Assay**

In 20 dogs with stage IIb, histologically confirmed OS (Table S1), the plasma concentrations of CXCR4 were quantified using a canine-specific CXCR4 ELISA kit. Paired plasma samples were measured before treatment with zolendronate (Pre-ZOL) and repeated 28 days following a single intravenous treatment of zolendronate (Post-ZOL) combined with 20 gray ionizing radiation and standardized oral analgesics (carprofen, tramadol, and gabapentin). Zolendronate was administered as a 15-minute constant rate infusion at a dosage of 0.1 mg/kg. The assay was performed according to manufacturer instructions.

**Descriptive Analysis of OS Metastatic Pattern**

In 9 dogs with OS receiving serial zolendronate therapy every 4 weeks prior to the development of advanced metastatic disease burden, complete necropsies were performed to characterize the patterns of metastases identified on gross and microscopic examination.

**Statistical Analysis**

The distribution of continuous variable data was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test, skewness, kurtosis, and q-q plots. Data were analyzed with parametric methods based upon the achievement of normality assumptions. For CXCR4 expressions, 1-way ANOVA was used to evaluate for differences among treatment groups with the use of Dunnet’s post-hoc test. For the comparison of 2 data sets, 2-tailed student t-test or 2-tailed paired
Results

Zoledronate Alters CXCR4 Protein Expression in Some OS Cell Lines

After antibody validation (Fig S1A), it was determined that CXCR4 was basally expressed by all 3 immortalized canine OS cell lines utilized in this study, and exposure to biologically achievable concentrations of zoledronate \(^{21}\) for 48 hours resulted in variable regulation of CXCR4 expression (Fig 1A and B). Cell lineage susceptibility to zoledronate-induced alterations in CXCR4 was imperfectly associated with relative expressions of FPPS, the enzyme target of zoledronate. The Abrams cell line expressed FPPS robustly, while both K003 and HMPOS cell lines demonstrated modest FPPS expressions (Fig S1B). In K003 cells, CXCR4 expression was reduced as demonstrated by qualitative western blot analysis (Fig 1C), demonstrating greater than 50% decrease following incubation with zoledronate. In corroboration, quantitative confocal fluorescent microscopy (Fig 1B and D) identified reductions in normalized CXCR4 fluorescent expression as a function of zoledronate exposure, being \(104.6 \pm 25.3\), \(86.3 \pm 21.2\), and \(75.6 \pm 18.4\) RFU/\(\mu\)m\(^2\) for untreated control, \(1 \mu\)M zoledronate, and \(5 \mu\)M zoledronate respectively (Fig 1D). Both concentrations of zoledronate reduced CXCR4 expression in K003 cells compared to untreated control, \(P < .01\). Incubation of K003 cells with aqueous vehicle (sterile water) did not affect CXCR4 expression (Fig S2A). Contrary with K003, no consistent change in CXCR4 expression following zoledronate exposure was identified in either Abrams or HMPOS cell lines by western blot analysis or confocal fluorescent microscopy (Fig 1A, C, and D).

Molecular Mechanism of Zoledronate for Reducing CXCR4 in K003 Cells

To explore how zoledronate might regulate CXCR4 expressions in K003 cells, transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms were investigated. Based on real-time polymerase chain reaction experiments, no substantive change was identified in the transcriptional regulation of CXCR4 in K003 cells following zoledronate exposure. In comparison with untreated cells, exposure to zoledronate 1 \(\mu\)M or 5 \(\mu\)M resulted in 1.6 and 1.1-fold increases in transcriptional activities of CXCR4, respectively; findings which suggested the reductions in CXCR4 expression in K003 cells were likely post-transcriptional in nature. Based upon prior studies characterizing the role of proteasome degradation in chemokine receptor homeostatic recycling,\(^{22}\) the effects of incubating K003 cells with MG132, a potent proteasome inhibitor, were studied by western blot analysis (Fig 2A–B) and confocal fluorescent microscopy (Fig 2C–D). Qualitatively, the addition of MG132 to untreated K003 cells increased CXCR4
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\(t\)-test was employed for normal distributed data sets respectively. Statistical calculations were performed using a commercial software program and \(P < .05\) was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

**Fig 1.** (A) Modulation of CXCR4 expression as a function of zoledronate concentration in 3 canine OS cell lines by western blot analysis. (B) Reduced CXCR4 expression by confocal fluorescent microscopy in K003 cells following exposure to zoledronate for 48 hours. (C-D) Correlative changes in CXCR4 protein expression represented graphically as normalized values by (C) western blot and (D) confocal fluorescent microscopy. Gray shaded region (C) denotes ± 50% qualitative change in CXCR4 protein expression relative to untreated control cells. (D) Based upon 50 cell counts, quantitative reductions in CXCR4 expression in K003 cells following exposure to low concentrations of zoledronate as assessed by confocal fluorescent microscopy. Data expressed as mean ± SD and significance defined as **\(P < .01\).**
expressions above baseline levels by approximately 50%. The co-addition of MG132 and 5 μM zoledronate robustly augmented the expression of CXCR4 by approximately 2-fold in comparison with untreated K003 cells. Concordant with western blot analysis, quantitative comparisons derived from confocal fluorescence studies demonstrated MG132’s capacity to enhance CXCR4 expressions. Normalized fluorescent intensities for CXCR4 expression in untreated K003 cells (~33401.6 RFU/m²) were greater than cells treated with zoledronate (~32857.0 RFU/m²; *P < .05) and 5 μM (~15121.5 ± 4035.2 RFU/m²; *P < .01) respectively. Concurrent incubation of MG132 with K003 cells exposed to either 1 μM (32857.0 ± 7466.9 RFU/m²; *P < .001) or 5 μM (33401.6 ± 7806.7 RFU/m²; **P < .001) zoledronate completely inhibited any reduction in CXCR4 expression induced with zoledronate alone.

Zoledronate Impairs cAMP Generation and Consequent Directional Migration

To explore the functional consequences of reduced CXCR4 expression induced by zoledronate in K003 cells, intracellular cAMP and directional cell migration were characterized following exogenous stimulation. In untreated K003 cells, a dose-dependent increase in intracellular cAMP was elicited with the addition of SDF-1α (Fig 3A). At the lowest concentration of SDF-1α (30 ng/mL), K003 cells produced 9.3 ± 0.6 nM cAMP. At this low level of stimulation, co-incubation of K003 cells with 1 μg/mL of AMD3100 did not significantly attenuate cAMP production (3.2 ± 1.2 nM; *P = .14). However, 5 μM zoledronate exposure reduced the production of cAMP (2.3 ± 0.8 nM; *P < .05) after stimulation with the lowest level SDF-1α (30 ng/mL) in comparison with untreated K003 cells. With greater concentrations of exogenous SDF-1α (100 and 300 ng/mL), both AMD3100 and 5 μM zoledronate exposure blunted cAMP production in comparison to untreated K003 cells (Fig 3A). With 100 ng/mL of SDF-1α, the concentrations of cAMP produced in untreated, AMD3100, and 5 μM zoledronate exposed K003 cells were 9.3 ± 0.6 nM, 1.9 ± 0.3 nM (*P < .01), and 1.9 ± 0.4 nM (**P < .01) respectively. Similarly, the concentrations of cAMP elicited by 300 ng/mL of SDF-1α were different between untreated and treated (AMD3100 and 5 μM zoledronate) K003 cells being 15.1 ± 1.3 nM and (2.2 ± 0.3 nM; *P < .01) and 2.3 ± 0.8 nM; *P < .01) respectively.

To assess the impact of reduced intracellular cAMP on the biologic processes involved in cytoskeletal dynamics, quantitative changes in directional migration were studied in K003 cells following exposure to stimulatory and inhibitory conditions. Random and SDF-1α (100 ng/mL) induced migration of K003 cells was quantitatively different, being 30,260 ± 4,170 RFU and 51,890 ± 8,500 RFU; *P < .001 respectively (Fig 3B). Directional migration elicited by SDF-1α was completely attenuated and comparable to random migration following exposure of K003 cells to all inhibitory conditions, singly or in combination; AMD3100 (26,938 ± 1,490 RFU), 1 μM zoledronate (20,390 ± 4,480 RFU), 1 μM zoledronate + AMD3100 (22,790 ± 1,490 RFU) 5 μM zoledronate (27,730 ± 4,820 RFU), and 5 μM zoledronate + AMD3100 (28,590 ± 3,040 RFU).
Participatory Molecular Mechanisms for Blunted CXCR4 Functionality

The magnitude of impaired CXCR4 secondary messenger generation and consequent migration were unexpected findings given the extent of CXCR4 reduction (~50%) following exposure to zoledronate, and suggested the involvement of additional molecular mechanisms. To determine if early programmed cell death played any role in the observed dysfunction of CXCR4 signaling and activity, apoptosis and cleaved caspase-3 activities were quantified in untreated and zoledronate treated K003 cells (Fig 3C). After 48 hours of zoledronate exposure (1 or 5 μM), there was no difference in the percentage of apoptotic cells or cleaved caspase-3 activities in K003 cells exposed to zoledronate when compared to untreated cells. Percent apoptosis in untreated, zoledronate 1 μM, and zoledronate 5 μM exposed cells were 3.0 ± 0.5%, 2.9 ± 0.2%, and 2.9 ± 0.3% respectively. Similarly, cleaved caspase-3 activities in untreated, zoledronate 1 μM, and zoledronate 5 μM exposed cells were 2.7 ± 0.2 OD/μg, 2.7 ± 0.2 OD/μg, and 2.6 ± 0.1 OD/μg respectively. Expectedly, exposure of K003 cells to staurosporine 1 mM for 4 hours produced increased cleaved caspase-3 activities, measuring 7.3 ± 0.1 OD/μg (P < .001).

Binding of SDF-1α to CXCR4 results in heterotrimeric G-protein activation through dissociation of α and βγ subunits, which are localized to the inner plasma membrane leaflet by fatty acid acylation and prenylation respectively. Given the capacity of zoledronate to inhibit FPPS, an enzyme necessary for protein prenylation, experiments were conducted to determine if reduced prenylation might contribute to loss of CXCR4 functionality. Confocal fluorescent microscopy was utilized to quantitate changes in a surrogate of βγ heterodimers, specifically the γ5 subunit, in K003 cells untreated or exposed to GGOH, zoledronate, or combination. In K003 cells, γ5 subunit expression in untreated cells was 38,670 ± 7,580 RFU/μm² and was unaffected by co-incubation with 20 μM GGOH being 39,450 ± 5,070 RFU/μm². Following exposure to 5 μM zoledronate for 48 hours, γ5 subunit expression was reduced to 29,090 ± 4,420 RFU/μm², P < .001 (Fig 3D). Expression of γ5 subunit was not affected by incubation with sterile water, the aqueous vehicle of zoledronate (Fig S2B). The observed reductions in membranous γ5 subunit following zoledronate exposure were likely attributed to the inhibition of protein prenylation, as co-incubation of K003 cells with zoledronate and GGOH, a metabolite of isoprenoid pyrophosphate, completely rescued γ5 subunit membranous expressions, 39,980 ± 7,540 RFU/μm².

Zoledronate reduces CXCR4 expression within the primary tumor and systemic circulation

To determine if zoledronate could exert any effect on the expressions of CXCR4 in dogs with naturally occurring OS, 19 archived primary bone tumor samples derived from dogs treated with (n = 9) or without zoledronate (n = 10) were retrieved from the University of Illinois Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory. To compensate for confounding differences in tumor stromal densities and extracellular matrix effects within primary
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**Fig 3.** (A) Quantitative differences in cAMP generation in K003 cells stimulated with SDF-1α (0–300 ng/mL) alone or with inhibitory agents, 1 μg/mL AMD3100 or zoledronate 5 μM. (B) SDF-1α induced directional cell migration of K003 cells, either untreated or following exposure to inhibitory agents, 1 μg/mL AMD3100, zoledronate (1 or 5 μM), or combination. (C) Percent apoptosis and normalized cleaved caspase-3 activities in K003 cells either untreated or exposed to low concentrations of zoledronate (1–5 μM). (D) Visual and quantitative (based upon a 50 cell count), expression of γ5 subunit in K003 cells, untreated, or exposed to 20 μM GGOH, 5 μM zoledronate, or combination. Data expressed as mean ± SD and significance defined as *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001, and ****P < .0001.
tumor samples, CXCR4 staining intensity area was restricted to and normalized on a per positive cell basis using ImageJ software (Fig 4A, bottom row). Expression of CXCR4 within the primary bone tumor was reduced in dogs receiving zoledronate therapy in comparison to dogs not receiving zoledronate, 16.2 ± 9.0 versus 26.9 ± 10.8 normalized pixel intensity; P = .02 (Fig 4B). Given the observed CXCR4 reductions within primary bone tumors in dogs receiving zoledronate therapy, systemic changes in CXCR4 plasma concentrations before and after zoledronate infusion were evaluated in a separate cohort of 20 OS-bearing dogs. Eighteen of 20 (90%) treatment naïve dogs with OS achieved an average reduction in circulating CXCR4 concentrations of 54.2 ± 33.8% 4 weeks following standardized palliative therapy inclusive of a single intravenous infusion of zoledronate, P = .02 (Fig 4C).

Atypical OS metastases pattern in dogs treated with zoledronate

In the same 9 dogs not ever receiving systemic chemotherapy, and only treated with zoledronate combined with ionizing radiation and oral analgesics in which primary tumor CXCR4 expression was reduced in comparison with dogs not receiving zoledronate therapy, full necropsies were performed at the time of death (median 283 days, range 85–445 days), and allowed for patterns of metastases, identified grossly and confirmed histologically, to be described (Table 1). In comparison with historical reports which document lung parenchymal involvement as the primary and sole site of metastatic colonization in approximately 60% of affected animals, only 3/9 dogs receiving zoledronate were confirmed to have pulmonary metastases as the sole site of colonization. In 33% of dogs (3/9), no metastases were identified in pulmonary tissues at all, but rather successful colonization developed in unexpected anatomic compartments including the lymphatic, nervous, and cutaneous tissues. Subjectively, a disproportionate fraction of dogs (4/9) had extensive metastatic colonization of abdominal visceral organs including the spleen, liver, kidney, and adrenal glands.

Discussion

Complex and interactive biologic processes likely contribute to the conserved patterns of metastases associated with specific solid tumor malignancies, such as canine OS. Scientific and clinical evidence support the proactive involvement of tumor cells in the process of distant tissue colonization, which includes subversion of chemokine receptor signaling cascades. Understanding the role of chemokine receptors in metastatic cell migration and the capacity of conventional or experimental therapeutics in modifying chemokine-induced intracellular responses, serve as fundamental and necessary steps toward developing treatment strategies that might alter, and ideally inhibit, metastatic progression. Considering the critical role of CXCR4 signaling in various diseases including solid tumor metastases, several blocking strategies including peptides, peptide analogues, and antibodies have been developed and proven to be effective in delaying metastatic progression in preclinical experimental systems, including murine models of OS. However, some blocking strategies are limited in the prevention of successful metastatic seeding, and do not exert activity against established micrometastatic disease.

Fig 4. (A) Visual comparison of primary tumor OS cells by hematoxylin and eosin (top row), CXCR4 immunohistochemistry (middle row), and computer-based fluorescence quantification of CXCR4 (bottom row) from untreated (left panel) and zoledronate-treated (right panel) dogs. (B) Reductions in CXCR4 expression by computer-based fluorescence quantification in dogs receiving serial zoledronate therapy prior to limb amputation compared to untreated control dogs. (C) Directional changes in plasma soluble CXCR4 concentrations achieved in dogs 28-days following treatment with intravenous infusions of zoledronate. Red filled circles identify 2 animals that had elevations in plasma soluble CXCR4 following zoledronate exposure. Data expressed as mean ± SD and significance defined as *P < .05. Scale bar = 100 microns.
Additionally, the capacity of zoledronate to inhibit OS metastatic progression in different rodent models has not generated uniform results, including the exertion of anti-metastatic, neutral, or prometastatic activities.\(^{1,12,23–28}\) Nonetheless, collectively based upon this therapeutic promise, clinical trials in people evaluating CXCR4 antagonism for the management of advanced stage cancers have been recently reported.\(^{13}\)

Complementing the development of specific CXCR4 inhibitors as potential anti-metastatic agents, prior reports have suggested that zoledronate not only reduces CXCR4 expression in breast cancer cells, but also decreases tumor recurrence rates in postmenopausal women diagnosed with breast carcinoma.\(^{17,18}\) Given the safety and confirmed biological activity of zoledronate in cancer-bearing dogs,\(^{20,29}\) in conjunction with the potential role of CXCR4 in canine OS biology,\(^{20}\) the major purpose of the current study was to investigate if zoledronate could modulate metastatic behaviors in canine OS. Exposure of canine OS cells to biologically achieved zoledronate could modulate metastatic behaviors in canine OS cells. Parallel previous studies describing the acquisition of metabolic resistance in OS cells grown in long-term culture with low concentrations of aminobisphosphonates.\(^{31}\)

Chemokine receptors undergo constant recycling which regulates their cellular longevity.\(^{32}\) In K003 cell line, reduced expression of CXCR4 following zoledronate exposure was likely mediated through augmented protein ubiquitination, as co-incubation of K003 cells with zoledronate and MG132, a potent proteasome inhibitor, abolished zoledronate's capacity to downregulate CXCR4 expression by OS cells. Indicatively, the differential responses to zoledronate observed in the current study parallel previous studies describing the acquisition of metabolic resistance in OS cells grown in long-term culture with low concentrations of aminobisphosphonates.\(^{31}\)

In K003 cells, the magnitude in which zoledronate inhibited cAMP generation and directional cell migration was discordant with the moderate reductions in CXCR4 protein achieved, and supported the existence of additional molecular mechanisms altered by zoledronate exposure. Given the potential cytotoxic properties of aminobisphosphonates against various cell lines, including canine OS,\(^{35,36}\) zoledronate's capacity to induce global cellular dysfunction as a consequence of early apoptosis, plausibly could have contributed to the impaired CXCR4 functionality and directional migration observed in K003 cells. However, given the low concentrations evaluated in the current study, nonspecific cytotoxic effects of zoledronate as a mechanism for reduced CXCR4 functionality were excluded as no difference in apoptosis or cleaved caspase-3 activities were identified between untreated and zoledronate-exposed K003 cells. Alternatively, the disruption of heterotrimeric G-protein activities were further considered candidate mechanisms influenced upon the requisite prenylation of \(\beta_7\) subunits necessary for appropriate subcellular localization and consequent cell signaling.\(^{7}\) As a surrogate measure for appropriate heterotrimeric G-protein assembly, we explored zoledronate's effect on \(\gamma_5\), a subunit which requires prenylation and heterodimerizes with various \(\beta\) proteins prior to localizing within the plasma membrane.\(^{8}\) Following exposure to zoledronate, K003 cells demonstrated reductions in \(\gamma_5\) expression which could be completely rescued with the co-incubation of GGOH, a metabolite that can be converted into isoprenoid pyrophosphates in the absence of FPPS activity; findings which support zoledronate's capacity to inhibit \(\gamma_5\) prenylation. Derived from these data, the loss of CXCR4 functionality following zoledronate exposure could be partially attributed to dysregulated subcellular localization of \(\beta_7\) subunits with consequent impaired heterotrimeric G-protein assembly and signaling.

To evaluate the translational relevance of the in vitro findings identified in cell lines, correlative in vivo studies were conducted and provided additional indirect evidence for the capacity of zoledronate to alter CXCR4 expressions in a limited cohort of dogs with OS. Dogs (\(n = 9\)) receiving serial intravenous infusions of zoledronate on a monthly basis demonstrated reductions in CXCR4 expression by OS cells comprising the primary tumor in comparison with dogs not receiving zoledronate (\(n = 10\)). Concordant with the observed downregulation of CXCR4 expression by primary tumor OS cells, in a separate cohort of dogs with OS (\(n = 20\)) paired plasma samples collected before and after first-time zoledronate administration revealed reductions in circulating CXCR4 concentrations in the majority of dogs (18/20). Collectively, these findings suggest that zoledronate exposure in dogs with OS has the capacity to alter CXCR4 expressions at the level of the primary tumor and within systemic circulation.

The potential for reduced CXCR4 expressions to alter patterns of metastases were qualitatively described in the same cohort of dogs (\(n = 9\)) receiving serial zoledronate therapy prior to death. Based upon the limited number of animals evaluable, observed patterns of metastases at time of death were qualitatively different in comparison with historical reports.\(^{1,2}\) In accordance with zoledronate's capacity to perturb the migratory behavior of metastatic OS cells. Only a minority of dogs (2/9) had evidence of only pulmonary parenchymal colonization, while an unexpectedly high percentage (66%) of dogs developed metastatic lesions within atypical locations including lymphatic, cutaneous, nervous, and abdominal visceral organs. Collectively, these in vivo
findings lend additional, albeit descriptive, support for the possibility of zoledronate to alter chemokine receptor expressions and consequent patterns of metastases.

Although the current study provides novel information pertaining to canine OS metastatic biology, several limitations should be recognized. First, the number of cell lines utilized in the current investigation was limited, and therefore strong conclusions regarding the biologic consequences exerted by zoledronate might not be extended globally across all OS histologies. In particular, any biologic response to zoledronate might be dependent upon multiple factors including FPPS activities. Although protein expression of FPPS was qualitatively evaluated, direct enzymatic activity was not and therefore the experimental design of the current study was incomplete in scope and could provide a plausible explanation for the imperfect association identified between cell lineage susceptibilities to zoledronate and cytotoxic FPPS expressions. Second, the study design of the current investigation did not provide any definitive evidence to prove the exact mechanisms responsible for CXCR4 downregulation following zoledronate exposure, only that ultimate proteasome degradation was involved. Mevalonate pathway blockade results in endoplasmic reticulum stress with consequent induction of the unfolded protein response.34 It is probable that zoledronate elicits similar cellular responses in canine OS cells; however, additional studies characterizing the upregulation of various chaperone proteins, such as glucose-regulated protein 78, following zoledronate exposure would be required to associate the unfolded protein response and CXCR4 downregulation observed in the current study. Third, the observed reductions in circulating CXCR4 concentrations following zoledronate exposure in dogs with OS is a novel finding; however, the biologic significance of this discovery is uncertain. Soluble cyto-kine receptors are most often extracellular membrane products of enzymatic cleavage derived from single pass transmembrane receptors, not serpentine receptors like CXCR4. However, the elevated soluble CXCR4 receptor concentrations have been reported in people with inflammatory and cancerous processes, and has been proposed to serve as a biomarker of disease burden.30 As such, additional research will be necessary to elucidate the biologic mechanisms and clinical significance of soluble CXCR4 concentrations in dogs with OS. Last, although dogs treated with zoledronate manifested with qualitatively atypical metastases, it is not possible to ascribe the anatomic changes in metastatic colonization as a direct effect of reduced CXCR4 expression secondary to zoledronate exposure, especially in light of the small cohort of dogs characterized in the current investigation. Despite the well-annotated patterns of metastases in dogs with OS treated with surgery alone or with adjuvant chemotherapies, the natural disease progression and sites of preferential metastases in dogs not treated with surgery have not been thoroughly characterized. As such, the perceived atypical metastatic pattern observed in dogs receiving long-term zoledronate therapy might be attributed to other factors unrelated and separate from reduced CXCR4 expression and zoledronate exposure.

In conclusion, our results showed that CXCR4 expression by canine OS cells can be modulated by zoledronate, and functional signaling and directional migration can be substantively attenuated through impaired heterotrimeric G-protein activities. In dogs with OS, treatment with zoledronate reduces CXCR4 expressions at the level of the primary tumor and systemic circulation, and potentially alters the natural patterns of metastases. Collectively, these findings broaden our understanding of chemokine mediated metastases in canine OS, and provide new information and future opportunities to investigate the use of zoledronate as an adjuvant therapy for changing, and ideally delaying, the onset of metastatic progression in dogs with OS.
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**Figure S1** (A) Canine cross-reactivity of anti-human CXCR4 antibody for western blot application with the identification of a protein band size ~ 45 kD. (B) Identification of FPPS in 3 canine OS cell lines demonstrating variances in basal expression.

**Figure S2** Exposure of K003 cells to aqueous vehicle control (sterile water) does not reduce (A) CXCR4 or (B) γc subunit as quantified by confocal fluorescent microscopy. Data expressed as mean ± SEM and significance defined as ***P < .001.

**Table S1** Canine OS study population signalment. **Data S1** Materials and Methods.