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The key component currently missing for the next generation of transparent and flexible displays is a high-performance polymer material that is flexible, while showing optical and thermal properties of glass. It must be transparent to visible light and show a low coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE). While specialty plastics such as aromatic polyimides are promising, reducing their CTE and improving transparency simultaneously proved challenging, with increasing coloration the main problem to be resolved. We report a new poly(amide-imide) material that is flexible and displays glass-like behavior with a CTE value of 4 parts per million/°C. This novel polymer was successfully used as a substrate to fabricate transparent and flexible indium-gallium-zinc oxide thin-film transistors.

INTRODUCTION

The worldwide proliferation of handheld devices and smartphones has created an urgent need for light, flexible, energy-efficient, and nonbrittle display materials that can be used in the next generation of electronic devices \textsuperscript{(1)} to create, for instance, flexible and transparent displays. Whereas polymer materials are uniquely suited to meet the required technical demands, transparency to visible light and low coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) \textsuperscript{(2)} proved difficult to realize. Metals and ceramics typically show low CTE values, in the range of 0.5 to 25 parts per million (ppm)/°C, and maintain their physical dimensions over a wide range of temperatures. Non–cross-linked organic polymers, however, experience relatively large thermal expansion upon heating and show large CTE values ranging from 30 to 400 ppm/°C. This behavior is easy to understand. Because the interactions between individual polymer chains are weak, they experience substantial thermal expansions upon heating \textsuperscript{(3)}.

To lower the CTE values of thermoplastic polymers, researchers have typically added filler materials \textsuperscript{(4–7)} or introduced rigid rod-like backbones into the polymer \textsuperscript{(8–10)}. However, the filled polymer composites often suffer from poor toughness and are optically hazy and difficult to process, and the reduction in CTE is often modest. Polymers with rigid backbones and strong secondary interchain interactions, such as aromatic polyimides and polyamides, have inherently low CTE values and even become negative in the case of Kevlar yarns \textsuperscript{(11)} and the rigid polyimide from pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA) \textsuperscript{(8, 12)}. Effective chain packing aided by secondary interchain interactions can greatly suppress thermal expansion, especially when the polymer chains are aligned. However, these polymers are extremely difficult to process into films due to their low solubility. In addition, aromatic polyimides, such as Kapton, are well known to have a yellow hue due to the presence of aromatic rings in the backbone and the formation of charge-transfer complex between the electron-deficient dianhydride and electron-rich di-amine units. The challenge has been to develop polymeric materials with low CTE while simultaneously retaining high transparency, good processability, and high thermal stability.

To overcome these fundamental challenges, we examined aromatic poly(amide-imide) as a new platform. We chose a rigid and strongly interacting aromatic poly(amide-imide) backbone, and we decorated the two diamine groups with sterically bulky trifluoromethyl groups capable of forming an intricate hydrogen-bonding network in two different directions. This approach achieved polymers with ~90% transparency with CTE values as low as 4 ppm/°C. We found that the CTE values can be precisely tuned in the range of 4 to 20 ppm/°C by controlling the fraction of asymmetrically positioned trifluoromethyl groups in the polymer backbone, with no sacrifice in high transparency. Structural analysis of the chain packing combined with density functional theory (DFT) calculations indicates that the asymmetrically positioned trifluoromethyl groups increase the interchain distance and the possible number of interchain hydrogen bonds, which contribute to both the low CTE value and high transparency without forming charge-transfer complexes. We demonstrate how the composition of the monomer can be optimized rationally for chain packing to change the CTE of the amorphous polymers, and this approach can be applied not only to polymers but also to organic materials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The novel poly(amide-imide) materials are based on a 4,4′-biphenyldiamine monomer, where two trifluoromethyl groups are introduced at the 2 and 6 positions on one of the aromatic rings (Fig. 1A). As a result, the two aromatic rings are not equivalent, and the synthesis requires a cross-coupling reaction, as shown in figs. S1 to S4. This diamine monomer is designated uDA, in contrast to the symmetric biphenyldiamine monomer (sDA) \textsuperscript{(13)} that carries trifluoromethyl groups at the 2 position of both arenes. The sDA monomer was used previously to prepare polyimides \textsuperscript{(10)} and poly(amide-imide)s \textsuperscript{(14)} to increase their processability and transparency while retaining a high glass transition temperature \textsuperscript{(15)}.

This is accomplished by frustrating the compact overlap between polymer chains while hindering rotation around the C–C bond between the aromatic rings.
Using both uDA and sDA as monomers, a series of poly(amide-imide) materials were synthesized as outlined in Fig. 1A. First, two different diacid monomers were synthesized by the reaction of uDA and sDA with trimellitic anhydride to form two internal imide linkages, as shown in figs. S5 to S8. Then, the diamine and the diacid monomers were polymerized in the presence of triphenyl phosphite and pyridine to produce the target poly(amide-imide)s with various combinations of the diamine repeating units in the backbone. The resulting polymers were labeled PAI(x-y), where x and y indicate the diamine repeating units between the amide and imide linkages, respectively. Here, su is used to indicate that an equimolar mixture of the diamine monomers was used in the synthesis, for example, as PAI(su-u). The position of the trifluoromethyl groups in the polymer originating from uDA is not regular, since uDA and uDAc are not symmetric. Characterization details of the synthesized polymers are summarized in Table 1.

All polymers were characterized by 1H–nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (fig. S9) and showed good solubility in various organic solvents (table S1). They also showed a high viscosity in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), indicating that polymers with high molar mass were successfully synthesized, although the two amine groups in uDA have different reactivities due to the electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl groups. This allowed for processing all polymers into transparent, flexible, and tough films by casting the polymer solutions in N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAC) on a glass support and evaporating the solvent (fig. S10). A representative example of a 70-μm-thick PAI(s-u) film is shown in Fig. 1B, highlighting its high transparency. The cutoff wavelengths (λco) were determined to be ~370 nm by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy for most of the polymer films, except for PAI(su-s), which showed a λco of 364 nm, indicating that the films only show a slight yellow hue (fig. S11). At 550 nm, the transmittance was ≥88% for all the polymers tested (Fig. 1C). The high transparency is attributed to the presence of the bulky and electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl groups on the polymer backbone, which prohibits the formation of charge-transfer complexes by sterically repelling adjacent chains, and lowers the electron density in the diamine moiety. A prism-coupling analysis indicates that the birefringence of the films is related to the polymers having higher nTE values than nTM, suggesting that the chains are oriented in-plane (table S2).

These new polymers are remarkably stable at high temperatures. PAI(su-u) showed a 5% weight loss at above 510°C in nitrogen, and even in air, all polymers maintained their weight within 5% up to 470°C with the notable exception of PAI(s-s) (fig. S12). Whereas the glass transition temperatures (Tg) of the polymers were not detected by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis in the range of room temperature to 400°C (fig. S13), dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) of the films exhibited α, β, and γ transitions, presumably corresponding to glass transition, and rotation-related relaxation processes (fig. S14 and table S3). The storage modulus of the PAIs was notably higher than conventional polyimides such as Kapton, indicating significant improvement of the mechanical properties in these new materials. The CTE values of the polymer films were determined by thermomechanical analysis (TMA) (fig. S15). Subjected
to three cycles of heating from room temperature to 300°C, the mean coefficient of linear thermal expansion was estimated in the temperature range between 50°C and 250°C in the second and third heating cycles, respectively, and the CTE values were calculated (Table 1). Only slight changes were observed in the CTE values between the second and third heating cycles. During the second cycle, the CTE value of PAI(u-u) was determined to be 7.5 ppm/°C, which is slightly lower than the CTE value of PAI(s-s) previously reported (15). The PAIs containing both sDA and uDA repeating units exhibited even lower CTE values than PAI(u-u). Remarkably, the lowest CTE value of 4.2 ppm/°C, which is comparable to that of Pyrex glass, was obtained with PAI(s-s). Surprised by this finding, we produced different batches of PAI(s-s) and confirmed that it is reproducible.

Figure 1C shows a plot of CTE values as a function of uDA repeating units in the total diamine content, regardless of its position, denoted as u/(u+s). It appears that the thermal expansion is more suppressed as the ratio of uDA and sDA repeating units approaches unity. This trend suggests that incorporation of both uDA and sDA synergistically reduces the CTE value beyond the straightforward additive approach. This trend suggests that the introduction of trifluoromethyl groups effectively increase the interchain distance as the population of the uDA fragments is increased in the polymer backbone (Fig. 2C). However, when the CTE values were plotted against the π-π stacking distance, CTE reached a minimum and then increased as the π-π stacking distance increased further (Fig. 2D). This behavior is in contrast to the conventional notion that high packing coefficient and small free volume lead to low CTE and suggests that the interchain interaction is not simply governed by distance. This is supported by the β-relaxation temperature, which is related to the rotational motion of the polymer backbone (16, 17) and is measured by DMA. It roughly increases with decreasing CTE but does not show a noticeable correlation with the π-π stacking distance (fig. S19).

To gain additional insight into the influence of uDA on the chain packing in the PAI materials, we prepared model compounds corresponding to the amide-linked uDA (amide-uDA) and imide-linked uDA (imide-uDA) (figs. S20 to S23). Their crystal structures, as revealed by x-ray diffraction (XRD) studies, indicate that the biphenyls in the amide-uDA are aligned antiparallel, to reduce steric congestion between the trifluoromethyl groups, but form hydrogen bonds between the amides (fig. S24 and tables S5 and S6), as envisioned in our original design strategy. The angle between two aromatic rings was significantly distorted at 78.6°. Whereas the imide-uDA exhibited a similar distortion of 76.4°, a parallel stacking of the biphenyls was observed, suggesting that the packing was predominantly driven by stacking of the imide rings. The possible packing structures of PAIs were further investigated by DFT calculations. Figure 3 shows the optimized structures of the PAI(s-s), PAI(s-u), PAI(u-s), and PAI(u-u) repeating units, along with their interaction energies between the chains and the optimized distances between the phenyl rings (figs. S25 to S28 and table S7). While the
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**To be inserted**
phenyl-phenyl distances increased with increasing \( u \) content, consistent with the GIWAXS data, the interaction energies of PAI(s-u) and PAI(u-s) were larger than those of PAI(s-s) and PAI(u-u), which explain the trend in the CTE values, since the interchain interaction energy is inversely proportional to the CTE. PAI(s-s) favored a parallel arrangement, whereas the others preferred antiparallel, as observed for amide-uDA, indicating the decisive influence of the uDA on molecular packing.

To exploit the excellent mechanical properties, high transparency, and the remarkable operational temperature range of the PAIs, we used the PAI(s-u) material as a substrate to fabricate high-performance electronic devices that are transparent and flexible. PAI(s-u) was chosen because of its thermal stability and ultralow CTE, allowing us to anneal the fabricated device to increase its performance. These unique advantages are well illustrated in the transparent and flexible indium-gallium-zinc oxide (IGZO) thin-film transistors (TFTs) fabricated using PAI(s-u) and shown in Fig. 4A. The PAI(s-u) successfully withstood radio frequency (RF) sputtering damage during deposition of the indium-zinc oxide (IZO) transparent electrodes and IGZO channels, thermal stress during the atomic layer deposition of gate insulator (\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \), 170 nm thick) at 150°C, and thermal annealing at 250°C in ambient air. With the channel length (\( L \)), width (\( W \)), and thickness of 200 \( \mu \)m, 980 \( \mu \)m, and 50 nm, respectively, the IGZO TFTs on the 40-\( \mu \)m-thick PAI(s-u) substrate exhibited a large on/off ratio of \( 3 \times 10^7 \), a subthreshold swing of 201 mV/decade, and a saturation mobility (\( \mu_{\text{sat}} \)) of 12 cm^2/V·s at a drain bias (\( V_D \)) of 10 V, as shown in Fig. 4B.

As can be seen from the characteristics obtained in the forward and reverse scan directions (red and blue traces in Fig. 4B), hysteresis was relatively low with \( \Delta V \) of ca. 0.5 V at an \( I_D \) (drain current) of 1 nA. This level of performance and near hysteresis-free characteristics are not expected in IGZO TFTs, unless they are annealed to a significant degree (18, 19). The IGZO TFTs prepared with the new material are and stay transparent even after in-air thermal annealing (250°C at 1 hour), showing 83% transmittance at 550 nm (Fig. 4C). This is a significant breakthrough compared to conventional polyimides and PAIs that typically show significant yellowing, presumably because charge-transfer complex is formed, and further oxidation occurs during the thermal annealing process. The substrates in this work did not go through yellowing even with the prolonged annealing as long as it was done at temperature within ca. 250°C in air. The performance of the IGZO TFTs was further evaluated as a function of bending radius to investigate their potential as flexible devices (Fig. 4D). When measured flat after being repeatedly bent at 10 times at a given bending radius, the TFTs under study exhibited consistent performance with virtually no change in characteristics down to a bending radius of 4 mm. Although permanent degradation was observed in TFT characteristics at a lower bending radius than 4 mm (fig. S29), the devices were functional even at a bending radius of 0.9 mm, with only a slight decrease in on-current. All these results show that PAI(s-u) is a promising platform for high-performance electronic devices with transparent and/or flexible form factors.

CONCLUSION

We developed novel poly(amide-imide) materials with a CTE value as low as 4 ppm/°C, which is exceptionally low for a non–cross-linked, amorphous polymer, while retaining high transparency and...
excellent thermal and mechanical properties. This breakthrough was possible because of careful and rational engineering of the chain packing structure of the polymers that allowed for controlling the position of the trifluoromethyl substituent on the polymer backbone. Specifically, tweaking the packing modes, the packing distances, and the intermolecular interactions gave rise to a remarkably different thermal behaviors of the polymers compared to conventional non–cross-linked polymers. The poly(amide-imide) materials developed in this study were tested as a substrate for transparent IGZO TFTs, and we found exceptional performance, demonstrating the potential of this new material as a versatile platform for electronic devices that require flexible and transparent form factors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

2-Bromo-5-nitro-1,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene (1) was synthesized, as reported in our previous paper (20). 4-Aminophenylboronic acid hydrochloride (Small Molecules), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (TCI), palladium 10% on carbon (Pd/C; Sigma-Aldrich), glacial acetic acid (Daejung), triphenyl phosphite (Sigma-Aldrich), pyridine (Sigma-Aldrich), benzyl chloride (Sigma-Aldrich), and trimethylamine (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received. Reagent-grade calcium chloride was dried under vacuum at 180°C before use. Trimellitic anhydride (TCI) and 2,2′-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzidine (sDA; TCI) were purified by sublimation. NMP was purified by distillation under reduced pressure over calcium hydride before polymerization. Other commercially available reagent-grade chemicals were used without further purification.

**Instrumentation**

The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the compounds were obtained with a Bruker Equinox 55 spectrophotometer using a KBr pellet or film. The NMR spectra of the synthesized compounds were recorded on a Bruker Fourier Transform Avance 400 spectrometer. The chemical shift of the NMR was reported in parts per million using tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. Splitting patterns were designated as s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (doublets of doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), or m (multiplet). Mass spectra were obtained on a Bruker Daltonik microTOF-QII mass spectrometer using the electrospray ionization method. Elemental analyses of the synthesized compounds were carried out with a FLASH 2000 Series. The inherent viscosities of polymers were measured using an Ubbelohde viscometer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and
DSC were performed on a TA Instruments TGA Q500 and a DSC Q100, respectively. The TGA measurements were conducted at a heating rate of 10°C/min in N₂ and air. The melting points (m.p.) of the synthesized compounds and the glass transition temperature (T_g) values of the polymers were obtained by DSC at a heating rate of 10°C/min in N₂. No distinct T_g values were detected on the DSC measurements in the range between 0° and 400°C. DMA was performed on a TA Instruments Q800 with a tension film mode, using 10 mN preload, 15-μm amplitude, 125% force tracking, and 1-Hz frequency. Temperature ramp was conducted at a rate of 5°C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 450°C. The in-plane linear CTEs of polymer films were measured by TMA using a TA Instruments TMA 2940 thermomechanical analyzer. To assess the expansion behavior over a large temperature range, polymer film specimens (10 mm long, 5 mm wide, and typically 70 μm thick) were heated at a heating rate of 5°C/min up to 300°C three times. CTE values were calculated from the mean coefficient of linear thermal expansion over a specific temperature range between 50° and 250°C in the second and third heating scans, respectively. Raman spectroscopy was performed on the specimens using an ARAMIS Raman spectrometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon, France) with a HeNe laser (λ = 633 nm) as an excitation source. UV-vis spectra were recorded on an Optizen POP spectrophotometer in the transmittance mode. The refractive indices n_TE and n_TM for the transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes of the polymer films were measured with a Sairon SPA-4000 prism coupler with a gadolinium gallium garnet prism at a wavelength of 633 nm at room temperature. The birefringence values (Δn) were calculated as the difference between n_TE and n_TM.

Monomer syntheses

4′-Nitro-2′,6′-bis(trifluoromethyl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-4-amine (2)

4-Aminophenylboronic acid hydrochloride (3.47 g, 20.0 mmol) was reacted with 1 (3.48 g, 10.3 mmol) in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.60 g). The reaction mixture of 20 ml of toluene, 10 ml of ethanol, and 9.60 g of K₂CO₃ dissolved in 20 ml of water was refluxed for 20.5 hours. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate and passed through a silica column using CH₂Cl₂/hexane (v/v = 1:2) as an eluent to give solid product (2) (2.63 g, 7.51 mmol; yield, 72.9%): m.p. 106° and 107°C. FTIR (KBr): 3499 and 3401 cm⁻¹ (NH₂); 1620 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C); 1533, 1359, and 1333 cm⁻¹ (NO₂); and 1126 to 1295 cm⁻¹ (C–F). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz): 8.68 ppm (s, 2H), 6.84 ppm (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.56 ppm (d, J = 8.5Hz, 2H), and 5.35 ppm (s, NH₂). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 100 MHz): 149.39, 147.64, 146.36, and 132.11 ppm (q, J = 30.2 Hz); 129.70 and 124.63 ppm (q, J = 5.8 Hz); 122.25 ppm (q, J = 275.2Hz); 118.29 and 112.30 ppm.

Anal. calcd. (Analytically calculated) for C₁₄H₈F₆N₂O₂: C, 48.01; H, 2.30; N, 8.00. Found: C, 49.05; H, 2.56; N, 7.71.

2,6-Bis(trifluoromethyl)benzidine (uDA)

The Schlenk tube was charged with 2 (5.01 g, 14.3 mmol), 10% Pd/C, and 60 ml of the mixture of ethanol/ethyl acetate (v/v = 1:1). The reaction mixture was degassed with hydrogen and stirred under an atmosphere of H₂ at room temperature for 20.5 hours. Quantitative hydrogenation was confirmed by thin-layer chromatography. The catalyst was filtered out through celite, and the solvent was removed in an evaporator. The product was passed through a silica column using ethyl acetate/hexane (v/v = 1:2) as an eluent to give pale yellow solid, which was further purified by sublimation to obtain white crystal (4.53 g, 14.1 mmol; yield, 98.9%). m.p. 127° and
128°C. FTIR (KBr): 3486, 3335, and 3221 cm⁻¹ (NH₂); 1640 and 1475 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C); and 1197 to 1279 cm⁻¹ (C–F). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz): 7.17 ppm (s, 2H), 6.77 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.50 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.95 ppm (s, NH₂), and 5.08 ppm (s, NH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 100 MHz): 148.19, 147.92, 131.13, and 131.02 ppm (q, J = 27.5 Hz); 126.00 and 123.70 ppm (q, J = 275.0 Hz); 121.35 and 112.33 ppm (q, J = 62.2 Hz); 112.40 ppm. Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS): m/z (mass/charge ratio): calcd.: 321.08 [M⁺]; found: 321.07 [M⁺]. Anal. calcd. for C₁₅H₁₀F₆N₂O₂: C, 52.51; H, 3.15; N, 8.75. Found: C, 53.71; H, 3.04; N, 8.74.

2.6-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,4′-bis(trimellitimido)biphenyl (uDAc)
A flask was charged with a mixture of 1.51 g (4.72 mmol) of uDAc, 1.87 g (9.72 mmol) of trimellitic anhydride, and 20 ml of glacial acetic acid. The heterogeneous mixture was refluxed for 21.5 hours. As the polycondensation proceeded, the reaction mixture became viscous gradually, and small amount of NMP (total additional volume, 9 ml) was added periodically during this time to maintain the viscosity of the reaction mixture. At the end of the reaction, the polymer solution was poured slowly into 300 ml of methanol under rapid stirring. The product was filtered, washed thoroughly with methanol, and dried under vacuum at 180°C overnight. The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.50 dL g⁻¹, measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dL⁻¹ at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3344 cm⁻¹ (NH str); 1784 cm⁻¹ (asym C=O str of imide); 1732 cm⁻¹ (sym C=O str of imide); 1686 cm⁻¹ (C=O str of amide); 1475 to 1599 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C); 1373 cm⁻¹ (C–N str); 1190 and 1134 cm⁻¹ (C–F in CF₃); and 725 cm⁻¹ (imide ring deformation). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, 100°C): 11.02 ppm (s, 1H), 10.57 to 10.56 ppm (m, 1H), 8.74 to 8.46 ppm (m, 6H), 8.41 ppm (s, 2H), 8.19 ppm (m, 2H), 7.91 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.65 ppm (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.57 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), and 7.32 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd. for C₄₆H₂₀F₁₂N₄O₆: C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.63; H, 2.36; N, 5.61.

PAI(u-u)
The same procedure used for PAI(u-u) was repeated with sDA (0.1890 g, 0.590 mmol), sDAc (0.3945 g, 0.590 mmol), 0.3 g of calcium chloride, 1 ml of triphenylphosphite, 1 ml of pyridine, and 6 ml of NMP. As the polycondensation proceeded, small amount of NMP (total additional volume, 8 ml) was added periodically to maintain the viscosity of the reaction mixture. The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.76 dL g⁻¹, measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dL⁻¹ at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3356 cm⁻¹ (NH str), 1783 cm⁻¹ (asym C=O str of imide), 1730 cm⁻¹ (sym C=O str of imide), 1689 cm⁻¹ (C=O str of amide), 1491 to 1594 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C), 1370 cm⁻¹ (C–N str), 1173 and 1135 cm⁻¹ (C–F in CF₃), and 727 cm⁻¹ (imide ring deformation). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, 100°C): 10.72 ppm (s, 2H), 8.64 ppm (s, 2H), 8.56 ppm (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.36 ppm (s, 2H), 8.24 to 8.13 ppm (m, 4H), 8.12 ppm (s, 2H), 7.95 ppm (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.67 ppm (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), and 7.42 ppm (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd. for C₄₆H₃₂F₁₂N₄O₆C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.52; H, 2.29; N, 6.05.

PAI(s-s)
The same procedure used for PAI(u-u) was repeated with uDAc (0.1909 g, 0.596 mmol), uDAc (0.3984 g, 0.596 mmol), 0.3 g of calcium chloride, 1 ml of triphenylphosphite, 1 ml of pyridine, and 15 ml of NMP. In this case, because the reaction mixture showed proper viscosity, no additional amount of NMP was added into the reaction mixture. The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.98 dL g⁻¹, measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dL⁻¹ at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3356 cm⁻¹ (NH str), 1783 cm⁻¹ (asym C=O str of imide), 1730 cm⁻¹ (sym C=O str of imide), 1689 cm⁻¹ (C=O str of amide), 1491 to 1594 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C), 1370 cm⁻¹ (C–N str), 1173 and 1135 cm⁻¹ (C–F in CF₃), and 727 cm⁻¹ (imide ring deformation). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, 100°C): 17.02 ppm (s, 2H), 8.64 ppm (s, 2H), 8.56 ppm (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.36 ppm (s, 2H), 8.24 to 8.13 ppm (m, 4H), 8.12 ppm (s, 2H), 7.95 ppm (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.67 ppm (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), and 7.42 ppm (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd. for C₄₆H₂₀F₁₂N₄O₆C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.52; H, 2.29; N, 6.05.

Polymerization

**PAI(u-u)**
A typical polymerization procedure is as follows: 25-ml three-necked round-bottom flask (RBF) equipped with a nitrogen inlet, a Dean-Stark trap, and a mechanical stirrer was charged with uDAc (0.1923 g, 0.600 mmol), uDAc (0.4015 g, 0.600 mmol), 0.3 g of calcium chloride, 1 ml of triphenylphosphite, 1 ml of pyridine, and 6 ml of NMP. The reaction mixture was heated and stirred at 100°C for 8 hours, under nitrogen atmosphere. As the polycondensation proceeded, the reaction mixture became viscous gradually, and small amount of NMP (total additional volume, 9 ml) was added periodically during this time to maintain the viscosity of the reaction mixture. At the end of the reaction, the polymer solution was poured slowly into 300 ml of methanol under rapid stirring. The product was filtered, washed thoroughly with methanol, and dried under vacuum at 180°C overnight. The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.50 dl g⁻¹, measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dl⁻¹ at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3344 cm⁻¹ (NH str); 1784 cm⁻¹ (asym C=O str of imide); 1732 cm⁻¹ (sym C=O str of imide); 1686 cm⁻¹ (C=O str of amide); 1475 to 1599 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C); 1373 cm⁻¹ (C–N str); 1190 and 1134 cm⁻¹ (C–F in CF₃); and 725 cm⁻¹ (imide ring deformation). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, 100°C): 11.02 ppm (s, 1H), 10.57 to 10.56 ppm (m, 1H), 8.74 to 8.46 ppm (m, 6H), 8.41 ppm (s, 2H), 8.19 ppm (m, 2H), 7.91 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.65 ppm (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.57 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), and 7.32 ppm (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcd. for C₄₆H₃₂F₁₂N₄O₆C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.63; H, 2.36; N, 5.61.
of NMP. As the polycondensation proceeded, the reaction mixture became viscous gradually, and small amount of NMP (total additional volume, 6 ml) was added periodically to maintain the viscosity of the reaction mixture. The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.02 dL g\(^{-1}\), measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dL\(^{-1}\) at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3353 cm\(^{-1}\) (NH str), 1784 cm\(^{-1}\) (asym C=O str of imide), 1731 cm\(^{-1}\) (sym C=O str of imide), 1689 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O str of amide), 1476 to 1598 cm\(^{-1}\) (aromatic C=C), 1368 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–N str), 1179 and 1136 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–F in CF\(_3\)), and 725 cm\(^{-1}\) (imide ring deformation). \(^{1}\)H-NMR (DMSO-d\(_6\), 400 MHz, 100°C): 10.96 ppm (s, 1H), 10.48 ppm (s, 1H), 8.76 to 8.43 ppm (m, 6H), 8.29 to 8.05 ppm (m, 4H), 7.92 ppm (dd, J = 20.8, 8.6 Hz, 4H), 7.67 ppm (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), and 7.32 ppm (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcld. for C\(_{46}\)H\(_{20}\)F\(_{12}\)N\(_4\)O\(_6\): C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.03; H, 2.03; N, 5.79.

**PAI(s-u)**

The same procedure used for PAI(u-u) was repeated with uDA (0.1906 g, 0.595 mmol), uDAc (0.1989 g, 0.298 mmol), 0.3 g of calcium chloride, 1 ml of triphenyl phosphite, 1 ml of pyridine, and 6 ml of NMP. As the polycondensation proceeded, small amount of NMP (total additional volume, 10 ml) was added periodically to maintain the viscosity of the reaction mixture.

The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.90 dL g\(^{-1}\), measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dL\(^{-1}\) at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3354 cm\(^{-1}\) (NH str), 1784 cm\(^{-1}\) (asym C=O str of amide), 1731 cm\(^{-1}\) (sym C=O str of amide), 1689 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O str of amide), 1490 to 1594 cm\(^{-1}\) (aromatic C=C), 1373 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–N str), 1174 and 1134 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–F in CF\(_3\)), and 726 cm\(^{-1}\) (imide ring deformation). \(^{1}\)H-NMR (DMSO-d\(_6\), 400 MHz, 100°C, ppm): 10.72 ppm (s, 2H), 10.46 ppm (s, 1H), 8.64 ppm (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 6H), 8.62 to 8.49 ppm (m, 4H), 8.41 ppm (s, 4H), 8.36 ppm (s, 2H), 8.27 to 8.08 ppm (m, 6H), 7.89 ppm (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.66 ppm (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.56 ppm (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 4H), 7.41 ppm (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), and 7.32 ppm (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcld. for C\(_{46}\)H\(_{20}\)F\(_{12}\)N\(_4\)O\(_6\): C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.48; H, 2.19; N, 5.62.

**PAI(s-su)**

The same procedure used for PAI(u-u) was repeated with uDA (0.0961 g, 0.300 mmol), sDA (0.0961 g, 0.300 mmol), uDAc (0.2002 g, 0.300 mmol), 0.3 g of calcium chloride, 1 ml of triphenyl phosphite, 1 ml of pyridine, and 6 ml of NMP. As the polycondensation proceeded, small amount of NMP (total additional volume, 7 ml) was added periodically to maintain the viscosity of the reaction mixture. The yield was 100%. The inherent viscosity of the polymer in NMP was 3.40 dL g\(^{-1}\), measured at a concentration of 0.5 g dL\(^{-1}\) at 30°C. FTIR (thin film): 3354 cm\(^{-1}\) (NH str), 1784 cm\(^{-1}\) (asym C=O str of amide), 1731 cm\(^{-1}\) (sym C=O str of amide), 1689 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O str of amide), 1490 to 1594 cm\(^{-1}\) (aromatic C=C), 1373 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–N str), 1177 and 1135 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–F in CF\(_3\)), and 725 cm\(^{-1}\) (imide ring deformation). \(^{1}\)H-NMR (DMSO-d\(_6\), 400 MHz, 100°C): 10.94 ppm (s, 1H), 10.72 ppm (s, 1H), 8.65 ppm (s, 1H), 8.62 ppm (s, 1H), 8.41 ppm (s, 1H), 8.36 ppm (s, 2H), 8.27 ppm (s, 2H), 8.24 to 8.13 ppm (m, 6H), 8.11 ppm (s, 2H), 7.95 ppm (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.89 ppm (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.79 ppm (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.66 ppm (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.56 ppm (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.41 ppm (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), and 7.32 ppm (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H). Anal. calcld. for C\(_{46}\)H\(_{20}\)F\(_{12}\)N\(_4\)O\(_6\): C, 57.99; H, 2.12; N, 5.88. Found: C, 57.46; H, 2.23; N, 5.60.

**Preparation of polymer films**

DMAc solution of the polymers [7.5 weight % (wt %)] was prepared at room temperature. The DMAc solution was filtered and cast onto a glass plate. The solvent was evaporated in a vacuum oven at room temperature for 5 hours and then heated to 180°C for 10 hours to remove the residual solvent and yield a freestanding film with thicknesses of 70 to 80 μm. For refractive index measurements, the polymer films with thicknesses of 3 to 15 μm were prepared from 2.5 wt % DMAc solution.

**Grazing incidence wide-angle x-ray scattering**

GIWAXS experiments were performed at the 3C beamline in Pohang Accelerator Laboratory. A monochromatized x-ray radiation source of 10.2499 keV with a sample-to-detector distance of 210.5 mm was used. Scattering intensity was monitored by a Mar 165-mm-diameter CCD detector with 2048 by 2048 pixels. X-ray beam was set at 0.12 or 0.13 typically, which are between the critical angle of the PAI film and the silicon substrate. Scattering angles were corrected according to the positions of the x-ray beams with respect to a precalibrated source. The scattering intensity profiles of in-plane and out-of-plane directions were extracted from the 2D scattering pattern. The magnitude of scattering vector was calculated with \( q = 4\pi/\lambda\sin\theta \).
with wavelength of x-ray (λ) and scattering angle (θ). Domain spacing (d) was estimated from the position of the specific peaks at q following the relationship d = 2/q.

**Model compound syntheses and crystallographic characterization**

**Amide-uDA**

A mixture of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzidine (0.1988 g, 0.6211 mmol), triethylamine (0.1649 g, 1.630 mmol), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) (2 ml) was prepared in a 10-ml RBF. After stirring the mixture under nitrogen for 30 min, benzoil chloride (0.220 g, 1.5651 mmol) diluted with THF and was dropwise added to the RBF at 0°C. The reaction mixture kept stirring for overnight at room temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was poured into water, and the crude product was obtained by extraction with ethyl acetate and evaporation. Then, the reaction mixture was poured into ethanol and then was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 hours and at 190°C for isoquinoline (one drop), and 1 ml of m-cresol. The reaction mixture (50.4 mg, 0.157 mmol), phthalic anhydride (46.7 mg, 0.315 mmol), imide-uDA C, 63.61; H, 4.05; N, 5.21.

**Imide-uDA**

A 5-ml of RBF was charged with 2,6-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzidine (50.4 mg, 0.157 mmol), phthalic anhydride (46.7 mg, 0.315 mmol), isoquinoline (one drop), and 1 ml of m-cresol. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 hours and at 190°C for 0.5 hours. The reaction mixture was poured into ethanol and then filtered (90.1 mg; yield, 98.9%). FTIR (KBr): 1711 cm⁻¹ (C=O str). 1478 cm⁻¹ (aromatic C=C), 1380 cm⁻¹ (C-N str), 1123 to 1194 cm⁻¹ (C–F in CF₃), and 722 cm⁻¹ (imide ring deformation). ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, 40°C): 10.87 ppm (s, 1H), 10.39 ppm (s, 1H), 8.65 ppm (2H), 8.05 ppm (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 7.99 ppm (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.85 ppm (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 7.69 to 7.53 ppm (m, 6H), and 7.28 ppm (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), LC-MS: m/z: calcd.: 528.13; found: 551.12 [M + Na⁺]. Anal. calcd. for C₂₉H₁₈F₆N₂O₂: C, 62.08; H, 2.43; N, 4.83. Found: C, 61.89; H, 2.52; N, 4.62.

**X-ray single crystallography**

The single-crystal diffraction data of the model compounds were collected on a Bruker SMART 1000. A suitable size and quality of crystal was coated with Paratone-N oil and mounted on a MiTeGen MicroLoop. The data were collected with graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 120 K. Cell parameters were determined and refined by the SMART program. Data reduction was performed using the SAINT software. An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program. The structure was solved by direct methods, and all nonhydrogen atoms were subjected to anisotropic refinement by full-matrix least squares on F² by using the SHELXTL/PC package. Full crystallographic details can be obtained for free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request cif (CCDC 1587696 and 1587708).

**Computational details**

We searched various stacking structures between two monomers using the Monte Carlo basin-hopping (MCBH) sampling method (21) combined with density functional tight-binding (DFTB) calculations (22). The 3ob-3-1 pair potential parameters (23) were used for DFTB calculations. For each of PAI(s-s), PAI(s-u), PAI(u-s), and PAI(u-u), 600 stacking structures were first sampled using the MCBH method with constraints to keep stacking structures, and 10 most stable ones out of them were further optimized at the DFT level with the b97xd functional (24) and the 6-31g(d) basis set as implemented in Gaussian 09 package (25). The binding energy evaluation was also done by using the same functional and the 6-31+g(d,p) basis set, together with the Boys’ basis set superposition error correction scheme (26). We refer to figs. S25 to S28 and table S7 for the details of computational results. Figures S25 to S28 show the 10 most stable structures of PAI(s-s), PAI(s-u), PAI(u-s), and PAI(u-u), respectively, and the corresponding bonding energies are summarized in table S7. We chose PAI(s-s)-5, PAI(s-u)-1, PAI(u-s)-2, and PAI(u-u)-2 among them as the representatives shown in Fig. 3, because more stable ones in table S7 have intertwined structures and thus are inappropriate for the formation of layer-like packing of polymer chains proposed by the GIWAXS data.

**Fabrication and characterization of IGZO TFTs**

IGZO TFTs were fabricated on the PAI(s-u) as follows: 50-nm-thick IZO layers were first deposited as a transparent gate electrodes via RF magnetron sputtering. The substrates were held at 50°C during deposition. On top of the IZO layers, 170-nm-thick Al₂O₃ layers were grown by atomic layer deposition at 150°C. Then, 40-nm-thick IGZO film with the atomic composition of 1:1:2.5 (In/Ga/Zn) was deposited in the RF magnetron sputtering chamber at O₂/(Ar + O₂) ratio of 50%. Subsequently, IZO layers were deposited onto the active layer as transparent source/drain electrodes with a channel length of 200 µm and a width of 980 µm defined with a shadow mask. Last, the devices were passivated by fluoropolymer passivation layer known as CYTOP (Asahi Glass Co.) using spin coating (27). To get the high-quality oxide semiconductors, the IGZO TFTs on polymer substrates were annealed at 250°C in ambient air. Output and transfer electrical characteristics of IGZO TFTs were analyzed using a semiconductor parameter analyzer (HP4155A, Agilent Inc.) in a nitrogen-filled glove box. The flexural strain measurement of IGZO TFTs was tested after repeated bending cycles (10 times) at several bending radii with a custom-built bending tester. Then, the electrical characteristics of the IGZO TFTs were evaluated in the flat state.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/4/10/eaau1956/DC1

Fig. S1. Synthetic route to uDA.
Fig. S2. ¹H NMR spectra of the nitro intermediate 2 and uDA.
Fig. S3. ¹³C NMR spectra of the nitro intermediate 2 and uDA.
Fig. S4. FTIR spectra of the nitro intermediate 2 and uDA.
Fig. S5. Synthetic route to dicide.
Fig. S6. ¹H NMR spectra of the dicide uDAc and sDAc.
Fig. S7. ¹³C NMR spectra of the dicide uDAc and sDAc.
Fig. S8. FTIR spectrum of uDAc.
Fig. S9. ¹H-NMR spectra of PAIs.
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