Combinatorial regulation of hepatic cytoplasmic signaling and nuclear transcriptional events by the OGT/REV-ERBα complex
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The nuclear receptor REV-ERBα integrates the circadian clock with hepatic glucose and lipid metabolism by nucleating transcriptional comodulators at genomic regulatory regions. An interactomic approach identified O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) as a REV-ERBα–interacting protein. By shielding cytoplasmic OGT from proteasomal degradation and favoring OGT activity in the nucleus, REV-ERBα cyclically increased O-GlcNAcylation of multiple cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins as a function of its rhythmically regulated expression, while REV-ERBβ ligands mostly affected cytoplasmic OGT activity. We illustrate this finding by showing that REV-ERBα controls OGT-dependent activities of the cytoplasmic protein kinase AKT, an essential relay in insulin signaling, and of ten-of-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes in the nucleus. AKT phosphorylation was inversely correlated to REV-ERBα expression. REV-ERBα enhanced TET activity and DNA hydroxymethylated cytosine (5hmC) levels in the vicinity of REV-ERBα genomic binding sites. As an example, we show that the REV-ERBα/OGT complex modulates SREBP-1c gene expression throughout the fasting/feeding periods by first repressing AKT phosphorylation and by epigenomically priming the Srebf1 promoter for a further rapid response to insulin. Conclusion: REV-ERBα regulates cytoplasmic and nuclear OGT-controlled processes that integrate at the hepatic SREBF1 locus to control basal and insulin-induced expression of the temporally and nutritionally regulated lipogenic SREBP-1c transcript.

Using an interactomic approach, we have identified the nuclear receptor REV-ERBα as a O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) protein partner. REV-ERBα protects cytoplasmic OGT from proteasomal degradation and facilitates cytosolic and nuclear protein O-GlcNAcylation while REV-ERβ ligands decreased cytoplasmic OGT activity. REV-ERBα thus exerts pleiotropic activities through OGT, coordinating signal transduction, epigenomic programing, and transcriptional response in the liver.

Significance

Using an interactomic approach, we have identified the nuclear receptor REV-ERBα as a O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) protein partner. REV-ERBα protects cytoplasmic OGT from proteasomal degradation and facilitates cytosolic and nuclear protein O-GlcNAcylation while REV-ERβ ligands decreased cytoplasmic OGT activity. REV-ERBα thus exerts pleiotropic activities through OGT, coordinating signal transduction, epigenomic programing, and transcriptional response in the liver.
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receptor (12). REV-ERBα thus increases its functional versatility by engaging in various protein–protein interactions. However, little is known about other REV-ERBα interaction partners and associated functions. In this study, we have identified OGT as a binding partner of REV-ERBα, and we have characterized the functional consequences of this partnership on integrated hepatic intracellular signaling.

**Results**

**REV-ERBα Interacts with OGT.** To identify novel REV-ERBα–interacting proteins, nuclear extracts from human hepatoma HepG2 cells were cross-linked then immunoprecipitated using a REV-ERBα–specific antibody. This procedure followed by nano LC-MS/MS (RIME; ref. 13) identified several nuclear REV-ERBα–interacting proteins (Fig. 1A, Upper and SI Appendix, Table S1), including the known REV-ERBα corepressor complex NCOR1/HDAC3 as well as OGT. The REV-ERBα/OGT interaction was confirmed by co-IP of native protein complexes (Fig. 1A, Lower). Considering the instrumental roles of both proteins in controlling liver metabolism, we investigated the functional role(s) of the REV-ERBα/OGT interaction.

**REV-ERBα Regulates Cellular O-GlcNAcylation Levels.** We first monitored protein O-GlcNAcylation levels in HepG2 cells by Western blotting (WB) with an anti-O-GlcNAc antibody (RL2; Fig. 1B) at 25 mM Glc, a condition increasing protein O-GlcNAcylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). siRNA-mediated knockdown of REV-ERBα or of OGT equally decreased protein O-GlcNAcylation and OGT levels (Fig. 1B). As REV-ERBα knockdown did not affect OGT mRNA expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B), we concluded that the REV-ERBα protein level controls OGT protein stability. REV-ERBα protein expression fluctuates in a circadian manner in mouse liver reaching the highest expression at ZT6–ZT12 (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Since REV-ERBα also interacts with OGT in liver extracts (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D), we examined whether O-GlcNAcylation regulation by REV-ERBα occurs in vivo. Protein O-GlcNAcylation was compared at ZT6 in wild-type and Rev-erbα–deficient mice. Protein O-GlcNAcylation and OGT protein expression were drastically reduced in Rev-erbα−/− livers.

---

**Fig. 1.** REV-ERBα interacts with OGT and controls O-GlcNAcylation. (A) Most-relevant proteins identified by RIME in HepG2 cells extract (Upper). Validation of the REV-ERBα/OGT interaction by anti-OGT communoprecipitation (IP) of HepG2 whole cellular extract followed by anti-OGT and anti-REV-ERBα WB (n = 2; Lower). (B) O-GlcNAcylation levels in cellular extracts from HepG2 cells depleted from REV-ERBα or OGT mRNAs. (C) Time-dependent REV-ERBα protein expression level in mouse livers. (D) O-GlcNAcylation, OGT, and OGA proteins levels in protein extracts from WT or Rev-erbα−/− mouse livers at ZT6. REV-erbs and Ogt mRNA levels were assessed by RT-qPCR. ND, not detectable. (E) O-GlcNAcylation, REV-ERBα, and OGT protein levels (Upper) and REV-ERBα and BMAL1 relative gene expression (Lower) in synchronized U2OS cells. (F) O-GlcNAcylation and OGT protein levels in mouse livers at ZT9 and ZT21. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, and values were compared by a two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post hoc test (C) or a t test (D and F). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
REV-ERβα protein by DON. The ability of REV-ERβα protein subcellular distribution triggered by decreased transcriptional repression of wild-type REV-ERβα protein expression and subcellular localization as affects protein expression and mRNA and protein expression cycles in synchrony. We submitted the Click-it chemistry, which substitutes ligation, we compared the ability of wild-type REV-ERβα to regulate cellular protein O-GlcNAcylation to that of a heme binding-crippled REV-ERβα mutant. Heme binding to REV-ERβα (Kd 2–4 μM, ref. 15) is prevented by mutating H602F into F (16). REV-ERβα H602F displayed a decreased transcriptional repressive activity (Fig. 2B) when overexpressed in REV-ERβα-negative HEK293 cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S3E). Treatment with the REV-ERβα agonist GSK4112 blunted protein O-GlcNAcylation in the presence of wild-type REV-ERβα–expressing cells, but not in H602F-expressing cells (Fig. 2C). Thus, REV-ERβα ligation decreases protein O-GlcNAcylation without affecting OGT level as opposed to REV-ERβα deficiency. Taken together, this suggests that REV-ERβα controls both OGT activity and activity.

REV-ERβα Modulates OGT Activity in both Cytoplasmic and Nuclear Compartments. As we confirmed that OGT and REV-ERβα are located in both the cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments (refs. 2 and 17, Fig. 3 A and B, and SI Appendix, Fig. S4A), thus possibly undergoing distinct cellular fates, we investigated the possibility that cytoplasmic and nuclear OGT could be regulated differentially by REV-ERβα. We observed that OGT degradation, hence decreased total protein O-GlcNAcylation, triggered by REV-ERβα protein depletion was prevented upon 265 proteasome complex inhibition by MG132 (carbobenzoxy-Leu-Leu-leucinal) (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B). In contrast, the ligand-induced decrease in cellular protein O-GlcNAcylation was not MG132–sensitive (SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). Cell fractionation showed that REV-ERβα protein level decreased in the cytoplasm and increased in the nuclear compartment upon ligand treatment (Fig. 3B, Upper and SI Appendix, Fig. S44), in agreement with a previous study (18). We observed that the altered REV-ERβα subcellular distribution triggered by GSK4112 selectively modifies OGT activity in the cytoplasmic and nucleoplasmic compartments (Fig. 3B). GSK4112 treatment blunted the glucose-induced O-GlcNAcylation of cytoplasmic proteins. In contrast, O-GlcNAcylation of nucleoplasmic proteins increased in these conditions, thus concomitant with ligand-induced nuclear accumulation of REV-ERβα and with increased interaction of nuclear OGT with REV-ERβα. Proteasome inhibition prevented ligand-induced cytoplasmic REV-ERβα depletion and abolished the ligand-induced decrease of cytoplasmic REV-ERβα/OGT interaction and O-GlcNAcylation levels (Fig. 3B). However, MG132 did not influence protein O-GlcNAcylation in the nuclear compartment, indicating that nuclear OGT is insensitive to proteasomal degradation (Fig. 3B). Taken together, these data suggest that ligand treatment triggers REV-ERβα cytoplasmic depletion, hence negatively impacting protein O-GlcNAcylation in a proteasome-dependent manner, while promoting its accumulation in the nucleus and interaction with OGT, hence increasing nuclear OGT activity.

REV-ERβα–Dependent O-GlcNAcylation Targets Cytoplasmic and Nuclear Structural and Effector Proteins. Since data above point at REV-ERβα protein expression and subcellular localization as a set point of protein O-GlcNAcylation, we identified cellular proteins whose O-GlcNAcylation is potentially controlled by REV-ERβα by label-free mass spectrometry. HepG2 cellular
siRNA (Fig. 4) and Rev-erb knockdown increased AKT phosphorylation (Fig. S4A), including several previously identified O-GlcNAcylated proteins with structural, signaling, or transcriptional functions such as histone H2B, the transcription factors SP1 and SP3, HCFC1/VP16-essential protein, and the protein kinase WNK1 (17, 19, 20). To detect lower expressed putative OGT targets, immunoprecipitates were also resolved by SDS/PAGE and areas of the gel ranging from 50 kDa to 200 kDa were analyzed by LC-MS/MS after tryptic digestion (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Table S2). Top ranking proteins again included HCFC1 and SP3, and interestingly other proteins such as OGT itself, the nuclear receptor coactivator CARM1, and AKT1. Although this approach could also potentially identify proteins with a decreased steady-state level in Rev-ERBα-depleted cells or interacting with O-GlcNAcylated proteins, many of them have previously been described as bona fide OGT targets and O-GlcNAcylated polypeptides (17, 21, 22). As an example, both AKT and H2B were similarly expressed in naive and Rev-ERBα-depleted cells, suggesting that OGT activity does not affect their stability (Fig. 4C). Taken together, these analyses show that Rev-ERBα expression likely regulates the O-GlcNAcylation level of multiple cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins or of associated proteins with important regulatory functions (SI Appendix, Table S2).

**Cytoplasmic OGT Regulates AKT Phosphorylation in a Rev-ERBα Dependent Manner.** In response to insulin, AKT T308 and S473 are phosphorylated by PDK1 and mTORC2, respectively (23). O-GlcNAcylation impairs AKT phosphorylation and inhibits insulin signaling in mouse liver (22, 24). Since Rev-ERBα overexpression increased AKT O-GlcNAcylation in HepG2 cells as assessed by Click-It chemistry (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A), we further tested whether Rev-ERBα controls AKT phosphorylation. Overexpression of Rev-ERBα through adenoviral transduction (Fig. 5A and SI Appendix, Fig. S5 B and C) increased OGT protein level and cellular protein O-GlcNAcylation levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). In parallel, insulin-stimulated AKT phosphorylation decreased at both S473 and T308 upon Rev-ERBα depletion (Fig. 5B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5C). Conversely, Rev-ERBα knockdown increased AKT phosphorylation (Fig. 5B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5D). To assess whether Rev-ERBα-dependent OGT stabilization also controls AKT phosphorylation in vivo, OGT protein and AKT phosphorylation levels were analyzed in liver extracts from ad libitum-fed Rev-erba/+ or Rev-erba−/− mice. Rev-erba deficiency reduced OGT protein levels in mouse liver (Fig. 5C and SI Appendix, Fig. S5E) as described above (Fig. 1E). Both circadianly (ZT0) and genetically induced Rev-erba depletion (Rev-erba−/−) were associated with increased AKT T308 phosphorylation, which correlated with OGT protein levels (Fig. 5C and SI Appendix, Fig. S5E). The expression of the lipogenic transcription factor Srebplc in mice is positively (auto)regulated through the insulin/AKT pathway and, after S1P/S2P-mediated processing, controls the expression of genes coding for lipid biosynthetic enzymes such as Scd and Fasn (25). Basal AKT phosphorylation at S473, a process known to be insulin-dependent (26), was significantly higher in fasted Rev-erba−/− liver, and T308 phosphorylation showed a similar trend. Similarly, phosphorylation levels were higher in refed Rev-erba−/− liver (Fig. 5D). In Rev-erba−/− mouse liver, the response to refeeding also translated into increased

two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey post hoc test, ****P < 0.0001. Wes, Simple Western (ProteinSimple).

![Fig. 2.](image) REV-ERBα agonists reduce protein O-GlcNAcylation. (A) Protein O-GlcNAcylation, OGT, and REV-ERBα levels after treatment of HepG2 cells with the synthetic REV-ERBα agonist GSK4112 (10 μM). (B) REV-ERBα repressive activity determined by a transactivation assay using a Bmal-luc reporter gene, expression vectors coding for either wild-type or mutated REV-ERBα (WT, H602F) or empty vector (empty) transfected into HEK293 cells treated or not with 10 μM GSK4112. (C) O-GlcNAcylation, OGT, and REV-ERBα protein levels in HEK293 whole-cell extracts after transfection with REV-ERBα WT or H602F and treatment or not with 10 μM GSK4112 at 25 mM Glc. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, and values were compared by a
hepatic expression of Srebp1c and of its target gene Fasn when compared its Rev-erbα−/− counterpart (Fig. 5E). These findings thus suggest that Rev-erbα also acts nontranscriptionally on this signaling axis by controlling Akt O-GlcNAcylation.

**TET Activity and Methylcytosine Hydroxylation Are Rev-erbα Dependent.** The label-free mass spectrometry analysis also identified H2B as a Rev-erbα-dependent O-GlcNAcylated protein (Fig. 4). Histone H2B O-GlcNAcylates an area catalyzed by chromatin-bound OGT through interaction with TET oxidases (TET), which have recently emerged as major epigenomic players by regulating cytosine hydroxymethylations (27). Reciprocally, OGT O-GlcNAcylates TET enzymes and alters their enzymatic properties through ill-defined mechanisms (21). We therefore tested whether Rev-erbα impacts on TET activity through OGT.

As described (28), glucose significantly increases TET enzymatic activity in HepG2 cells (Fig. 6A). Rev-erbα knockdown blunted Glc-induced TET enzymatic activity (Fig. 6A). Rev-erbα depletion did not reduce nuclear OGT protein content but reduced its enzymatic activity as total nuclear protein O-GlcNAcylates decreased by ~20% (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A and B) without affecting TET protein levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). In line, global cytosine hydroxymethylation of the HepG2 cell genome was significantly decreased upon Rev-erbα knock-down at both 1 and 25 mM Glc (Fig. 6A). GSK4112 treatment increased TET enzymatic activity at 1 mM Glc but was unable to do so at 25 mM Glc, probably reflecting a saturation of the system (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D). Rev-erbα overexpression increased cytosine hydroxymethylation, and this response were clearly blunted by OSMI-1, a cell permeable OGT inhibitor (ref. 29 and SI Appendix, Fig. S6E and F). Rev-erbα is thus an important determinant of TET activity and controls global 5hmC levels in an OGT-dependent manner in vitro.

TET/OGT complexes are mostly targeted to promoter regions through interaction of TET with DNA (19). We thus investigated whether Rev-erbα genomic binding overlaps with 5hmC content in mouse liver. Using previously published data for C57BL/6 mouse liver (30), we confirmed that 5hmC localizes to genomic regions neighboring transcription start sites (TSS; SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). Mapping Rev-erbα genomic binding sites in C57BL/6 mouse liver (31) in the vicinity (~5 kb) of TSS showed a direct correlation between Rev-erbα binding and 5hmC density (Fig. 6B and SI Appendix, Fig. S7B). 5hmC levels were measured in liver from Rev-erbα+/+ and Rev-erbα−/− in mice (Fig. 6C). Rev-erbα−/− mouse DNA showed a decreased 5hmC content compared with DNA from wild-type littermates while the genetic background did not affect TET protein levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S7C). Taken together, these observations show that Rev-erbα impacts on nuclear OGT activity and increases DNA 5hmC level.

In addition to nutritional regulation, Srebp1c expression undergoes diurnal variation, with a peak occurring at ZT14–18, which is imposed in part by Rev-erbα, whose knockout decreases Srebp1c expression (32, 33). We first tested whether Rev-erbα regulates Srebplc expression in a cell-autonomous manner. Rev-erbα deficiency in HepG2 cells decreased Srebp1c basal expression (Fig. 6D). TET1, TET2, or TET3 knockdown (Fig. 6D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7D) led to a significantly decreased expression of Srebp1c (Fig. 6D). Thus, TETs sustain gene expression at this locus in vitro. Similarly, Rev-erbα deficiency decreased hepatic Srebp1c gene expression in ad libitum-fed mice (Fig. 6E). Rev-erbα bound to genomic regions in the vicinity or within the Srebf1 gene, and an increased 5hmC density was observed around Rev-erbα binding sites (Fig. 6F, Upper). Interestingly, 5hmC density at these sites decreased in Rev-erbα−/− livers, thereby paralleling the decreased Srebp1c gene expression in this genetic background (Fig. 6F, Lower).

In conclusion, these data show that Rev-erbα controls TET oxidase activity, thereby controlling epigenomic marking at the
Srebfl locus whose basal expression is regulated through the REV-ERBα/OGT/TET axis.

**Discussion**

The regulatory pathways controlling OGT activity and expression are not yet fully understood. OGT is regulated by the UDP-GlcNAc pool, which fluctuates with the varying availability of nutrients such as glucose, glutamate, and free fatty acids. In addition, various PTMs modulate OGT activity, localization, substrate selectivity, or stability such as O-GlcNAcylation itself, phosphorylation, or ubiquitinylation (2). OGT-protein partners, such as MYPT1 and CARM1, affect OGT activity or substrate selectivity (34). Reciprocally, OGT regulates the activity of numerous proteins, including circadian clock proteins (35).

In this study, we identify a mechanism regulating OGT stability and activity. We show that REV-ERBα, but not REV-ERBβ with which it shares only 53% amino acid sequence homology, interacts with cytoplasmic and nuclear OGT. REV-ERBα itself is however not a substrate for OGT, and its transcriptional properties are not affected by the HBP/OGT pathway. A synthetic REV-ERBα ligand favored REV-ERBα cytoplasmic depletion and nuclear accumulation and, consequently, induced cytoplasmic proteasomal OGT degradation, thereby compromising protein O-GlcNAcylation in this cellular compartment while increasing nuclear OGT activity. Collectively, this suggests that REV-ERBα unexpectedly acts as a regulator of protein stability, therefore expanding the repertoire of its biological properties beyond that of a transcriptional regulator.

Mass spectrometry analysis identified O-GlcNAcylated proteins or proteins bound to them, among which AKT was an interesting target as a key regulator of insulin signaling. AKT phosphorylation is reduced upon OGT overexpression, hence inducing insulin resistance (36) and REV-ERBα (over)expression impinges on AKT phosphorylation in vitro. OGT protein level is reduced in Rev-erbα−/− mouse liver and correlates with increased AKT phosphorylation, thus potentially impacting on hepatic insulin signaling. Interestingly, insulin signaling is a circadian-regulated phenomenon, as mice display decreased insulin signaling at ZT7 (37), corresponding to the Rev-erbα expression zenith (Fig. 1C). In addition, AKT phosphorylation peaks at night in mouse liver when Rev-erbα expression is lowest (38, 39). Insulin signaling follows a circadian rhythm in humans, with a low insulin responsiveness being observed in the evening when REV-ERBα is expressed (40, 41). These data are in line with our observation that physiologically (ZT0) or genetically induced (Rev-erbα−/− mice) REV-ERBα depletion reduces liver OGT protein content and favors AKT phosphorylation, hence leading to increased insulin responsiveness. In the presence of REV-ERBα (ZT6–12 in mouse liver), cytoplasmic OGT is stabilized and AKT phosphorylation is decreased, hence providing a molecular basis for the observed reduced insulin signaling. Thus, circadian modulation of insulin signaling could occur, at least partly, through the REV-ERBα−/−dependent control of cytoplasmic OGT and of its target AKT.

The REV-ERBα/OGT interaction also occurs in the nucleus. Contrasting with cytoplasmic OGT, nuclear OGT protein level is not modulated by REV-ERBα expression levels. However, OGT activity is reduced upon REV-ERBα down-regulation, as suggested by decreased H2B O-GlcNAcylation in siRev-erbα-transfected HepG2 cells. The impact of nuclear REV-ERBα on OGT activity was investigated by monitoring TET1/2/3 oxidase.

**Fig. 4.** REV-ERBα impacts on protein O-GlcNAcylation in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments. (A) Label-free mass spectrometry analysis of O-GlcNAcylated proteins. Control (IgG) or O-GlcNAcylated protein-enriched (RL2) immunoprecipitates from siRNA (Scr or REV-ERBα)-transfected HepG2 cells were analyzed by label-free mass spectrometry analysis. (B) LC-MS/MS analysis of O-GlcNAcylated proteins. HepG2 cells were treated like in A, and immunoprecipitates were fractionated by SDS/PAGE before mass spectrometry analysis. (C) Cellular abundance of AKT and H2B in siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were cultured at 25 mM Glc. Cyt, cytoplasm; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; Mb, plasma membrane; Mt, mitochondria; Nuc, nucleoplasm.
activity, which were described as glucose-sensitive and OGT-sensitive enzymes in ES cells (19, 28, 42). The REV-ERBα-dependent increase of TET activity and DNA hydroxymethylation are also OGT-dependent. Reduced expression of REV-ERBα decreases nuclear protein O-GlcNAcylated levels and blunts glucose-induced TET activity and DNA hydroxymethylation in HepG2 cells. These findings, showing that OGT contributes to increased TET activity and 5hmC content, contrast with the reported decreased protein stability of O-GlcNAcylated TET1 in ES cells (43) and increased nuclear export of O-GlcNAcylated TET3 in HeLa and HEK cells (44). It is therefore likely that TET family members, which are expressed in hepatocyte cell lines and mouse liver, are regulated in a cell/tissue-specific manner.

Comparison of the mouse liver REV-ERBα cistrome to the 5hmC genomic landscape (30, 31) revealed that high-density 5hmC regions localized in the vicinity of strong REV-ERBα DNA binding sites. Conversely, weak REV-ERBα binding sites associated to weakly hydroxymethylated regions, suggesting a direct relationship between REV-ERBα genomic binding site occupancy and DNA hydroxymethylation. No specific enrichment in HNF6-dependent or in HNF6-independent REV-ERBα binding sites (11, 45) was observed in our analysis. This suggested that REV-ERBα binding correlates with the 5hmC pattern regardless of its DNA binding mode. Furthermore, Rev-erbα KO mice display a globally reduced liver DNA hydroxymethylation (~35%).

Taken together, these data indicate that the REV-ERBα/OGT functional interaction impinges on cytosine hydroxymethylation...
both in vitro and in vivo. Predicting the transcriptional outcome of this process at the cellular level remains difficult, as several groups reported that TET1 DNA binding is not only associated with gene activation but also with gene repression (30, 46). However, our observation that TET1/2/3 regulate SREBP1C expression suggests that REV-ERBα regulates this important lipogenic gene by altering epigenomic modifications at this locus. Since Srebp1c expression is not HDAC3-sensitive (47), and since

Fig. 6. Nuclear REV-ERBα controls nuclear OGT and TET activities and affects DNA hydroxymethylation. (A) TET oxidase activities (Left) and 5hmC levels (Right) in HepG2 nuclear protein extracts or in genomic DNA, respectively. Cells were transfected with siRNA (control or REV-ERBα) and incubated at 1 or 25 mM Glc. (B) Heatmaps of REV-ERBα and 5hmC signal intensities in clusterized Gencode TSS. Gencode TSS (arrow) were aligned and extended 5 kb on each side. (C) 5hmC levels in Rev-erbα+/− (WT) and Rev-erbα−/− (KO; n = 5–6) mouse livers. (D) Relative SREBP1C gene expression determined by RT-qPCR on siRNA (Scr, REV-ERBα, TET1, TET2, or TET3)-transfected HepG2 cells. (E) Relative Srebp1c gene expression in liver from Rev-erbα+/+ or Rev-erbα−/− mice (ZT12). (F) Representation of REV-ERBα chromatin occupancy and hydroxymethylated region localization at the mouse hepatic Srebf1 locus (Upper). Srebf1 hydroxymethylation was quantified by hMeDIP-qPCR (Bottom) in hepatic genomic DNA from Rev-erbα+/+ (n = 2) or Rev-erbα−/− mice (n = 2). Histogram represents mean ± SEM. The statistical significance of differences was assessed by a two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post hoc test (A) or by a t test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
REV-ERBe is not associated to HDAC3 or HNF6 at this locus (FRABio, CNRS, Université de Lille). ACS Chem Biol Srebp1c expression awaits further investigation, but this nevertheless demonstrates that REV-ERBe acts as a sophisticated regulator of metabolic flexibility by interconnecting temporally and spatially hormonal signals, metabolism, and circadian rhythm. Thus, during the rest period, when insulin level is low and REV-ERBe is high, the REV-ERBe/OGT complex could act at different levels. In the cytoplasm, the REV-ERBe/OGT complex would block the AKT signaling pathway and, in parallel, will activate TET enzymes in the nucleus to promote an epigenomic state favoring SREBP1C expression. Whether this property actually extends beyond the control of Srebp1c expression.

REV-ERBe is not expressed and its locus enhancing expression induction. Data were adapted from our and several other studies (32, 33, 38, 39).

Fig. 7. REV-ERBe/OGT participates to the control of circadian metabolic flexibility. During the rest period (from ZT0 to ZT12), insulin level is low and cytoplasmic REV-ERBe stabilizes OGT protein, which prevents AKT phosphorylation. In the nucleus, REV-ERBe binds to and activates OGT, favoring TETs enzyme activity. The REV-ERBe-dependent TETs activation results in DNA hydroxymethylation of Srebfp locus enhancing Srebp1c basal expression. This epigenetic mechanism could prime the liver, allowing an immediate response to feeding. During the active period (from ZT12 to ZT24), the cytoplasmic OGT, which is not protected anymore by REV-ERBe, is degraded by the proteasome. In the nucleus, OGT and TET activities decrease in the absence of REV-ERBe. In parallel, insulin level increases in response to the food intake. In this context, the liver can fully respond to insulin, triggering the maturation of nSREBP1c followed by Fasn expression induction. Data were adapted from our and several other studies (32, 33, 38, 39).

REV-ERBe is not associated to HDAC3 or HNF6 at this locus (10, 11, 31), REV-ERBe may act as a positive regulator of Srebp1c expression. Whether this property actually extends beyond the control of Srebp1c expression awaits further investigation, but this nevertheless demonstrates that REV-ERBe acts as a sophisticated regulator of metabolic flexibility by interconnecting temporally and spatially hormonal signals, metabolism, and circadian rhythm. Thus, during the rest period, when insulin level is low and REV-ERBe is high, the REV-ERBe/OGT complex could act at different levels. In the cytoplasm, the REV-ERBe/OGT complex would block the AKT signaling pathway and, in parallel, will activate TET enzymes in the nucleus to promote an epigenomic state favoring SREBP1C expression (Fig. 7). This original REV-ERBe-dependant regulation could prime the liver for a faster response to insulin signaling at the start of the active phase. During the active feeding period, during which REV-ERBe is not expressed and when insulin peaks, cytoplasmic OGT is degraded, allowing the full activation of the AKT signaling pathway (Fig. 7). Increased DNA methylation was reported in mouse liver during the active period (48), which could result from the observed reduction of TET activity in the absence of REV-ERBe. As methylation is most often a dynamic modification characterizing inactive chromatin, these reciprocal epigenomic modifications may be involved in the circadian regulation of hepatic lipogenesis.

Experimental Procedures

Animal Experimentation. Animals were handled in accordance with institutional guidelines and approved by the Comité d’Éthique en Expérimentation Animale du Nord-Pas de Calais (CE2A-75). All mice (12-wk-old) were housed in a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle for 2 wk before experimentation and fed ad libitum on a chow diet (A04, Safe Diets) with free access to drinking water. Wild-type C57BL/6J mice were from Charles River Laboratories. Rev-erbα KO and their wild-type control littermates were bred at Institut Pasteur de Lille animal facility as described (49). Mice were killed by cervical dislocation, and livers were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until use. Samples were thawed only once as protein O-GlcNAcylation was found to be unstable upon multiple freeze-thawing cycles.

Chemicals. Glucosamine, MG132, OGT inhibitors (DION and azaserine), the OGA inhibitor Thiamet G, β-aminolevulinic acid, and succinyl acetone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Compounds were used at indicated concentrations (see figure legends).

Cell Culture. HepG2 cells, derived from a human hepatocellular carcinoma, were purchased from ATCC (HB8065) and grown in DMEM containing 25 mM Glc supplemented with 10% FBS, 1 mM nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Treatments were performed as described in DMEM containing 4 mM glutamine (Life Technologies-Gibco-BRL) supplemented with 10% dextran/charcoal-treated FBS at indicated Glc concentrations. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were purchased from ATCC (CRL-1573) and maintained at 37 °C under 5% CO2 in DMEM containing 25 mM Glc supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The U2OS cell line (HTB-96; ATCC) derived from human osteosarcoma was grown in McCoy 5A medium containing 17 mM Glc supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

The U2OS rev-erbα−/− clone was generated using the CRISPR-paired nickase technology (Sigma-Aldrich). Briefly, cells were transfected with two RNA guide-encoding vectors (pU6-gRNA HSL0002457008 and HSL0002457018) and Cas9-D10A nicking nucleotide mutant encoding vector (pCMV-Cas9-D10A) using the JetPEI transfection reagent according to manufacturer recommendations. Clone selection was done by limiting dilution and screened by WB.

Statistical Analyses. Raw data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.0. Results are expressed as mean±SEM (n = 3–6 for in vitro experiments, n values are indicated in figure legends for in vivo experiments), and groups were compared using either a t test or ANOVA followed by a post hoc test as indicated in figure legends. Specific analyses are described in corresponding experimental procedure sections.

All other information is available in SI Appendix.
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