Genome-wide mapping of large deletions and their population-genetic properties in dairy cattle
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Abstract

Large genomic deletions are potential candidate for loss-of-function, which could be lethal as homozygote. Analysing whole genome data of 175 cattle, we report 8,480 large deletions (199 bp–773 KB) with an overall false discovery rate of 8.8%; 82% of which are novel compared with deletions in the dbVar database. Breakpoint sequence analyses revealed that majority (24 of 29 tested) of the deletions contain microhomology/homology at breakpoint, and therefore, most likely generated by microhomology-mediated end joining. We observed higher differentiation among breeds for deletions in some genic-regions, such as ABCA12, TTC1, VWA3B, TSHR, DST/BPAG1, and CD1D. The genes overlapping deletions are on average evolutionarily less conserved compared with known mouse lethal genes ($P$-value = $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$). We report 167 natural gene knockouts in cattle that are apparently nonessential as live homozygote individuals are observed. These genes are functionally enriched for immunoglobulin domains, olfactory receptors, and MHC classes ($FDR = 2.06 \times 10^{-22}$, $2.06 \times 10^{-22}$, $7.01 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively). We also demonstrate that deletions are enriched for health and fertility related quantitative trait loci (2-and 1.5-fold enrichment, Fisher’s $P$-value = $8.91 \times 10^{-10}$ and $7.4 \times 10^{-11}$, respectively). Finally, we identified and confirmed the breakpoint of a ~525 KB deletion on Chr23:12,291,761-12,817,087 (overlapping BTBD9, GLO1 and DNAH8), causing stillbirth in Nordic Red Cattle.
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1. Introduction

Embryonic lethality has become a challenge to cattle breeders, especially for dairy cattle where a limited number of bulls were extensively used in breeding for fast genetic progress in economic traits like milk and protein yield. An estimated yearly loss of ~$10.74 million is attributed to known recessive lethals in four dairy cattle breeds from USA only, where Holstein accounts for ~70% of the total losses, followed by Jersey, Brown Swiss, and Ayrshire. Hence,
understanding the genomic architecture of cattle populations is important, now more than ever, for optimizing genetic gain while constraining negative impact of deleterious mutations responsible for genetic defects and inbreeding depression.

Unlike single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and small insertion or deletion (indel), structural variants (SVs), i.e. DNA alterations larger than 50 base pairs (bp) that include insertions, deletions, duplications, inversions, and translocations, are the least explored polymorphisms in cattle. SVs contribute substantially to phenotypic variations and have a wide-spectrum of impact ranging from beneficial to lethal in both humans and animals. The phenotypic impact of SVs in cattle is well evident from numerous studies. For example, Xu et al. showed that a combination of SNPs with SVs could explain additional genetic variance underlying milk production traits, while Charlier et al., Schurz et al., and Kadri et al., showed the lethal effect of large deletions in dairy cattle. Furthermore, a ~525 KB deletion on chromosome 23 is reported to be associated with stillbirth in Nordic Red Cattle.

Earlier SV studies on cattle were mostly SNP-array based, such as array-comparative genome hybridization, or K BovineSNP50 BeadChip or 777 K BovineHD BeadChip (BovineHD chip) based. But, using these approaches a substantial portion of the genome could not be explored and breakpoint resolution is still an issue. However, whole-genome sequence (WGS) based techniques could improve resolution as well as power to capture SVs in a wide size and frequency spectrum. For example, majority of the novel SVs in humans and mouse were detected using WGS approaches. Besides, breakpoint sequences could also be assembled with high accuracy from sequencing reads, which are necessary for elucidating the mechanisms underlying SV formation.

In the advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques, hundreds of cattle (bull or cow) genomes were re-sequenced in collaborative initiative such as 1000 Bull Genomes Project (1KBGP) (and other independent projects, e.g.,) to build a comprehensive database of sequence variants, mainly SNPs and indels. This NGS data provides a unique opportunity to study SVs in cattle. However, few studies utilized these resources so far for studying SVs in cattle.

Therefore, in this study we scanned the WGSs of 175 cattle from three dairy breeds, namely Holstein, Jersey, and Nordic Red Cattle, to discover large deletions segregating in the population, and analyze their population-genetic properties. In particular, we focused on understanding the population diversity, stratification, and plausible functional effects. We also explored the probable mechanisms of SV formation for a set of breakpoint-resolved deletions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animal samples and ethics

This study was performed on WGS of 175 dairy cattle from three breeds, e.g. 67 Holstein, 27 Jersey, and 81 Nordic Red Cattle. The sample included 7 Holstein cows and 168 bulls from these three breeds—144 animals from Run 5 of 1KBGP and 31 animals from Nordic sequence data. Genome sequences were generated using Illumina paired-end sequencing to an average coverage of 10-fold.

Here, we did not include any experimentation on animals and only dealt with analysis-ready WGS data; hence, no ethical approval was required.

2.2. Sequence alignment to reference genome and SNPs/indels calling

Raw sequencing reads were filtered and ‘FASTQ’ files were aligned to bovine reference genome assembly ‘UMD3.1’ using ‘BWA’ software to produce BAM files for subsequent variant calling. In 1KBGP, SNPs and indels were called using ‘SAMtools 0.1.18 mpileup’ software, while ‘GATK v1.6’ software was used for Nordic WGS data (detailed method in and, respectively). For all the analysis, bovine genome assembly ‘UMD3.1’ was used as the reference genome.

2.3. Discovery and genotyping of deletions

SVs can be detected from NGS data based on sequence signatures such as (discordant) read-pair (RP), split-read (SP), and read-depth (RD), as well as de novo assembly of reads. However, approaches based on only one sequence signature could be constrained by high false discovery rate (FDR), hence we employed a population scale SV detection method called ‘Genome STRucture in Populations (Genome STRiP)’, which leverages technical (e.g. RP and RD signals) and population-level sequence features (e.g. coherence around shared alleles, and heterogeneity of evidentiary sequences in different genomes) for accurate discovery of deletions, and determines genotype (allelic state) of each locus from RD using a Gaussian mixture model.

2.3.1. Genome STRiP

For deletion discovery and genotyping ‘Genome STRiP’ software version 2.0.0.167828 was used. Following the documentation, we built a custom reference metadata bundle for cattle samples that includes alignability mask, copy-number mask (CN2 mask), ploidy map, gender map. Alignability mask represents sites on the reference genome that are uniquely alignable by sequence read of a certain length (readLength). Our WGS data was a mixture of different ‘Illumina’ paired-end reads ranging from 90 to 101 bp (Q1 = Q0, median = 100, and Q3 = 100), hence genome alignability mask was prepared with readLength value of 90 using ‘ComputeGenomeMask’ utility from Genome STRiP. Copy-number mask (CN2 mask), i.e. regions on the reference genome unlikely to be copy-number variable in most individuals, was produced for the bovine assembly UMD3.1 excluding sex chromosome X, unplaced contigs, and repeat sequences (retrieved from RepeatMasker track of UCSC Table Browser, accessed on 4 July 2016).

2.3.2. Pre-processing, deletion discovery and genotyping

We ran the preprocessing Queue script (dry run) to emit all the commands, prepared bash scripts to run in Portable Batch System job scheduler, and executed these commands proving 175 BAM files (one for each sample) as input.

Large deletions (100 bp ≤ size ≤ 1 MB) were discovered and filtered using SVDiscovery Queue script. Discovered sites were filtered (default filters) if (i) the site contained too high or too low read pileup, (ii) RPs spacing was inconsistent with a single segregating deletion, (iii) RD and RP evidences were inconsistent across samples, (iv) RD differences were not significant, and (v) RP evidence was thinly distributed across samples (Genome STRiP Tutorial—GATK Workshop 2013, http://software.broadinstitute.org/software/genome/strig/strip/workshop-presentations, accessed on 26 August 2016).

All passed sites were genotyped by SVGenotyper with default parameters. Genotyped deletion calls were then filtered based on following criteria, e.g. (i) sites with excess number of heterozygote calls (inbreeding coefficient ≤ −0.15), (ii) non-variant site based on genotype likelihood (parameter: non-variance score ≥ 13.0), (iii) sites with too low or too high RD (parameter: 0.5 ≥ GSM1 ≥ 2.0), (iv) sites with less than 30% uniquely alignable bases, (v) potential
duplicate of another site (parameter: duplicateOverlappingThreshold 0.5 and duplicateScoreThreshold ≥ 0.0), (vi) start/end position of a deletion call within 150 bp of assembly gap, (vii) all samples homozygous for reference allele (95% CI), and (viii) sites with ≥ 10% missing genotype.

2.4. Validation of deletions
2.4.1. Validation using 777K BovineHD BeadChip intensity data
We validated deletion calls using 777K BovineHD BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) intensity data on 26 Holstein samples that were both WGS and 777K chip typed. We calculated FDR for the deletion call-set using IntensityRankSum (IRS) test implemented in Genome STRiP. Intensity file was prepared from raw chip intensity data following the guideline for IRS test. Overall FDR for the call-set was calculated as two times the fraction of sites with IRS value ≤ 0.5 (i.e. sites with IRS P-value ≥ 0.5 to the sites with valid P-value). Details of IRS test could be found in.15,28

2.4.2. Validation by targeted assembly of breakpoint
Targeted iterative graph routing assembler (TIGRA-0.4.3) software was used, with default parameters, for assembling deletion breakpoint sequences from a set of randomly selected deletions along with three previously known deletions segregating in the study populations. TIGRA extracted all reads mapped to 500 bp upstream and 50 bp downstream of start coordinate, and 500 bp upstream and 500 bp downstream of final coordinate of a given deletion; and reads were then assembled iteratively using de Bruijn graph assembler with multiple k-mers (e.g. 15 bp followed by 25 bp). We aligned the assembled contigs to UMD3.1 using Came BLAT Search from UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgBlat) to visualize and infer breakpoints from the alignments.

2.4.3. Validation by PCR and ampiclon sequencing
We validated a previously reported ~525 KB deletion segregating in Nordic Red Cattle10 using PCR and ampiclon sequencing. Genomic DNA was extracted as described previously by Miller et al.31 from semen sample of two bulls carrying the deletion and two non-carriers. The PCR reaction was done with the DyNAzyme II DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher, MA, US) in a 30 μl volume of 1 × PCR buffer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 10 pmol primer mix (forward primer: 5'-AAGCCACCACAACTGAGAACG-3' and reverse primer: 5'-TTTGGGTTAGGAGAATGGG -3') and 50 ng of genomic DNA. The cycling conditions were the following: (i) an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, (ii) 35 cycles of 30 s denaturation (94 °C), 30 s hybridization (65.2 °C), 30 s elongation (72 °C), and a final 3 min elongation (72 °C). PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel, purified and directly sequenced using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, CA, US). Electrophoresis of sequencing reactions was performed on ‘3500xL Genetic Analyzers’ (Applied Biosystems, CA, US), and sequences were visualized with Sequencer 5.4.6 (Gene Codes Corporation, MI, USA). A 977 bp control amplification, with a primer pair within the deletion (forward primer: 5'- CCCAATGCAAAATCACAAAA -3' and reverse primer: 5'- CCAGAAAAAGCTACACTTGACTGA -3'), was performed using the same reaction conditions as above except hybridization was performed at 59.8 °C.

2.5. Analysis of population genetic properties
The population genetic properties of deletions, among the three breeds, were studied in terms of population diversity, population structure, and population differentiation. Population diversity was calculated using ‘VariantsPerSampleAnnotator’ from ‘Genome STRiP’ software, which provides distribution of variants across samples and populations. We performed principal component analysis (PCA) using PLINK (v1.90p) software to distinguish three cattle breeds (details in Supplementary Material). We calculated VS,-P−value) = a population stratification measure of SVs (highly correlated with Wright’s fixation index, Fst)34, for each deletion locus using variant allele frequency (VAF) and genotypes from pairwise comparison of one breed with the rest, such as Holstein vs Jersey + Nordic Red Cattle, and vice versa.

2.6. Functional annotation and enrichment analysis
Functional annotation of deletions were performed using ‘Variant Effect Predictor (VEP-87)’ software,35 and enrichment of protein domains (InterPro36 and Pfam25) and pathways (KEGG37) were analysed using ‘STRING-v10 database’.38

Selective constraints on genes were measured from the ratio of non-synonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS) substitution rate, i.e. dN/dS ratio, between cow-mouse 1-to-1 orthologues downloaded from Ensembl database (release 87, last accessed on 21 February 2017) using BioMart. Here we analysed whether dN/dS of genes overlapping deletions are higher (i.e. less constrained) than that of mouse lethal genes (from Dickinson et al.42) using Wilcoxon test. Reported causal genes for cattle were also retrieved from OMIA database (http://omia.angis.org.au, last accessed on 10 May 2016) for dN/dS comparison.

We retrieved cattle quantitative trait loci (QTL) from QTLdb database (release 31; accessed on 6 January 2017); autosomal QTL from Holstein, Jersey, Nordic Red Cattle and Ayrshire, associated to any of the six trait classes, e.g. ‘Reproductive’, ‘Milk’, ‘Production’, ‘Exterior’, ‘Meat and Carcass’, and ‘Health’, were considered for QTL enrichment analysis. We calculated fold enrichment for a trait, such as for Health related QTL: (No. of Health QTL on Deletions / Total QTL on deletions) / (Total Health QTL / Total QTL in the dataset), and statistical significance using ‘Fisher’s exact test’ (two sided).

2.7. Data manipulation, visualization, and statistical analysis
All statistical analyses and plots were generated in RStudio software running R software version 3.3.2,43 unless mentioned otherwise. BEDTools (v2.26.0) software is used for identifying the overlap between deletion calls and other genomic features, such as, ‘UMD3.1’ assembly gaps (from ‘UCSC Table Browser’), CNVs from dbVar database, three known deletions from QTLdb, VCFtools (v0.1.15) software and PLINK (v1.90p) software were used for analysing the VCF file.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Discovery and genotyping of deletions
Deletion discovery and genotyping were carried out using Genome STRiP. After filtering, we report 8,480 large deletions with genotypes in 67 Holstein, 27 Jersey, and 81 Nordic Red Cattle. The deletion size ranged from 199 bp to 773 KB with a mean of 4.5 KB (median = 1 KB), which is approximately 10 times smaller compared with 184 deletion-CNVs (mean = 44.5 KB, median = 7.7 KB) reported in a recent 777K BovineHD BeadChip (BovineHD chip) based study.13
reflecting the resolution of our sequence-based calls. Only 18% of the deletion calls have overlap ([C21]1 bp) with previously reported bovine deletions (or CNV-loss) in the dbVar database (accessed on 27 January 2017), while remaining 82% are novel. However, ~72% of our deletion regions remained unique when compared with all CNVs (gain or loss) and copy number variable regions in the database. Interestingly, majority (~80%) of these overlapping regions are from an earlier WGS-based study, where genome sequences of 27 Holstein, 17 Montbéliarde, and 18 Normande bulls were analysed. Nonetheless, we were able to broaden the accessible deletion size-range, more importantly towards smaller one unascertainable by usual SNP-array based approaches. We also report high quality genotypes for all the 8,480 deletions. Apparently, there are more low frequency variants than that of high frequency one, and the frequency distribution is very similar to humans16 (Fig. 1).

### 3.2. Validation of deletions

We validated the results using three approaches: (i) using BovineHD chip intensity data, (ii) breakpoint assembly and alignment, and (iii) PCR + sequencing of amplicons.

#### 3.2.1. BovineHD chip intensity

We used 777K BovineHD chip intensity data of 26 Holstein animals, both chip-typed and sequenced, to validate the deletion calls using Genome STRiP’ IRRS test. We had partial power to investigate all deletions due to the sparsity of the array-probes (one probe per ~3.5 Kb), and were underpowered to accurately verify small deletions (e.g. overlapping one-probe). Furthermore, we could only test a deletion for which at least one of the 26 samples had non-reference allele. Therefore, an estimate of FDR for the deletion call-set is provided here from the overall P-value distribution. In this approach, we were able to interrogate ~8.3% of the total call, majority of which contain a single array-probe within the region (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). We found that deletions overlapping only one array-probe had higher FDR (11.3%) compared with two or more probes. And finally, we showed that our deletion call-set had an overall FDR of 8.8%, which is within our chosen threshold of FDR ≤ 10%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Array-probe Overlap</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-probe</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 array-probe</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 array-probe</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A, No. of sites with P-value.
* B, No. of sites with P-value ≥ 0.5
* FDR estimates were based on ‘Wilcoxon rank sum test’ using BovineHD chip intensity data of 26 Holstein animals. FDR was calculated as (B/A × 2 × 100).

---

**Figure 1.** Number of ascertained deletions relative to variant allele count. Here, VAF is expressed in terms of variant allele count. Deletions down to an allele count of 1 (VAF = 0.0026 and 0.0032, in cattle and humans, respectively) are also represented here. Human deletion calls by Mills et al.16 were downloaded from 1K Genomes Project FTP server (ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/pilot_data/paper_data_sets/companion_papers/mapping_structural_variation).
3.2.2. Targeted breakpoint assembly
We next validated three randomly chosen set of ten-deletions each by assembling breakpoint sequences using TIGRA18: 10 deletions ≤ 500 bp, 10 deletions > 500 bp but ≤ 1 KB, and 10 deletions with VAF ≤ 0.10. Out of the thirty, we successfully resolved breakpoints of 26 deletions (~87% success rate) using a combination of TIGRA and BLAT search28 (Supplementary Table S2). Additionally, we assembled breakpoints of three previously reported deletions that were also segregating in our study populations, such as a ~662 KB deletion on chromosome 12 encompassing RNASEH2B, GUCY1B2, and FAM124A, a ~3 KB deletion on chromosome 21 encompassing FANCI, and a ~525 KB deletion on chromosome 23 encompassing BTBD9, GLO1, and DNAH8. Breakpoints of the former two deletions were previously reported in,29 which exactly matched with our predicted breakpoint sequences (Supplementary Figs S1a–c and S2a–c). Although for the later, we resolved breakpoint sequences in this study (Fig. 2a and b). Overall, the success rate of our deletion-breakpoint assembly was better than the reported success rate of TIGRA on similar sized read-length.18 And Genome STRiP’s breakpoint predictions were on average within 20 bp of the validated breakpoint, which is within the tool’s reported estimate of 1–20 bp.28

3.2.3. PCR and amplicon sequencing
We then experimentally validated breakpoints for ‘Chr23:12,291,761-12,817,087’ deletion, previously reported to be associated with stillbirth in Nordic Red Cattle.19 Four animals were used for PCR validation: two carriers and two non-carriers. The breakpoint spanning PCR products of 359 bp were only observed in the carrier animals, while no amplicon was seen for non-carriers (Fig. 3a). The 359bp amplicon was then sequenced, and exact breakpoint sequences were observed (Fig. 3b), thus confirming the breakpoint for this deletion.

3.3. Population genetic properties of deletions
3.3.1. Population diversity
We explored population diversity among the three dairy cattle breeds from per-individual deletion-heterozygosity and homozygosity. We found that individuals from Nordic Red Cattle exhibits 3.5 and 6.4% higher deletion-heterozygosity than in Holstein and Jersey, respectively. Median numbers of heterozygote-deletion were 1,272, 1,229 and 1,196, in Nordic Red Cattle, Holstein, and Jersey, respectively (Fig. 4a). In contrast to heterozygosity, Jersey animals showed highest levels of deletion-homozygosity, followed by Holstein (Fig. 4b). Similar estimates of genetic diversity were also reported for these breeds in a SNP heterozygosity and runs-of-homozygosity analysis—where Jersey exhibited lowest (genome-wide) average nucleotide diversity (and higher number/size of runs-of-homozygosity) followed by Holstein and Nordic Red Cattle.31 These differences could be understood from the current effective population size (Ne) of these breeds, e.g. Ne of Jersey, Holstein, and Nordic Red Cattle are 73, 99, and 106, respectively52; this entailed higher diversity in Nordic Red Cattle, and Holstein, than in Jersey. From singletons estimate it is also evident that Nordic Red Cattle has more rare deletions compared with Holstein and Jersey (Fig. 4c); partly could be due to incorrect ascertainment of rare ones.

3.3.2. Principal component analysis
We performed PCA using the deletion genotypes of the samples. Around 6 K deletions with VAF between 0.02 and 0.90 were used in the analysis. For comparison, we also performed PCA on ~168 K bi-allelic SNPs randomly selected from 29 autosomes of the same individuals. The first two principal components (PCs) from both deletion and SNP-based PCA clearly distinguished the three breeds, and jointly explained 20 and 16.2% of the variance, respectively (Fig. 5a and b). In addition, PC3 and PC4 recapitulated substructures within Nordic Red cattle (Supplementary Fig. S3), and first five PCs cumulatively explained 33.6% (with the deletions) and 28.4% (with the SNPs) of the variance (Supplementary Fig. S4). Our deletion results agree with the known population structure of the three breeds. Similar population structure (and substructure within Nordic Red Cattle) has been reported using genome-wide SNPs.53 Nordic Red Cattle from Denmark showed closer relationship with the Holstein in our WGS samples (Supplementary Fig. S5). This is consistent with the known history of Holstein interrogation in Danish Red cattle, as previously reported based on imputed WGS SNP analysis on a larger sample.55 It is also known from admixture analysis that genomes of Nordic Red Cattle are a mosaic of multiple ancestral populations, i.e. more ancestral components in Nordic Red Cattle than in Holstein and Jersey52,54; our deletion-based PCA largely corroborate that.

Figure 2. A ~525-KB deletion on chromosome 23 discovered using Genome STRiP (a), and resolved breakpoint sequences from TIGRA and BLAT search (b). Shaded bases are a 5-bp microhomology at breakpoint junction. (This figure was drawn and modified using Inkscape version 0.91.)
3.3.3. \(V_{ST}\) analysis

We analysed population stratification in terms of \(V_{ST}\),\(^{33}\) a measure highly correlated with Wright's fixation index \(F_{ST}\),\(^{34}\) to identify population differentiation. We calculated \(V_{ST}\) for each deletion pairwise amongst the breeds (e.g. Holstein vs Jersey + Nordic Red Cattle) from VAFs. We identified 158 highly stratified deletions (pairwise \(V_{ST} \geq \text{mean} + 4 \text{s.d.}\)) among the breeds (Fig. 6). Around 27% of these deletions overlap genic elements, i.e. exons, introns, or (upstream/downstream) untranslated regions (UTRs), and remaining 73% are intergenic variants (Supplementary Tables S3–S5). There were eleven sites shared between Holstein and Nordic Red Cattle, two sites between Holstein and Jersey, and one site between Nordic Red Cattle and Jersey. Among these sites were gene variants, such as \(ABCA12\) (Chr2:103,682,772-103,684,297 in Holstein & Jersey) associated with growth and development,\(^{55,56}\) \(TTC1\) (Chr7:73,725,513-73,725,918 in Holstein & Nordic Red Cattle) with cold tolerance,\(^{57}\) \(VWA3B\) (Chr11:3,521,329-3,522,551 in Holstein & Nordic Red Cattle) with milk glycosylated kappa-casein percentage,\(^{58}\) and were intergenic variants, such as Chr20:26,812,159-26,812,834 (in Holstein and Jersey) overlap QTL associated with calving traits,\(^{59,60}\) Chr20:45,816,245-45,820,519 (in Holstein & Nordic Red Cattle) with meat and carcass trait,\(^{61}\) and Chr23:49,778,653-49,782,567 (in Holstein and Nordic Red Cattle) with body weight.\(^{62}\) We also identified a highly differentiated fertility associated gene \(DST/BPAG1\)\(^{63,64}\) (Chr23:3,486,232-3,486,603) in Nordic Red Cattle. One differentially selected deletion (\(V_{ST} = 0.28\)) of chromosome 3 (Chr3:12,141,822-12,170,916) overlapping ENSBTAO0000047776 and ENSBTAO0000024960 genes (human orthologue \(CD1D\)), drawn our attention (though it marginally failed our selection threshold); Holsteins exhibited VAF of 24.63% (have both homozygous and heterozygous deletion), while it is mostly homozygous for the reference allele in Nordic Red cattle (VAF = 0.62%) and Jersey (VAF = 0.0%). The \(CD1D\) gene has known function in host immune response and parasite resistance,\(^{65,66}\) and also reported differentially expressed post intra-mammary infection.\(^{67}\) Majority of these stratified deletion regions are novel compared with previous CNV studies in cattle, and therefore are interesting targets to investigate large deletions undergoing genetic drift or artificial selection.
Figure 4. Population diversity. (a) Heterozygous deletions per genome. (b) Homozygous deletions per genome. (c) Singletons per genome. Only high-confidence genotype calls are included. The y-axis in (a–c) represents the number of heterozygous, homozygous, and singleton deletions per genome, respectively. HOL, Holstein; JER, Jersey; RDC, Nordic Red Cattle.

Figure 5. PCA depicting three dairy cattle breeds. The analysis is based on (a) ~6 K deletions (0.02 < VAF < 0.9), and (b) ~168 K bi-allelic SNPs randomly selected from 29 bovine autosomes. First two PCs from deletions and SNPs are plotted here; jointly explained 20 and 16.2% of the variance, respectively. HOL, Holstein; JER, Jersey; RDC, Nordic Red Cattle.
3.4. Functional impact of deletions

We annotated all the deletions using Variant Effect Predictor (Ensembl 87). Around 71% (6,019 SVs) variants were intergenic and remaining 29% (2,461 SVs) overlapped genic elements, such as exons, introns, and UTR. On average, high frequency gene disrupting deletions were somewhat depleted compared with intergenic variants (VAF_{intergenic} > VAF_{genic}, P-value = 0.04; one-sided Wilcoxon test). Furthermore, we observed many common genic deletions. These genes are relatively less conserved, and majority has multiple paralogs (discussed later). However, deletions on known essential genes were only observed as heterozygote with relatively low VAF (<3%), and generally were private to a specific breed. For example, FANCI deletions (cause brachyspina) were only observed in Holstein, and RNASEH2B deletions (cause embryonic lethality) in Nordic Red Cattle.

3.4.1. Selective constraints on genes overlapping deletions

The relative abundance of high-frequency genic and intergenic variants indicate that majority of these intersected genes are non-essential, and thus did not affect the viability or fecundity of the carriers. To test this hypothesis, we analysed the selective constraints between deleted genes (overlap of any genic element) and known mouse lethal genes (from Dickinson et al.42) in terms of dN/dS ratio of cow-mouse 1-to-1 orthologues (Fig. 7). Here, high dN/dS values indicate low selective constraints on genes, and low value indicates high constraints. We found that genes in deletions have significantly higher dN/dS ratios than lethal genes (P-value 2.3 × 10⁻⁶; one-sided Wilcoxon test), and thus are evolutionarily less conserved. This is consistent with the rate of evolution seen in essential and non-essential genes—where mutations in essential genes were under strong purifying selection and thus evolved slowly (low dN/dS ratio), while non-essential genes were under relaxed selection, and hence, evolved faster (high dN/dS ratio).68 Nonetheless, robustness of these processes is also evident in the evolution of human essential genes. Interestingly, ~77% human essential genes could even be traced back to pre-metazoans.69

3.4.2. Nonessential genes in cattle

In total, we found 5,000 deletions for which at least one individual was homozygous. In the set, we analysed homozygous deletions in genes to find natural gene knockouts. We found 167 deleted genes (transcript-abilation or complete deletion) corresponding to 115 independent deletions that are apparently nonessential based on the occurrences of live homozygote individuals. This is ~45% more than the previous report.23 Nonetheless, we found ~44% fewer genes compared with in humans (240 nonessential genes),15 which could be due to the differences in sample size (175 vs 2,504 individuals) and study populations (3 vs 26 populations in human).

Among these genes, ~83% (139 genes) are protein-coding, 12% pseudogenes, and the rest are different types of small RNAs (Supplementary Table S6). Most of these genes belong to multi-genic families and are not highly conserved (median cow-mouse dN/dS of 0.17 vs OMIA genes dN/dS of 0.11; Supplementary Fig. S6), as...
expected for homozygous deletion. Moreover, this set of genes are functionally enriched in immunoglobulin domains, olfactory receptors, and MHC classes (FDR $= 2.06 \times 10^{-22}, 2.06 \times 10^{-22}, 7.01 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively), along with other related domains (Supplementary Tables S7–S9). Similar functional enrichment of nonessential genes was also seen in humans. Olfactory receptor related genes are well known for extensive gains and losses in mammalian evolution. And population specific copy-number variations of olfactory receptor genes were also reported in human (deletions) and cattle (gains). Nevertheless, this is the first report, to our knowledge, of homozygous deletion of olfactory receptor genes in cattle.

3.4.3. QTL enrichment

We next explored the enrichment (or depletion) of QTL on deleted regions (at least 1 bp overlap with deletion). We retrieved $\sim 24$ K autosomal QTL from QTLdb reported to be associated with any of the six trait classes, e.g. ‘Health’, ‘Reproduction’, ‘Milk’, ‘Exterior’, ‘Production’, and ‘Meat and Carcass’. The association of deletions with diseases, fitness or fertility related traits is well evident. Hence, we suspected enrichment of fitness and fertility related traits for our deletions. As expected, health (2-fold) and reproduction (1.5-fold) related QTL were significantly enriched, while other trait classes were highly depleted (Table 2). Higher enrichment of health related QTL could be driven by immune-system genes, which were also highly enriched in our dataset (discussed earlier).

### Table 2. Enrichment of QTL on deletions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait classes $^a$</th>
<th>Fold enrichment</th>
<th>$P$ value (Fisher’s test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$8.91 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproduction</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$7.4 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$2.45 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$1.85 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat and Carcass</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Trait classes are from cattleQTLdb. QTL from autosomes of Holsteins, Jersey, Nordic Red Cattle, and Ayrshires were considered for Fisher’s exact test (two-sided).

3.5. Deletion formation mechanisms

Finally we explored the probable mechanisms of deletion formation. There are two key mechanisms of SVs formation (for detail see review$^{19,73}$); for example, recurrent SVs often result from ‘non-allelic homologous recombination’ between large low-copy repeats (LCRs), and thus, contain extensive sequence homology provided by LCRs, such as segmental duplicates, at the flanking regions.$^{18}$ In contrast, non-recurrent SVs often form either by ‘microhomology-mediated end joining’ or ‘non-homologus end joining’, which requires limited to no sequence homology, and thus could be characterized by microhomologies or simple blunt ends at the breakpoint junction.$^{73}$

Breakpoint information is crucial for understanding the mechanism, and therefore, we analysed 29 breakpoint resolved deletions from our validation set. We found that 24 of 29 deletions contain microhomology ranging from 2 to 31 bp at the breakpoint, and two of which also contain insertions (Supplementary Table S2). In addition, four deletions exhibited non-reference insertion at breakpoint junctions, and one deletion with no apparent homology. However, the number of breakpoint sequences analysed here were not a robust representation of our deletion call-set (<0.5% deletions), though selected randomly (for validation), we were able to demonstrate that majority of deletions contain microhomoloy at breakpoint, followed by few insertions, and rarely with no homology. Our results largely agree with the trend reported for large deletions in humans, e.g. 70.8% deletions exhibited microhomology/homology and 16.1% insertions at the breakpoint.$^{16}$

3.6. Limitations

This study only focused on identifying deletions in cattle because of their potential relevance to loss-of-function and embryonic lethality.
However, we had limited success to identify small deletions, such as <200bp due to reduced sensitivity of the SV caller. It is also not a comprehensive list of deletions for these samples, since we could have missed many true deletions due to sensitivity, coverage, or stringent filtering (among other reasons). Furthermore, the short read length (~100 bp) in our WGS dataset also made it difficult to resolve breakpoints from regions of long repeats.

3.7. Conclusions
Loss-of-function variants are responsible for a substantial yearly-economic loss in dairy industry, where a limited number of elite sires are in extensive use for rapid genetic gains. Mapping of such variants is essential for effective breeding planning and genomic selection. Here we showed an NGS-based analytical framework suitable for population-scale mapping of large deletions in cattle, leveraging the available WGSs. Here we described population-genetic, functional, and evolutionary properties of discovered deletions. We identified and confirmed a ~525 KB deletion on chromosome 23, causing stillbirth in Nordic Red Cattle. We demonstrated that Nordic Red Cattle had higher population diversity than Holstein and Jersey, and deletion-genotype could recapitulate genetic structure of these breeds. Natural gene knockouts are enriched for immune-related and olfactory receptor genes. We also showed that deletions are significantly enriched for health and fertility related QTL, while depleted for production related QTL. Our population genetic and functional analysis showed promise for inclusion of SVs in genomic studies in dairy cattle. This deletion catalog will facilitate discovery, genotyping, and imputation of deletions in large cohorts of animals, and subsequent studies for gene mapping and genomic prediction of breeding values.
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