Ancient polymorphisms and divergence hitchhiking contribute to genomic islands of divergence within a poplar species complex
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How genome divergence eventually leads to speciation is a topic of prime evolutionary interest. Genomic islands of elevated divergence are frequently reported between diverging lineages, and their size is expected to increase with time and gene flow under the speciation-with-gene-flow model. However, such islands can also result from divergent sorting of ancient polymorphisms, recent ecological selection regardless of gene flow, and/or recurrent background selection and selective sweeps in low-recombination regions. It is challenging to disentangle these nonexclusive alternatives, but here we attempt to do this in an analysis of what drove genome divergence between four lineages comprising a species complex of desert poplar trees. Within this complex we found that two morphologically delimitated species, *Populus euphratica* and *Populus pruinosa*, were paraphyletic while the four lineages exhibited contrasting levels of gene flow and divergence times, providing a good system for testing hypotheses on the origin of divergence islands. We show that the size and number of genomic islands that distinguish lineages are not associated with either rate of recent gene flow or time of divergence. Instead, they are most likely derived from divergent sorting of ancient polymorphisms and divergence hitchhiking. We found that highly diverged genes under lineage-specific selection and putatively involved in ecological and morphological divergence occur both within and outside these islands. Our results highlight the need to incorporate demography, absolute divergence measurement, and gene flow rate to explain the formation of genomic islands and to identify potential genomic regions involved in speciation.

**Significance**

One of the outstanding questions in understanding how new species form is how reproductive isolation arises. In particular, the relative roles of gene flow and natural selection in creating two separate species remains open for debate. Here we show within the four continuously speculating lineages of a poplar that local genomic differentiation of populations is not associated with either rate of recent gene flow or time of species divergence. By contrast, we found that these genomic islands of divergence most likely came about by selective processes—sorting of ancient genetic polymorphisms and the incidental hitchhiking of linked variations. These findings substantially enhance our understanding of genomic changes in speciation.

Author contributions: T.M., E.N., and J.L. designed research; T.M., K.W., and Q.H. performed research; Z.X., D.W., Q.W., J.F., D.J., and H.A. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; T.M., K.W., Q.H., and Z.X. analyzed data; and T.M., R.J.A., M.L., E.N., and J.L. wrote the paper.

Reviewers: Y.G., Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences; P.T., University of Minnesota; and L.Y., John Innes Centre.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

Data deposition: The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra (BioProject PRJNA380894 and PRJNA380891).

1T.M., K.W., Q.H., and Z.X. contributed equally to this work.

2To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: liujq@biophy.cas.cn or nevo@research.haifa.ac.il.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1713288114/-/DCSupplemental.
common ancestor between individuals representing the two descendant lineages (1, 23). Such a scenario should be more apparent in genomic regions of low recombination (12, 15, 20, 32), resulting in a general positive correlation between genetic diversity and recombination rate (33). Nonetheless, divergent sorting of ancient divergent haplotypes will also elevate $D_{xy}$ and cause island-like patterns of genomic divergence to originate between diverging lineages as in the speciation-with-gene-flow model (21, 34). Thus, analyses of $D_{xy}$ will only discriminate between some of the alternative factors that cause genomic islands of divergence identified by $F_{ST}$ (12, 21, 23, 29, 35, 36). These problems can be alleviated by (i) reconstructing the past demography of the lineages concerned and (ii) comparing lineages with contrasted gene flow and times of divergence along the speciation continuum (22, 23). This is especially critical for plants in which interspecific gene flow occurs more frequently than in animals (1).

*Populus euphratica* Oliv. (Pe) and *Populus pruinosa* Schrenk (Pp) are two sister species in section *Turanga* (37–39), both of which are well adapted to the extreme desert environment (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). The natural range of *P. euphratica* extends discontinuously from Morocco in North Africa through the Middle East to central and western Asia, whereas *P. pruinosa* is restricted to western China and adjacent regions (40) (Fig. 1A). Despite their close relationship, these two species are morphologically well differentiated and grow in distinctly different desert habitats. Leaves of *P. euphratica* are glabrous and vary in shape from linear or lanceolate on juvenile plants and basal twigs of mature plants, to rounded, ovate, or obovate on older twigs of mature plants. In contrast, leaves of *P. pruinosa* are always ovate or kidney-shaped with thick hairs (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). Although their flowering periods partially overlap, *P. euphratica* flowers earlier than *P. pruinosa* in western China (41). It is also evident that where they co-occur, *P. euphratica* occupies sites with deep underground water, whereas *P. pruinosa* occurs where underground water is close to the surface, near ancient or extant rivers. Both species form clones by vegetative propagation, mainly through root suckers (38, 40). Since sexual reproduction leads to more extensive linkage disequilibrium, it can play a part in speciation and the maintenance of species. A previous study of nuclear sequence variation in samples from western China has shown that these species diverged during the Pleistocene, with the presence of strong gene flow (42). Therefore, *P. euphratica* and *P. pruinosa* provide an excellent system for evaluating how various evolutionary forces could have shaped patterns of genomic divergence during speciation, especially for tree species.

We resequenced the genomes of both species across their biogeographical distributions to examine genomic patterns of divergence, and in particular to infer the relative roles played by gene flow, divergence time, lineage sorting, recombination, and selection during the speciation process. We resolved a paraphyletic pattern of divergence between the two species with four lineages originating during two continuous phases of divergence. Furthermore, we found that divergent sorting of ancient polymorphisms and divergence hitchhiking contributed mainly to the heterogeneous patterns of genomic divergence between the lineages detected. Levels of gene flow and time since divergence were found not to affect the general formation, size, and number of genomic islands that distinguish lineages. Our study not only provides insights into the speciation histories and the corresponding genomic changes of the two desert poplars but also identifies important lineage-specific genes under positive selection that could be responsible for the ecological, physiological, and morphological diversification between these two species.

**Results**

**Genome Resequencing and Genetic Relatedness.** We resequenced the genomes of 122 *P. euphratica* and 34 *P. pruinosa* individuals spanning their worldwide geographic distributions (mean sequencing coverage of 11.36×; Fig. L4 and *SI Appendix*, Table S1). Sequences were first aligned to the reference genome of *P. euphratica* (43), and population genetic statistics based on the site frequency spectrum were then estimated using methods that accounted for uncertainty in the assignment of genotypes (44, 45). Additional tools (46, 47) were used to increase the stringency of variant calls when required. In total, 4,285,372 and 2,460,093 high-quality SNPs were identified in *P. euphratica* and *P. pruinosa*, respectively, of which 1,688,107 were shared between the two species (39.4% and 68.6% of the total SNPs in each species, respectively).

Given that poplar trees are known to form clones by vegetative propagation, mainly through root suckers (38, 40), we estimated the genetic relatedness between individuals on the basis of pairwise comparisons of SNP data. We found extensive clonal growth in almost all populations, with *P. euphratica* populations in Spain, Algeria, and Israel most extreme in this regard (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3). The latter likely reflects strong founder effects by a few or even a single individual, followed by extensive clonal propagation. Therefore, we discarded individuals that were more related than third-degree relationships, retaining a total of 99 individuals (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3) for subsequent population genetic analyses.

**Population Structure.** To examine genetic relationships between individuals, we constructed a phylogenetic network based on nuclear genome polymorphisms. This revealed an evolutionary history distinctly different from the phenotype-based classification (Fig. 1B). *P. euphratica* comprises three lineages (PeE, PeW, and PeC) and is paraphyletic with *P. pruinosa*; that is, *P. pruinosa* individuals belong to a single lineage (Pp) sister to one of the three *P. euphratica* lineages, PeW. The other two lineages, PeC and PeE, are closely related. PeW is mainly distributed in western Iran and extends to Israel, while PeE occurs mainly in eastern Iran. Both PeC and Pp mainly occur in western China and adjacent regions (Fig. 1A). This paraphyletic pattern was also confirmed by our maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analyses based on complete chloroplast sequences (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4), although, in this case, a few *P. pruinosa* individuals grouped with the lineage PeC, which may reflect recent gene flow between *P. pruinosa* and PeC in western China. The results of a principal components analysis (PCA) of SNP genotypes across all individuals clearly reflected a history of lineage divergence (Fig. 1 C and D). The first principal component (PC1; variance explained = 25.70%; Tracy–Widom test, $P = 1.99e{-14}$) separated PeC and PeE from the two other lineages, while the second one (PC2; variance explained = 12.73%; Tracy–Widom test, $P = 7.94e{-44}$) separated PeW from Pp. Lineages PeC and PeE were clearly differentiated by PC3 (variance explained = 3.52%; Tracy–Widom test, $P = 1.87e{-134}$), confirming them as genetically distinct. The PCA also showed some individuals with intermediate positions among PeW, PeE, and PeC, indicating population admixture and recent hybridization and backcrossing between these lineages. Population structure analyses using NGSadmix (48) (Fig. 1E) further indicated that potential hybrids and backcrosses (with $>10\%$ of the genome from another lineage) between PeW and PeE are distributed in central Iran, while those between PeC and PeE occur in western China (Fig. 1A). These admixed individuals were excluded from downstream analyses (*SI Appendix*, Table S2). Moreover, this analysis showed that individuals from Spain and Algeria are hybrids between PeW and Pp. Because such hybrids occur disjunctly from the current distributions of both PeW and Pp lineages, it is feasible they were introduced as clones to these areas by humans. Alternatively, both lineages may have been much more widely distributed in the past, with the hybrids in Spain and...
Fig. 1. Phylogenetic and population genetic analyses of *P. euphratica* and *P. pruinosa*. (A) The biogeographic regions of whole-genome–sequenced individuals for *P. euphratica* (three lineages identified here: PeC, PeE, and PeW) and *P. pruinosa* (a single lineage identified here: Pp). The colored areas indicate biogeographic distribution of *P. euphratica* (gray) and *P. pruinosa* (pink), and the frequencies of each lineage in potential hybrid and/or backcross populations are shown using larger pie charts. (B) Phylogenetic network inferred using the Neighbor-Net method based on genome-wide SNPs. The ancestral state was identified by genotyping the segregating sites with 10 additional poplar species (see SI Appendix for detailed information). (C and D) PCA plots of SNP data for *P. euphratica* (•) and *P. pruinosa* (+). (E) Population structure bar plots. Each vertical bar represents a single individual, and the height of each color represents the probability of assignment to that cluster. The number of individuals in each lineage is also shown.
Algeria representing remnants of their previous co-occurrence and contact in these areas.

The genetic diversity ($\pi$) of each lineage ranged from 5.07 to 8.30 × 10$^{-3}$ (SI Appendix, Table S2). Lineage Pp exhibited the highest genetic diversity and showed much more extensive genome-wide linkage disequilibrium (LD) than PeC and PeW (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). This could be partly explained by a more recent bottleneck in Pp and strong asymmetric genetic introgression from PeC into Pp as previously suggested (42). Pairwise genome-wide averages of genetic divergence ($F_{ST}$) between lineages ranged from 0.19 to 0.36, indicating increased divergence times among lineages. This pattern was also evident from the mean pairwise nucleotide difference in interlineage comparisons ($D_{xy}$) (SI Appendix, Table S2).

**Demographic History.** To investigate the evolutionary history of the four lineages, we first examined the historical changes in effective population size ($N_e$) for each lineage using the Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent method (49). This showed that all populations/lineages experienced a period of dramatic decline in $N_e$ starting ~1 Mya (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Algerian and Spanish populations of *P. euphratica* exhibited the smallest historical $N_e$, consistent with a clonal origin. The lineage PeW underwent a more severe bottleneck than lineages PeE and PeC and $N_e$ was 50–500 kya, while PeC maintained a relatively large $N_e$ between 100 and 300 kya followed by a sharp decrease. To evaluate alternative divergence models (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), we further analyzed pairwise joint site frequency spectra using a composite likelihood approach as implemented in fastsimcoal2 (50). The best-supported model (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Figs. S8 and S9 and Tables S3 and S4) suggests that the common ancestor of PeC and PeE split from the common ancestor of PeW and Pp at least 1.86 Mya (95% highest posterior density (HPD) = 1.73–1.94 Mya), while PeW and Pp diverged 0.88 Mya (95% HPD = 0.80–0.94 Mya) and PeC and PeE diverged more recently, ~80 kya (95% HPD = 75–84 kya). In addition, our simulations suggest that the two continued phases of divergence gave rise to the four lineages and these were accompanied by different rates of gene flow. Gene flow was highest from PeC to Pp ($>P_E$ to PeC > PeE to PeW > Pp to PeC), as also suggested by TreeMix analyses (51) (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, Fig. S10). Most of the lineages were more extensively shared identical-by-descent haplotypes of PeC and PeE indicate that gene flow occurred recently between these two lineages (Fig. 2D). Thus, the contrasting patterns and rates of gene flow between lineages provide a good model for examining the potential effect of gene flow on patterns of genomic divergence and speciation.

**Genomic Islands of Divergence Between Lineages.** The shape of the $F_{ST}$ distribution varied between population pairs (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $P < 2.2e-16$ for all pairwise comparisons) (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Consistent with a divergence scenario that includes a burst of recent gene flow, the $F_{ST}$ values between PeC and Pp showed an $L$-shaped distribution with only 9,546 fixed differences (SI Appendix, Table S2). This contrasts with comparisons between PeC and PeW, which exhibit similar divergence times but reduced introgression with a greater proportion of highly divergent loci (75,467 fixed differences, accounting for 1.9% of the total number of polymorphisms in these two lineages). We next surveyed the genomic landscape of divergence using a sliding window approach (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S12). As previously found in other species (9, 15, 16), genetic divergence along the genome was highly heterogeneous, irrespective of the level of genome-wide differentiation and geographical separation. In addition, coalescent simulations using msms (52) under the best-fitting demographic model showed that the distributions of simulated polymorphisms and divergence were generally in agreement with the observed patterns across the genome (SI Appendix, Fig. S13). This indicates that much of the genomic heterogeneity in divergence can simply be attributed to the effects of demographic processes and genetic drift (31, 53).

To further understand the evolutionary forces shaping the heterogeneous landscape of genomic divergence, we detected outlier windows for each pair of lineages. These were defined as those falling within the top 1% of the empirical $F_{ST}$ distribution that exhibited a maximum (false discovery rate $< 0.01$) divergence compared with a null distribution obtained using a permutation approach (16). Across all comparisons, a total of 1,869 extreme 10-kb outlier windows were identified (SI Appendix, Table S5). A few of these outlier windows were shared between pairwise comparisons (SI Appendix, Table S6). After examining their genomic distribution and overlap relationships, we combined adjacent outlier windows and established that these genomic islands of divergence were rather small and scattered across the genome (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Figs. S12 and S14). In addition, these islands were characterized by strongly reduced levels of nucleotide diversity ($\pi$), skewed allele frequency spectra toward an excess of low-frequency variants (i.e., more negative Tajima’s $D$), elevated levels of LD, and significantly higher proportions of positively selected genes [PSGs; 108 PSGs of 1,537 genes in these regions; $\chi^2$ test, $\chi^2$ (1) = 210.62, $P < 2.2e-16$], indicating that linked selection acted on these regions (SI Appendix, Figs. S15 and Tables S5 and S7).

We further examined what factors contributed to the formation of genomic islands based on values of $D_{xy}$ (12, 21). We compared the level of $D_{xy}$ in genomic islands to that of genome background in each pair of lineages. We recovered significantly elevated $D_{xy}$ in genomic islands for all pairwise comparisons (SI Appendix, Table S7), regardless of rate of gene flow between lineages under comparison. This observation suggests that haplotypes that include genomic islands may have become genetically isolated before the rest of the genomes of the lineage pairs under comparison. No significant difference was observed in the number and size of genomic islands among comparisons for pairwise lineages with contrasting amounts of gene flow and divergence times [number of genomic islands: $\chi^2$ test, $\chi^2$ (5) = 5.35, $P = 0.375$; size of genomic islands: nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test, $\chi^2$ (5) = 5.53, $P = 0.355$]. We additionally examined changes of recombination rates and found that the population-scaled recombination rate ($\theta = 4N_e\mu$) was significantly reduced in genomic islands compared with the rest of the genome for all paired comparisons (SI Appendix, Table S7). However, the correlation between recombination rates $\theta$ and absolute divergence $D_{xy}$ across the whole genome, although significant, was very low (close to zero; Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Figs. S16 and S17).

**Genes Under Positive Selection.** Despite being closely related, *P. euphratica* and *P. pruinosa* differ in ecology, physiology, and morphology (41, 42). To explore the genetic basis of this differentiation, we conducted HKA (Hudson-Kreitman-Aguadé) (54) and PBS (Population Branch Statistic) (55) tests to identify genes with high interlineage divergence under recent natural selection following their paraphyletic divergence. Among 28,148 genes analyzed (SI Appendix, SI Text), a total of 451 were identified under positive selection (188, 204, and 74 in lineages PeC, PeW, and Pp, respectively; SI Appendix, Table S8). In addition, 15 of these PSGs were shared between any two lineages, a proportion that is significantly higher than expected by chance (for simulation, we randomly sampled 188, 204, and 74 out of 28,148 genes without replacement for lineages PeC, PeW, and Pp, respectively, and a maximum of 12 overlaps between any two lineages were observed after 100,000 replications). This suggests the presence of diverged haplotypes in their ancestral population possibly followed by strong divergent selection. Importantly, only 108 PSGs were located inside identified genomic islands.
while the rest were distributed outside them. Gene ontology enrichment analyses of all PSGs yielded several candidate genes associated with organ development, local adaptation, and reproductive isolation (SI Appendix, Table S9). Among these genes, four (GIS, MYB66, CPC, and RSL2) are associated with trichome differentiation and branching, whereas eight (TCP13, LCR, RDR6, CRF5, YUC4, TRN2, NAC083, and LAA24) are involved in regulation of leaf development and morphology (SI Appendix, Table S10). GIS encodes a putative C2H2 transcription factor that plays a key role in trichome initiation and branching in Arabidopsis thaliana. In addition, GIS loss-of-function mutations exhibit a premature decrease
in trichome production on successive leaves, stem internodes, and branches, while overexpression has an opposite effect and causes significant delay in flowering compared with wild-type (56). TCP13 is a member of the CINCINNA-like TCP (TEOSINTE BRANCHED1, CYCLOIDEA, PCF) group of transcription factors, which play a pivotal role in signaling pathways that...
generate different leaf forms via negative regulation of the expression of boundary-specific genes (57, 58). LCR (LEAF CURLING RESPONSIVENESS) encodes a F-box protein (SKP1-Cullin/CDC53-F-box) involved in the regulation of leaf curling-related morphology. Overexpression of LCR leads not only to abnormal leaf development but also to a salt-tolerant phenotype of *A. thaliana* in an abscisic acid-dependent manner (59, 60). Moreover, we found that several genes subjected to selection encoded proteins associated with reproductive function, including flowering time (e.g., EFM, HLP1, CBI, and GAS41), anther development (RPK2), pollen tube growth and guidance (GLR5, PIP5K4, and DOK1), and the development of male and female gametophytes (ARID1, PIN8, DHAD, and RH36) (SI Appendix, Table S10). These re-productive proteins have diverged rapidly across lineages and emerged as candidates involved in postzygotic isolation between lineages.

**Discussion**

Our population genomic analyses of *P. euphratica* within its vast but fragmented range across Eurasia and North Africa, and additional analyses of *P. euphratica* and *P. pruinosa* within the sympatric area in west China, together suggest a scenario of parapatric divergence of these two morphologically delimited species. Four lineages were identified: PeW, PeE, and PeC representing *P. euphratica*, and Pp representing *P. pruinosa* (Fig. 1). Coalescent-based simulations indicate that these lineages diverged during the Pleistocene. Although the three *P. euphratica* lineages show limited morphological differentiation, the possibility of incipient speciation occurring within this species is supported by the finding that divergence between PeW and *P. pruinosa* occurred later than between their ancestral clade and the ancestral clade of the other two lineages, PeE and PeC. While *P. euphratica* is genetically divided into three lineages, there is little congruence between the current geographical distributions and the genetic distances between them, suggesting a complex expansion and colonization history of the total complex, with some populations possibly established or affected by humans (e.g., Spanish populations and those from North Africa and Central Asia).

Few speciation studies have explicitly reconstructed the demographic history and estimated the amount of gene flow between diverging lineages (23, 24). In this study, we assessed the timing and amount of gene flow and changes in population size of the recovered lineages, revealing pairs of lineages with either large or limited amounts of gene flow occurring between them. In particular, a relatively high level of recent gene flow was shown to have occurred between PeC and Pp. The level of divergence between pairs of lineages was highly heterogeneous along the genome, which could be largely explained by historical demographic processes and genetic drift as suggested by our simulations (SI Appendix, Fig. S13). In addition, we identified numerous small genomic islands (quantified by *FS*) scattered across the genome, which was elevated in these islands (SI Appendix, Table S7), which is consistent with a model in which these islands were derived from divergent sorting of ancient divergent haplotypes (21). An alternative explanation is the speciation-with-gene-flow model in which restricted gene flow at genomic islands would be expected to increase both absolute and relative measures of genomic divergence (12). Under this alternative hypothesis, genomic islands are predicted to be more pronounced with increased gene flow and divergence time (26, 27). However, our findings indicate that *D*~*S*~ was of the same magnitude across genomic islands, and no significant difference in size and number of genomic islands was observed among inter-lineage comparisons with contrasting levels of gene flow and divergence times. Therefore, our observations suggest that recent gene flow is unlikely to be a major factor in generating genomic islands of divergence in these desert poplars.

Several selection signals, including elevated levels of LD and reduced levels of genetic diversity and Tajima’s *D*, were observed across genomic islands. In addition, we observed a strong association between islands of divergence and low recombination rates. Such an association suggests the possible occurrence of divergence hitchhiking, or background selection and/or recurrent selective sweeps within regions of low recombination (12, 21), both of which tend to reduce genetic diversity and increase *F*~ST~. If genomic islands are mainly produced by low recombination rate and linked selection, levels of *D*~S*~ within them will not be elevated (12, 21), which is inconsistent with our observations (SI Appendix, Table S7). In conjunction with the covariation of population genomic parameters (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Figs. S15–S17), genomic islands of divergence observed here are best explained by divergent sorting of ancient polymorphisms predating lineage divergence (12, 15, 20, 21, 61). The link between low recombination rates and high *D*~S*~ of genomic islands most likely resulted from “divergence hitchhiking” because divergent sorting of anciently diverged haplotypes tends to reduce gene flow in surrounding linked regions (62).

Interestingly, we found that genes under positive selection and exhibiting high interlineage divergence were detected both within and outside genomic islands (SI Appendix, Table S8). These genes are putatively functionally related to organ development, local adaptation, and reproductive isolation, which may underlie ecological and morphological divergence between lineages (SI Appendix, Table S10). Several genes showed high divergence and selection signatures between *P. pruinosa* and the *P. euphratica* lineages. Two of these, TCP13 and IAA24, are involved in the regulation of leaf development and morphology, of which a major difference exists between *P. euphratica* and *P. pruinosa*. Similarly, the fixation of different alleles for the gene *GIS* could be a cause of dense trichome development on leaves of *P. pruinosa*, while high allelic divergence and fixation of the gene *GAS41* in *P. pruinosa* may contribute to its later flowering relative to *PeC* (40, 41). In addition, numerous single-nucleotide differences outside these islands or undetectable here may have also contributed to dramatic differentiation between lineages (or species) through an accumulation of small individual effects underlying polygenic barriers (63). What drove cryptic differentiation within *P. euphratica* and between it and *P. pruinosa* remains to be investigated in the future.

In conclusion, our results revealed lineage divergence within *P. euphratica* and a paraphyletic relationship of this species with *P. pruinosa*. Genomic islands of divergence that distinguish the four lineages of this poplar complex most likely derived from divergent sorting of ancient polymorphisms and divergence hitchhiking but not heterogeneous gene flow. Our findings highlight the need to integrate information on demographic history, gene flow, and absolute genomic divergence to distinguish evolutionary processes that generate genomic islands and identify genomic regions potentially involved in speciation.

**Materials and Methods**

Silica gel-dried leaves were collected from western China, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, Israel, Spain, and Algeria (SI Appendix, SI Text). Total genomic DNA was extracted using a standard cetyltrimethylammonium bromide protocol and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 and 2500 platforms. After data-quality control, reads were mapped to the reference genome using BWA-MEM. Population genetic structure was conducted using the Neighbor-net method, PCA, and admixture estimation. Population genetic statistics *π*, *F*, *D*, and Tajima’s *D* were estimated based on the site frequency spectrum inferred by analyses of next generation sequencing data. Detailed information on materials and methods with associated references are available in the SI Appendix.
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