**Abstract**

**Background:** Hip osteoarthritis typically manifests with groin or thigh pain. Other atypical pain patterns, including knee pain, have been described. Except for 2 case reports, there is no literature on this subject.

**Methods:** From our institutional database, between 2011 and 2016, we identified 21 patients who were referred for treatment of knee pain but ultimately diagnosed with hip pathology as the cause of their pain. This group was evaluated for duration of symptoms prior to diagnosis, previous interventions, presence of walking aids, and symptom resolution after treatment of the hip pathology.

**Results:** Fifteen of the 21 patients were referred from musculoskeletal providers (12 from orthopaedic surgeons). Prior to diagnosis of the hip etiology, 16 patients were reduced to major assistive devices, including wheelchairs. Twelve of 21 patients had undergone surgical knee interventions, including total knee arthroplasty, with minimal to no relief of their pain. Seventeen of 21 referred patients underwent total hip arthroplasty at our institution. Fourteen patients had complete resolution of knee pain after total hip arthroplasty.

**Conclusions:** Although knee pain referred from hip disease may be considered a basic and common knowledge, it continues to be an overlooked phenomenon. Most of the cases were misdiagnosed by musculoskeletal providers including orthopaedic surgeons and this highlights the need for continued education and awareness of this clinical scenario.
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recalcitrant knee pain, which was eventually determined to be due to underlying hip arthritis.

Material and methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. Between 2011 and 2016, we identified patients who were referred primarily for the evaluation and treatment of persistent knee pain but were found to have hip arthritis as the cause of the knee pain. In our practice, all patients who present with knee pain also get a physical examination of the hips; when a difference in range of motion is noted between sides, an anterior-posterior pelvis and lateral hip radiograph is obtained, sometimes leading to a diagnosis of hip OA. We reviewed the medical records, as well as radiographic imaging, consisting of standardized hip and knee radiographs. Tönnes classification and Kellgren and Lawrence system were used by the authors for grading radiographic hip and knee arthritis, respectively [18-20]. Demographic information, previous treatments (surgical and nonsurgical), specialty of referring provider, use of walking aids, severity of knee and hip arthritis, delay in diagnosis, treatment received after diagnosis, and response to treatment were obtained from the medical records.

Results

Twenty-one patients were identified and included in our review. Fifteen of the 21 patients were referred from musculoskeletal providers, including orthopaedic surgeons, primary sports medicine practitioners, and physiatrists. Of that subgroup, 12 patients were sent by orthopaedic surgeons for a second opinion. Delay in diagnosis was greater than a year for 18 of 21 patients.

Prior to presentation in our clinic, all the patients had undergone surgical or nonsurgical knee intervention for their pain. Eleven of 21 patients had undergone intra-articular knee injection (viscosupplementation or corticosteroid) and 5 had advanced imaging performed, such as knee or spine magnetic resonance imaging. Eleven of 21 patients had undergone knee surgery including 3 arthroscopies and 8 total knee arthroplasties (TKAs). Two of the arthroplasty patients underwent revision TKA at outside institutions due to unrelated knee pain.

Interestingly, significant disability was noted in our patients, with 12 of 21 patients requiring use of a walker or wheelchair for ambulation. That number was even greater (16 patients) when including a cane as a walking aid.

Seventeen of 21 patients eventually underwent ipsilateral total hip arthroplasty (THA) for their pain, with 2 of these 17 patients having undergone bilateral THA for knee pain on both sides. Fourteen of the 17 patients experienced complete resolution of their knee pain. Postoperatively, 12 patients experienced improvements in their disability as noted by use of walking aids. The patients requiring preoperative use of a wheelchair typically downgraded to a walker or cane, postoperatively, while those using a walker preoperatively were able to be downgraded to use of a cane or no walking aids.

Three patients reported only partial improvement of their knee pain after THA. One patient was referred to chronic pain management for geniculate nerve block injections with otherwise unremarkable workup of her previously done TKA. Another was referred to sports medicine for meniscal pathology found on magnetic resonance imaging of the knee. Interestingly, the patient with meniscal pathology had a previous history of contralateral knee pain due to hip arthritis and he had complete resolution of knee pain with THA. The third patient is being treated conservatively with anti-inflammatories.

Four patients in our series did not undergo definitive treatment of their hip disease at our institution. One patient expired prior to surgery; 1 was referred for treatment closer to home; and another patient was lost to follow-up. Finally, 1 patient underwent an intra-articular steroid injection of his hip with temporary complete relief of his knee pain; he is awaiting THA pending medical optimization.

Complete patient details and outcomes are included in Table 1. We highlight 3 particularly illustrative cases in Figures 1-7.

Discussion

To our knowledge, there have been only isolated case reports of hip pathology masquerading as knee pain in the adult population, and this is the largest series to date on this subject [16,17]. We highlight the importance of maintaining a high index of suspicion when patients present with knee pain, and disability disproportionate to their radiographic disease, especially if their symptoms are refractory to interventions. If patients have predominantly knee pain but are dependent on a walker for ambulation or in a wheelchair, the suspicion for a more proximal source of the pain or disability should be high.

The hip and knee joints receive multiple sensory innervations. In the hip, the obturator and femoral nerves supply the hip capsule anteriorly, while sciatic and superior gluteal nerves supply it posteriorly [21]. In the knee, anterior fibers originate from the femoral, saphenous, and common peroneal nerves, while posterior fibers originate from the tibial and obturator nerves [22]. Perhaps the crossover in innervation could explain the referral patterns of hip disease; however, the neural mechanisms have not been fully elucidated.

Early theories explaining the pathophysiology of referred pain include Ruch’s [23] convergence-projection theory, which describes the convergence of somatic and visceral fibers directly onto one dorsal horn neuron in the spinal cord. Sinclair postulated that the somatic and visceral fibers converged onto an afferent neuron before reaching the spinal cord [24]. Since their original descriptions, some studies seem to support Ruch’s theory; however, the topic remains poorly understood [25,26]. Miura et al [27], using a rat model, demonstrated that a small percentage of all dorsal root ganglion neurons innervating the hip joints had other axons that extended to the medial portion of knee skin. These dichotomizing fibers may also explain referred knee pain originating from hip joint pathology.

In humans, the precise sensory innervation pattern and correlation of the sensory nerves in the hip and knee remains unknown. This is especially true in patients with persistent knee pain after THA [28]. One potential explanation for persistent knee pain could be that the muscle to which pain is referred for a prolonged period of time may itself become a generator of pain [10]. This highlights the importance of a swift diagnosis in patients that could be prone to such reorganization of their neural pain pathways.

In the current healthcare economic climate, the burden of arthritis is projected to increase in the coming years. By the year 2040, an estimated 78 million adults, older than 18 years of age, will have a diagnosis of arthritis [29]. Currently, total direct and indirect annual costs of managing OA per patient are estimated at $5700 [30]. With the anticipated projection of future patients and the standard high cost associated with OA, patients cannot afford unnecessary testing and interventions, especially when they result in a delay in accurate diagnosis.

Moreover, patients suffering from OA have a higher morbidity count and are 2-3 times more likely to suffer from anxiety and depression, which leads to limitations in activity and more pain and overall disability [31,32]. Quickly and adequately addressing their
Table 1: Patient information highlighting diagnosis, prior knee treatments, and outcomes after treatment of the hip pathology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient (age/gender)</th>
<th>Prior treatment/diagnostic studies</th>
<th>Assistive device before intervention</th>
<th>Delay in diagnosis</th>
<th>Knee osteoarthritis classification&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Hip osteoarthritis classification&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Assistive device after intervention</th>
<th>Knee pain resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85/Male (Figs. 1 and 2)</td>
<td>CSI, PT</td>
<td>Right revision TKA</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/Female</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Right revision TKA</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91/Male</td>
<td>CSI, VS</td>
<td>Right TKA</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61/Male</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Left TKA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Left THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69/Male</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Bilateral TKA</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Bilateral THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86/Female</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Left TKA</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Left THA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Complete relief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91/Male</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52/Female</td>
<td>CSI, PT, knee MRI = 2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Left THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66/Male (Figs. 3 and 4)</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Left THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83/Male</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Left THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78/Female</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71/Male</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cane</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66/Male (Figs. 5-7)</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cane</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>Cane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85/Female</td>
<td>CSI, VS, right common peroneal block, MRI lumbar spine, lumbar spine ESI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cane</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>Cane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64/Male</td>
<td>CSI, PT, brace</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77/Male</td>
<td>CSI, PT, knee MRI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>Not documented</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76/Female</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Right TKA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51/Male</td>
<td>CSI, VS knee MRI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cane</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Right THA</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83/Female</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Left TKA</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64/Female</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Left knee arthroscopy</td>
<td>Wheelchair</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74/Male</td>
<td>CSI, PT, knee MRI</td>
<td>Left knee arthroscopy</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>&gt;1 y</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Died before treatment</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSI, corticosteroid injection; ESI, epidural steroid injection; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PT, physical therapy; VS, viscosupplementation.

<sup>a</sup> Kellgren and Lawrence system for classification of osteoarthritis of the knee.

<sup>b</sup> Tönnis classification of osteoarthritis of the hip.

<sup>c</sup> Patient was referred to sports medicine for meniscal pathology.

<sup>d</sup> Patient was referred to pain management for geniculate nerve blockade.
ostearthritic needs is essential to expedite their return to activities and ultimately restore overall health and well-being.

There are certain limitations to this study. The retrospective nature of this case series imparts observational and selection biases. Cases presented in this series were recorded over 5 years by the treating surgeons who diagnosed their hip disease. It is likely that, during the period studied, patients with knee pain from hip pathology could have been missed if the index of suspicion was low.

---

**Figure 1.** Eighty-five-year-old male who underwent revision knee surgery for several years of right knee pain but without relief. Knee radiographs revealed revision knee prosthesis without any obvious etiology of the knee pain.

**Figure 2.** Eighty-five-year-old male who underwent revision knee surgery for several years of right knee pain but without relief. Right hip radiographs (a) revealed Grade 3 OA. Knee pain completely resolved after right THA (b).
or the pain severity and related disability were low. Additionally, it would be very difficult to determine if patients with hip pathology presenting as knee pain sought treatment elsewhere before this was recognized. Considering this, the authors do not feel that estimating a prevalence of knee pain related to hip arthritis would be accurate and would in fact likely grossly underestimate the prevalence. The prevalence of this has been highly variable in the literature and is between 2% and 29% [6,7,9,10,12]. This further confirms that an estimation would not be accurate. In select patients, there was incomplete information regarding previous

Figure 3. Sixty-six-year-old male with 18 months of left knee pain. Knee radiographs revealed Grade 0 OA.

Figure 4. Sixty-six-year-old male with 18 months of left knee pain. Hip radiographs (a) revealed Grade 3 OA. Knee pain completely resolved after left THA (b).
treatments received outside our institution, as well as prior radiographic studies, which would have provided additional valuable information to our study. Finally, there were no patient-reported outcome data before and after their hip replacement, only subjective reports of relief of their knee pain.

Conclusions

Referred pain from the hip to the knee can be misleading to healthcare providers including musculoskeletal providers who evaluated 15 of the 21 patients in our series. Sometimes the referred pain can be difficult to believe by the patient themselves. We highlight the following warning signs that should prompt investigation of the hip as a potential underlying source of knee pain: knee pain out of proportion to clinical and radiographic findings related to the knee; significant disability and use of walking aids (especially a wheelchair or walker); abnormal hip motion during physical examination and knee pain that fails to improve with knee interventions. This constellation of findings should raise the index of suspicion to evaluate extrinsic sources for knee pain, especially the hip. Improved awareness of this issue may more quickly lead to an accurate diagnosis, timely treatment of the source of pain, and prevent unnecessary interventions for affected patients. Although it is considered a basic knowledge in orthopaedic surgery, this case series highlights the importance of continued education and awareness of this clinical scenario which can still lead to misdiagnosis and mistreatment by musculoskeletal providers.

Figure 5. Sixty-six-year-old male with several years of bilateral knee pain. Knee radiographs revealed Grade 2 right knee OA and Grade 1 left knee OA.

Figure 6. Sixty-six-year-old male with several years of bilateral knee pain. Hip radiographs revealed Grade 3 bilateral hip OA.

Figure 7. Sixty-six-year-old male with several years of bilateral knee pain. Knee pain completely resolved after bilateral THA.
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